Appendix 1

Groundwater Vulnerability

Introduction

The term 'vulnerability' is used to represent the intrinsic geological and hydrogeological characteristics that determine the ease with which groundwater may be contaminated by human activities (DELG *et al.,* 1999). The vulnerability of groundwater depends on:

- the time of travel of infiltrating water (and contaminants)
- the relative quantity of contaminants that can reach the groundwater
- the contaminant attenuation capacity of the geological materials through which the water and contaminants infiltrate.

All groundwater is hydrologically connected to the land surface; the effectiveness of this connection determines the relative vulnerability to contamination. Groundwater that readily and quickly receives water (and contaminants) from the land surface is more vulnerable than groundwater that receives water (and contaminants) more slowly and in lower quantities. The travel time, attenuation capacity and quantity of contaminants are a function of the following natural geological and hydrogeological attributes of any area:

- the type and permeability of the subsoils that overlie the groundwater
- the thickness of the unsaturated zone through which the contaminant moves
- the recharge type – whether point or diffuse.

In other words, vulnerability is based on evaluating the relevant hydrogeological characteristics of the protecting geological layers along the pathway, and the possibility of bypassing these layers. In summary, the entire land surface is divided into four vulnerability categories: **E**xtreme, **H**igh, **M**oderate and **L**ow, based on the geological and hydrogeological characteristics. Further details of the hydrogeological basis for vulnerability assessment can be found in 'Groundwater Protection Schemes' (DELG et al., 1999).

The Groundwater Vulnerability Map shows the vulnerability of the first groundwater encountered, in either sand/gravel or bedrock aquifers, by contaminants released at depths of 1-2 m below the ground surface. Where the water-table in bedrock aquifers is below the top of the bedrock, the target needing protection is the water-table. However, where the aquifer is fully saturated, the target is the top of the bedrock. The vulnerability map aims to be a guide to the likelihood of groundwater contamination, if a pollution event were to occur. It does not replace the need for site investigation. Note also that the characteristics of individual contaminants are not considered.

Except where point recharge occurs (*e.g.* at swallow holes), the groundwater vulnerability depends on the type, permeability and thickness of the subsoil.

The groundwater vulnerability map is derived by combining the permeability and depth to bedrock maps, using the three subsoil permeability categories: high, moderate and low; and four depths to rock categories: <3m, 3–5m, 5–10m and >10m. The resulting vulnerability classifications are shown below.

Vulnerability mapping guidelines (adapted from DELG et al, 1999)

Thickness of Overlying Subsoils	Hydrogeological Requirements for Vulnerability Categories				
	Diffuse Recharge			**Point Recharge**	**Unsaturated Zone**
	Subsoil permeability and type				
	High permeability (*sand/gravel*)	moderate permeability (*sandy subsoil*)	low permeability (*clayey subsoil, clay, peat*)	*(swallow holes, losing streams)*	(*sand & gravel aquifers only*)
0–3 m	**Extreme**	**Extreme**	**Extreme**	**Extreme (30 m radius)**	**Extreme**
3–5 m	**High**	**High**	**High**	**N/A**	**High**
5–10 m	**High**	**High**	**Moderate**	**N/A**	**High**
>10 m	**High**	**Moderate**	**Low**	**N/A**	**High**

Notes: (i) N/A = not applicable.
(ii) Release point of contaminants is assumed to be 1–2 m below ground surface.
(iii) Permeability classifications relate to the engineering behaviour as described by BS5930.
(iv) Outcrop and shallow subsoil (i.e. generally <1.0 m) areas are shown as a sub-category of extreme vulnerability
(amended from Deakin and Daly (1999) and DELG/EPA/GSIa (1999))

Sources of Vulnerability Data

Specific vulnerability field mapping and assessment of previously collected data were carried out as part of this project. Fieldwork focused on assessing the permeability of the different subsoil deposit types so that they could be subdivided into the three permeability categories. This involved:

- Describing selected exposures/sections according to the British Standard Institute *Code of Practice for Site Investigations* (BS 5930:1999).
- Collection of subsoil samples for laboratory particle size analyses
- Assessing the recharge characteristics of selected sites using natural and artificial drainage, vegetation and other recharge indicators.

The following additional sources of data were used to assess the vulnerability and produce the map:

- Subsoils Map (EPA/Teagasc Subsoil Map, 2006), which is the basis for the main permeability boundaries. 'Clean' sands and gravels are usually high permeability. Alluvium deposits are either moderate or low permeability.
- Depth to bedrock map, compiled by the mapping team for the current project in the Geological Survey of Ireland, using data compiled from GSI, consultant and county council reports, along with purpose-drilled auger holes
- Geological Survey of Ireland Bedrock Geology Map
- Geological Survey of Ireland well and karst database, which supplied information on well yields and depth to bedrock, as well as locations of point recharge.
- General Soils Map of Ireland (Gardiner and Radford, 1980). This gives additional, indirect information on subsoil permeability in the areas mapped by Teagasc as 'till'.

Thickness of the Unsaturated Zone

The thickness of the unsaturated zone, or the depth of ground free of intermittent or permanent saturation, is only relevant in vulnerability mapping over unconfined sand and gravel aquifers. As described in Table 6.1, the critical unsaturated zone thickness is 3m; unconfined gravels with unsaturated zones thicker than 3m are classed as having a 'high' vulnerability, while those with unsaturated zones thinner than 3m are classed as having an 'extreme' vulnerability.