



## Waste Water Discharge Authorisation

## Attachment B6 – Compliance with EU Directives & National Regulations

**Applicant Name:**\*

For inspection puposes only any of For inspection puposes only any of Bally tourney/Ballymakeera

Application I.D.:\*

D0299



## SECTION B: GENERAL

## B.6. Compliance with EU Directives / National Regulations

Table B.6(a) – Confirmation of relevance and compliance with EU Directives and National Regulations

The Ballyvourney/Ballymakeera WwTW has been designed to ensure that the emissions from the agglomeration will comply with, and will not result in the contravention of, EU Directives and National Regulations.

Legislation (National and EU)	Confirm <u>relevance</u> ( <i>Yes/No</i> )	Compliant with requirements (Yes/No)	Section of application / attachments which provides relevant details of compliance
Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive 91/271/EEC	Yes for Consent of cos	pspection purpose only pspection purpose required in printing owner required in Yes	<ul> <li>The ultimate design of the WwTP is greater than 2,000 p.e. and therefore in line Article 4 of the directive, "Member States shall ensure that urban waste water entering a collecting system shall before discharge be subject to secondary preatment or an equivalent treatment [] for discharges to freshwater from agglomerations of between 2000 and 10000 pe".</li> <li>Prior to the new WwTP, primary treatment in the form of a septic tank existed.</li> <li>The new Ballyvourney/Ballymakeera WwTP provides tertiary treatment.</li> <li>Refer to:</li> <li>Section B.2.2 for details on the Waste Water Treatment Plant</li> <li>Section B.8: Improvement Programme</li> <li>Attachment C.1: Discharges and Monitoring</li> <li>Section C.2: Measures to Prevent Unintended Discharges</li> <li>Section D: Impact Assessment</li> </ul>
Urban Waste Water Treatment Regulations, 2001 as amended	Yes	Yes	The proposed WwTW design complies with Articles 4, 7 and 9 of, and the Second, Fourth and Fifth Schedules of emission standards and the SWOs (SW002 and SW003) will operate in compliance with the criteria as set out in the DoEHLG ' <i>Procedures and Criteria in</i>

epa

Legislation (National and EU)	Confirm <u>relevance</u> ( <i>Yes/No</i> )	Compliant with requirements (Yes/No)	Section of application / attachments which provides relevant details of compliance
			Relation to Storm Water Overflows', 1995.
			The Sullane River not listed as Sensitive in Parts 1 and 2 of the Urban Wastewater Treatment Regulations and consequently Nitrogen and Phosphorous reduction does not form a requirement of the Urban Waste Water Treatment Regulations, 2001 with regards to the Ballyvourney/Ballymakeera primary discharge. Nevertheless, tertiary treatment including nutrient removal is provided.
		pspection puposes only	Refer to: Section B.2.2 Waste Water Works and associated Waste Water Treatment Plant Attachment B.8: Improvement Programme Attachment C.1: Discharges and Monitoring Attachment C.2: Measures to Prevent Unintended Discharges Section D: Impact Assessment
Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC	Consent		Wastewater tertiary treatment including nutrient removal is provided. The operation of the WwTP will result in an improved wastewater discharge to the receiving waterbody.
	Yes	Yes	The provision of the new WwTP with the ability to meet the ELVs as per D0299-01 will result in an overall improvement to the quality of the discharges and will aid in the waterbody maintaining its High status.
			In terms of priority substances, it was concluded in the Priority Substance Assessment Report that none of the substances listed in the Specific Pollutants, Priority and Priority Hazardous Substances as outlined in the Surface Water Regulations, are likely to be present in the effluent discharge to the Sullane River, at concentrations

epa

Legislation (National and EU)	Confirm <u>relevance</u> ( <i>Yes/No</i> )	Compliant with requirements (Yes/No)	Section of application / attachments which provides relevant details of compliance
			above the specified standards as per European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009, as amended (now S.I No. 77 of 2019).
			<ul> <li>Refer to:</li> <li>Section B.2.2: Waste Water Works and associated Waste Water Treatment Plant</li> <li>Section D: Impact Assessment</li> <li>Attachment D.2.1: Impact Assessment Report</li> <li>Attachment D.2.3: Waste Assimilative Capacity (WAC)</li> <li>Attachment D.2.4: Priority Substance Assessment</li> </ul>
European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009 as amended	Yes	prection Kenoses only	<ul> <li>See above &amp; refer to:</li> <li>Section B.2.2 Waste Water Works and associated Waste Water Treatment Plant</li> <li>Attachment B.8: Improvement Programme</li> <li>Attachment D.2.4: Priority Substance Assessment</li> </ul>
European Communities Environmental Objectives (Freshwater Pearl Mussel) Regulations 2009	Yes	Yes	Although the Sullane River is not designated as a Margaritifera First Order River, Freshwater Pearl Mussel ( <i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i> ) have been recorded, both upstream and downstream of the discharge. ELVs of 0.8 mg/l for Ortho-Phosphate, 1.5 mg/l for Ammonia and 25 mg/l for BOD have been put in place to ensure compliance with the High status standards set in European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Water) Regulations, 2009, as amended (now S.I. No. 77 of 2019). These ELVs were set by the EPA taking account the sensitivity of the receiving River with particular reference to the Freshwater Pearl Mussel ( <i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i> ). To inform this licence review and to ensure that the EPA's ELVs were still applicable an updated WAC calculation was completed using the actual



	Confirm	Compliant	Section of application / attachments which
	<u>relevance</u>	with	provides relevant details of compliance
Legislation	(Yes/No)	requirements	
(National and EU)		(Yes/No)	background concentration based on
			January 2019 to June 2021 ambient
			monitoring data and the EPA Flow
			Estimation of 0.26m <sup>3</sup> /s (EPA Estimated
			95%ile Flow at Station 19055 (Up to
			2018)) and the maximum WwTP design
			p.e. of 2,600 (rather than the projected
			10-year load of 968 p.e). The WAC calculations confirmed that based on the
			EPA's ELVs, there would be sufficient
			assimilative capacity in the receiving
			water, the Sullane River, to receive the
			flows and loads associated with the new
			WwTP and to ensure that the discharge
			from the WwTP contributes towards maintaining High status of the
			maintaining High status of the Sullan@030 in accordance with the
		~	Qbjectives (Surface Waters)
		es off	(Amendment) Regulations 2019 (S.I. No.
		upost red	77 of 2019).
		pspection proseconted for the second	Not applicable. No designated drinking
		05Pert OWIT	waterbodies downstream of the discharge on the Sullane_030. No
Drinking Water Directive	No 40	Vilet No	drinking water abstraction points
80/778/EEC	8.00	R*	downstream of the discharge. The closest
	nsent		designated drinking water river is >12.5
	Cor		km downstream; the Sullane_060.
Bathing Water Directive	No	Not applicable	bathing waters within the vicinity of the discharge. The nearest designated
76/160/EEC			bathing waterbody is >70 km
			downstream.
			Not applicable. There are no designated
Bathing Water Quality	A.L.,	National States	bathing waters within the vicinity of the
Regulations 2008	No	Not applicable	discharge. The nearest designated bathing waterbody is >70 km
			downstream.
Groundwater Directives			Not applicable. No discharge to
80/68/EEC & 2006/118/EC	No	Not applicable	groundwater.
European Communities			
Environmental Objectives			Not applicable. No discharge to
(Groundwater) Regulations	No	Not applicable	groundwater.
2010 as amended			0. 53110110001

Legislation (National and EU)	Confirm <u>relevance</u> ( <i>Yes/No</i> )	Compliant with requirements (Yes/No)	Section of application / attachments which provides relevant details of compliance
Birds Directive 79/409/EEC, Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC and European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 as amended	Yes	Yes	An AA Screening has been prepared by IW to inform this WWDL review process and it has concluded that the operational discharges from the Ballyvourney/ Ballymakeera agglomeration are not likely to have significant effects on any European sites having regard to their conservation objectives.
			Refer to: • Attachment D.2.2: AA Screening Report
Environmental Impact Assessment Directive 2011/92/EU, as amended by Directive 2014/52/EU	Yes	Yes protection purposes of the protection owner required for protection owner required for the protection of the protection of the protection of the protection of the protection owner required for protection owner required for the protection owner requ	The subject matter of this application would not be likely to have significant effects on the environment having regard to the criteria as set out in Schedule 7 of the 2001 Regulations, as amended. Accordingly, an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), and the production of an Environmental Impact Assessment Report (EIAR), is not required to support this application. Refer to: • Attachment B.5: EIA Screening Report
Marine Strategy Framework Directive 2008/56/EC	Consent of 2 No	Not applicable	Not applicable. No discharge to marine environment. The closest TRaC waterbody is >50 km downstream; Lee (Cork) Estuary Upper and coastal water is >70 km downstream; Cork Harbour.