

# Poultry Farm

## ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT



In respect of a proposed development involving the construction of extensions to 2 no. existing poultry house including all ancillary facilities at:

LISLEA,  
VIRGINIA,  
CO. CAVAN.

On behalf of:

MR. JOHN & CHARLES SMITH  
CORFAD,  
VIRGINIA,  
CO. CAVAN.

CLW Environmental Planners Ltd.

### CLW Environmental Planners

The Mews  
23 Farnham Street  
Cavan  
Tel: 049 4371447/9  
E-mail [info@clw.ie](mailto:info@clw.ie)

DECEMBER 2020

# **CONTENTS**

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b><u>Non-Technical Summary</u></b>	<b>1</b>
 <b><u>1. Introduction &amp; Development Context</u></b>	 <b>5</b>
1.1 Description of site and proposed development	
1.1.1 Scale of the proposed developments	5
1.1.2 Planning / Licensing History	6
1.1.3 Site Location	7
1.1.4 Topography	9
1.1.5 Physical description of the proposed development	9
1.1.6 Operation of the proposed development	11
 <b><u>2. Scoping of Environmental Impact Assessment</u></b>	 <b>17</b>
2.1 Data required to identify the main effects that the proposed development is likely to have on the environment	20
2.2 Project Type as per EPA Draft Guidelines	21
 <b><u>3. Description of Reasonable Alternatives</u></b>	 <b>22</b>
 <b><u>4. Environmental Assessment</u></b>	 <b>26</b>
4.1.1 Description of the physical characteristics of the proposed development and the land use requirements during construction and operation.	26
4.1.2 A description of the main characteristics of the production processes, nature and quantity of materials used.	27
4.1.3 An estimate, by type and quantity, of expected residues and emissions (including water, air and soil pollution, noise vibration, light, heat and radiation) and quantities and types of waste produced during the construction and operation phases.	28



4.2	A description of the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment (baseline scenario) and an outline of the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the project as far as natural changes from the baseline scenario can be assessed with reasonable effort on the basis of the availability of environmental information and scientific knowledge.	30
4.3	Descriptions of the aspects of the environments likely to be significantly affected by the proposed development	
4.3.1	Effect on Population and Human Health	31
4.3.2	Effect on Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna)	34
4.3.3	Effect on Land and Soil	40
4.3.4	Effect on Geological & Geomorphological Heritage of the Area	44
4.3.5	Effect on Water	45
4.3.6	Effect on Air	49
4.3.7	Effect on Climate / Climate Change	50
4.3.8	Effects on Visual Aspects and Landscape	51
4.3.9	Effect on Archaeological & Cultural Heritage	53
4.3.10	Effect on Material Assets	55
4.4	Description of the significant effects of the proposed development	56
4.5	The forecasting methods used to assess the effects on the environment	60
4.6	Cumulative and Transboundary Effects	61
4.7	Inter-relationships	62
4.8	Difficulties encountered in compiling the required information	69
5.	Description of measures envisaged to avoid, reduce, prevent or if possible, offset any identified significant adverse effects on the environment.	70
6.	<b><u>Environmental Management Programme</u></b>	72
7.	<b><u>Summary</u></b>	74

**Appendices**

<b>Appendix No. 1</b>	<b>Site Location Map</b>
<b>Appendix No. 2</b>	<b>Site Layout (Not to scale) Location of Proposed Developments</b>
<b>Appendix No. 3</b>	<b>Drawings of Proposed Development (Not to scale)</b>
<b>Appendix No. 4</b>	<b>Environmental Protection Agency – Draft Guidelines on EIS – Project Type 13</b>
<b>Appendix No. 5</b>	<b>Details of Poultry Litter Contractor</b>
<b>Appendix No. 6</b>	<b>Animal Tissue Disposal</b>
<b>Appendix No. 7</b>	<b>Intentionally Blank</b>
<b>Appendix No. 8</b>	<b>Extent and Location of Lands Available for Application of Soiled Water</b>
<b>Appendix No. 9</b>	<b>Feed Details</b>
<b>Appendix No. 10</b>	<b>General/Mixed Waste Disposal</b>
<b>Appendix No. 11</b>	<b>Extracts from Cavan County Development Plan 2014- 2020</b>
<b>Appendix No. 12</b>	<b>Extract from General Soil Map of Ireland.</b>
<b>Appendix No. 13</b>	<b>Local Water Quality Data</b>
<b>Appendix No. 14</b>	<b>Met Data</b>
<b>Appendix No. 15</b>	<b>Dept. of Agriculture – Minimum Specification for Screening belts for Farmyards and Farm Buildings – S 135</b>
<b>Appendix No. 16</b>	<b>Intentionally Blank</b>
<b>Appendix No. 17</b>	<b>Intentionally Blank</b>
<b>Appendix No. 18</b>	<b>Appropriate Assessment Screening</b>
<b>Appendix No. 19</b>	<b>European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations 2017 – S.I. 605 of 2017</b>

## A. NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

This Environmental Impact Assessment Report (E.I.A.R.) has been prepared by C.L.W. Environmental Planners Ltd. on behalf of Mr. John and Charles Smith, Corfad, Virginia, Co. Cavan in respect of the proposal to construct extensions to 2 No. existing poultry houses together with all ancillary structures and ancillary site works (to include new site entrance) on, and or, adjacent to an existing poultry farm at Lislea, Virginia, Co. Cavan. The E.I.A.R. has been prepared by Mr. Paraic Fay B.Agr.Sc, and Mr. Oliver Leddy B.Agr.Sc. of C.L.W. Environmental Planners Ltd. with the assistance of persons and bodies referred to hereafter. The farm will operate under Licence by the Environmental Protection Agency, and this licence will be progressed upon receipt of planning permission.

The proposed development is to be completed on an existing poultry farm site at Lislea, Virginia, Co. Cavan, operated by the applicants' for the last 12 – 13 years. The E.I.A.R. has been prepared after an Environmental Impact Assessment (E.I.A.) of the proposed development carried out by C.L.W. Environmental Planners Ltd., in accordance with the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended), Planning & Development Regulations 2001, as amended, and the Protection of Environment Act 2003.

The existing poultry farm was first granted permission in 2006, with the existing poultry houses having been constructed thereafter and is currently operating successfully. The proposed development will utilise an existing access route currently used to access the existing poultry farm. The existing poultry farm has capacity for c. 28,000 birds and operates as a free range poultry farm, under the E.P.A. Licence threshold of 40,000 places.

The proposed development of extensions to the 2 No. existing poultry houses will be completed to the rear of the existing houses. The proposed development will be sufficiently set back from the adjoining public road so as to minimise any potential visual impact from same, and by their nature the extensions will integrated seamlessly with the existing development and local landscape. The capacity of the farm upon completion of all proposed developments will be limited to c. 48-50,000 birds. The proposed development exceeds the threshold required for the preparation of an Environmental Impact Assessment Report as per S.I. 600 of 2001 (Planning and Development Regulations 2001), Schedule 5 Part 2 1 (e) (i) as follows;

**"Installations for intensive rearing of poultry not included in Part 1 of this Schedule which would have more than 40,000 places for poultry."**

All manure is to be moved off-site by a registered contractor in line with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended. The additional structures and site works required as part of the proposed development may/will include meal storage silo(s), soiled water tank, and gas storage tanks etc.

The proposed development will be located in the townland of Lislea, Virginia adjacent to the existing development. The applicants' are experienced in poultry farm management, and in particular the management of the existing poultry farming enterprise. The operation of the proposed development will be integrated, with the operation of the existing farming activities, and will provide for a sustainable development of activities on the farm.

As the applicants' are existing poultry farmers, there are a number of areas where this experience will be an advantage, and where there will be both economies of scale and economies in the operation of the farm. The proposed development will provide significant economies of scale for the applicants'.

The capacity of this farm is and will be in excess of that for which a Licence from the Environmental Protection Agency (E.P.A.) is required. The applicants' will ensure that the required licence is in place prior to exceeding the licensable threshold. This E.I.A.R. will be submitted to the EPA as part of the Licence application process.

The application site lies within the Boyne Hydrometric Area and Catchment, the Blackwater (Kells) Sub-Catchment and the Lislea Sub-Basin. The Lislea Stream flows through the application site (via a culvert) and it emerges in the south-easterly corner of the site. Clean surface water from the application site will continue to be discharged to this stream. This stream flows north then west, whereupon it enters Lough Ramor at a point 1.7km west of the application site. The EPA have defined the ecological status of the Lislea Stream and its tributaries at points close to the application site as good. Lough Ramor is of poor ecological status. Under the requirements of the Water Framework Directive in Ireland, good status must be achieved in all water bodies within a certain time frame.

The application site is 5.6 hectares and it is located in a rural area within the townland of Lislea. Access to the site is via an existing farm access road, that is located just off a local, third class road. Same is to be upgraded in line with the plans as submitted. The site is 3.5km east of Virginia and 5.6km west of Mullagh.

The land-use surrounding the site is predominantly agricultural and the main habitat is improved agricultural grassland. Other habitats represented locally include areas of neutral and wet grasslands, recently felled coniferous woodlands, raised bogs, hedgerows, treelines and water courses. Lough Ramor is 1.7km west of the site. Currently, the main habitats within the application site include buildings and artificial surfaces (the existing poultry houses and associated hard surfaces, including the access road), and these areas are surrounded by improved grassland habitats and this habitat will form the range areas of the birds. The site is bounded to the west, south and north-east by a hedgerow/treeline. The land to the immediate west of the site was a coniferous plantation that has recently been felled and this area surrounds a raised bog habitat.

An examination of the website of the National Biodiversity Data Centre revealed that there are records for the presence of one protected mammal species from the relevant one km<sup>2</sup> square (N6386) of this proposed development. This species is the badger *Meles meles* and it is fully protected under the Irish Wildlife Acts. A custom polygon generated for the site revealed that these records do not pertain to within the application site itself.

The site is well serviced by the current road infrastructure and is accessed by a local road network which subsequently connects with the National Route, the N3 c. 2.5 km from the site. The development for which permission is sought would be located adjacent to the existing poultry houses, and set back c. 110-115m from the adjoining public road.



The proposed finished floor level will be in keeping with the existing houses and the existing ground levels, and integrated with same, so as to ensure that same is integrated into the site and wider landscape, while at the same time minimising excavation and potential visual impact. The location of the proposed development, set back from the public road, integrated with the structures and integrated into the existing land topography together with the proposed external finishes and existing established landscaping will mean that the development will be well integrated into the site and wider landscape.

The site is located c. 3 Km from the closest Natura 2000 site – The River Boyne and River Blackwater SAC / SPA.

Hazardous waste generated at this site may be in the form of spent fluorescent lighting tubes. The annual quantity of each of this class of waste generated on the site is and will be minimal. It is proposed to accumulate the used fluorescent tubes in a specialised storage area in the site pending periodic disposal at the Cavan Co. Co. civic amenity centre. Alternatively these tubes may be returned to the supplier.

The proposed poultry house extensions will be similar in design principles to the existing houses on-site and other free range broiler houses constructed throughout this part of Co. Cavan. The type of house proposed is a simple closed building of concrete/steel/timber/pre-fabricated panel construction, thermally insulated with a forced computer controlled ventilation system and artificial lighting. Birds are housed on a solid floor, with litter (wood shavings/chopped straw) spread over the entire floor area. Automated feeding and drinking systems are proposed and are in line with Best Available Techniques (BAT) requirements. A button nipple drinking system will be used in the extended houses as this is the most efficient type of drinking system and will ensure that the manure/litter remains as dry as possible.

Only the most efficient systems of poultry husbandry are proposed on this farm and houses will be well maintained and serviced so as to ensure that they are operating to maximum efficiency. The proposed poultry house extensions will be similar in design principles to the existing houses operated by the applicants' and those located elsewhere in the county, and will be of a timber/steel portal frame construction on a concrete base. Walls will be concrete, with a pre-fabricated panel construction and the roof cladding will be box profile juniper green (or similar). The proposed poultry house extensions will be c. 47.7m by 14.64m internally with an overall .

The production process on this farm will be similar for both the existing and the proposed houses, and will be in line with the requirements of the Department of Agriculture, Food & Marine and Bord Bia. The applicants' are responsible for the feeding, management and husbandry of the birds and for ensuring that all of the required records are maintained. The stock for this farm will be brought from the hatchery as day olds, and will remain in the houses until c. 8 weeks of age when they are transported to Manor Farm for processing. The existing and proposed developments will operate in an all in - all out basis to maintain a single age profile, and to maintain the health status of the birds, within each house.

The poultry manure from this farm is/will be removed off site by an authorised contractor, McCartney Contractors, on behalf of the applicants'. The contractor provides the machinery and labour necessary for cleaning out the houses and is responsible for cleaning of the houses, arranging transport and making arrangements for the receipt of this material. McCartney Contractors carry out this function for a number of poultry farmers so as to provide a consistent, reliable service to all farmers and to provide a consistent supply of manure to the compost yards/recipient farmers. The estimated manure production as a result of the proposed development will be a total of c. 360-480 tonnes / annum an increase of c. 150-200 tonnes from the c. 210-280 tons/annum produced by the existing enterprise. Soiled water from the existing, and proposed development where applicable, will be collected in dedicated soiled water collection tank(s), located centrally between the houses. This soiled water will then be applied to farmland, in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended.

Emissions to air from the site are and will be small, and are attributable to the animals that are on the site. The odour associated with a site of the existing and/or proposed capacity does not and will not cause significant annoyance and will not interfere with amenity outside the boundary of the site. Odour emissions from the site may be increased at times when birds and/or manure is being removed from the site, however this occurs for only a short period in every cycle. The production cycle allows for c. 5 flocks/annum.

Well maintained, properly ventilated poultry farms with modern manure removal will minimise any potential adverse odour impact and will minimise odour outside the confines of the site/immediate area. Transient increases in odour emissions may be associated with manure removal from the site.

A small proportion of the birds maintained on the farm die prematurely. These carcasses will be stored in a covered sealed container on site, awaiting collection by an authorised contractor. College proteins are an authorised contractor who regularly remove these carcasses, and any other such material to an authorised Animal By-Products plant at Nobber, Co. Meath, in compliance with existing requirements.

The potential of the proposed development, either independently and/or when assessed cumulatively with the existing development, and/or other developments in the area, for either direct or in-direct, short, medium, or long term adverse impact on environmental parameters is negligible, if any, because;

- of the nature and scale of the proposed development,
- wastes would be removed from the site by authorised waste contractors for either disposal or use elsewhere,
- all manure is to be removed off site by an experienced contractor, and,
- all soiled water will be collected in dedicated soiled water collection tanks pending its application to farmland in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended.

While waste generated in the site would be accumulated and stored temporarily in the site, there would be no disposal or recovery of any waste undertaken on the site.

## **1. Introduction and Development Context**

This Environmental Impact Assessment Report (E.I.A.R.) was compiled following an Environmental Impact Assessment (E.I.A.) of a proposed development on an existing farming enterprise, at Lislea, Virginia, Co. Cavan, to be operated by the applicants', Mr. John and Charles Smith. The E.I.A.R. is to be submitted to Cavan County Council in support of an application for Planning Permission to construct 2 No. proposed poultry house extensions together with all ancillary structures and associated site works (to include new site entrance), on / or adjacent to, an existing poultry farm site at Lislea, Virginia, Co. Cavan. Please refer to the site plan contained in Appendix No. 2 and the drawings contained in Appendix No. 3.

EIA requirements derive from Council Directive 85/337/EEC (as amended by Directives 97/11/EC, 2003/35/EC and 2009/31/EC) and as codified and replaced by Directive 2011/92/EU of the European Parliament and the Council on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment and as amended in turn by Directive 2014/52/EU.

The E.I.A.R. is drafted with particular regard to the aforementioned directives and Planning and Development Acts 2000 (as amended), the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended, and in particular Article 94 and Schedule 6 of the 2001 Planning and Development Regulations, and the Protection of Environment Act 2003. It is submitted to provide information that may be helpful to the planning authority in making its decision on the application for the proposed development and to comply with Schedule 5, Part 2, 1 (e) (i) of S.I. 610 of 2001, as amended which specifies a requirement for an E.I.A.R. for poultry units exceeding 40,000 places for poultry.

In addition to the E.I.A. requirements the proposed capacity of this farm will be in excess of that for which a Licence from the Environmental Protection Agency (E.P.A.) is required (i.e. in excess of 40,000 places). This application will be completed with the E.P.A. upon receipt of planning permission.

### **1(1) Description of the Site and the proposed development**

- **1(1)(1) Scale of the proposed developments.**

The proposed development is to be completed on, an existing poultry farm site. The currently proposed development is for extensions to 2 No. existing free range broiler houses together with all ancillary structures and associated site works (to include a new site entrance). The capacity of the farm following completion of the currently proposed developments will be a maximum of c. 48-50,000 free range broilers. The applicants' will require a Licence from the E.P.A. to accommodate the proposed developments and increase in scale, and this application will be completed with the E.P.A. upon receipt of planning permission.

The proposed poultry farming activities are the only agricultural activities that will be carried out on this site by the applicants', however the applicants' families existing bovine farming activities will continue to be carried out on adjoining lands.

The proposed development will operate along similar management principles and production processes to the existing poultry houses operated by the applicants' at this site, and the number of other free range broiler houses in this part of Co. Cavan.

The proposed development will be carried out, to ensure compliance with the Nitrates directive (Appendix 19), animal welfare legislation, and to ensure that this farm operates at maximum, efficiency, flock performance and environmental standards. This proposed development will be located in the townland of Lislea, Virginia, on, or adjacent to, an existing poultry farm site.

The purpose of the existing/proposed development is for the rearing of birds from day olds to market weight (c. 8 weeks / 56 days). These birds will then be transported to the processor, (Manor Farm) for the production of poultry products for human consumption. The scale of the proposed farm and the licensable activity is average by current industry standards.

The proposed poultry house extensions will have a total internal floor area of c. 698 m<sup>2</sup> each and will be c. 47.7 m long and c.14.64 m wide and c. 4.25 m high at the apex. Existing soiled water collection tank(s) with a combined 90 m<sup>3</sup> capacity will be located on site. Manure will be removed c. 5 times per annum at the end of each batch. This is to be moved off-site by the appointed contractor.

The proposed extensions will be sympathetic to the surrounding landscape in terms of their design and appearance, and will be similar to the existing buildings on the farm and elsewhere in the locality and will not be intrusive in the landscape. The F.F.L.<sup>1</sup> of proposed poultry house extensions will be integrated with existing structures and ground levels resulting in the proposed developments being integrated into the existing farm and wider landscape, due to the land topography, thus ensuring that the proposed development will have no adverse visual impact. The drawing details with regard to the proposed developments are included in Appendix No. 3.

### **1(1)(2) Planning/Licensing History**

The subject site is an existing farmyard area, with planning permission having previously been granted at this location for the existing poultry houses (05/1624). Site Location Maps are contained in Appendix No. 1.

An E.P.A. licence application will be submitted to the Agency in respect of this farm and this application will be concluded prior activities on the farm exceeding the licensable threshold of 40,000 birds.

---

<sup>1</sup> Finished floor level



- **1(1)(3) Site Location.**

The site is well serviced by the current road infrastructure and is accessed by a local road network which subsequently connects with the National Route, the N3 c. 2.5 km from the site. The site area is circa 5.6 hectares and it is accessed via an existing service road that is just off a local, third class road. The site is 3.25 km south-east of Virginia, at National Grid Reference E273268 N304044. The site comprises an overall area of c. 5.6 hectares owned by the applicants' with a total of c. 7.89 hectares owned/farmed at this location, including the site of the proposed development. The proposed development will require a minimum range area of 4.8 -5 Ha. While the configuration of this range area may vary this E.I.A.R. will assess the potential impact of the impact on the range area on a per/ha basis.

The activity on this site is, and will be, a poultry farming activity similar to the current activities in the area and consistent with the development plan for Co. Cavan. Poultry farming is seen as an established farming activity on this farm. The existing site, while remotely located is serviced by a good road network, accessed by a private lane which subsequently connects with a local road and the National Route, the N2, c. 2.5 km from the farm entrance. This proposed development is to utilise the existing access/egress route, albeit that the site entrance is to be upgraded. The poultry house extensions for which permission is sought would, by their nature be located adjacent to the existing poultry houses, and will be similar to other such developments including that operated by the applicants' on this existing site and those operating elsewhere within the county.

The location of proposed poultry house extensions is identified on the location maps (1:2,500) included in Appendix 1, which also indicates the extent of the land owned by the applicants' family at this location. The layout of the proposed development is shown on the Site Layout plan included in Appendix 2. The proposed site is compact, and is designed to be safe, secure and efficient in operation. The closest third party dwelling(s) to the existing/proposed developments are located c. 160-180 m north/northeast of the poultry farm site.



Figure 1 – Map showing the Location of the Proposed Development Site



Figure 2 – Aerial Photograph of the Site (Outlined in Red) and its Surrounding Habitats. The currently proposed Range Area of the Birds is Outlined in Orange.



- **1(1)(4) Topography**

The proposed development site is typical of the local Drumlin topography of the area and falls gradually in a northeast to southwest direction. The existing/proposed development is well set back from the public road and integrated into the existing land topography.

As can be seen from the plans submitted with this application, and due to the nature of the proposed development (i.e. extensions to existing authorised development) the floor level of the proposed development has been set so as to ensure that the development is integrated, in so far as is possible with the existing developments, the land topography and to ensure that there is no adverse visual impact on the surrounding area. This will be complimented by the significant existing established landscaping and the proposed finish to the buildings.

- **1(1)(5) Physical description of the proposed development**

The proposed development has been well designed and the most efficient systems are proposed on this farm. All systems will be maintained and serviced so as to ensure that they are operating to maximum efficiency. Appendix 3 includes detailed drawings of the proposed development.

Free range broiler rearing design principles follow a simple template and have not changed significantly over recent years. The type of poultry housing proposed on this farm is designed for Free range broiler rearing and comprises a simple closed building of concrete/steel/timber/pre-fabricated panel construction on an impervious concrete base, thermally insulated with a forced computer controlled ventilation system and artificial lighting. Birds are to be housed on a solid floor, with litter (wood shavings/chopped straw) spread over the entire floor area. Automated feeding and drinking systems are proposed in line with Best Available Techniques (BAT) requirements. A button nipple drinking system is proposed as this is the most efficient type of drinking system and it ensures that the manure remains as dry as possible.

The proposed development of extensions to 2 No. poultry houses will be of similar design to the existing houses, and, other existing houses in the area and will also comply with BAT requirements. Birds will be housed on the floor and the house will be open plan with no internal divisions. The roof cladding will be box profile juniper green (or similar) cladding.


The proposed;

- poultry house extensions will be c. 47.7m long by 14.64 m wide internally with an overall height of c. 4.25m.

All manure is to be moved off-site by a registered contractor in line with the requirements of S.I.605 of 2017, as amended, and as per the applicants' existing poultry farm development at Lislea.

The measures outlined as BAT for the Poultry Sector, (in the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC) Reference Document on Best Available Techniques for Intensive rearing of Poultry and Pigs), and in particular this type of production include:

- “the naturally ventilated house with a fully littered floor and equipped with non-leaking drinking systems, or
- The well-insulated fan ventilated house with a fully littered floor and equipped with non-leaking drinking systems.



**Figure 3 – Internal layout of the existing well-insulated fan ventilated house and equipped with non-leaking drinking systems. (Also shown new LED energy saving lighting.)**



- **1(1)(6) Operation of the Proposed Development**

- **Operating Hours:** The main activities at this farm occur during normal working hours between 06.00 a.m. and 20.00 p.m. Stock inspections in line with normal farming practices are and will be carried out every day including weekends and holidays. Automatic feeding and ventilation systems operate on a 24 hour basis and in addition, essential activities may be carried out outside of core working hours.
- **Production Process:** The production process on this farm will be in line with the requirements of the poultry processors, Manor Farm, and customers of the processors. Manor Farm arrange for a number of farm inspections to be carried out during the year, so as to ensure that all of their production standards and requirements are being complied with. In addition to the above the applicants' are/will be subject to inspections from Bord Bia, the Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine, Cavan Co. Co., and the Environmental Protection Agency.
- **Feeding:** All birds will be fed by means of an energy efficient, low maintenance, automated feeding system. Feed will be moved from the external feed storage bins, into the houses. There are four stages of rations fed throughout the lifecycle, Starter, Grower 1, Grower 2 and Finisher. Each diet is tailored to meet the birds nutritional requirements for protein/amino acids, energy, minerals and vitamins at that stage of production and to minimise nutrient excretion. This will ensure that birds are healthy and contented and are reared properly so as to produce healthy efficient birds which achieve set target food conversion efficiencies. Total Feed Consumption is expected to be c. 20 t/week. All feed to be used on this farm will be supplied from specialised feed suppliers and mainly from Kolbe Feeds, Shercock.
- **Production Cycle:** The applicants' are responsible for the maintenance and preparation of the houses, management of the birds, feeding, water and ventilation systems and for ensuring that all of the required records are maintained for each flock. The stock for this farm will be brought from the hatchery as day olds, and will remain in the houses until c.8 weeks when they will be caught by specialist bird catchers and transported by HGV to the processors at Shercock, Co. Cavan. The proposed houses will operate in an all in - all out basis to maintain a single age profile, and to maintain the health status of the birds.

The production system proposed for the existing and proposed houses is based on a c. 10 week cycle with approximately 5 cycles per annum. This cycle is outlined below.

### Existing / Proposed production cycle

- Weeks 1 – 4 Day old chicks are held indoors for this four week period until they are able to survive outdoors.
- Weeks 5 – 8 Chickens have access to the outdoor “range” area during daylight hours, but are closed in at night. At the end of week eight the chickens are removed off-site and the house prepared for cleanout.
- Weeks 8 – 10 House cleanout occurs, i.e. all litter and bedding material is removed, and the house is disinfected and rested.
- Week 10 The house is bedded with fresh bedding material, ready for the next batch of day old chicks, and the cycle starts again at week one.

The requirements for free range production are clearly defined in Irish and E.U. Legislation. In addition to these legal requirements, this proposed enterprise would be subject to,

- Licensing from the Department of Agriculture and Food, under E.U. Regulations
- IE licensing from the Environmental Protection Agency.
- Bord Bia Approval, under the Poultry Products Quality Assurance Scheme. This involves conforming to Bord Bia standard EN 45011.
- Audits and certification from the major retailers such as Superquinn and Supervalu.
- Compliance with the Manor Farm Code of Standards for Growing Broilers.

All of the stated regulatory requirements and schemes involve strict compliance with all relevant legislation regarding matters such as animal welfare, environmental protection, rodent control, odour control etc. As part of these requirements this site would be subject to comprehensive monitoring, record keeping and on-going external monitoring.

Some of the legislative requirements for free range chicken production include,

- Stocking rates not to exceed 13 birds/m<sup>2</sup>, (in house).
- Stocking rates not to exceed 27.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, (in house).
- Birds must have access to 1m<sup>2</sup> per bird, open air run covered mainly by vegetation.

High health status will be a priority on this farm as it is of critical importance to maintain this for the overall viability of the enterprise. An overall animal health and welfare policy in accordance with Bord Bia requirements, will be developed to cover this additional development. Hygiene routines will be carefully planned and monitored. The houses will be carefully cleaned down between flocks.

The poultry manure from this farm is/will be removed off site by an authorised contractor, McCartney contractors, on behalf of the applicants'. The contractor provides the machinery and labour necessary for cleaning out the houses and is responsible for cleaning of the houses, arranging transport and making arrangements for the receipt of this material. McCartney contractors carry out this function for a number of poultry farmers so as to provide a consistent, reliable service to all farmers and to provide a consistent supply of manure to the compost yards/recipient farmers.

The estimated manure production as a result of the proposed development will be c. 150-200 tonnes/annum in addition to the 210-280 tonnes/annum produced by the existing enterprise. As outlined above in the production cycle these birds are confined to the houses for the first 4 weeks after arriving on the farm. Subsequent to this they are allowed access to the range area during daylight hours only.

Due to the fact that c. 21% of the feed used by the birds is used during the first four weeks and 79% in the second four weeks, it is anticipated that approximately 21% of the manure produced by the birds is produced in the first 4 weeks with the remaining 79% produced in the second four weeks.

In addition to the above the following must also be taken into account,

1. that the birds only have access to the range area for an average of 8 hours/day, (i.e 33% of the time, this will increase during the summer and decrease during the winter).
2. That, although they are free to leave the houses, only approximately 20% of the birds will be out at any one time during this period.

The above figures have been calculated on the basis of information provided by the applicants', with regard to the habits of the birds as seen on their existing free range chicken house(s), and experience gained elsewhere. In order to ensure that the application of nutrients to the range areas by the birds is accurately determined it is recommended that a reduction in the nutrients deposited by the birds on the range area is applied for the reasons as outlined above.

In order to ensure that the time spent outdoors by the birds has been accurately calculated it is recommended that the total nutrient excretion by the birds during the four weeks that they are allowed out is reduced to;

$$= 0.79 \times .33 \times .20$$

$$= \text{approximately } 5 \% \text{ of total production, with the remaining } 95\% \text{ to be moved off by the appointed registered contractor.}$$

As previously detailed the manure management proposals will ensure that the management of manure/organic fertiliser on the site will be in compliance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, i.e. the regulations that have given effect to the Nitrates Directive in Ireland. Additional details provided by the contractor have been included as Appendix No. 5.

Soiled water from the existing, and proposed development where applicable, will be collected in dedicated soiled water collection tank(s), located centrally between the houses. This soiled water will then be applied to farmland in line with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, See Appendix No. 8.

To minimise the risk of personnel bringing infection into the poultry farm all visitors are banned with the exception of essential personnel such as veterinarians and servicemen. All visitors must sign a register and use appropriate disinfectant procedures. Designated lorries are used to deliver feed to the farm. A vital part of maintaining health within the unit is the necessity to fully clean out after each flock is removed. This avoids the build up of bacteria and viruses which challenge the incoming stock and which may affect their production efficiency. Once litter has been removed by the designated contractor all internal surfaces are washed down using a power washing system and then disinfected.

The applicants' have been approved under the Bord Bia approval system, as per the Poultry Products Quality Assurance Scheme (PPQAS), and same will be extended to the proposed development upon completion of same and commencement of poultry farming activities in the new development. As part of this approval the daily procedure will follow the Bord Bia Poultry Products Quality Assurance Standard Producer Requirements.

The following house checklist and flock inspection checklist are included as part of this standard;

## House Preparation Checklist

### **Preparation of the House:**

- Spread fresh bedding evenly to cover the floor.
- Pre-heat the house gradually, at a minimum, 24 hours before the birds arrive.
- The temperature must be stable.
- Set up space heaters or brooders so as to ensure that there are no extremes of temperature in the house.
- Place independent thermometers around the house with at least two of them at bird level, to monitor uniformity of temperature.
- Provide fresh, clean water to the birds immediately on their arrival at the house. Starter ration must also be available.
- Use trays and paper to supplement pan or track feeders, if required.
- Feeders and drinkers must not be placed directly under a heat source.
- Before the birds arrive, carry out a final house-check to ensure that temperatures are at the correct levels and that there are no water leaks.

A house preparation sheet must be completed before the arrival of each batch of chickens that records the following at a minimum:



## Flock Inspection Checklist

### MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR FLOCK INSPECTION CHECKLIST

House Identification

Date Housed

Number of Birds Housed

#### DAILY

- Maximum & minimum temperatures
- Water meter reading
- Lighting – functioning as per programme
- Litter quality
- Mortalities & cause e.g. culls, leg weakness, injuries
- Corrective actions where required

#### TWICE-DAILY RECORDS OF:

- Ventilation – functioning as per settings
- Feed lines – charged with feed
- Drinkers – operational
- General flock appearance

#### WEEKLY CHECK RECORDS OF:

- Generator
- Alarms
- Fire extinguishers in place
- Foot dips

#### YEARLY:

- Electrical equipment
- Water test

Figures 3 & 4 – Bord Bia House Preparation and Flock Inspection Checklist

- **Management of Organic Fertiliser:** The poultry manure from this farm will be removed off site by an authorised contractor, McCartney Contractors, on behalf of the applicants'. The contractor provides the machinery and labour necessary for cleaning out the houses and is responsible for cleaning of the houses, arranging transport and making arrangements for the receipt of this material. McCartney Contractors carries out this function for a number of poultry farmers so as to provide a consistent, reliable service to all farmers and to provide a consistent supply of manure to the compost yards/recipient farmers. They are registered with the Department of Agriculture for the transport of Animal By-Products. The estimated manure production as a result of the proposed development will be c. 360-480 tonnes/annum increasing from c. 210-280 tonnes/annum.

As previously detailed all manure will be moved off-site by an approved registered contractor in compliance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, i.e. the regulations that have given effect to the Nitrates Directive in Ireland. Additional details provided by the contractor have been included as Appendix No. 5.

- **Management of Soiled Water:** Soiled water from the proposed development where applicable, will be collected in a dedicated soiled water collection tank, located centrally between the houses. Estimated soiled water production will be c. 90 m<sup>3</sup>/annum, upon completion of the proposed development. This soiled water will then be applied to farmland in line with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended. A map is included in Appendix 8 indicating the location and extent of farmland available for soiled water.

The applicant (Mr. John Smith) farms c. 61.21 hectares to be used for bovine (grazing/silage) production. Soiled Water from the existing poultry farm and the proposed development will be allocated to these lands as indicated in Appendix No. 8.

The stocking rate for 2020 is projected to be c. 124 kg Organic N/ha (Jan – June 2020, corrected to 12 months). The application of c. 90 m<sup>3</sup> of soiled water from the proposed expanded development with an estimated N content of 1 Kg Organic N/ha will increase this stocking rate by c. < 2 Kg Organic N/ha to c. 126 kg Organic N/ha well inside the 170 Kg Organic N/ha limit.

- **Bio-Security:** To minimise the risk of personnel bringing infection into the poultry farm all visitors are banned with the exception of essential personnel such as veterinarians and servicemen. All visitors must sign a register and use appropriate disinfectant procedures. Designated lorries are to be used to deliver feed to the farm. A vital part of maintaining health within the unit is the necessity to fully clean out after each flock is removed. This avoids the build-up of bacteria and viruses which challenge the incoming stock and which may affect their production efficiency. Once litter has been removed by the designated contractor all internal surfaces are washed down using a power washing system and then disinfected.

**The management and operation of the proposed development as outlined is as per that currently practiced by the applicants' on the existing poultry enterprise.**

## 2. Scoping of Environmental Impact Assessment

The scoping of this E.I.A.R. was carried out by the design team;

- CLW Environmental Planners Ltd., (Paraic Fay B.Agr.Sc (Lead) and Oliver Leddy B.Agr.Sc. (Technical Input)
- Manor Farm, and the
- Applicant,

and was completed in line with previous submissions to the Environmental Protection Agency, Cavan County Council and other Local Authorities. Other organisations and bodies consulted directly/indirectly include: -

- Geological Survey of Ireland.
- Met Eireann.
- Central Fisheries Board.
- Office of Public Works.
- Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine
- Department of the Environment, Community and Local Government
- National Parks and Wildlife Service.
- Teagasc, Johnstown Castle.
- Environmental Protection Agency
- Ted Duffy, Horizon Group
- Noreen McLoughlin, MSc MCIEEM (Ecologist), Whitehill Environmental

The scope of the Environmental Impact Assessment conducted in respect of the proposed expansion includes the following:

- The requirements of the EU Directive, the *European Communities (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations, as amended*, and the *Local Government (Planning and Development) Regulations, 2001 as amended*.

- Guidelines on information to be contained in Environmental Impact Assessment Report - EPA Draft August 2017
- The requirements of Cavan County Council, as elaborated in the current *County Development Plan 2014-2020*.
- The likely concerns of local residents and other third parties.
- The nature, location and scale of the proposal.
- The existing environment, as well as any vulnerable or sensitive features and current uses.
- The likely and significant impacts of the proposed development on the environment.
- Available methods of reducing or eliminating undesirable impacts.

The *European Union (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations*, (as amended) and directive 2014/52/EU prescribe a list of areas of the environment that must initially be addressed in any E.I.A.R. These areas comprise/may comprise of:

- Population and Human Health.
- Bio-Diversity (Flora & Fauna, Special Policy Areas etc.).
- Land and Soil.
- Water.
- Air.
- Climate / Climate Change
- Landscape.
- Material Assets.
- Traffic.
- Architectural and Archaeological Heritage.
- Cultural Heritage.
- The inter-relationship between the factors listed above.

It is necessary to encompass each of these sections of the environment with respect to the impacts that the proposed development will have on them. The purpose of this exercise is to shape and mould the E.I.A.R. so as not to overlook any impacts that may be significant, and to focus on the issues that have potential for environmental impact.

In this case the above criteria were studied and prioritised, ensuring that particular attention was paid to the issues that are directly relevant to the impact of the proposed development. A Matrix has been developed so as to assess the magnitude and nature of any potential impacts at the Scoping stage. Resulting from this preliminary assessment, only those issues identified as potentially significantly impacted by this development have been assessed in detail in this E.I.A.R., in line with E.I.A.R. draft guidelines.



Any development may result in indirect effects, along with the direct effects of demolition (if applicable) and construction. The potential impacts that the proposed development could impose on each aspect of the environment were sub-divided into the following categories, and analysed separately:

- Potential impacts if the proposed development does not proceed.
- Potential impacts during construction phase of proposed development.
- Potential impacts during operational phase of proposed development.

	NO DEVELOPMENT	CONSTRUCTION PHASE	OPERATIONAL PHASE
Population / Human Health	≈	✓✓	✓✓
Biodiversity (Flora)	≈	×	≈
Biodiversity (Fauna)	≈	×	≈
Land and Soil	≈	≈	✓✓
Water	≈	×	××
Air	≈	≈	×
Climate	≈	≈	≈
Ambient Noise	≈	×	≈
Cultural Heritage	≈	≈	≈
Landscape	≈	××	×
<b>Material Assets</b>			
▪ Traffic	≈	×	×
▪ Land Use	≈	≈	✓
▪ Employment	×	✓✓	✓

**Key:**

≈ No Impact

× Slight Negative Potential Impact

×× Moderate Negative Potential Impact

××× Significant Negative Potential Impact

✓

Slight Positive Potential Impact

✓✓

Moderate Positive Potential Impact

✓✓✓

Significant Positive Potential Impact

**2(1) Data required to identify and assess the main effects that the proposed development is likely to have on the environment**

- Knowledge of the environment in which the proposed development, (and the existing farm) is to be sited.
- Knowledge of the processes in the proposed development, and the existing farm.
- The emissions to air.
- The emissions to groundwater.
- Characteristics of the effluent to be treated on site.
- The emissions to surface waters.
- The ambient quality of receiving waters.
- Availability of contractors to transport and treat wastes/by-products sent off-site

This is considered in some detail later in this statement.

For inspection purposes only;  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

**2(2) Project Type as per EPA Guidelines (Note revised Advice Notes specific to E.I.A.R. not yet published)**

The EPA have published Draft Guidelines on the Information to be contained in an EIAR and Draft Advice Notes for Preparing an EIS. In these advice notes they have classed development listed under the *Planning and Development Regulations 2001 fifth schedule* into various Project Types. For each project type they have outlined the information to be contained within an EIS for a project of this type. In this case, a poultry farm is classed under *Project Type 13 Pig Rearing Installations and Poultry Rearing Installations*.

Under *Project Type 13* the EPA Guidelines outlines the information to be contained within the Development Description and the description of the Environmental Effects. Appendix No. 4 includes the summary provided in these guidelines for this *Project Type 13*. It outlines possible mitigation options for this type of development. The Guidelines describe the principle concerns likely to arise as stemming from the issues of manure handling (mainly slurry/manure) and odours. The significance of impacts is very much a factor of the site's proximity to sensitive receptors although it highlights that such projects frequently dispose of wastes at locations which are not adjacent to the animal rearing operations.

While these Guidelines remain in a Draft format, and they relate to the preparation of an EIS (forerunner of E.I.A.R.), consideration has been given to these in the preparation of this E.I.A.R. Details of Project Type 13 from the EPA Guidelines have been included as Appendix No. 4.

For inspection purposes only. Not for public use.  
Consent of copyright owner required for all other use.

### 3. Description of Reasonable Alternatives

#### ● 3(1) Alternative site

The farm development project represents a logical approach to the planned development of the applicants' farming activities. While the applicants' had previously looked at alternative locations for the development within their family landholding, and/or the option of constructing a third house at this location (as opposed to the two extensions) this was discounted at this time due to a number of factors, primarily the integration with the existing structures on the farm. The applicants' have screened the remaining lands available to them for the purposes of this farm development and the current site was deemed to be the most suitable, due to topography, the status of the site, distance from neighbours, site access and the integration of the proposed development with the existing structures, and existing farming activities/practices on the farm. In addition development on the proposed site complies with Cavan Co. Co. requirements as detailed in the current Development Plan.

It is intended that if and when the proposed development for which permission is being sought is authorised and constructed it will be integrated into the existing farming activities operated by the applicants'. This will ensure that access, services, labour and ancillary equipment can be easily shared, and is a natural progression for the development of this farm.

#### Proposed Site:

As previously detailed, the applicants' have selected the site for the proposed development taking the above considerations into account. In addition the proposed site;

- Is the most suitable site in terms of minimizing the level of excavation/groundwork's required, and is adjacent to, and integrated with the existing poultry houses site.
- Is not an elevated site in terms of the wider landscape, and will not be visually detrimental.
- Bio-security is important to any enterprise such as this and the applicants' would like to maintain this to the highest standards. The proposed development will be integrated into the site management protocols governing the existing houses, and is deemed acceptable at this location as there are poultry houses already operating here.
- Is > c.160 m from any third party dwellings.
- The proposed site has no significant and/or specific environmental constraints which mitigate against the proposed site and/or would support the selection of any alternative site available to the applicants', in preference to the currently proposed site.



- **3(2) Alternative Layout and Design**

As previously stated the layout of the proposed housing was designed to ensure that the proposed developments were integrated into the existing site, existing structures, existing farming practices, and surrounding landscape, with minimal, if any, adverse visual impact on the surrounding landscape. The proposed layout was also designed so as to ensure adequate access on site for all traffic associated with the proposed developments, and to ensure that the site is contained, safe and efficient in operation. The location and layout of the proposed poultry house extensions, integrated into the landscape and utilising the existing access route, will minimise any potential adverse visual impact. The site entrance is to be upgraded in line with requirements to improve traffic safety.

The scale of the proposed development is average compared to current poultry house/farm sizes however same will be successfully integrated into this existing site and the existing activities operated by the applicants', and is suitable to the proposed site in terms of both intensity and physical dimensions, and thus is deemed appropriate for the site.

The design of the proposed development to be undertaken by the applicants' was researched and reviewed with the aid and guidance of Manor Farm, commercial poultry house designers, the architect and commercial poultry equipment suppliers.

The layout of the proposed housing was designed to ensure that the proposed developments were integrated into the existing site with minimal, if any, adverse visual impact on the surrounding landscape. The proposed layout was also designed so as to ensure optimum access on site for all traffic associated with the proposed developments, and to ensure that the site is contained, safe and efficient in operation.

Existing landscaping is substantial and well established and will be maintained where possible, and strengthened where necessary, along the boundary to further screen the proposed developments from view. Additional landscaping will be provided, along the boundaries of the development if deemed required to screen same from view and, to minimise any potential visual impact.

As previously stated the design of the proposed housing is in line with BAT requirements. The exterior finish, where practicable will be green or similar in colour and will be sympathetic to the local environment. All roofing materials will be green or dark in colour. As the proposed design is in line with BAT requirements and as natural/dark coloured/grey finishes are proposed, no other alternatives were deemed appropriate.

No other alternative sites, layouts and/or designs were deemed satisfactory and/or appropriate, as the proposed location, design and layout;

- Complies with the requirements of the Nitrates Directive.
- Satisfies the applicants' need for efficiencies of scale while not requiring significant additional lands.

- Is in line with BAT requirements. The measures outlined as BAT for the Poultry Sector, (COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION (EU) 2017/302 of 15 February 2017 establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, under Directive 2010/75/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council, for the intensive rearing of poultry or pigs), and in particular this type of production include:
  - Natural ventilation, equipped with a non-leaking drinking system (in case of solid floor with deep litter).
  - Forced ventilation and a non-leaking drinking system (in case of solid floor with deep litter).
- Will be well integrated into the landscape with the use of similar construction techniques, natural/dark coloured finishes as proposed, and additional landscaping where required.
- Complies with the requirements of the County Development Plan.
- Is not located in an area with any significant environmental and/or other constraints.

- **3(3) Alternative Size**

The proposed development of extensions to 2 No. existing poultry houses has been designed and scaled to take into account the;

- Physical restraints/parameters of the site/landholding, and the existing activities carried out thereon.
- Economies of scale for the applicants' so that the scale of the proposed development is sufficient to cover the development as well as operational costs.
- The requirements of Manor Farm in terms of their supply requirements and recommendations from same with regard to economic and sustainable food production.

The scale of the proposed development is in keeping with the scale of other existing farms supplying Manor Farm, and licensed by the E.P.A. which are operating without adverse environmental impact, and are of a scale that can be appropriately managed by the applicants'.

- **3(4) Alternative Process's Considered**

As this is an existing poultry farm site the applicants' looked at a number of alternative processes, including but not limited to;

1. Layer Housing (Free Range or conventional) – (i.e. utilising the site for the construction of houses for the production of eggs. However as a result of recent changes announced by supermarkets and the goal that all eggs will be sourced from free range systems by 2025, the completion of enriched cage housing was not an option, notwithstanding same would also have bio-security concerns on an existing free range broiler site.
2. Conventional broiler– This is the main alternative to the free range production systems, however this system does not suit the applicants' as it conflicts with the existing enterprise due to differing criteria and production cycles. In addition the requirements of Manor Farm at this juncture are for Free range and not conventional.
3. Notwithstanding points 1 and 2 above, the decision on any alternative process was predicated on the fact that any alternate production system would pose too great a bio-security risk to the applicants' existing free range broiler farm and thus could not be given any further consideration.

The proposed development offers the best fit between the proposed and existing enterprise on the farm, both from a labour and efficiency viewpoint and to ensure that all activities are carried out in an environmentally and economically sustainable manner.

All chickens from the proposed farm are to be sent to Manor Farm.

- **3 (5) Alternative Management of By-products**

Application to land and/or use in compost production are the two main practical economic means of utilising the nutrients in poultry manure. The poultry industry locally has a dedicated system established for the management of poultry manure involving a number of specialist contractors registered with The Department of Agriculture, Food and The Marine. The applicants' have received confirmation from their existing contractor that they will manage and remove the poultry manure from the proposed development as well as their existing farm.

At present there is no other suitable option for the utilisation of organic fertiliser produced within the proposed development, however the applicants' will continue to examine the possibility of alternative uses for this fertiliser.

#### 4. Environmental Assessment

##### 4(1)(1) Description of the physical characteristics of the proposed development and the land use requirements during construction and operation.

The physical characteristics of the proposed development will comprise:-

- An existing entrance onto the local public road.
- Maintain existing hedgerow plantations along the site boundary, with the exception of those to be removed, if any, to facilitate the proposed development. While significant landscaping of the existing development has been previously completed, additional landscaping/hedgerows to be considered where necessary.
- All manure to be moved off site by a registered contractor in line with the requirements of S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended.
- The proposed building is of a form, design, colour and materials that are sympathetic to their surroundings, and similar in nature to the existing poultry housing structures operated by the applicants' and/or located throughout Co. Cavan. The proposed poultry house extensions are c. 47.7 m long, 14.64 m wide and c. 4.25 m high. The proposed building will be a timber/steel portal frame construction on a concrete base, with pre-fabricated panel walls or pvc coated metal cladding on an insulated concrete stub wall.
- Underground, concrete soiled water storage tank(s) in which soiled water would be collected and stored pending application to farmland, in accordance with SI 605 of 2017 as amended.
- Existing hedgerows/landscaping will be maintained where possible, and strengthened where necessary, along the boundary to further screen the existing farm/proposed development from view.

As previously stated the design of the proposed housing is in line with BAT requirements. All of the proposed structures on the site will be screened or blended in to the surrounding landscape by the external finish proposed for the structures, and existing hedgerows where applicable. The external finish to the proposed buildings will be dark coloured or substantially similar, unless otherwise advised by Cavan Co. Co. and/or the E.P.A. Any additional landscaping to be introduced on the site will in accordance with the Dept. of Agriculture, Food and the Marine Specification, S135, as per Appendix No. 15.

During the construction phase, which will extend over a period of about 4 - 6 months, the proposed development area would be a typical farmyard construction site. All of the construction materials and equipment required would be transported in to the site by road. It is planned that all of the waste that would be generated in the completion of the proposed development would be segregated and moved from the site for disposal or recovery in authorised sites elsewhere.

The construction process is typical of this type of development involving site development/levelling works, laying foundations, erection of the shed (which will be substantially prepared off-site and delivered to the site), pouring of the concrete floor, fit out and laying on of services. This is similar to a large number of poultry houses / agricultural developments within the county, which have been completed without adverse impact. There are no sensitive areas/locations close to the site and no significant impacts are predicted.



**4(1)(2) A description of the main characteristics of the production processes, nature and quantity of materials used.**

The production processes which currently/will take place on the existing/proposed site would be:-

- The management, feeding and care of the birds.
- The despatch of all carcasses and other solid waste materials from the site for disposal or recovery at agreed/approved sites and
- The collection of all wash waters generated within or around the site in soiled water collection tanks pending application to farmland, in accordance with SI 605 of 2017 as amended.

As per the existing farm the applicants' will seek approval under the Bord Bia approval system, as per the Poultry Products Quality Assurance Scheme (PPQAS). As part of this approval the daily procedure will follow the Bord Bia Poultry Products Quality Assurance Scheme Producer Requirements. A vermin control programme will be implemented on site and recorded on a daily/weekly basis.

The main input materials to be used in the licensable activity are water and animal feed. As per the existing farm water for stock and for washing is to be sourced from the on-site well. Estimated water use will be c. 2,000 per annum for the activity, upon completion of the proposed development, increasing from c. 1,200 m<sup>3</sup> currently.

Poultry feed will be specifically formulated rations, formulated and prepared by a specialised poultry feed supplier such as Kolbe Feeds. All feeds used will be appropriate to the nutritional requirements of the birds, while at the same time minimising nutrient excretion. As previously stated there are 4 rations used in each production cycle. Please refer to additional information contained in Appendix No. 9. Total feed consumption is expected to be an average of c. 20 t/week upon completion of the proposed development, increasing from c. 10-12 tonnes currently.

Electricity would be used to power all the processes and services on the site. A back-up generator is in place / will be available in the event of a power failure. Estimated ESB usage = c. 1-1.5 kWh / bird place/annum.

Gas is used for heating the houses and houses will be, insulated to ensure that this is used as efficiently as possible. Heating will be by indirect heaters to minimise gas usage and improve the internal environment within the houses. Estimated Gas usage = c. 0.75 – 1.25 lt/bird place/annum

Wood shavings to be supplied by a local supplier.

**4(1)(3) An estimate, by type and quantity, of expected residues and emissions (including water, air and soil pollution, noise vibration, light, heat and radiation) and quantities and types of waste produced during the construction and operation phases.**

The expected residues and emissions that will result from the construction / operation of the proposed development are referred to below. The proposed residues/emissions will be proportionate to the scale of the proposed development.

Lighting in the premises will in so far as is possible, be by fluorescent tubes / L.E.D. and/or other energy efficient lighting devices. Spent fluorescent and other specialised light tubes are hazardous waste. The number of tubes to be replaced annually will be small. They will be accumulated in the store area pending delivery periodically to a local Civic Bring Centre and/or returned to the supplier by/or on behalf of the applicants'. Lighting of the site will be the normal for farmyard sites and will not exert influence or interference outside the site boundary.

Supplementary heating is to be provided by gas burners. The amount of gas used will vary depending on outside climatic conditions. Energy efficiency will be a key deciding factor in the selection of a heating system and modern poultry heating systems are considerably more efficient than those used in older poultry houses. The amount of gas required has been/will be significantly reduced due to the high insulation standards.

General wastes (<c. 1t/annum) such as packaging, paper, disposable clothing etc. will be collected regularly by a local contractor and delivered to the Landfill facility. It is intended that the frequency of collection of all wastes produced on site will be in line with E.P.A. and/or legislative requirements in this regard. See additional information which is included in Appendix No 10.

Dead animals and animal tissues (<0.25-0.5 t/month) will be accumulated in a sealed leak proof container on site for collection by College proteins at 1 - 2 week intervals for transport to an authorised Animal By-Products facility at Nobber, Co. Meath. It is intended that the frequency of collection will be in line with Cavan Co. Co. / E.P.A. requirements in this regard. See correspondence which is included in Appendix No 6.

The organic fertiliser / poultry manure from this farm is/will be removed off site by an experienced contractor registered with the Department of Agriculture, Food and The Marine, such as McCartney Contractors. The contractor provides the machinery and labour necessary for cleaning out the houses and is responsible for cleaning of the houses, arranging transport and making arrangements for the receipt of this material. The estimated total manure production upon completion of the proposed development will be c. 360-480 tonnes/annum, increasing from c. 210-280 tonnes currently.

This organic fertiliser is not considered a waste product and is to be utilised as an organic fertiliser in line with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended and/or in the production of mushroom compost.

Soiled water from the existing and proposed development will be collected in dedicated soiled water collection tank(s), located on-site. This soiled water will then be applied to the applicants' families farmland in accordance with the Nitrates Regulations.

Normal operations on the site of the proposed development, as for the existing activities, will not cause any pollution of soil.

**Noise** generated in the proposed/existing development in the site will not exceed legal limits at any noise sensitive location, (as are likely to be detailed in any E.P.A. Licence issued to this farm,

- Daytime dB LAr, T (30 minutes) 55,
- Evening dB LAr, T (30 minutes) 50,
- Night-time dB LAeq, T (30 minutes) 45

Extensive experience with the applicants' existing poultry farm and a large number of other existing sites, together with the significant distance to third party dwellings would suggest that the proposed development is not likely to have any adverse noise impact.

There would not be any source of significant vibration on the site. There will not be any significant dissipation of heat from the proposed/existing development. There will be no source of radiation on the site that could exert significant influence outside the site.

**Mitigation measures** are to be implemented to prevent any significant effect of the proposed/existing installation, and the activities carried out therein, on environmental parameters. These measures are directed towards ensuring that the systems for collecting wastes and removing them from the site for appropriate treatment in authorised waste treatment installations will be adequate for that purpose.

**Waste materials** generated on the site, either from the proposed construction or under normal operating conditions, and/or during site development works, will be collected and transported off the site by appropriately authorised waste contractors to be consigned for disposal, recovery and/or recycling in appropriately authorised installations.

Implementation of the control measures proposed will ensure in so far as it is possible that significant adverse effects on environmental parameters will not occur and that accidental emissions are unlikely from the proposed development.

- 4 (2) A description of the relevant aspects of the current state of the environment (baseline scenario) and an outline of the likely evolution thereof without implementation of the project as far as natural changes from the baseline scenario can be assessed with reasonable effort on the basis of the availability of environmental information and scientific knowledge.**

The proposed development is to be completed on an existing poultry farm site at Lislea, Virginia, Co. Cavan. The site of the proposed development has been operated as a poultry farm since 2007. The proposed development consists of extensions to 2 No. existing poultry houses together with all ancillary structures and facilities necessary for the operation of this enterprise, thus increasing the overall bird numbers farmed by the applicants' on this farm to 48-50,000 from c. 28,000 currently.

The proposed development of extensions to 2 No. poultry houses will be completed on a site integrated in the landholding, and with the existing structures, so as to minimise any potential visual impact from same, and will be integrated with the existing operational activities of this existing poultry farm site. This area is currently an intensively managed agricultural area, and thus has limited bio-diversity. Were the proposed development not to proceed, the development site would remain in its current state.

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



#### **4(3) Description of the aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected by the proposed development.**

It is envisaged that no aspects of the environment will be significantly affected by this proposed development. The potential effects on the environment may be subdivided into effects on population and human health, bio-diversity (flora and fauna), land and soil, water, air, the landscape and material assets including archaeological heritage. There is no known potential for any adverse issues in relation to architectural or cultural heritage.

##### **● 4(3)(1) Effect on Population and human health**

The proposed development is of average scale by current industry standards but it would add to the economic activity on the farm, with consequent "trickle down" positive effect in the region and the local community, particularly with regard to construction workers, supply of construction materials, and the installation of the required housing, water, feed and ventilation systems, thus helping to stabilise the population of the local area.

Significant effects on population / human health and/or human beings are not anticipated. There are no third party dwellings close (i.e. within c. 160 metres) to the proposed development as to be adversely affected by, or experience significant impairment of amenity due to the proposed development.

The proposed development is unlikely to generate or release sounds or odours that will significantly impair amenity beyond the site boundary. The experience of other similar sites, including that operated by the applicants, indicates that the legal limits for such emissions, 55db daytime and 45db night-time are highly unlikely to be exceeded beyond the site boundary. There are no processes proposed which will constantly or regularly release odorous emissions from the site at nuisance levels. Fugitive odour emissions at the site will not be significant and will be limited to times at which birds/manure are being removed from the site. In so far as is possible odour emission is to be managed so as to occur at times when the effect within the site or outside it will be minimal.

##### **➤ CONSTRUCTION NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

A variety of items of plant will be in use for the construction of the poultry farm development, such as excavators, lifting equipment and dumper trucks. There will also be vehicular movements to and from the site that will make use of existing roads.

Due to the fact that the construction programme has not been established, it is difficult to calculate the actual magnitude of noise emissions to the local environment. However, it is possible to predict typical noise levels using guidance set out in *BS 5228-1: 2009: Code of practice for noise and vibration control on construction and open sites – Part 1: Noise*.

The data presented in the table below is typical of the machinery to be used on site, in the development of a poultry house(s) and would be similar to that experienced in the development of the existing houses. The two noise sensitive locations (referred to in the table below) while not specific to this site are located 150 m from the development site, a similar distance to the noise sensitive location(s) closest to this proposed development (i.e.160-180m).

It must be stated that for the majority of the time, plant and equipment will be at a greater distance from these buildings than that used for the calculations and consequently will have lesser impact. Our assessment would therefore be representative of a “worst-case” scenario.

Note that a utilisation of equipment of 75% over a working day was assumed in the preparation of these construction noise predictions.

Phase	Plant Item (BS 5228 Ref.)	Plant Noise Level at 10m Distance <sup>1</sup> (dB LAeq)	Predicted Noise Level at NSL 1 (dB LAeq,1hr)	Predicted Noise Level at NSL 2 (dB LAeq,1hr)
Demolition & Site Preparation	Tracked excavator (C2.22)	72	60	60
	Dumper (C4.2)	78		
Foundation Laying	Compressor (D7.6)	77	63	63
	Poker Vibrator (C4.33)	78		
	Cement Mixers (C4.22)	76		
Steel Erection	Wheeled Mobile Crane (C4.38)	78	62	62
	Articulated Lorry (C11.10)	77		
General Construction	Compressor (D7.6)	77	63	63
	Diesel Hoist (C7.98)	76		
	Pneumatic Circular Saw (D7.79)	75		
	Generator (C4.84)	74		
	Internal Fit-out	70		
Roadworks	Surfacing & Rolling (D.8.26)	80	61 (150m) / 80 (10m)	61

The predicted construction noise levels at the nearest residential dwellings are within the maximum criterion of 65dB LAeq for construction activities during daytime and Saturday periods.

In order to further ensure that the Poultry Farm construction noise is reduced as far as practicable for these houses, we would also recommend that the following measures be employed:

- ❖ Limiting the hours during which site activities likely to create high levels of noise are permitted;
- ❖ Establishing channels of communication between the contractor/developer, Local Authority and residents etc.;

- ❖ Appointing a site representative responsible for matters relating to noise emissions;
- ❖ Maintaining all site access roads so as to mitigate the potential for noise emissions from lorries.
- ❖ Selection of plant with low inherent potential for generation of noise;
- ❖ Erection of barriers as necessary around noisy processes and items such as generators heavy mechanical plant or high duty compressors;
- ❖ Placing of noisy / vibratory plant as far away from sensitive properties as permitted by site constraints.

The existing farm and site of the proposed development are not located close to and/or likely to adversely impact on any areas of Primary or Secondary Amenity value as detailed in the Cavan County Development Plan 2014-2020. Please refer to Appendix No. 11 in this regard. Based on experience at similar sites elsewhere, including that operated by the applicants' and existing farms elsewhere in the country significant effects are not anticipated. The applicants' have not experienced any noise complaints to date relating to the operation of their existing farm.


Where nuisance effects occur, people object and under statutory requirements their objections will have to be investigated and have to be corrected if found to be real and justified.

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

- **4(3)(2)Effect on Bio-diversity (flora and fauna)**

The site of the proposed developments is a greenfield/grassland area to the rear of the existing poultry houses, however as the proposed development will be limited in extent, and notwithstanding the fact that it will operate in addition to the applicants' existing poultry houses, it will have no adverse impact outside the boundary of the site.

The site forms part of the applicants' / applicants' families existing bovine farming activities. The flora and fauna around the site has developed in this context. Much of the surrounding area is improved agricultural grassland. The area to be developed is relatively small and represents a sustainable addition to the applicants' existing farming activities. Ground works and land profiling will be kept to a minimum outside the footprint of the proposed site.



**Figure 4 – Aerial Photograph of the Site (Outlined in Red) and its Surrounding Habitats. The Range Area of the Birds is Outlined in Orange.**

The proposed development is not near to or likely to adversely impact on any areas of primary or secondary amenity value or views from scenic route. Structures and new paved surfaces will cover a significant fraction of the site. The changes will affect such a small area that any impact will be close to zero or neutral with the local area.

The site is not located close to and/or likely to adversely impact on any Natura 2000 sites, the closest being The River Boyne and River Blackwater SAC / SPA, some 3 kms away. These designated areas and their closest points to the proposed development site are summarised in Table 1 and a map showing their locations relative to the application site is shown in Figure 5. A full description of these sites can be read on the websites of the National Parks and Wildlife Service ([npws.ie](http://npws.ie)) and the Joint Nature Conservation Committee ([jncc.defra.gov.uk](http://jncc.defra.gov.uk))



Site Name & Code	Distance	Qualifying Interests	Significant Effects?
The River Boyne and River Blackwater SAC 002299	3km south / 6.1km downstream via the Lislea Stream and Lough Ramor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>River lamprey (<i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>)</li> <li>Salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)</li> <li>Otter (<i>Lutra lutra</i>)</li> <li>Alkaline fens</li> <li>Alluvial forests with alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i></li> </ul>	Due to hydrological connectivity and potential atmospheric emissions, impacts will be considered further.
The River Boyne and River Blackwater SPA 004232	3km south / 6.1km downstream via the Lislea Stream and Lough Ramor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Common Kingfisher <i>Alcedo atthis</i></li> </ul>	Due to hydrological connectivity and potential atmospheric emissions, impacts will be considered further
Killyconny Bog SAC 000006	4.6km south-east	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Active Raised Bogs.</li> <li>Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration.</li> </ul>	Potential impacts arising from atmospheric emissions will be considered further.

Table 1 – Natura 2000 Sites Within 15km of the Proposed Site




Figure 5 – The Application Site (Red Dot) in relation to the Natura 2000 Sites within 15km. SACs – Red Hatching; SPAs – Pink Hatching

Please refer to the appropriate Assessment Screening report contained in Appendix No. 18.

- **Ammonia**

In order to predict atmospheric emissions of ammonia from facility at Lislea, a SCAIL model (Simple Calculation of Atmospheric Impact Limits) was run to determine the potential impacts of this farm on designated sites. In this instance a number of factors were taken into account, such as the use of natural ventilation. The results of the SCAIL outputs for ammonia are presented below. These figures are based on 20,000 birds. Existing emissions from the farm are accounted for in the background data. The most sensitive area for ammonia deposition in the River Boyne and Blackwater SAC is alkaline fen and this is a Qualifying Interest of the site. It has the lower CL for ammonia. However, the majority of the SAC (the river itself) has the upper CL for ammonia applied to it.

River Boyne and Blackwater SAC				
Background NH3	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL Range
2.67 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.02921 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.69921 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1 µg/m <sup>3</sup> - 3 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.9% - 0.97%
River Boyne and Blackwater SPA				
Background NH3	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL Range
2.67 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.02921 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.69921 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1 µg/m <sup>3</sup> - 3 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.9% - 0.97%
Killyconny Bog SAC				
Background NH3	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL Range
2.76 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.01564 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.77564 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5%

**Ammonia Loadings Arising from Proposed Development on Natura 2000 Sites**

- Nitrogen Levels**

The SCAIL results for the predicted deposition of nitrogen are presented in the table below. For the SACs, either the SCAIL critical loads or those defined by APIS (Air Pollution Information System) were used.

River Boyne and Blackwater SAC				
Background N	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL
17.09 kg N/ha/yr	0.15 kg N/ha/yr	17.24 kg N/ha/yr	15 kg N/ha/yr (alkaline fen)	1%
			Alluvial Forests are Not Considered as Nitrogen Sensitive	-
River Boyne and Blackwater SPA				
Background N	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL
17.09 kg N/ha/yr	0.15 kg N/ha/yr	17.24 kg N/ha/yr	15 kg N/ha/yr (alkaline fen)	1%
			Alluvial Forests are Not Considered Nitrogen Sensitive	-
Killyconny Bog SAC				
Background N	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL
16.33 kg N/ha/yr	0.8 kg N/ha/yr	16.41 kg N/ha/yr	5 kg N/ha/yr (Active Raised Bog)	1.6%
			5 kg N/ha/yr (Degraded Bog)	1.6%

#### Nitrogen Loadings Arising from Proposed Development on Natura 2000 Sites

The application site lies within the Boyne Hydrometric Area and Catchment, the Blackwater (Kells) Sub-Catchment and the Lislea Sub-Basin. The Lislea Stream flows through the application site (via a culvert) and it emerges in the south-easterly corner of the site. Clean surface water from the application site will continue to be discharged to this stream. This stream flows north then west, whereupon it enters Lough Ramor at a point 1.7km west of the application site. The EPA have defined the ecological status of the Lislea Stream and its tributaries at points close to the application site as good. Lough Ramor is of poor ecological status. Under the requirements of the Water Framework Directive in Ireland, good status must be achieved in all water bodies within a certain time frame

The land-use surrounding the site is predominantly agricultural and the main habitat is improved agricultural grassland. Other habitats represented locally include areas of neutral and wet grasslands, recently felled coniferous woodlands, raised bogs, hedgerows, treelines and water courses. Lough Ramor is 1.7km west of the site. Currently, the main habitats within the application site include buildings and artificial surfaces (the existing poultry house and associated hard surfaces, including the access road), and these areas are surrounded by improved grassland habitats and this habitat will form the range areas of the birds. The site is bounded to the west, south and north-east by a hedgerow/treeline. The land to the immediate west of the site was a coniferous plantation that has recently been felled and this area surrounds a raised bog habitat.


An examination of the website of the National Biodiversity Data Centre revealed that there are records for the presence of one protected mammal species from the relevant one km<sup>2</sup> (N6386) square of this proposed development. This species is the badger *Meles meles* and it is fully protected under the Irish Wildlife Acts. A custom polygon generated for the site revealed that these records do not pertain to within the application site itself.

There will be no discharge of soiled water or effluent from the proposed development to surface water and so the proposed development will not have any significant impact on surface waters. See Appendix No. 13 for surface water quality data for this area.

A rodent control programme will be developed to cover the proposed development. The programme as implemented on site will be in line with Bord Bia and Department of Agriculture, Food and The Marine requirements. Detailed records regarding bait point location, frequency of baiting and products used are to be maintained on site. No other pests will be attracted to the site due to the proper storage and disposal of all wastes, proper storage of all feedstuffs and maintaining the houses and external areas in a clean and tidy manner.

Weed control will be carried out around the site as required to reduce any cover for pests. It is considered that the development, managed as is proposed, which will have to operate under License regulations, will have no measurable impact on either flora or fauna outside the site boundary. Given that the area of the proposed site is an intensively managed agricultural area with poor biological diversity, retaining as much as possible of the existing landscaping/hedgerow around the site boundary, together with any proposed additional landscaping, should maintain biological diversity on the site. No significant hedgerows will have to be removed to facilitate the proposed development.







- **4(3)(3)Effect on Land and Soil**

The structures proposed for the site would be constructed predominantly on a greenfield area. As such there will be disturbance of same within the site boundary. There is no significant potential for any effect on soil, outside of the development area, and any land take required to facilitate the proposed development will be minor in terms of the applicants' family landholding and the wider agricultural area.

**A. Outside of the Development /Range Area:**

**Manure/Organic Fertiliser:** The poultry manure from this farm is/will be removed off site by an authorised registered contractor, such as McCartney Contractors, on behalf of the applicants' and will be in compliance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, i.e. the regulations that have given effect to the Nitrates Directive in Ireland.

If anything there is the potential for some positive benefits on soil on potential customer farmer lands as a result of the production of organic fertiliser by the proposed development. Such organic fertiliser provides a valuable addition to the soil adding nutrients not generally found in chemical fertiliser. Organic matter in soils is generally in decline, particularly on tillage farms and the use of an organic fertiliser is preferable to chemical fertiliser in maintaining adequate organic matter levels in soils. At present all organic fertiliser is destined for compost production, and/or be supplied to customer farmers for use as organic fertiliser in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended should the demand arise.

**Soiled water** from the proposed development where applicable, will be collected in dedicated soiled water collection tank(s), located centrally in the site. This soiled water will then be applied to the applicants' remaining farmland in line with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended.

Soiled water will amount to c.90 m<sup>3</sup>/annum with an estimated nutrient content (nutrient content based on 90% Water:10% poultry manure (11 KgN and 6 Kg P /tonne)) of c.


- 1.1 Kg N
- 0.6 Kg P

and can be accommodated on the applicants' (John Smith) landholding. The existing on-farm organic N/Ha from the bovine livestock is estimated at 124 Kg (based on Jan – Jun 2020 data extrapolated to year end - source D.A.F.M. 2020), well below the 170 limit. This will increase to c. 126 kg organic N/ha as a result of the application of soiled water.

**B. Within the Development /Range Area:**

This site forms part of an overall farmed area of 7.89 Ha. As part of the operation of this Free Range Poultry Farm the birds will be allowed access to an outside range area at a stocking rate of 10,000 birds/ha. As a result of the existing and planned developments (48-50,000 bird free range enterprise) a c. 4.8-5.0 Ha block of land (as broadly outlined on the maps supplied, and subject to DAFM agreement) will be dedicated to free range production.

In the event of planning permission being granted and the proposed development progressing the applicants' will adjust their existing farming activities if, and as, necessary to ensure compliance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended.



**Fig 9. Proposed Range Area**

For the purposes of the Nitrates Directive the stocking rate of animals/birds/hectare of agricultural area is calculated as kg Organic N/Ha. and under the Nitrates directive (without derogation) not more than 170 Kg Organic N (i.e. N from animal/poultry manure) can be applied /ha of net area, in this case the range area available to the birds. This Organic N allocation is averaged over the area available to the birds.

In order to determine the impact of the above we must first determine the amount of nutrients to be produced. The manure and nutrients produced will be allocated between the litter internally within the houses, and the range area available to the birds outside the houses.

As outlined previously, in paragraph 1(1)(6), during the farming cycle these birds are confined to the houses for the first 4 weeks after arriving on the farm. Subsequent to this they are allowed access to the range area during daylight hours only. Due to the fact that c. 21% of the feed used by the birds is used during the first four weeks and 79% in the second four weeks, it is anticipated that approximately 21% of the manure produced by the birds is produced in the first 4 weeks with the remaining 79% produced in the second four weeks.

In addition to the above we must also take into account the following,

- that the birds are only have access to the range area for an average of 8 hours/day, (i.e 33% of the time, this will increase during the summer and decrease during the winter).
- That, although they are free to leave the houses, only approximately 20% of the birds will be out at any one time during this period.

The above figures have been calculated on the basis of information provided by the applicants', with regard to the habits of the birds as seen on their existing free range chicken house(s), and experience gained elsewhere. In order to ensure that the application of nutrients to the range areas by the birds is accurately determined it is recommended that a reduction in the nutrients deposited by the birds on the range an area is applied for the reasons as outlined above.

In order to ensure that the time spent outdoors by the birds has been accurately calculated the total nutrient excretion by the birds during the four weeks that they are allowed out is reduced to;

$$= 0.79 \times .33 \times .20 = \text{c. } 5\% \text{ of total production.}$$

Therefore 95% of the nutrients are removed in the litter. The litter produced equates to c. 75-100 tonnes per 10,000 birds per annum with a nutrient content of 11kg N and 6kg P/tonne, equivalent to 1100 kg N and 600 kg P/1000 birds/annum.

Therefore the estimated nutrients to be deposited by the birds onto the range area is calculated as 43.35- 57.8 kg N and 23.7-31.6 kg P/10,000 birds/annum, or 43.35- 57.8 kg N and 23.7-31.6 kg P/ha/annum

**It should be noted that it is not proposed to apply any additional fertilisers (organic and/or inorganic) to the range area, and that c.2-3 cuts of silage/round bales will be removed from this area annually.**

Range Area Nitrogen and Phosphorous Balance /ha

No. of birds	10,000
Total N excreted while grazing per annum (@ 5%)*	43.35- 57.8 Kg
Total P excreted while grazing per annum (@ 5%)*	23.7- 31.6Kg
Range Area	1 ha
<b>Organic N deposited /Ha</b>	<b>43.35-57.8 Kg**</b>



**P Deposited/Ha****23.7-31.6 Kg**

\* The birds deposit c. 5% of the total manure production outside as outlined above.

\*\* This is significantly below the permitted stocking rate (170-250 kg organic N/ha as provided for by S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended) on the land.

**Cropping Routine**

The proposed stocking rate when equated in terms of the amount of organic N deposited per Ha by the livestock (Broilers) proposed, is significantly less than that resulting from the applicants' existing bovine activities and/or that permitted by S.I. 605 of 2017. Therefore the proposed farm diversification will not result in any net intensification of activities on these lands.

Also introduced under the Nitrates directive were restrictions on the amount of Phosphorous to be applied to land. Based on a stocking rate of 10,000 birds per hectare this would provide a Phosphorous allocation of c. 23.7-31.6 kg/Ha. As no other additional P fertiliser will be applied to this area this will be the total P allocation per annum. Assuming the lands in question are at Index 3 for P (as per Article 16(2)(a) of SI 605 of 2017, as amended) the required allocation for the proposed first cut silage is 20kg/ha in accordance with Table 15 of Nitrates Directive, with an additional 10kgP for each cut thereafter. Therefore the proposed P allocation of 23.7-31.6 kgP/ha/annum, in conjunction with Soil Index 3, is below the required level of P to achieve the optimum yield of 2-3 cut of silage/annum. Due to the production system whereby birds are not on the range area for periods of 6 weeks at a time (i.e. 2 weeks empty and first 4 weeks after stocking each batch) multiple silage cuts are easily scheduled during the year.

See Appendix No. 12 for general soil classification for this area. The subsoils in this area are described as Drumlin soils with the site located in Soil association 29 (Acid brown Earths (75%) and Inter Drumlin Peat and Peaty Gleys (25%)). Parent material is mostly Mostly Ordovician – Silurian shale - glacial till.

**Teagasc Soils:**

Parent Material	Cut
Parent Material Name	Peat
Parent Material Description	Cut Peat
Soil Group	Basin Peats, Blanket Peats (some)
IFS Soil Code	Cut
IFS Soil Description	Cutaway/cutover peat
County	CAVAN
Category	Cutover/cutaway peat
Legend	Cut - Cutover/cutaway peat
	A

Parent Material	
Parent Material Name	Alluvium
Parent Material Description	Alluvium undifferentiated
Soil Group	Variable
IFS Soil Code	AlluvMIN
IFS Soil Description	Mineral alluvium
County	CAVAN
Category	Alluvial (mineral)
Legend	AlluvMIN – Alluvial (mineral)

(Source [www.gsi.ie](http://www.gsi.ie))




Fig. 10 Soil Map (Source [www.gsi.ie](http://www.gsi.ie))

#### ● **4(3)(4)Effect on Geological & Geomorphological heritage of the area.**

The structures proposed for the site would be constructed on/adjacent to an existing poultry farm site. There is no significant potential for any effect outside of the development area.

The site of the proposed development is an intensively managed greenfield site. Given the nature and extent of the proposed development it will not have any adverse impact on the geology of the area, outside of the site. In addition as the proposed development will be integrated into the existing landscape due to the existing farm structures, proposed finished floor level (FFL), land topography and existing landscaping the proposed development will not have any adverse impact on the landscape and/ or the geomorphological heritage of the area.

The proposed development is located outside of the Ribbed Moraines or any other such geological features as detailed in the Geological Site Map as detailed below. As previously detailed the proposed development will not adversely impact on the landscape and/ or the geomorphological heritage of the area






Fig. 10 Geological Heritage Sites Map (Source [www.cavancoco.ie](http://www.cavancoco.ie))

#### • 4(3)(5)Effect on Water

Adverse effect on *ground water* from the proposed development should be nil, as there will be no process discharge to ground and minimal risk of accidental leakage or spillage of polluting liquid on the site. The proposed development, as per the existing poultry farming activities carried out by the applicants', will be carried out on an impermeable concrete base, with proper storm and soiled water separation and collection facilities. It should be noted that the proposed development, will operate on a dry manure basis, whereby the manure will be removed from the houses at the end of each batch. It will be managed as a dry manure thus eliminating the risk of any leak to groundwater. The only soiled water from the proposed development will arise due to washing down of the poultry houses.

The volume of water needed for the farm once the proposed development has been completed will be proportionate to the proposed stock levels. The existing water supply on the farm is from the on-site well, which will also serve the proposed development. According to the Geological Survey of Ireland the aquifer classification appropriate to the site is;

Aquifer Category	PI
Category Description	Poor Aquifer - Bedrock which is Generally Unproductive except for Local Zones
Area (sq km)	3,019.08




Fig. 11 Aquifer Class (Source [www.gsi.ie](http://www.gsi.ie))

with a vulnerability rating of extreme (E). As the proposed development, will operate on a dry manure basis, whereby the manure will be removed from the houses after each batch and transported off site, there is minimal risk to ground water supplies in the area of the site.




Fig. 12 Aquifer Vulnerability Map (Source [www.gsi.ie](http://www.gsi.ie))

Adverse effect on surface water from the proposed development should be nil, as there will be no process discharge to surface water and minimal risk of accidental leakage or spillage of polluting liquid on the site. The only discharge from the site to surface waters will be the discharge of rainwater from roofs and clean yards to field drainage, which flows towards the adjacent watercourse, a tributary of the Lislea Stream.

The proposed development is agricultural in nature and suitable to the site. Same is an extension of an existing authorised agricultural development. The existing poultry farm has experienced a single flood event, however this resulted from the culvert to the south of the site becoming blocked due to debris (branches /offcuts etc.) from felling activities on the adjoining lands to the east of the site, resulting in water backing up and over topping the watercourse onto the adjoining land.




Fig. 13 Surface water features (Source [www.epa.ie](http://www.epa.ie))

There closest Natura 2000 site is c. 3 km of this proposed development, i.e.,

- The River Boyne and River Blackwater SAC / SPA

Please refer to the Appropriate Assessment screening report contained in Appendix No. 18

The application site lies within the Boyne Hydrometric Area and Catchment, the Blackwater (Kells) Sub-Catchment and the Lislea Sub-Basin. The Lislea Stream flows through the application site (via a culvert) and it emerges in the south-easterly corner of the site. Clean surface water from the application site will continue to be discharged to this stream. This stream flows north then west, whereupon it enters Lough Ramor at a point 1.7km west of the application site.

There will be no discharge of soiled water or effluent from the proposed development to surface water and so the proposed development will not have any significant impact on surface waters. See Appendix No. 13 for surface water quality data for this area.

In order to avoid any reductions in water quality in the area surrounding the proposed development and in order to protect designated sites and species, a number of mitigation measures have been planned for that will help to protect the local biodiversity of the surrounding area and to ensure the protection of local wildlife.

### **Surface Water Protection Mitigation Measures**

- It is vital that there is no deterioration in water quality in the watercourses in the vicinity of the development. This will protect both habitats and species that are sensitive to pollution. Therefore, strict controls of erosion, sediment generation and other pollutants associated with the construction process to be implemented. No development works to take place near to any watercourse.
- Surface water run-off from the site (during construction) should be routed to the watercourses via suitably designed and sited settlement areas/filter channels.
- Fuels, oils, greases and hydraulic fluids will be stored in bunded areas well away from drains. Refuelling of machinery, etc., to be carried out in bunded areas.
- Stockpile areas for sands and gravel will be kept to a minimum size, well away from the drains.
- There will be no disturbance to the banks or habitats along local watercourses.
- There will be a small amount of excavated soil from site development works which will be used within the site/landholding. Its use will not lead to the loss or damage of any natural or semi-natural habitats elsewhere and will not be spread close to any local watercourse.
- All hedgerows should be protected and maintained.
- Any landscaping should involve the planting of native Irish species that are indigenous to the site. The characteristics of newly planted hedgerows should mimic those in the surrounding area.
- Site preparation and construction should adhere to best practice.
- Any bulk fuel storage tank or fuel storage area should be properly bunded with a bund capacity of at least 110% or that of the fuel tank.
- All proposed development works to be in accordance with the Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine Minimum Specifications and/or industry standards.



- **4(3)(6)Effect on Air**

The potential effects of the proposed development on air relate to the odour emissions that may be associated with poultry and poultry manure on site. Odorous emissions from the developed site are not likely to cause nuisance or impair amenity beyond the site boundary, with the possible exception of times when birds and/or manure is being removed from the site, *which will occur at the end of each batch, approximately 5 times/annum.*

A number of management practices will be implemented on site so as to minimise potential odour emissions from the existing and proposed developments,

- Proper storage of all wastes on site, and regular removal of same. Twice daily flock inspections to remove any fatalities from the houses, and stored in proper sealed and covered storage bins.
- Thorough cleaning out of poultry houses, to minimise odour and maintain high health status.
- Regular cleaning of outside areas.
- Immediate removal of manure off site, wherever possible. Transport of manure off site to take place in properly designed and covered trailers.
- Proper stocking rate within the houses.
- Proper management of temperature and humidity controls.

Management of operations on the site to prevent significant pulse releases of odour at times when the effect might be perceptible beyond the site boundary should ensure minimal impact on air in the vicinity of the site. See Appendix No. 14 for met data for this area.

As detailed previously the proposed development is located a significant distance away from any Natura 2000 sites and emissions (incl. gaseous emissions) from the existing/proposed development(s) are unlikely to adversely impact on same and/or on any other sensitive areas.



- **4(3)(7) Effect on Climate / Climate Change**

Climate information is useful for predicting the likely impacts that the farm operation and the application of manure in the area will have upon the residents. Met Data details can be found in Appendix No. 14. Wind direction at the site is critical to odour movements and rainfall is critical factor in the application of manure. The prevailing wind in the Clones area is from the south-west. Rainfall in the customer farmlands ranges annually from 800mm -1000mm.

Large livestock populations and nitrogen inputs to soil generate approximately one-third of all greenhouse gases in Ireland. The amount of *methane* emitted by livestock is a lot higher for ruminants such as cattle and sheep versus non-ruminants such as poultry/pigs. This is as a result of the different digestive systems.  $N_2O$  emissions can be divided into three areas,

- Direct from agricultural soils and from agricultural production systems.
- Indirect emissions which take place after nitrogen is lost from the field
- Emissions resulting from agricultural burning.

Organic fertiliser from this farm will be used in compost production or by customer farmers. The fact that the customer farmers utilising organic fertiliser from this farm will allocate it in accordance with the provisions of S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, particularly with regard to amounts applied, weather and ground conditions at the time of spreading, and even application, etc., should ensure that emissions generated are kept to an absolute minimum. Dry manures will spread more evenly, and modern rear emptying muck spreaders are likely to be more precise than side discharging machines.

All customer farmers will be advised that in order to minimise any potential adverse environmental impact including odour/emissions, and to ensure that they get maximum fertiliser benefit from the organic fertiliser, that all manure from this farm should be stored, managed and applied in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended and where possible incorporated/ploughed into the soil as soon as practicable after application.

All practicable steps, such as landscaping, management routines etc., will be planned for and will be taken so as to minimise odour from the site. Its rural setting and location distant from local residences will ensure no effect on human beings. The existing poultry farm operated by the applicants' have operated with no adverse environmental impact.

This development will have no significant adverse effect on climate.

- **4(3)(8)Effect on Visual Aspects and Landscape**

Cavan Co. Co. have not prepared a Landscape Character Assessment, however the following categories have been included in the Cavan Development Plan 2014-2020 and may form part of a landscape character assessment in the future.

There are five main Landscape Character Areas within the County. These areas have been chosen mainly due to their physical geological and geomorphological features which make them distinctive in the County.

1. Cuilcagh-Anierin Uplands of West Cavan;
2. The Lakelands;
3. Lake Catchments of South Cavan;
4. Drumlin Belt and Uplands of East Cavan
5. Highlands of East Cavan.




Fig. 14 Landscape Character Areas.

While no specific boundary maps are provided in relation to these areas, as can be seen from the above depiction that there is overlap between these areas.

The site of the proposed development is located in area;

### **3 .Lake Catchments of South Cavan**

This area takes in the larger lakes of Lough Sheelin and Lough Ramor and their catchment areas in south Cavan.

### General Description of Area

Lough Sheelin and Lough Ramor are the largest lakes in this region. These major lakes have a notable amenity value due in part to their size and location within a scenic landscape and their recreational value. They also provide valuable habitats which are of great importance and are protected Nationally as proposed Natural Heritage Areas (pNHAs). Lough Sheelin is also identified as a Special Protection Area (SPA).




Fig. 15 Landscape Character Areas.

This site of the proposed development is located within the existing farm holding located adjacent to the applicants' existing poultry houses and which has been farmed/operated by the applicants' as a free range poultry enterprise for the last c. 13 years. The poultry farm is located well away from and/or unlikely to adversely impact on any sensitive areas. This will ensure that there will be no visual impact on the local environment from the proposed development.

This site of the existing farm and the proposed development forms part of an overall farmed area of c. 8 Hectares including the site of the existing/proposed development. This site is located in the town land of Lislea, in east Co. Cavan, c. 3.25 km's southeast of Virginia. The existing/proposed site is, and will, be accessed via the existing access route and upgraded site entrance serving the existing poultry house. The site of the proposed development benefits from significant landscaping (completed as part of the previously approved development), integrating same into the landscape and this in addition to the setback distance from the public road, the low finished floor level of the proposed developments integrated with the existing development and at/below the existing ground/road levels, ensures that the proposed development will not have an adverse visual impact, and will not require significant excavation/development works.

The nature of the proposed development, and its location will ensure that there will be no adverse impact on the local environment/landscape from the proposed development. The site is not located near to or likely to affect any Scenic Route/views, Lakeside or other amenity areas, N.H.A.'s, S.A.C.'s, S.P.A's or monuments/places of Archaeological interest as listed in the



Cavan County Development Plan 2014 - 2020. Please refer to Appendix No. 11 for additional detail in relation to these areas.

The proposed development is typical of the type of Agricultural farm buildings already established on-site and within this area of Co. Cavan and will have limited impact on the character of the surrounding landscape. The proposed development represents an extension to an existing farm yard previously approved by Cavan Co. Co. for a poultry development and so will have limited impact on the character of the surrounding landscape. The proposed site being integrated into the landholding, the landscape, and, the existing poultry farmyard will not be visually obtrusive. It is not considered that the proposed development will have significant impacts on the landscape character of this area. The existing vegetation will help to soften any visual impacts, and, additional landscaping maybe added where considered appropriate.

- **4(3)(9)Effect on Archaeological & Cultural Heritage**

There are no known archaeological sites within the site boundary and no reason to suspect the presence of such sites within the site of the proposed development. No indication of archaeological sites/features was observed as part of previous developments on this site. In addition, there is no visual evidence of any archaeological feature on the lands adjoining the site. There are no recorded sites within c. 0.35 km of the proposed poultry house site as per the Archaeological Survey database. The closest recorded protected structure is a Ringfort / rath located c. 375 m south west of the proposed poultry house site, and also well removed from any proposed entrance upgrade works. See Description below;

**Record Number:**

CV040-039----

**Classification:**

Ringfort - rath

**Scheduled for Protection:**

1

**Description:**

Marked 'Fort' on OS 1836 ed. and 'Site of' on 1876 ed. Situated on the SW shoulder of an area of high ground. The field in which the site was located is still known as 'The Fort Field' (local information). Not visible at ground level. The above description is derived from the published 'Archaeological Inventory of County Cavan' (Dublin: Stationery Office, 1995). In certain instances the entries have been revised and updated in the light of recent research. Date of upload/revision: 22 December 2008




Fig. 16 Closest Recorded Monument (Source www.myplan.ie).

As any such sites are located a considerable distance from the proposed development the current proposal will not impact on these recorded sites in any way.

The site of the proposed development is intensively managed agricultural lands. Over the generations this landscape has changed with the removal of field boundaries and the re-contouring of the lands for productive agricultural purposes, resulting in significant disturbance to the proposed development area.

As a result it has concluded that there will be;

- No direct predicted impacts by the proposed development on any structures or features of Archaeological Heritage interest/potential.
- No direct predicted impacts by the proposed development on any Cultural Heritage features.
- No Construction Phase, Operational Phase, Residual and/or Cumulative impacts arising from the proposed development.






Fig. 17 County Heritage Sites.

#### ● **4(3)(10)Effect on Material Assets**

Resources that are valued and that are intrinsic to specific places are called 'material assets'. They may be of either human or natural origin and the value may arise for either economic or cultural reasons.

The assessment objectives vary considerably according to the type of assets, those for economic assets being concerned primarily with ensuring equitable and sustainable use of resources. Assessments of cultural assets are more typically concerned with securing the integrity and continuity of both the asset and its necessary context. The potential impact of the proposed development on archaeology / cultural assets has been discussed previously.

Material Assets that may potentially be affected by the proposed development include:

#### ● ***(A) Material Assets: Agricultural Properties including all agricultural enterprises***

The proposed development is to be completed on, or adjacent to, an existing poultry farm site that is farmed by the applicants' and surrounded by agricultural farmland. The proposed development will not interact with any lands outside the confines of the site, except for the production of a valuable organic fertiliser which may be utilized by farmers as a replacement for chemical fertiliser. The operation of the proposed development will be co-ordinated and integrated with the applicants' existing poultry enterprise, where possible to streamline activities and minimize any potential impacts.

- **(B) Material Assets: Non-agricultural Properties including residential, commercial, recreational and non-agricultural land.**

The proposed development is a traditional farming practice in this area and, is surrounded by agricultural lands and is located well away from any built up areas and/or development clusters. There are no residential dwelling's within c. 160 m of the proposed development site. The development will have no impact on adjoining property values if for no other reason than there is a significant distance between the proposed development and the residential locations, poultry farming is an existing activity on the site and this is a traditional farming activity in Co. Cavan.

- **(C) Material Assets: Natural or other resources including mineral resources, land and energy**

The proposed development will require a portion of land upon which the proposed poultry house extensions and associated works will be developed; however there will be no adverse impact outside of the development area, and this area is already an existing poultry farmyard and/or immediately adjacent to same.

The proposed development will also involve the use of a limited amount of construction materials (including quarry products and other construction materials), however the extent of the development is limited in nature and the amount of resources required in the construction of the house, and potential adverse impact of same, is negligible when sourced from authorized sources.

The operation of the farm will require additional feed (classified as a renewable resource), gas and water. The applicants' will operate modern feeding, ventilation and heating systems to minimize same. The farm does not require any major modifications to the existing electricity network, water or road infrastructure in the area.

#### **4 (4) Description of likely significant effects of the proposed development arising from:-**

##### **(i) The construction and existence of the proposed development**

The proposed development is of average scale by current industry standards but it would add to the economic activity on the farm, with consequent "trickle down" positive effect in the region and the local community, particularly with regard to construction workers, supply of construction materials, and the installation of the required housing, water, feed and ventilation systems.

Its impact on the landscape will be minimal following the implementation of proposals in relation to location, landscaping, proposed external finish and its integration into the existing site, and location adjacent to the existing poultry houses and ancillary structures. The long term impact on traffic on the local road as a result of the proposed development will not have a significant adverse impact. Any short term increase in traffic would be associated with the construction of the proposed development and would cease upon completion of the proposed development.

Once the proposed development would be completed, the operational traffic associated with same would be:

- feed deliveries c. 1 part load/week (20 Tonnes/ week on average), (Feed Lorry capacity 28 tonnes/load), unchanged from c. 1 part load/week currently.
- manure transport c. 4 loads / batch on average. (Manure Lorry capacity 30 tonnes/load) increasing from c. 2-3 loads/batch currently.
- bird deliveries/collections, gas and shavings deliveries (c. 10-12 loads/batch) increasing from c. 7-9 loads/batch currently.
- Fortnightly waste collection and collection of mortalities.

This will result in an average of 17 movements / batch or c. 2 per week, increasing from c. 12 loads/Batch of 1.5/week currently.

In addition to the above will be traffic associated with inspections, vet, catchers, and traffic associated with washing and cleaning the houses etc., however same will remain relatively unchanged, as the majority of these traffic movements will occur regardless of scale.

Traffic to and from the site will be minimised by optimising load sizes. This traffic movement will not adversely impact on the local road network which will be more than adequate to accommodate same. Traffic flows will use the existing route and upgraded site entrance. The site is well serviced by the existing road infrastructure and therefore any proposed alteration in traffic will not have an adverse impact on the local area.

### **The use of natural resources**

There are no significant negative effects expected as a result of the proposed development in relation to the use of natural resources. As previously detailed the development will require a limited land area to facilitate the proposed development, however same will have no adverse impact on land, soil and/or bio-diversity outside of the site area, as same is an existing poultry farm site, and/or on intensively managed grassland. While there are no processes involved that have a high requirement for fuel energy some ancillary heating will be required. Gas heating will be provided during the early stages of each batch and the demand for heat will depend on local weather conditions at the time of stocking. Gas requirements will be minimised by high insulation standards and a modern efficient heating system.

The proposed development will have a definite requirement for a supply of water readily available from the current supply, during the construction phase and once completed there will be additional water used on the farm as a result of this proposed development. The main resource to be consumed would be poultry feed, which is classifiable as a natural resource that is a renewable resource. The consumption of feed and water will be proportionate to the stock numbers on the farm.

**(ii) The emission of pollutants (noise, vibration, light, heat, radiation etc.,)**

Clean storm water will be discharged to the local watercourse via the discharge points as indicated in the proposed site plan. Such clean water is not an emission. Site management is to be focused on ensuring that all storm water collection surfaces and facilities are maintained in clean and fully functional condition at all times so that the possibility of storm water carrying significant pollution to the stream is effectively eliminated.

The emission of pollutants is to be effectively controlled and prevented by the regular removal of all solid waste materials from the site to authorised disposal/recovery sites elsewhere, and by the removal of poultry manure off site by an experienced contractor. Accordingly, it is expected that there should not be any significant emissions of pollutants from the site and that there should be no perceptible environmental effect arising from emission of pollutants from the site.

With regard to the above and due to the nature of the proposed development, there will be no increase in the amount of wastes/potential pollutants produced or used on the farm, and/or no significant increase in noise, vibration, light, heat and/or radiation, that would lead to a significant adverse environmental impact.

The additional organic fertiliser/poultry manure to be produced will be utilised as a resource ingredient in the poultry compost industry and/or as an organic fertiliser, and will be removed from the site by an experienced contractor. All soiled water to be allocated to farmland in accordance with of 2017, as amended.

**(iii) The creation of nuisance**

The proposed development, as per the existing poultry houses operated by the applicants', will be carried out in accordance with the management and operational routine proposed, and in line with E.P.A., D.A.F.M., Bord Bia and Cavan Co. Co. requirements, is not expected to create any significant nuisance.

**(iv) The elimination and/or disposal/recovery of waste/by-products**

The net increase in the volumes of waste/by-product materials to be generated as a result of this proposed development will not cause a significant adverse environmental impact, as all waste streams are to be minimised by implementing good practice measures on-site and any wastes that cannot be eliminated will be disposed/recovered in line with existing requirements and practices including and to approved disposal/recovery sites, and/or approved carriers.

The volume of organic fertiliser/manure (by-product) produced will be minimised by efficient cleaning out and the use of high pressure low volume power washers. In any event adequate measures for the collection, storage, management and use of these materials have been identified previously, thus ensuring that there is no adverse environmental impact from same. The opportunity to eliminate any of the waste products does not exist.



The opportunity to reduce the volume of waste materials below, that which are generated under Good Farming Practice and which will be generated on this farm once the proposed development is completed is very small and is near zero. For example, some birds die prematurely in the site. The proposed cleaning, hygiene, disease control and restricted access measures that are to be implemented on site will minimise this risk. Accordingly, the waste that is dead birds cannot be eliminated and cannot realistically be planned to reduce below the level achievable under current best practice. Similarly, with regard to the hazardous waste in the form of spent fluorescent tubes. The volumes are small and already minimised. While the applicants' can be forever conscious of the Reduce, Reuse and Recycle principle in relation to all waste, there is relatively little that can be done to effect significant further gains in this proposed development.

**(v) the risks to human health, cultural heritage or the environment (for example due to accidents or disasters)**

The potential risk to human health / cultural heritage and/or the environment due to accidents and/or disasters is limited due to the innate nature of the production system and activities on-site. There are no significant high risk/hazardous products used, produced and/or released by the proposed development which would pose a risk to human health, cultural heritage and/or the environment outside of the site boundary as a result of any accident/disaster.

**(vi) Class A Disease**

In the event of a Class A disease many animals will be slaughtered, possibly both on infected farms and in preventative slaughter of dangerous contact and contiguous premises.

There are two major considerations to be taken into account in deciding on the method of disposal to be used for slaughtered animals,

- 1) Preventing the spread of the disease/virus, and,
- 2) Minimising damage to the environment.

In respect of environmental damage, the methods of disposal in order of preference are, render, bury and burn. The location and extent of any initial outbreak of a particular disease will determine which method of disposal is used, however this will be dictated by individual circumstances. The disposal strategy to be employed will be decided by the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine in consultation with the National Expert Epidemiological Group. The preferred option for the disposal of carcasses from this farm site is rendering.

**(vii) the impact of the project on climate (for example the nature and magnitude of greenhouse gas emissions) and the vulnerability of the project to climate change;**

Large livestock populations and nitrogen inputs to soil generate c. one-third of all greenhouse gases in Ireland. The amount of *methane* emitted by livestock is a lot higher for ruminants such as cattle and sheep versus non-ruminants such as poultry/pigs.

The fact that the farmers are allocating organic fertiliser in accordance with the provisions of S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, particularly with regard to amounts applied, weather and ground conditions at the time of spreading, and even application, etc., should ensure that emissions generated are kept to an absolute minimum. Dry manures will spread more evenly, and modern rear emptying muck spreaders are likely to be more precise than side discharging machines.

In addition the proposed development will be designed, managed and operated so as to minimise energy (gas and electricity) use on the farm, thus minimising any greenhouse gases associated with energy use.

As the birds will be maintained in a controlled environment within the proposed house, the operation of the farm is not directly significantly susceptible to climate change, however climate change may impact on energy use associated with heating/ventilation systems to maintain a controlled environment within the house relative to outside climatic conditions, and, may have implications for feed supply to feed the birds.

**4(5) The forecasting methods used to assess the effects on the environment.**

Forecasting relies heavily on the accumulated experiences of current operations on the applicants' existing site, operations in similar developments, and on the knowledge that wastes removed from the site for disposal or recovery elsewhere will have negligible impact on the environment around the proposed development.

The applicants' have successfully managed this farm to date and has had no incidents with regard to the effect of this existing enterprise on the local environment.

Taking into account that poultry farming is an established practice on this farm and intensive farming is a widespread farming activity in Co. Cavan, and, that this proposed development will comply with the Nitrates directive, the applicants' are fully confident that the proposed development will have no significant adverse effect on the local environment.

#### 4(6) Cumulative And Transboundary Effects

This Poultry farm is located in County Cavan, a county well recognised for its intensive agriculture sector. It is anticipated that the proposed development at this site will not lead to a Transboundary effect due to the distance of the proposed development from any international boundary (>c. 35 Km from border with Northern Ireland) and the fact that in the main all wastes/by-products will be utilised/disposed of/recovered within the country.

While total bird numbers farmed by the applicants' on this site will increase to c. 48-50,000 birds, it is not anticipated that this will have a significant adverse cumulative impact, due to its location integrated into the existing poultry farm site and wider landscape, in an agricultural area, the fact that all manure is to be moved off site by an approved and registered contractor and appropriate measures are in place to address wastes arising on the farm. The existing / proposed poultry farm will operate independently of any other poultry farm in the area (including the existing poultry houses at Corfad c. 1.75-2.5km east of this site, which are also operated by the applicant's).

The proposed development will not have a cumulative adverse impact on the local environment. Poultry farming is a traditional and widespread farming activity in the North East region and it has been demonstrated by the applicants' that the site is not located close to and/or likely to adversely impact on any sensitive feature/location either independently or cumulatively with other poultry houses in the area. The existing farming activities that are carried out on-site (and by other poultry farms in the area) are done so with no significant adverse impact on the local environment and in compliance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended. Due to the fact that all manure is to be moved off site and appropriate measures are in place to address wastes arising on the farm, it is anticipated that this development would not adversely impact on the local environment within the Cavan area when assessed individually and/or cumulatively with other such developments in this area.

#### 4 (7) Inter-relationships

EIA requirements derive from Council Directive 85/337/EEC (as amended by Directives 97/11/EC, 2003/35/EC and 2009/31/EC) and as codified and replaced by Directive 2011/92/EU of the European Parliament and the Council on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment and as amended in turn by Directive 2014/52/EU.

As a requirement of the aforementioned directives and specific legislation implementing same, not only are the individual significant impacts required to be considered, but so must the inter-relationship between these factors be identified and assessed.

Part II (Second Schedule) of the Regulations requires that the interactions between human health / population, Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna), Land / Soil, water, air and climatic factors, landscape, material assets and cultural heritage (incl. architectural and archaeological) be assessed. The aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected by the proposed poultry house extensions have been considered in detail in the relevant Chapters of the E.I.A.R. In order to demonstrate the areas in which significant interactions occur a matrix has been prepared, see figure 4.1 below.

Where any environmental element in the top row of the matrix (the receptor) is likely to be affected in any way by any element in the left most column (the impactor), which contains the list of aspects of the environment likely to be significantly affected by the proposed development these have been indicated. A distinction has been made between positive, negative and neutral impacts in this matrix.



**Figure 4.1 Matrix Indicating Inter-relationships between EIA Factors**

	Land / Soil	Water	Air & Climate / Climate Change	Landscape & Visual	Noise	Traffic / Roads	Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna)	Human health / population	Cultural Heritage	Material Assets
Land / Soil		N	N/a	N	N/a	N/a	N	Pos	N/a	N/a
Water	N/a		N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N	N/a	N/a	N/a
Air & Climate / Climate Change	N/a	N/a		N/a	N/a	N/a	N	N	N/a	N/a
Landscape & Visual	N/a	N/a	N/a		N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a
Noise	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a
Traffic/Roads	N/a	N/a	N	N/a	N		N/a	N	N/a	N/a
Bio-diversity (Flora & Fauna)	N/a	N/a	N/a	N	N/a	N/a		N/a	N/a	N/a
Human health / population	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	N/a	N	Pos		Pos	Pos
Cultural Heritage	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a		N/a
Material Assets	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	Pos	N/a	

Neutral	N
Positive	Pos
Negative	Neg
Not Applicable	N/a

**4 (7) (i) Discussion – Positive Impacts**

The following details the rationale for concluding that there is a net positive impact as a result of the inter-relationship between the factors listed below.

- Impacts of Land / Soil on Human health / population** – the proposed poultry house extensions will provide for an additional supply of poultry manure which is a valuable fertiliser used by customer farmers to offset the cost of purchasing chemical fertiliser, and as a resource ingredient in the compost industry. The supply of organic manure will result in a financial gain to the recipient farmers and therefore a net positive impact of the proposed development.
- Impacts of Human health / population on other factors** - The increase in wealth as a result of the operation of the farm would mean that there will be funds available to facilitate improvements through human endeavor in the following factors Land / Soil, water, air & Climate / Climate Change, landscape & visual, Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) and cultural heritage. Improvements in Land / Soil can be achieved through the addition of organic fertilizer, improvements in water through improved management and separation of storm and soiled waters, improvements in air through better manure management processes, improvement in Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) through the provision of additional site landscaping and maintenance and improvement in cultural heritage by the availability of time and money for the enjoyment of heritage.

Improvements in Climate/Climate change arise out of the more efficient production system/lower emissions compared to other forms of animal husbandry/production. The impact on human health / population will ultimately result in improvements to material assets.

#### 4 (7) (ii) Discussion – Neutral Impacts

The following details the rationale for concluding that there is a neutral impact as a result of the inter-relationship between the factors listed below.

- **Impacts of Land / Soil on Water, Landscape & Visual and Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna)** – The organic fertilizer will have a positive overall impact on Land / Soil adding additional nutrients. However there is potential for leaching of these nutrients to water. This threat has been mitigated as all organic manure is to be allocated to customer farmers for use in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended and excessive application of this organic fertilizer will not occur.

The positive impact on Land / Soils in the customer farmland areas will potentially see a change in landscape through the improvement in field pastures, this may be viewed as a slightly positive impact overall and any changes will be minimal through compliance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, as this organic fertiliser will be used to replace chemical fertiliser. The changes in Land / Soil may result in a reduction in diversity of Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) in receiving lands. However all lands proposed for receipt of organic fertilizer will comprise productive agricultural lands for the production of crops or improved grassland and organic manure will not be applied to areas of scrub or other habitats.

- **Impacts of Water on Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna)** – The organic manure generated together with any soiled water on site has the potential to negatively impact on water. A reduction in water quality in the area would have an effect on both local Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) and Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) in the wider river catchment area. This potential threat has been mitigated through the proposal to allocate all organic fertilizer for use in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended. This is further mitigated through the provision of an appropriate on site storm water drainage system. These mitigating measures are sufficient to ensure that there is no negative impact on Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) as a result of its relationship with water.
- **Impacts of Air & Climate / Climate Change on Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) and Human health / population** – There is a potential threat to Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) and Human health / population as a result of any impact on air due to the proposed farm. The generation of mal-odour on site may have a slight negative impact on Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna) and in particular on human health / population, however this is mitigated by the fact that the proposed development location c. 160 m from any existing third party dwelling. Adequate mitigating measures have been described in this E.I.A.R. to ensure that this threat does not materialise and thereby ensuring the potential impact is neutral.

#### 4 (7) (iii) Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures

This section presents the significance of potential impacts following the implementation of mitigation measures. The impacts in the recently published E.I.A.R. Guidelines as follows:

<u><b>Impact</b></u>		<u><b>Description</b></u>
<u><b>Quality of Effects</b></u>	<u><b>Positive Effects</b></u>	A change which improves the quality of the environment
	<u><b>Neutral Effects</b></u>	No effects or effects that are imperceptible, within normal bounds of variation or within the margin of forecasting error.
	<u><b>Negative Effects</b></u>	A change which reduces the quality of the environment
<u><b>Describing the Significance of Effects</b></u>	<u><b>Imperceptible</b></u>	An effect capable of measurement but without significant consequences.
	<u><b>Not significant</b></u>	An effect which causes noticeable changes in the character of the environment but without significant consequences.
	<u><b>Slight Effects</b></u>	An effect which causes noticeable changes in the character of the environment without affecting its sensitivities.
	<u><b>Moderate Effects</b></u>	An effect that alters the character of the environment in a manner that is consistent with existing and emerging baseline trends.
	<u><b>Significant Effects</b></u>	An effect which, by its character, magnitude, duration or intensity alters a sensitive aspect of the environment.
	<u><b>Very Significant Effects</b></u>	An effect which, by its character, magnitude, duration or intensity significantly alters most of a sensitive aspect of the environment.
	<u><b>Profound Effects</b></u>	An effect which obliterates sensitive characteristics
<u><b>Describing the Duration and Frequency of Effects</b></u>	<u><b>Momentary Effects</b></u>	Effects lasting from seconds to minutes
	<u><b>Brief Effects</b></u>	Effects lasting less than a day
	<u><b>Temporary Effects</b></u>	Effects lasting less than a year
	<u><b>Short-term Effects</b></u>	Effects lasting one to seven years.
	<u><b>Medium-term Effects</b></u>	Effects lasting seven to fifteen years.
	<u><b>Long-term Effects</b></u>	Effects lasting fifteen to sixty years
	<u><b>Permanent Effects</b></u>	Effects lasting over sixty years
	<u><b>Reversible Effects</b></u>	Effects that can be undone, for example through remediation or restoration
	<u><b>Frequency of Effects</b></u>	Describe how often the effect will occur. ((once, rarely, occasionally, frequently, constantly – or hourly, daily, weekly, monthly, annually))

<b><u>Describing the Extent and Context of Effects</u></b>	<b><u>Extent</u></b>	Describe the size of the area, the number of sites, and the proportion of a population affected by an effect.
	<b><u>Context</u></b>	Describe whether the extent, duration, or frequency will conform or contrast with established (baseline) conditions (is it the biggest, longest effect ever?)
<b><u>Describing the Probability of Effects</u></b>	<b><u>Likely Effects</u></b>	The effects that can reasonably be expected to occur because of the planned project if all mitigation measures are properly implemented.
	<b><u>Unlikely Effects</u></b>	The effects that can reasonably be expected not to occur because of the planned project if all mitigation measures are properly implemented.

Interactions between the above environmental factors show the potential effect of the poultry farm on the community and its environs. Human beings are the main impact receptor, flora and fauna being the other. The poultry farm and its production processes will minimally impact upon the landscape, archaeology, terrestrial, water quality and climate described under the heading natural environment.

Traffic, air quality, noise, tourism and material assets are the factors that affect the community directly. This poultry farm with its planned integration into the existing farming activities, and the associated fertiliser substitution programme will have no significant impact on the rural community, as per the existing activities carried out by the applicants'.



	Category	Potential Environmental Issues/Effects	Potential Impact ~ Site	Potential Impact ~ Customer Lands	Duration	Mitigation	Residual Impact
Natural Environment	Terrestrial						
	Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna)	Destruction/loss of habitats.	Neutral	Neutral	Long-term	Existing intensively managed agricultural land of no significant ecological importance. Organic fertilizer to replace chemical fertilizer in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, no impact. Integration with existing farm enterprise.	None
		Eutrophication	Negative	Neutral	Long-term	High quality development and storm water discharge systems. Nutrient balance / organic fertiliser substitution. Organic fertiliser will replace chemical fertiliser.	slight
	Fresh Water / Groundwater	Risk of contamination	Negative	Neutral	Long-term	Fertiliser planning / Buffer Zones / Codes of Good Practice applied (S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, Customer Farmlands).	Slight
	Landscape	Visual impact	Negative	Neutral	Long-term	Site integrated with existing structures and into landscape. Finished floor level integrated with existing structures and existing ground level. Well screened from the local road.	Slight
	Archaeology	Disturbance of archaeological finds	Neutral	Neutral	Long-term	No archaeological finds within this site. Site not located near to, or likely to impact on any archaeological sites.	Neutral
	Climate / Climate Change	Contribution of greenhouse gases	Positive	Neutral	Long-term	Poultry production is less harmful than ruminant production in terms of methane. Organic manure will replace inorganic fertilisers eliminating manufacturing / transport energy use. Integration with existing farming activities.	None

	Category	Potential Environmental Issues/Effects	Potential Impact ~ Site	Potential Impact ~ Customer Lands	Duration	Mitigation	Residual Impact
Natural Environment	Terrestrial						
	Bio-diversity (Flora and Fauna)	Destruction/loss of habitats.	Neutral	Neutral	Long-term	Existing intensively managed agricultural land of no significant ecological importance. Organic fertilizer to replace chemical fertilizer in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, no impact. Integration with existing farm enterprise.	None
		Eutrophication	Negative	Neutral	Long-term	High quality development and storm water discharge systems. Nutrient balance / organic fertiliser substitution. Organic fertiliser will replace chemical fertiliser.	slight
	Fresh Water / Groundwater	Risk of contamination	Negative	Neutral	Long-term	Fertiliser planning / Buffer Zones / Codes of Good Practice applied (S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended, Customer Farmlands).	Slight
	Landscape	Visual impact	Negative	Neutral	Long-term	Site integrated with existing structures and into landscape. Finished floor level integrated with existing structures and existing ground level. Well screened from the local road.	Slight
	Archaeology	Disturbance of archaeological finds	Neutral	Neutral	Long-term	No archaeological finds within this site. Site not located near to, or likely to impact on any archaeological sites.	Neutral
	Climate / Climate Change	Contribution of greenhouse gases	Positive	Neutral	Long-term	Poultry production is less harmful than ruminant production in terms of methane. Organic manure will replace inorganic fertilisers eliminating manufacturing / transport energy use. Integration with existing farming activities.	None

#### **4 (8) Difficulties encountered in compiling the required information**

The processes and technology involved in the construction and operation of the proposed development are standard for agricultural/poultry farm developments, and similar to that currently carried out by the applicants', and well understood. In addition the main principles are substantially similar to that already in practice on numerous other farms locally and throughout the county. The technical information on which to base an assessment of impact on environmental parameters is readily available in the public domain.

There were no particular difficulties encountered and there is no reason to consider that there is any serious risk of error attaching to plans and projections for the treatment of wastes to be generated in the proposed development, as a result of the first hand experience gained in the existing development. As stated previously, this planning application and Environmental Impact Assessment Report, relate to the proposed development of extensions to 2 No. poultry houses on an existing poultry farm site plus all associated site works (to include a new site entrance) and ancillary structures, facilitating an increase to 48-50,000 birds.

The operation of the existing farm in conjunction with the proposed development will be carried out in accordance with the requirements of Cavan Co. Co., The E.P.A., The Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine and Bord Bia to achieve maximum efficiency, flock performance and environmental standards

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

**5 Description of measures envisaged to avoid, reduce, prevent or if possible, offset any identified significant adverse effects on the environment.**

The following best practice / mitigation measures have been proposed to reduce any potential adverse impact, significant, or otherwise:

- (i) Provision of sufficient and safe access to the site and measures to avoid excessive soiling of the public road during construction on the site.
- (ii) Preservation of existing trees and hedgerows surrounding the site where possible, together with sympathetic design and layout so as to screen the installation from obtrusive view and to allow it to be absorbed into the rural landscape.
- (iii) Provision of a storm water drainage system to properly collect and discharge to field drainage all clean rainwater from roofs and clean surfaces, as described in Appendix No. 3.
- (iv) Provision of soiled water drains to properly collect any effluent or soiled water and divert it to the nearest soiled water tank.
- (v) The collection and the removal from the site of all manure. All soiled waters to be collected and used on farmland in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended.
- (vi) All construction waste to be managed in an appropriate manner.
- (vii) Appropriate collection and removal from the site of waste materials generated on the site. Record and maintain records of all consignments of waste despatched from the site in accordance with requirements..
- (viii) The collection and the removal from the site of all dead animals and all animal tissues. A small proportion of the birds maintained on the farm die prematurely. These carcasses are and will be stored in a covered sealed container on site, awaiting collection by an authorised contractor.  
  
College proteins are an authorised contractor who regularly removes these carcasses, and any other such material to an authorised Animal By-Products plant at Nobber, Co. Meath, in compliance with existing requirements. Correspondence in this regard is included hereafter, in Appendix No. 6. Ensure collection of animal tissue from the site is in appropriate watertight and covered containers, and timely removal so as to ensure minimal generation or release of odours either at the site, or during transit to the disposal/recovery destination.
- (ix) Comprehensive cleaning and hygiene routine to minimise potential odour from the site.
- (x) Specially formulated diets to maximise performance and reduce nutrient excretion. See Appendix No. 9.



- (xi) Proper maintenance and inspection procedures to ensure that all feeding, water supply, manure removal, and ventilation systems are working to maximum efficiency, ensuring manure is maintained as dry as possible and minimising energy (electricity and gas) consumption.

Implementation of the above will ensure that significant effects on the environment will be avoided and the risk of incidents of environmental significance will be near zero.

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

## 6. ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME

### 6.1. Introduction

The applicants' will implement and maintain a comprehensive monitoring programme on site to provide maximum protection for the environment. This plan will in effect be governed by the requirements of the E.P.A., as detailed in any Licence issued to this farm, and by the applicants' requirements under environmental legislation such as S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended. This management plan will involve, but is not limited to, maintaining an organic fertiliser register and visual inspection of all storm water outlets.

Implementing this programme will ensure that there are no negative environmental impacts from the activities associated with the operation of the poultry farm. Any recommendations of the planning authority will be complied with in relation to this Environment Management Programme.

### 6.2. Organic Fertiliser Management Programme

The applicants' will implement and manage a programme for the allocation of organic fertiliser in each particular year. The main aspects of the Organic Fertiliser Management Programme are to ensure that the requirements of S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended are met in full by the applicants'.

This will include;

- The allocation of fertiliser to a registered specialist contractor for use in accordance with the requirements of S.I. 605 of 2017, as amended,
- Proper separation of all clean water on site, and the collection of all soiled water in the soiled water storage tanks.
- Continuous recording of all organic fertiliser transfers off the farm (as per the record 3 form developed by The Department of Agriculture, Food and The Marine, and submission of all records to The Department of Agriculture, Food and The Marine as required.)

### 6.3. Environmental Monitoring Programme

(i) Work schedule for fixed structures.

- A maintenance programme for all structures and systems to be implemented to ensure that same are operating to maximum efficiency

(ii) Monitoring fixed structures for the following:

- checking soiled water and clean water drainage systems for deterioration, leaks and blockages.

(iv) Monitoring and analysis.

- Storm water emission points to be visually inspected and recorded on a weekly basis.
- Soiled Water Storage Tanks – To be monitored and recorded as required for remaining storage capacity.
- Noise, Odour and Dust emissions not to cause an adverse environmental impact outside of the site boundary. As per the existing licenses issued by the Agency the EPA license required for this farm will have specific requirements/conditions pertaining to odour/noise and dust to be complied with.

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use

## 7 Summary

This proposed development involving the construction of extensions to 2 No. existing poultry houses together with ancillary facilities has been subject to Environmental Impact Assessment in accordance with requirements under the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended). This resulting E.I.A.R. has been prepared in order to assess the potential impact of the proposed development and any potential cumulative impact with the applicants' existing farm and/or other farms (incl. poultry farms)/developments in the area and provide the planning authority with the necessary information to make a decision on this planning application. The E.I.A.R. will also be submitted to the E.P.A. as part of the E.P.A. Licence application to be submitted to the Agency.

The proposed development as outlined will make a significant positive contribution to the rural economy of Co. Cavan and will serve to increase employment and secure the viability and competitiveness of the applicants' farm enterprise, as well as the wider poultry farming industry. The development will not give rise to any significant environmental effects. The granting of permission to the proposed development would strongly accord with the provisions of the County Development Plan and will provide a significant boost to the economy of Co. Cavan. The proposed development will be constructed and operated in accordance with the details laid down in this E.I.A.R. and will adhere to conditions imposed as part of any grant of planning permission and E.P.A. Licence for this farm.

Signed:

  
Pádraic Fay  
BAgrSc

08/12/2020  
Date



CLW Environmental Planners  
The Mews,  
23 Farnham St.,  
Cavan Town,  
Co. Cavan.

Tel: 049-4371451  
Fax: 049-4371447  
Email: info@clw.ie



## **Appendices**

<b>Appendix No. 1</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Site Location Map</b>
<b>Appendix No. 2</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Site Layout (Not to scale) Location of Proposed and Existing Developments</b>
<b>Appendix No. 3</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Drawings of Proposed Development (Not to scale)</b>
<b>Appendix No. 4</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Environmental Protection Agency – Draft Guidelines on EIS – Project Type 13</b>
<b>Appendix No. 5</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Details of Poultry Litter Contractor</b>
<b>Appendix No. 6</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Animal Tissue Disposal</b>
<b>Appendix No. 7</b>	<b>~</b>	
<b>Appendix No. 8</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Extent and Location of Lands Available for Application of Soiled Water</b>
<b>Appendix No. 9</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Feed Details</b>
<b>Appendix No. 10</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>General/Mixed Waste Disposal</b>
<b>Appendix No. 11</b>	<b>~</b>	<b>Extracts from Cavan County Development Plan 2014- 2020</b>


- Appendix No. 12 ~ Extract from General Soil Map of Ireland.**
- Appendix No. 13 ~ Local Water Quality Data**
- Appendix No. 14 ~ Met Data**
- Appendix No. 15 ~ Dept. of Agriculture – Minimum Specification for Screening belts for Farmyards and Farm Buildings – S 135**
- Appendix No. 16 ~**
- Appendix No. 17 ~**
- Appendix No. 18 ~ Appropriate Assessment Screening Report**
- Appendix No. 19 ~ European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations 2017 – S.I. 605 of 2017**

# ***Appendix No. 1***

## ***Site Location Map***

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*

# Site Location Map



CLW Environmental Planners Ltd  
The Mews, 23 Farnham Street, Cavan.  
Ordnance Survey Ireland Licence No.  
ENI0004020 © Ordnance Survey Ireland  
Government of Ireland

**COMPILED AND PUBLISHED BY:** Ordnance Survey Ireland, Phoenix Park, Dublin 8, Ireland.

Unauthorised reproduction infringes Ordnance Survey Ireland and Government of Ireland copyright.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be copied, reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.

The representation on this map of a road, track or footpath is not evidence of the existence of a right of way.

Ordnance Survey maps never show legal property boundaries, nor do they show ownership of physical features.

© Suirbhéireacht Ordnáis Éireann, 2020  
© Ordnance Survey Ireland, 2020  
[www.osi.ie/copyright](http://www.osi.ie/copyright)

**CENTRE COORDINATES:**  
ITM 863937,788338

**PUBLISHED:**  
27/08/2020

**MAP SERIES:**  
6 Inch Raster  
6 Inch Raster  
6 Inch Raster  
6 Inch Raster  
6 Inch Raster

**ORDER NO.:**  
50137597\_1


**MAP SHEETS:**  
CN039  
CN040  
CN043  
CN044  
MH004

**CAPTURE RESOLUTION:**  
The map objects are only accurate to the resolution at which they were captured. Output scale is not indicative of data capture scale. Further information is available at: <http://www.osi.ie>; search 'Capture Resolution' **LEGEND:** <http://www.osi.ie>; search 'Large Scale Legend'

**Ordnance Survey Ireland**  
Suirbhéireacht Ordnáis Éireann  
[www.osi.ie](http://www.osi.ie)



# Planning Pack Map



**CAPTURE RESOLUTION:**  
The map objects are only accurate to the resolution at which they were captured. Output scale is not indicative of data capture scale.  
Further information is available at:  
<http://www.osi.ie>; search 'Capture Resolution'  
**LEGEND:**  
<http://www.osi.ie>; search 'Large Scale Legend'

**ORDER NO.:** 50137597\_1  
**MAP SHEETS:** 2080-D  
**MAP SERIES:** 1:2,500  
**PUBLISHED:** 27/08/2020  
**COORDINATES:** ITM 663837, 766338  
**CENTRE**

**OUTPUT SCALE:** 1:2,500  
Ordnance Survey maps never show legal property boundaries, nor do they show ownership of physical features.  
© Suirtheireacht Ordánais Éireann, 2020  
© Ordnance Survey Ireland, 2020  
[www.osi.ie/copyright](http://www.osi.ie/copyright)

**COMPILED AND PUBLISHED BY:** All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be copied, reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of the copyright owners.  
The representation on this map is not evidence of the existence of a road, track or footpath of a right of way.  
Unauthorised reproduction infringes Ordnance Survey Ireland and Government of Ireland copyright.

**Ordnance Survey Ireland, Phoenix Park, Dublin 8, Ireland.**



**Ordnance Survey Ireland**  
www.osi.ie



## ***Appendix No. 2***

### ***Site Layout (Not to scale)***

### ***Location of proposed and existing developments***

For inspection purposes only  
Consent of copyright owner required for all other use



## ***Appendix No. 3***

### ***Drawings of Proposed Development (Not to scale)***





*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*



- NOTES:
1. All levels are relative to Ordnance Datum Malin Head
  2. 50m sq grid relative to Irish Transverse Mercator Co-ordinate reference system
  3. Contours are at .25m intervals

LINE TYPE LEGEND			
100	Electricity Pole	100	Electricity Pole
101	Electricity Pole	101	Electricity Pole
102	Electricity Pole	102	Electricity Pole
103	Electricity Pole	103	Electricity Pole
104	Electricity Pole	104	Electricity Pole
105	Electricity Pole	105	Electricity Pole
106	Electricity Pole	106	Electricity Pole
107	Electricity Pole	107	Electricity Pole
108	Electricity Pole	108	Electricity Pole
109	Electricity Pole	109	Electricity Pole
110	Electricity Pole	110	Electricity Pole
111	Electricity Pole	111	Electricity Pole
112	Electricity Pole	112	Electricity Pole
113	Electricity Pole	113	Electricity Pole
114	Electricity Pole	114	Electricity Pole
115	Electricity Pole	115	Electricity Pole
116	Electricity Pole	116	Electricity Pole
117	Electricity Pole	117	Electricity Pole
118	Electricity Pole	118	Electricity Pole
119	Electricity Pole	119	Electricity Pole
120	Electricity Pole	120	Electricity Pole

LINE TYPE LEGEND			
100	Electricity Pole	100	Electricity Pole
101	Electricity Pole	101	Electricity Pole
102	Electricity Pole	102	Electricity Pole
103	Electricity Pole	103	Electricity Pole
104	Electricity Pole	104	Electricity Pole
105	Electricity Pole	105	Electricity Pole
106	Electricity Pole	106	Electricity Pole
107	Electricity Pole	107	Electricity Pole
108	Electricity Pole	108	Electricity Pole
109	Electricity Pole	109	Electricity Pole
110	Electricity Pole	110	Electricity Pole
111	Electricity Pole	111	Electricity Pole
112	Electricity Pole	112	Electricity Pole
113	Electricity Pole	113	Electricity Pole
114	Electricity Pole	114	Electricity Pole
115	Electricity Pole	115	Electricity Pole
116	Electricity Pole	116	Electricity Pole
117	Electricity Pole	117	Electricity Pole
118	Electricity Pole	118	Electricity Pole
119	Electricity Pole	119	Electricity Pole
120	Electricity Pole	120	Electricity Pole



For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use

REVISIONS		
No.	Date	Description

**RICs** **Horizon** **cabe**

Markel Louren: Cas@bblay.ie / Munaghan Ireland  
Tel: 042 874671 / Mobile: 087 664552 / 087 763355  
Website: www.horizon-group.ie

Client:  
John & Charles Smith

Professional:  
CLW Environmental





Project Title:  
Proposed Extensions to Existing Poultry Houses

Drawn:	TD	Dwg No:	01/002
Scale:	As Shown	Date:	27/08/20

- NOTES:  
1. All levels are relative to Ordnance Datum Malin Head  
2. 50m sq grid relative to Irish Transverse Mercator Co-ordinate reference system  
3. Contours are at .25m intervals

LINE TYPE LEGEND			
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Line
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole

LINE TYPE LEGEND			
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Line
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole
---	Boundary	---	Electricity Pole



For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

REVISIONS		
No.	Date	Description



Client:  
John & Charles Smith

Professional:  
CLW Environmental

Project Title:  
Proposed Extensions to Existing Poultry Houses

Drawn: TD Dwg No: 01/003  
Scale: 1/200 Date: 27/08/20



## ***Appendix No. 4***

### ***Environmental Protection Agency – Draft Guidelines on EIS – Project Type 13***

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*

## PROJECT TYPE 13

<b>Pig-rearing installations; Poultry-rearing installations.</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	The principal concerns which are likely to arise in this context stem from the issues of waste handling (mainly slurry/manure) and odours. The significance of impacts is very much a factor of the site's proximity to sensitive receptors such as aquifers or residences. Such projects frequently dispose of wastes at locations which are not adjacent to the animal rearing operations.
<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Checklist of items to be described:-</b>
<b>Construction:-</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Extension of infrastructure (water, power, access);</li> <li>▼ Site preparation works;</li> <li>▼ Materials;</li> <li>▼ Access.</li> </ul>
<b>Operation (including relevant alternatives):-</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Access and transportation;</li> <li>▼ Food, storage, handling and transportation;</li> <li>▼ Water and power supply;</li> <li>▼ Quantification of inputs (feed, stock, power);</li> <li>▼ Quantification of outputs (animal wastes, products, other wastes);</li> <li>▼ Animal housing structures and associated activities, heating, ventilation, cleaning;</li> <li>▼ Other structures (offices, maintenance);</li> <li>▼ Waste storage, handling and transportation;</li> <li>▼ On-site infrastructure, water storage, roads, fences;</li> <li>▼ Waste disposal areas and transportation routes;</li> <li>▼ Waste disposal methods including equipment, duration, frequency, seasons, weather conditions, monitoring and recording.</li> </ul>
<b>Decommissioning (if applicable):-</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Removability of structures;</li> <li>▼ Long-term contamination.</li> </ul>
<b>Growth:-</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Potential changes in numbers, types, intensity or methods.</li> </ul>
<b>Associated developments:-</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Processing plants;</li> <li>▼ Foodstuff suppliers;</li> <li>▼ Breeding stock suppliers;</li> <li>▼ Equipment suppliers;</li> <li>▼ Off-site infrastructure upgrading.</li> </ul>
<b>Environmental Effects</b>	<b>Typical significant impacts likely to affect:-</b>
<b>Human Beings</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Nuisance and loss of amenity.</li> </ul>
<b>Fauna</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Introduction of predator and scavenger species;</li> <li>▼ Pest control measures;</li> <li>▼ Spreading of disease as a result of contact with contaminated domestic animals/birds, carcasses or slurry.</li> </ul>
<b>Flora</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Potential effects on vegetation due to eutrophication, effluent seepage/run-off;</li> <li>▼ Waste spreading</li> </ul>
<b>Soils (and Geology)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Nutrient levels;</li> <li>▼ Assimilative capacity of soils;</li> <li>▼ Transmissivity and conductivity of geology.</li> </ul>

<b>Pig-rearing installations; Poultry-rearing installations.</b>	
<b>Water</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Leakage of effluent (including during transportation);</li> <li>▼ Pollution by contaminated run-off;</li> <li>▼ Disposal of carcasses;</li> <li>▼ Location and timing of slurry spreading.</li> </ul>
<b>Air</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Malodours arising from housing units and manure/slurry stores;</li> <li>▼ Malodours arising from slurry spreading;</li> <li>▼ Malodours due to transportation of livestock/slurry;</li> <li>▼ Noise (particularly in anticipation of feeding);</li> <li>▼ Volatilisation of ammonia.</li> </ul>
<b>Climate</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Gases emitted from slurry/manure;</li> <li>▼ Methane (contribution to greenhouse gases);</li> <li>▼ Ammonia (contribution to acidifying gases).</li> </ul>
<b>The Landscape</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Visibility of structures;</li> <li>▼ Potential visual impact as a result of water body eutrophication;</li> <li>▼ Impact of odours on amenities and landscape character.</li> </ul>
<b>Material Assets</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Potential positive impact if slurry/manure gases are trapped for energy usage;</li> <li>▼ Source of soil nutrients.</li> </ul>
<b>Cultural Heritage</b>	
<b>The Interaction of the Foregoing</b>	
<b>Possible Mitigation Options</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▼ Re-cycling of slurry/manure as energy source or fertiliser;</li> <li>▼ Monitoring of waste disposal;</li> <li>▼ Management of waste disposal;</li> <li>▼ Noise absorption measures;</li> <li>▼ Effective slurry containment.</li> </ul>

## ***Appendix No. 5***

### ***Details of Poultry Litter Contractor***

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



# McCARTNEY CONTRACTORS Ltd.

Moynalty, Kells, Co. Meath. Tel: 046-9244444 Fax: 046-9244183

14<sup>th</sup> September 2020.

## To whom it may concern:

RE: John and Charles Smith Lislea, Virginia, Co. Cavan

McCartney Contractors Ltd removes poultry Litter from the above site.

We can confirm that same will be transported in accordance with Animal By-Product regulations.

All records will be maintained in our office included annual returns to Nitrate Section Johnstown Castle (R3 Forms).

Department of Agricultural food and Marine registered number AMC

If you require any additional information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

*Andrew McCartney*

-----  
Andrew McCartney.

## ***Appendix No. 6***

### ***Animal Tissue Disposal***

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*



John & Charles Smith Sites At:  
Lislea,  
Co. Cavan


19.08.20

**To Whom It May Concern:**

We wish to confirm that we collect and dispose of poultry from the above named individual on a regular basis. The poultry are contained in 240 litre or 660 litre wheelie bins. Our plant at Nobber, which was custom built on a green field site in 1989 is fully equipped with a modern effluent system, which is regularly monitored by the E.P.A. under IPC licence no. P0037-03. We pride ourselves on having a good reputation in the Rendering Industry, and we have been certified under EU Directive 1069/2009, which governs the industry.

If you require any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours faithfully,

  
Ita Brady  
Transport Manager

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



**COLLEGE  
GROUP**

College, Nobber, Co Meath, A62 KT61, Ireland  
+353 45 909 5000 info@collegegrup.ie www.collegegrup.ie



## ***Appendix No. 7***

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

## **Appendix No. 8**

### **Extent and Location of Lands Available for Application of Soiled Water**

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.





**An tAonán Talmhaíochta,  
Bia agus Mara**  
Department of Agriculture,  
Food and the Marine

For Basic Payment Scheme, Areas of Natural Constraint  
Scheme and other Area-Based Schemes Purposes only  
Year: 2020 Scale: 1:5000

Name: JOHN SMITH  
Address: LONGFIELD  
VIRGINIA  
CO CAVAN

Townland Code: B17114  
Townland Name: RANTAVAN

Parcel	Digitised	MEA*	Claimed
B1711400043	0.94	0.93	0.9
B1711400064	5.98	5.98	5.84

Exclusions	Parcel	Excl	Area	Red%	Elig	Type
B1711400043	0020	0.01	100	0	Ineligible	

Ortho Used: COL\_ORTHO\_FULL\_COV

All areas displayed above are in hectares  
\* MEA calculation available online via [agfood.ie](http://agfood.ie)

©Digital Globe

Imagery Dates: 24/03/2017  
Unauthorized reproduction is not permitted.



B1711631

Page 1 of 6 Mon Dec 30 18:48:41 2019



For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

B1711400064

B1711400043  
0020





An Roinn Talmhaíochta,  
Bia agus Mara  
Department of Agriculture,  
Food and the Marine

For Basic Payment Scheme, Areas of Natural Constraint  
Scheme and other Area-Based Schemes Purposes only  
Year: 2020 Scale: 1:6000

Name: JOHN SMITH  
Address: LONGFIELD  
VIRGINIA  
CO CAVAN

Townland Code: B17114  
Townland Name: RANTAVAN

Parcel	Digitised	MEA*	Claimed
B1711400006	2.52	2.52	2.49
B1711400007	6.46	6.46	6.35
B1711400031	7.07	7.05	7.01
B1711400044	1.09	0	0

Exclusions	Parcel	Excl	Area	Red%	Elig	Type
B1711400031	0014	0.02	100	0		Pond
B1711400044	0021	0.1	40	0.06		Scrub

Ortho Used: COL\_ORTHO\_FULL\_COV

All areas displayed above are in hectares  
\* MEA calculation available online via agfood.ie

©Digital Globe, ©Digital Globe

Imagery Dates: 24/03/2017, 28/06/2018, 18/06/2017, 28/06/2018  
Unauthorized reproduction is not permitted.



B1711631

Page 2 of 6 Mon Dec 30 18:48:41 2019







An Roinn Talmhaíochta,  
Bia agus Mara  
Department of Agriculture,  
Food and the Marine

For Basic Payment Scheme, Areas of Natural Constraint  
Scheme and other Area-Based Schemes Purposes only  
Year: 2020 Scale: 1:4000

Name: JOHN SMITH  
Address: LONGFIELD  
VIRGINIA  
CO CAVAN

Townland Code: F10632  
Townland Name: POWERSTOWN

Parcel	Digitised	MEA*	Claimed
F1063200004	4.87	4.87	4.87

Ortho Used: COL\_ORTHO\_FULL\_COV

All areas displayed above are in hectares  
\* MEA calculation available online via agfood.ie


©Bluesky International Ltd. 2018

Imagery Dates: 25/12/2018  
Unauthorized reproduction is not permitted.



B1711631

Page 3 of 6 Mon Dec 30 18:48:41 2019





An Roinn Talmhaíochta,  
Bia agus Mara  
Department of Agriculture,  
Food and the Marine

For Basic Payment Scheme, Areas of Natural Constraint  
Scheme and other Area-Based Schemes Purposes only  
Year: 2020 Scale: 1:5000

Name: JOHN SMITH  
Address: LONGFIELD  
VIRGINIA  
CO CAVAN

Townland Code: Q13409  
Townland Name: FLETCHERSTOWN

Parcel	Digitised	MEA*	Claimed
Q1340900010	8.21	8.21	8.21
Q1340900011	0.48	0	0
Q1340900034	1.19	0	0
Q1340900035	3.97	3.97	3.5

Ortho Used: COL\_ORTHO\_FULL\_COV

All areas displayed above are in hectares  
\* MEA calculation available online via agfood.ie


©Bluesky International Ltd. 2018

Imagery Dates: 28/06/2018  
Unauthorized reproduction is not permitted.



B1711631

Page 4 of 6 Mon Dec 30 18:48:41 2019







An tAon Talmhaíochta,  
Bia agus Mara  
Department of Agriculture,  
Food and the Marine

For Basic Payment Scheme, Areas of Natural Constraint  
Scheme and other Area-Based Schemes Purposes only  
Year: 2020 Scale: 1:5000

Name: JOHN SMITH  
Address: LONGFIELD  
VIRGINIA  
CO CAVAN

Townland Code: Q13409  
Townland Name: FLETCHERSTOWN

Parcel	Digitised	MEA*	Claimed
Q1340900017	3.27	3.27	3.2
Q1340900020	4.71	4.71	4.6

Ortho Used: COL\_ORTHO\_FULL\_COV

All areas displayed above are in hectares  
\* MEA calculation available online via agfood.ie


©Bluesky International Ltd. 2018

Imagery Dates: 28/06/2018  
Unauthorized reproduction is not permitted.



B1711631

Page 5 of 6 Mon Dec 30 18:48:42 2019







An Roinn Talmhaíochta,  
Bia agus Mara  
Department of Agriculture,  
Food and the Marine

For Basic Payment Scheme, Areas of Natural Constraint  
Scheme and other Area-Based Schemes Purposes only  
Year: 2020 Scale: 1:5000

Name: JOHN SMITH  
Address: LONGFIELD  
VIRGINIA  
CO CAVAN

Townland Code: Q15510  
Townland Name: CORBALLIS

Parcel	Digitised	MEA*	Claimed
Q1551000003	8.85	8.85	8.48
Q1551000009	6.07	4.44	4.44
Q1551000010	1.23	0	0

Exclusions					
Parcel	Excl	Area	Red%	Elig	Type
Q1551000009	0002	1.79	60	0.72	Scrub
Q1551000009	0003	0.52	100	0	Ineligible

Ortho Used: COL\_ORTHO\_FULL\_COV

All areas displayed above are in hectares  
\* MEA calculation available online via [agfood.ie](http://agfood.ie)


©2015 by Bluesky International Ltd.

Imagery Dates: 13/05/2016  
Unauthorized reproduction is not permitted.



B1711631

Page 6 of 6 Mon Dec 30 18:48:42 2019



## ***Appendix No. 9***

### ***Feed Details***

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*

28<sup>th</sup> January 2013



**TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN.**

Carton Bros. Ltd. own and operate their own Feed Mill at Shinan, Shercock, Co. Cavan. This gives them complete control over feed formulations and feed quality. As part of an on-going policy of producing the most cost-effective poultry rations consistent with meeting the nutritional requirements for optimum production, Carton Bros. Ltd have adopted the latest technologies for reducing excretion of excess nutrients.

Carton Bros. Ltd were the first Feed Mill in Ireland to use the enzyme "Phytase" across their full range of feeds since March 1999. This has reduced Phosphate excretion by up to 30%. The use of other enzymes to improve the digestibility of feed has allowed smaller quantities to be fed, thus reducing litter output. Carton Bros. Ltd have always formulated to the lowest crude protein content possible thereby minimising the excretion of Nitrate in the litter.

Carton Bros. Ltd are constantly evaluating any new technologies which become available to minimise poultry manure and nutrient excretion and are available to discuss this matter at any time.

Yours Sincerely,

Tom Horan  
Agriculture Manager

Directors:  
Thos. P. Carton  
Justin T. Carton  
Vincent A. T. Carton  
Reg. Office: Besser Drive, Clondalkin  
Industrial Estate, Dublin 22.  
Reg. No. 7313.  
VAT No. IE 84984678



## **Appendix No. 10**

### **General/Mixed Waste Disposal**

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



## WASTE COLLECTION PERMIT

### Waste Management (Collection Permit) Regulations, 2007 as amended

Offaly County Council as the National Waste Collection Permit Office being a nominated authority under Section 34(1)(aa) of the Waste Management Act 1996, has granted a waste collection permit to:

**Applicant Name: Wilton Waste Recycling Ltd** (herein called the permit holder)

**Trading As: Wilton Waste Recycling**

**Permit Number: NWCPO-12-11001-05**

**Trading Address: Kiffa Crosserlough Co. Cavan**

**Registered Company Address: Kiffa Crosserlough Co. Cavan**

**Contact Phone Number: 0494336476**

**Valid From: 6/10/2017**

**Valid to and Expires on: 5/10/2022**

This permit, issued to the aforementioned permit holder, is subject to the attached schedule of conditions and authorises this permit holder to:

- Only collect the waste type(s) specified in Appendix A (List of Waste six digit codes)
- Only transfer waste to the facilities specified in Appendix B
- Only use vehicle(s) specified in Appendix C, and for household kerbside waste collection, only use vehicles listed Appendix C Table 2
- Only collect waste within the local authority areas specified in Appendix D

Any non-compliance with the conditions of this permit is an offence under the Waste Management (Collection Permit) Regulations, 2007 as amended and Section 34(1) of the Waste Management Act 1996.

Signed:

*J. Mallon*  
for Programme Manager


Date:


6/10/2017

## ***Appendix No. 11***

### ***Extracts from Cavan County Development Plan 2014 - 2020***

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*





not to scale



# COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2014 - 2020

## COUNTY CAVAN

## RIVER/LAKESIDE AMENITIES AND PARKS

## MAP 11

### FOREST PARKS AND OTHER PARKS REFERENCE

- P1 - Killykeen Forest Park, Lough Oughter
- P2 - Dun a Ri Forest Park, Kingscourt
- P3 - Con Smith Park, Cavan
- P4 - Bellamont Forest, Cootehill

### LAKESIDE AMENITY AREAS REFERENCE

- L1 - Killykeen Forest Park, Lough Oughter
- L2 - Dun a Ri Forest Park, Kingscourt
- L3 - Annagh Lake, Butlersbridge
- L4 - Rann Point, Lough Oughter
- L5 - Town Lough, Killeshandra
- L6 - Greenlough, Cavan
- L7 - Lavey Strand (N.3)
- L8 - Annafarney, Shercock, Lough Sillan
- L9 - Town Park, Bailieborough Lake
- L10 - Cloone, Lough Gowna
- L11 - Dernaferst, Lough Gowna
- L12 - Kilnahard, Lough Sheelin
- L13 - Crover, Lough Sheelin
- L14 - Tonagh, Lough Sheelin
- L15 - Virginia Town, Lough Ramor
- L16 - Old Quay, Corronagh, Lough Ramor
- L17 - Mullagh Lough, Mullagh

### RIVERSIDE AMENITY AREAS REFERENCE

- R1- Annalee (Butlersbridge Riverside Walk)
- R2 - Annalee (Ballyhaise Amenity Park)
- R3 - Annalee (Corrick Bridge Amenity Park)
- R4 - Shannon, Dowra  
(Proposed Riverside Park)
- R5 - Erne (Belturbet Riverside Park)
- R6 - Woodford River (Erne-Shannon  
Canal Link) Towpath Amenity

### LEGEND

- Towns
- Riverside Amenity Areas
- Lakeside Amenity Areas
- 🌲 Forest and other Parks
- National Primary Roads
- National Secondary Roads
- Regional Roads (refer to text)




NOT TO SCALE

# COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2014 - 2020


## COUNTY CAVAN

## SPECIAL AREAS OF CONSERVATION

MAP 2



not to scale






# COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2014 - 2020

## COUNTY CAVAN

## HIGH LANDSCAPE AREAS AND MAJOR LAKES

MAP 8



not to scale



## **Appendix No. 12**

### **Extract from General Soil Map of Ireland.**

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

the Controller of H.M. Stationery Office.

Smaller islands not surveyed.

6

5

4

3


2

1


Broad Physiographic Divisions	Soil Association			Parent Material	Per cent of total area
	Nos.	Principal Soil	Associated Soils		
Drumlin (Wet Mineral and Organic Soils)	25	Gleys (50%)*	Acid Brown Earths (40%) Interdrumlin Peat and Peaty Gleys (10%)	Mostly Ordovician - Silurian shale sandstone glacial till	2.57 3.66
	26	Gleys (60)*	Acid Brown Earths (40)	Basalt glacial till	1.86
	27	Gleys (85)*	Interdrumlin Peat and Peaty Gleys (15)	Mostly Upper Carboniferous limestone and shale - sandstone glacial till	3.77 4.73
	28	Grey Brown Podzolics (60)	Gleys (20), Interdrumlin Peat and Peaty Gleys (20)	Mostly limestone glacial till	3.43 3.23
	29	Acid Brown Earths (75)	Interdrumlin Peat and Peaty Gleys (25)	Mostly Ordovician - Silurian shale - glacial till	1.16 2.73
Drumlin (Drier Mineral and Organic Soils)	30	Grey Brown Podzolics (70)	Brown Earths (20) Gleys (5) Basin Peat (5)	Limestone morainic gravels and sands	2.64 2.18
	31	Minimal Grey Brown Podzolics (80)	Gleys (10) Brown Earths (5) Basin Peat (5)	Limestone glacial till	4.47 3.70
	32	Degraded Grey Brown Podzolics (50)	Peat (15) Brown Earths (15) Gleys (10), Podzols (10)	Mostly limestone glacial till	3.08 2.56
	33	Shallow Brown Earths and Rendzinas (60)	Grey Brown Podzolics (25) Gleys (10) Peat (5)	Limestone till, shallow in places	3.21 2.66
	34	Minimal Grey Brown Podzolics (70)	Gleys (20) Brown Earths (10)	Limestone glacial till	6.02 4.98
	35	Grey Brown Podzolics (80)	Gleys (10), Brown Earths (10)	Stony limestone glacial till	.64 .53
	36	Grey Brown Podzolics (80)	Gleys (20)	Limestone gravelly till	.70 .58
	37	Grey Brown Podzolics (75)	Gleys (20), Brown Earths (5)	Limestone and shale glacial till	1.42 1.18
	38	Grey Brown Podzolics (75)	Gleys (25)	Till of Irish Sea origin with limestone and shale	1.14 .95
	39	Gleys** (90)	Grey Brown Podzolics (10)	Limestone glacial till	3.27 2.86
	40	Gleys* (80)	Grey Brown Podzolics (20)	Till of Irish Sea origin with limestone and shale	2.07 1.66
	41	Gleys* (80)	Grey Brown Podzolics (20)	Till of Irish Sea origin with limestone and shale	2.07 1.66
Flat to Undulating Lowland (Mainly dry Mineral Soils)	42	Minimal Grey Brown Podzolics (80)	Gleys (10), Brown Earths (10)	Limestone glacial till	4.47 3.70
	43	Degraded Grey Brown Podzolics (50)	Peat (15) Brown Earths (15) Gleys (10), Podzols (10)	Mostly limestone glacial till	3.08 2.56
	44	Shallow Brown Earths and Rendzinas (60)	Grey Brown Podzolics (25) Gleys (10) Peat (5)	Limestone till, shallow in places	3.21 2.66
	45	Minimal Grey Brown Podzolics (70)	Gleys (20) Brown Earths (10)	Limestone glacial till	6.02 4.98
	46	Grey Brown Podzolics (80)	Gleys (10), Brown Earths (10)	Stony limestone glacial till	.64 .53
	47	Grey Brown Podzolics (80)	Gleys (20)	Limestone gravelly till	.70 .58
	48	Grey Brown Podzolics (75)	Gleys (20), Brown Earths (5)	Limestone and shale glacial till	1.42 1.18
	49	Grey Brown Podzolics (75)	Gleys (25)	Till of Irish Sea origin with limestone and shale	1.14 .95
	50	Gleys** (90)	Grey Brown Podzolics (10)	Limestone glacial till	3.27 2.86
	51	Gleys* (80)	Grey Brown Podzolics (20)	Till of Irish Sea origin with limestone and shale	2.07 1.66
	52	Gleys* (80)	Grey Brown Podzolics (20)	Till of Irish Sea origin with limestone and shale	2.07 1.66
	53	Gleys* (80)	Grey Brown Podzolics (20)	Till of Irish Sea origin with limestone and shale	2.07 1.66

Parent Material	Per cent of total area
Mostly granite or rhyolite glacial till	1.13 2.50
Mixed sandstone, limestone glacial till	1.69 1.40
Ordovician - Silurian - Cambrian shale glacial till	4.22 4.32
Sandstone, Lower Avonian shale glacial till	6.31 5.23
Morainic sands and gravels and blown sands	.42 .35
Basalt glacial till	.02 1.35
Sandstone, granite, mica schist glacial till	.74 .61
Upper Carboniferous shale and sandstone glacial till	.77 .64
Mica schist glacial till	1.41





NATIONAL GRID



The Grid is divided into lettered Sub-Zones as shown by the diagram. The termination of the grid lines are shown at 10 km intervals on the frame line.

A grid reference consists of the appropriate Sub-Zone letter, the Easting co-ordinate, and the Northing co-ordinate of the position given in that sequence.

EXAMPLE: Part L94 5 4 9



## ***Appendix No. 13***

### ***Local Water Quality Data***

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.





## EPA RIVER QUALITY SURVEYS: BIOLOGICAL

Biotic indices ("Q Values") reflect average water quality at any location as follows:

Q Value*	WFD Status	Pollution Status	Condition **
Q5, Q4-5	High	Unpolluted	Satisfactory
Q4	Good	Unpolluted	Satisfactory
Q3-4	Moderate	Slightly polluted	Unsatisfactory
Q3, Q2-3	Poor	Moderately polluted	Unsatisfactory
Q2, Q1-2	Bad	Severely polluted	Unsatisfactory

\* These Values are based primarily on the relative proportions of pollution sensitive to tolerant macroinvertebrates (the young stages of insects primarily but also snails, worms, shrimps etc.) resident at a river site. The intermediate values (Q1-2, 2-3, 3-4 etc.) denote transitional conditions. The scheme mainly reflects the effects of organic pollution (i.e. de-oxygenation and eutrophication) but where a toxic effect is apparent or suspected the suffix '0' is added to the biotic index (e.g. Q1/0, 2/0 or 3/0). An asterisk after the Q value (e.g. Q3\*) indicates something worthy of special attention, typically heavy siltation of the substratum.

\*\* "Condition" refers to the likelihood of interference with beneficial or potential beneficial uses.

Also presented is a description of the exact location surveyed with relevant OS Grid Reference, WFD river water body code and relevant Local Authority.

## Hydrometric Area 07

Name	Code
ATHBOY	07A01
BLACKWATER (KELLS)	07B01
BLACKWATER (LONGWOOD)	07B02
BOYCETOWN	07B03
BOYNE	07B04
CASTLEJORDAN	07C04
CHAPEL LAKE STREAM	07C05
CLADY (MEATH)	07C01
CROSS WATER	07C02
CROSSKEYS STREAM	07C07
D'ARCY'S CROSSROADS STREAM	07D06
DEEL (RAHARNEY)	07D01
DEVLIN'S	07D02
DRUMKEERY LOUGH STREAM	07D03
GLASH	07G02
KINNEGAD	07K01
KNIGHTSBROOK	07K02
LISLEA	07L01
Lough Lene-Adeel Stream	07L03
MATTOCK	07M01
MILLTOWNPASS	07M04
MOYNALTY	07M03

Name	Code
MULLAGH LOUGH STREAM	07M06
NADREEGEEL LOUGH STREAM	07N01
RIVERSTOWN	07R01
ROCHFORTBRIDGE STREAM	07R04
SKANE	07S01
STONYFORD	07S02
TROMMAN STREAM	07T01
YELLOW (BLACKWATER)	07Y01
YELLOW (CASTLEJORDAN)	07Y02

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

**BLACKWATER (KELLS)****07B01**

Date Surveyed (last survey year only): 07/08/18, 09/08/18, 14/08/18, 16/08/18, 17/08/18

**Biological Quality Rating (Q Values)**

Station Code	1973	1974	1975	1977	1978	1980	1982	1984	1987	1988	1989	1990	1994	1997	2000	2003	2006	2009	2012	2015	2018
RS07B010100		3-4			4-5	4	4-5	4-5					4	4	4-5	4-5	4	4	4*	4*	4
RS07B010170					1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2-3	2	2-3	2-3	3	3-4	3-4	2-3
RS07B010200		1-2			1	1	1	1/0	1	1	1	1	2						2-3		
RS07B010280												3	3	3	3	3*	3		3	3	3
RS07B010300		4			4	4	3-4	3-4													
RS07B010400		3																			
RS07B010420								3-4				3-4	3-4	3-4	4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4
RS07B010450						4	4	4				3-4									
RS07B010500		4-5		5	4-5	3-4	3-4	3-4		5		4	3-4	3-4	3-4	4	4	4	4	4	4
RS07B010600					4	4	3-4	3-4				3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	4	4	4	4	3-4
RS07B010700		5			4	4-5	3-4	3-4				3-4									
RS07B010800		5			4	4	4	4				4	3-4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3-4
RS07B010810								1				3-4									
RS07B010900		3-4			2-3	3		3				3	3	3	3	3	3				
RS07B011000		4			3-4		3-4	4				3-4	3	3	3-4	3	3	3	3	3	3-4
RS07B011100		4-5			4	4		4				4	4	4	4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	4



Station Code	1973	1974	1975	1977	1978	1980	1982	1984	1987	1988	1989	1990	1994	1997	2000	2003	2006	2009	2012	2015	2018
RS07B011200		5			4-5	4		4-5				4	3-4	4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4
RS07B011300		4-5			4	3-4		3-4				4	3-4	3-4	3-4	3-4					
RS07B011400	4		4	5		4		4				4	4	4	4	4	4				
RS07B011500	5		5	5		4		4				4	3-4	4	3-4	4	4	4	3-4	3	3-4
RS07B011790															3-4	3-4		3-4	3-4		
RS07B011800	4-5		4	4-5		4		3-4				4	3-4	3							3

**Most Recent Assessment:**

The Blackwater (Kells) River was in unsatisfactory ecological condition at nine of the twelve stations surveyed in 2018. Station 0170 (at Drumbannon) downstream of Baileborough deteriorated to poor ecological condition after improving slightly in 2012. Station 0800 at the inflow to Lough Ramor and 0600 at Stramaquerty Br also deteriorated and are now at moderate ecological status. Daly's Bridge (1000) improved to moderate ecological status and the Br near Carnaross (1100) improved to good ecological status. Donaghpatrick Bridge (1500) downstream of Kells & the Moynalty River confluence has also improved since the last survey and is now at moderate biological status. A new site was sampled at the Slane Road Bridge in Navan (1800) upstream of the Boyne confluence and was found to be at poor ecological status. The dominance of pollution tolerant macroinvertebrate taxa continues to indicate unsatisfactory ecological conditions in the Baileborough area (0170) and downstream (0280, 0420) and downstream of Lough Ramor (1000, 1200). Satisfactory conditions remain at sites 0100 and 0500.

**Station Details**

Station Code	Station Location	WFD Waterbody Code	Easting	Northing	Local Authority
RS07B010100	Br 2km NE of Bailieborough	IE_EA_07B010100	269701	298172	Cavan County Council
RS07B010170	Br at Drumbannon	IE_EA_07B010170	267726	298007	Cavan County Council
RS07B010200	Br at XRds SW (u/s) Castle L	IE_EA_07B010280	267047	298787	Cavan County Council
RS07B010280	3rd Br d/s Castle L	IE_EA_07B010280	265967	298102	Cavan County Council
RS07B010300	Br at XRds SW of Castle L	IE_EA_07B010420	265482	297985	Cavan County Council
RS07B010400	Parkers Br	IE_EA_07B010420	264676	297253	Cavan County Council

Station Code	Station Location	WFD Waterbody Code	Easting	Northing	Local Authority
RS07B010420	Br d/s Parker's Br	IE_EA_07B010420	263608	296703	Cavan County Council
RS07B010450	Br at Carricknaveagh	IE_EA_07B010500	262396	293934	Cavan County Council
RS07B010500	Br in Killinkere	IE_EA_07B010500	261048	293535	Cavan County Council
RS07B010600	Stramaquerty Br (nr Drumagolan)	IE_EA_07B010600	259490	292094	Cavan County Council
RS07B010700	BLACKWATER (KELLS) - Murmod Br (2km u/s Virginia)	IE_EA_07B010800	259961	288849	Cavan County Council
RS07B010800	Just u/s L Ramor (RHS)	IE_EA_07B010800	260410	287053	Cavan County Council
RS07B010810	Just u/s L Ramor (LHS)	IE_EA_07B010800	260410	287053	Cavan County Council
RS07B010900	Nine Eyes Bridge	IE_EA_07B011000	263048	283407	Cavan County Council
RS07B011000	Daly's Br	IE_EA_07B011000	265254	280352	Cavan County Council
RS07B011100	Br nr Carnaross	IE_EA_07B011100	268618	277967	Meath County Council
RS07B011200	Mabe's Br	IE_EA_07B011200	273629	277305	Meath County Council
RS07B011300	Sedenrath Br (Headford Br)	IE_EA_07B011500	276189	275984	Meath County Council
RS07B011400	Bloomsberry Br	IE_EA_07B011500	279341	273989	Meath County Council
RS07B011500	Donaghpatrick Br	IE_EA_07B011500	281932	272321	Meath County Council
RS07B011790	100m d/s New Bypass Br	IE_EA_07B011800	286928	268164	Meath County Council
RS07B011800	Slane Rd Br Navan	IE_EA_07B011800	287197	268017	Meath County Council

**LISLEA****07L01**

Date Surveyed (last survey year only): 15/08/18

**Biological Quality Rating (Q Values)**

Station Code	1980	1988	1994	1997	2000	2003	2006	2009	2012	2015	2018
RS07L010100	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

**Most Recent Assessment:**

Continuing satisfactory in 2018, although signs of enrichment persist, with enhanced macroalgal growth noted.

**Station Details**

Station Code	Station Location	WFD Waterbody Code	Easting	Northing	Local Authority
RS07L010100	Br u/s Lough Ramor	IE_EA_07L010100	262266	286191	Cavan County Council

## ***Appendix No. 14***

### ***Met Data***

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*



Clones 1978–2007 averages													
TEMPERATURE (degrees Celsius)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year
daily max	7.2	7.8	9.7	12.1	15.1	17.4	19.2	18.8	16.6	13.1	9.8	7.6	12.9
mean daily min	1.6	1.6	3.0	4.2	6.5	9.5	11.4	11.1	9.2	6.6	3.9	2.3	5.9
mean temperature	4.4	4.7	6.4	8.1	10.8	13.4	15.3	15.0	12.9	9.9	6.8	5.0	9.4
absolute max.	14.8	16.3	16.9	21.5	25.9	29.3	30.5	28.3	25.3	20.1	16.9	14.8	30.5
min. maximum	-3.8	-0.4	2.3	0.0	0.0	10.1	10.7	11.3	10.7	6.2	1.6	-5.7	-5.7
max. minimum	12.0	11.3	11.0	12.1	13.4	16.9	18.7	18.0	17.1	15.4	12.7	12.6	18.7
absolute min.	-12.4	-7.3	-6.8	-3.8	-3.7	1.6	4.6	3.5	0.2	-4.5	-5.4	-11.0	-12.4
mean num. of days with air frost	9.4	8.5	5.0	2.5	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	4.2	7.4	38.4
mean num. of days with ground frost	17.0	15.0	13.0	11.0	6.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	5.0	12.0	15.0	97.0
mean 5cm soil	3.5	3.6	5.2	8.1	12.1	14.9	16.4	15.6	12.8	9.3	6.2	4.4	9.4
mean 10cm soil	4.0	4.0	5.3	7.7	11.1	14.0	15.6	15.1	12.7	9.6	6.7	4.9	9.2
mean 20cm soil	4.6	4.6	6.0	8.1	11.3	14.0	15.7	15.5	13.5	10.6	7.6	5.6	9.8
RELATIVE HUMIDITY (%)													
mean at 0900UTC	89.9	88.7	86.6	81.8	77.4	78.8	81.7	84.6	87.3	89.3	90.8	90.9	85.7
mean at 1500UTC	83.3	77.3	72.9	67.5	66.5	68.7	69.6	71.2	72.8	77.3	82.5	85.9	74.6
SUNSHINE (hours)													
mean daily duration	1.5	2.2	3.0	4.6	5.6	4.6	4.4	4.2	3.6	2.8	1.8	1.2	3.3
greatest daily duration	7.5	9.8	11.0	13.1	15.5	16.0	15.2	14.4	12.0	9.6	8.5	6.9	16.0
mean num. of days with no sun	12.1	8.3	6.0	3.8	2.2	2.6	2.2	2.8	4.0	6.9	10.3	13.2	74.3
W FALL (mm)													
mean monthly total	87.6	71.0	84.0	61.6	63.4	70.9	70.8	88.7	76.2	102.7	85.1	98.4	960.4
greatest daily total	30.0	26.9	34.0	23.5	37.7	38.1	50.3	74.6	27.1	43.8	33.1	31.9	74.6
mean num. of days with $\geq 0.2$ mm	20	17	20	16	16	17	18	18	18	20	19	19	218
mean num. of days with $\geq 1.0$ mm	15	12	15	12	12	12	13	13	13	15	14	15	161
mean num. of days with $\geq 5.0$ mm	6	5	6	4	4	5	4	5	5	7	6	7	64
WIND (knots)													
mean monthly speed	9.2	9.4	9.4	7.9	7.2	6.7	6.3	6.3	7.0	7.8	8.2	8.7	7.8
max. gust	70	81	69	61	53	50	53	49	57	62	60	72	61.4
max. mean 10-minute speed	46	51	41	34	34	31	30	29	37	37	35	44	37.5
mean num. of days with gales	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.4	2.2
WEATHER (mean no. of days with..)													
snow or sleet	4.9	4.7	3.8	1.8	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	2.6	18.0
snow lying at 0900UTC	2.8	1.1	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	1.2	6.2
hail	0.8	1.6	2.8	2.3	1.3	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.4	0.8	10.8
thunder	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	3.8
fog	3.7	3.6	2.2	2.2	1.5	1.3	1.6	2.9	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.4	34.8

## **Appendix No. 15**

### **Dept. of Agriculture – Minimum Specification for Screening belts for Farmyards and Farm Buildings – S 135**

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*

MINIMUM SPECIFICATION FOR SCREENING BELTS AND SHELTER BELTS  
FOR FARMYARDS AND FARM BUILDINGS

The receiving of this specification does not imply approval of a grant application. However, if written approval is issued, then this specification becomes part of the contract between the applicant and the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food.

This is a minimum specification. Where the word "SHALL" is used, then that standard (at least) must be followed in grant-aided buildings. Where a procedure is "RECOMMENDED", this is advice only on good practice.

Note that all references to other Department Specifications are to the current edition of that specification [available on the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food Website ([www.agriculture.gov.ie](http://www.agriculture.gov.ie)) under Farm buildings]. Similarly, references to Standards are to the current edition of the Irish, British or European Standard, as appropriate.

This specification describes the installation and maintenance of trees to screen or shelter a single farm building, or collection of buildings. **Screening** belts refer to rows or groups of trees planted to hide obtrusive buildings, or to soften their impact, particularly in scenic landscapes. **Shelter** belts may also screen buildings, but have the particular purpose of moderating strong winds around buildings and farmyards.

## 1. Safety

### **APPLICANT'S RESPONSIBILITY FOR SAFETY**

Applicants are reminded that they have a duty under the Safety, Health, and Welfare at Work Act 2005 to provide a safe working environment on the farm, including farm buildings, for all people who may work on that farm. There is a further duty to ensure that any contractor, or person hired to do building work, provides and/or works in a safe environment during construction. It is the farmer's responsibility to provide a construction stage project supervisor.

### **SAFETY DURING CONSTRUCTION**

**Farmer/Applicant Responsibility:** Certain construction dangers may be encountered in the course of building or conversion work. Neither the Minister or any official of the Department will be in any way liable for any damage, loss or injury to persons, animals or property in the event of any occurrence related to the development and the applicant shall fully indemnify the Minister or any official of the Minister in relation to any such damage, loss or injury howsoever occurring during the development works.

**Dangers:** If any or all of the work is undertaken by the applicant/farmer he/she should seek competent advice and undertake all temporary work required to ensure the stability of excavations, superstructure, stanchion foundations and wall foundations,

also to divert any drains, springs or surface water away from the works, and to guard against possible wind damage, or any other foreseeable risk.

**Power lines:** Farm buildings shall not be constructed under or nearer than 10m to an overhead power supply. If advice is required, or if power lines need to be diverted, it is the applicant's responsibility to contact, in writing, the local ESB supervisor before construction commences, and then to follow the ESB conditions.

**Danger to children:** It is the applicant's responsibility to prevent children from playing or spending time in the vicinity of any building work.

## 2. Design and Layout of Screening Belts

Factors which influence the layout and the design of a **screening belt** are:-

- The direction from which obtrusive buildings have the greatest impact. This would frequently be the public road, but could also be a scenic viewing place, a neighbouring house or houses, or even the applicant's farmhouse.
- The fact that buildings are on a height or on a ridge making them highly visible from a distance.
- The likely future development of the farmyard.  
Trees should not block any obvious or useful sites for possible new buildings.
- Possible root damage to structures. Trees should be set about 20 metres or more from buildings, yards, concrete tanks, silos, etc.
- Buildings on adjoining property. No belts of trees should be planted within 30 metres of neighbouring dwellings or farm buildings.

When trying to soften the impact of obtrusive buildings it is not necessary to surround buildings or yards completely. One or two stands of reasonably tall trees can entirely change the appearance of a farmyard, and integrate it into the landscape, even if some buildings remain visible.

A single row of trees is not an effective screen, and usually looks unnatural. Two to three rows of trees should normally be planted, though informal groups of trees can be just as effective. Very long straight lines of trees should, where possible, be avoided by introducing curves or breaks.

## 3. Design and Layout of Shelter Belts

Factors which influence the design and layout of a **shelter belt** are:-

- The direction of prevailing winds, and of winds, which are particularly strong because of "funnelling" along valleys or around hills.
- The position of buildings or structures, which particularly need shelter (calf or sheep houses, animal yards, etc.)
- Future development of the farm, and distance from existing buildings or neighbouring buildings, as above.



Shelter belts work best when they allow about 50% of the wind to pass through. The wind should be slowed rather than blocked as for instance, by Lawson Cypresses which simply cause turbulence. A mixture of species including spruces, pines, firs, and broad leaves will provide a naturally porous belt, providing good shelter.

Shelter belts should have about five or six rows of trees, though ten or more rows may be necessary where winds are very strongly funnelled. To be effective, shelter belts should extend in both directions well beyond the line of the structure(s) they are protecting.

Unless protection from strong south winds is essential, the area directly to the south of the building(s) should not be planted to ensure adequate sun and light.

#### **4. Site Preparation**

The site should be cleared of any scrub and furze and graded to blend with the immediate surroundings. As young trees establish more easily with some initial protection, all existing barriers such as hedges and stone walls should be retained, where possible.

#### **5. What to Plant**

The choice of species will be based on the following considerations:-

1. The suitability of different species for physical conditions on the site, i.e. -soil type, drainage, exposure etc.
2. The suitability of different species for the landscape. In general deciduous trees are more appropriate than most evergreens. Very narrow tall evergreens (Leyland and Lawson Cypresses) should not be used. They draw attention to buildings and look alien in the Irish landscape. The best indicator of the most suitable species for an area are the trees already grown there successfully and look well (see appendix attached).
3. For both screening and shelter a mixture of species is recommended. Generally one species should predominate at about, 60-70% of planting, with one or two other species, grouped irregularly, providing the remainder. A mixture of too many species should be avoided, as should the use of different species placed in a regular alternating pattern in a long row.

#### **6. When to Plant**

Planting is carried out when the trees are dormant from October to April. Autumn planting is preferred for deciduous trees, while Spring planting March/April is best for evergreens.

#### **7. Handling and Planting**

Ensure that all preparatory work is completed before the trees are delivered. Tree roots must never be allowed dry out. Weather permitting; planting should commence immediately the trees arrive.

## 8. Pit Planting

This method is used on dry mineral soils. The young tree is inserted in a hole 150mm x 150mm x 150mm to the depth it was in the nursery soil. The roots should be teased prior to careful back-filling.

## 9. Ploughing and Mounting

Here planting is done by making a slit on the inverted sod/ribbon and inserting the tree so that the roots are between the two grass layers.

## 10. Spacing

Trees are spaced at two metres apart each way. This works out at 2,500 trees per hectare.

## 11. Fertilizer

Areas enclosed as fields and previously used for intensive farming normally require no further fertilizer. Other poorer areas may require a dressing of 400 kg/ha of rock phosphate. Some midland sites may require 200kg/ha of potash. A top dressing of nitrogen is beneficial to sitka spruce as growth rate is slow.

## 12. Fencing

All stock must be completely excluded from the new plantings. Fences must conform to specification S148. They should be kept close to the edge of the plantation to reduce their obtrusive impact on the landscape. In order to protect the young trees the fence should consist of a minimum of three strands of barbed wire plus one metre high sheep wire.

## 13. Maintenance of Screening Belt

It is essential to control growth of grass and weeds around the young trees during the first four years. Unchecked vegetation growth will result in poor tree establishment. Grass and weeds can be controlled by treading or by the use of suitable herbicides. Failures should be replaced each year.

**Note:** Herbicides shall not be used in close proximity to watercourses, field margins or wildlife habitats.

## 14. Minimum and Maximum Planting Areas

This specification refers **only** to the screening or shelter of farm buildings and farmyards.

The **minimum** area of planting for which this specification shall be used is 0.2ha. The **maximum** area that will be grant-aided is 2ha.

Shelter belts to protect herds or crops, or other forestry plantings on the farm, come under the responsibility of the Forest Service of this Department.

## General Guide to Tree Species for Irish Farm Conditions

### NATIVE BROADLEAVES

SPECIES	OPTIMUM SITE	CHARACTERISTICS	TIMBER QUALITY	REMARKS
Pedunculate Oak <i>Quercus Robur</i>	Well-aerated deep fertile loams. Will do well on heavier soils	Slow growing, long lived tree once the climax vegetation over most of the country	Very high quality timber suitable for many uses. Subject to timber defects when grown on adverse soils	Major forest species. One of our few native broadleaved trees. Very high amenity value
Sessile Oak <i>Quercus Petraea</i>	Tolerates less rich and lighter textured soils than <i>Q. robur</i>	Oaks will not produce good timber on excessively drained or sandy soils	Reputedly slightly better timber than <i>Q. robur</i> but site should determine choice	Major forest species. Native to Ireland. Now designated as Irish national tree
Ash <i>Fraxinus Excelsior</i>	A very exacting species demanding good soil conditions, preferably sheltered, moist well-drained fertile loam soils	A fast growing species regarded as not being suitable for large scale planting	Very high quality timber. Suitable for veneer, furniture and implement handles. High shock resistance	Major forest species. Native tree. Its wide distribution belies the difficulty in producing good quality timber
Wild Cherry <i>Prunus Avium</i>	Fertile deep well-drained mineral soils. Preference for slightly acid soils but will do well on deep loams over limestone	Fast growing, light demanding, requiring considerable space. The only commercial broadleaved tree with attractive blossoms	Produces one of the most valuable furniture and veneer timbers with a reddish brown sheen. Also used for quality turnery products	Major forest species. Native tree. High quality timber production requires good silvicultural management. A very good farm forestry tree. May suffer from bacterial canker and aphid attack
Alder <i>Alnus spp</i>	Common alder is a very hardy accommodating species suitable for wet sites. Good wildlife species. Grey and Italian alders will tolerate and grow well on drier sites. Italian alder is has a preference for more alkaline sites	Fast growing nitrogen fixing tree. Suitable broadleaf for even the wettest sites	Durable general purpose timber with a coarse texture. Less used in recent times	Minor forest species. Common Alder is a native tree. Coppices freely and can be used in mixtures on very infertile sites. Valuable shelter tree
Birch <i>Betula spp</i>	Pioneer species suited to very acid soils and peats	Fast growing, hardy species, withstands exposure and frost well. Useful as a nurse crop in mixtures but must be kept under control or it will smother a slower growing tree species	Not regarded as a timber tree in Ireland. Is used for pulp in Scandinavia	Minor forest species. Native tree. Young trees coppice freely. May be used as a soil improver. Can be mixed into shelterbelts
Willow <i>Salix spp</i>	Useful species for wet sites and streamsides	Fast growing useful for conservation and amenity but rarely for timber production. Willow can be used in a variety of ways as a shelterbelt system	Willow rods are regularly used for basket-making and decorative craftwork	Minor forest species. Native tree. Willow is currently being intensively studies as a suitable species for Short Rotation Forestry (Biomass) as an energy source
Whitebeam <i>Sorbus Aria</i>	Most fertile mineral soils	Attractive amenity tree also suitable for shelter	Not a timber tree	Minor forest species. Native tree. Tolerant of exposed and coastal sites
Rowan <i>Sorbus Aucuparia</i>	Suitable for lowland and hill acidic sites. Will tolerate even alkaline sites	Hardy tree suitable for exposed sites. Widely used amenity tree	Not a timber tree	Minor forest species. Native tree. Offers good support for wildlife

### NON-NATIVE BROADLEAVES

SPECIES	OPTIMUM SITE	CHARACTERISTICS	TIMBER QUALITY	REMARKS
Beech <i>Fagus Sylvatica</i>	Well drained, loamy, fertile soils with a preference for soils derived mainly from limestone	Tolerant of shade when young. Creates dense shade and suppresses ground vegetation as it reaches maturity	Excellent timber. Wide range of uses including veneer, furniture, flooring and panelling	Major forest species. Non-native tree. Benefits from a nurse on exposed sites. Useful for under-planting. Grey squirrels can be very destructive particularly to young beech
Sycamore <i>Acer</i> <i>Pseudoplatanus</i>	Prefers a moderately fertile free draining soil. Tolerant of calcareous soils	Fast growing tree that seeds easily. Withstands exposure and smoke pollution very well	Tough, durable, white timber with a range of uses. Figured sycamore is much sought after for veneer and furniture manufacture	Major forest species. Non-native tree. Grey squirrels can be very harmful. A windfirm tree. Rich in wildlife value. Valuable for shelter
Poplars <i>Populus</i> Hybrid clones	Very exacting species requiring deep, well drained moderately fertile sites	Very fast growing, light demanding tree. Some species susceptible to bacterial canker, select disease resistant clones only	Light hardwood timber with many uses. Suitable for veneer, furniture, joinery, plywood, palletwood and fruit boxes	Potentially major forest species. Non-native tree. Offers great prospects as Short Rotation Forestry species for pulpwood, paper and particle board
Red Oak <i>Quercus Rubra</i>	Grows well on poor sandy soils	A fast growing tree, less suited to heavy soils	Yields good pale reddish brown timber, straight grained and easy to cleave but not quite so strong as Q.robur	Minor forest species. Non-native tree. High amenity because of its red and russet colours in the autumn
Horse Chestnut <i>Aesculus</i> <i>Hippocastanum</i>	Thrives on all except waterlogged sites but has a preference for fertile soils	An excellent amenity tree used mainly for avenues or as a specimen tree	Timber is soft, weak and of limited use	Minor forest species. Non-native tree
Walnut <i>Juglans spp</i>	Deep, well drained, loam textured, moderately fertile soil. Suitable for well sheltered sites with a southerly aspect	<i>J. nigra</i> grows somewhat faster than <i>J. regia</i> but timber may not be as highly figured. Worth pruning to give a clean stem	Strong, tough elastic, high value timber. Valuable decorative timber much used for furniture and veneer	Potentially major forest species. Non-native tree. Abnormal growths called "burr walnut" are much sought after for veneer, an example of diseased or malformed wood being more valuable than healthy timber
Lime <i>Tilia spp</i>	Grows on a wide range of sites, but prefers moist fertile limestone soils	Relatively fast growing. Suitable for planting as an amenity tree. Attracts swarms of aphids in summertime causing sticky "honeydew" to cover foliage that drips off to ground vegetation	A very soft, light, white or yellow timber of limited use, although can be used for turnery and wood carving	Minor forest species. Non-native tree. Tree flowers are strongly scented and a great attraction for many insects and a rich source of nectar for bees
Norway Maple <i>Acer Platanoides</i>	Prefers a deep, moist, alkaline soil. Tolerates less fertile and drier sites than sycamore. Avoid exposed sites and frost hollows	Fast growing tree when young. An attractive amenity tree. Greenish yellow flower makes a beautiful sight in early spring. Brilliant red, green and gold coloured leaves in the autumn	Same as sycamore and used for similar purposes, but slightly inferior and not as attractively grained	Minor forest species. Non-native tree. Grey squirrel can be very damaging



## CONIFERS

SPECIES	OPTIMUM SITE	CHARACTERISTICS	TIMBER QUALITY	REMARKS
Sitka Spruce <i>Picea Sitchensis</i>	Prefers wet mineral soils and peats with previous agricultural use. Well suited to high rainfall areas. quite tolerant of exposed sites	Very fast growing tree. Avoid low rainfall areas, very dry and frost prone sites. Do not plant in single rows for shelter	Reasonably valuable whitewood. General-purpose timber known as "white deal". Used widely in the general building and construction industry	Major forest species. Non-native tree. An excellent pulpwood tree for paper, fibre and particle-board industries
Norway Spruce <i>Picea Abies</i>	Prefers less acid mineral soils and peats	Not as fast growing or as tolerant of poor sites and exposure as sitka. More suitable for planting in hollows than sitka, being more resistant to frost damage	Somewhat superior to sitka making it also suitable for joinery	Major forest species. Non-native tree. Good drainage is important to avoid windthrow. Poor wildlife tree because of its very dense shade. Suitable for shelter
Douglas Fir <i>Pseudotsuga Menziesii</i>	Prefers a moist deep well drained soil of moderate fertility	A fast grower on suitable sites. Ideally suited to sheltered valley slopes. Dislikes waterlogged and shallow soils	An excellent timber of good strength and quality, sometimes referred to as "Oregon pine" it is used for building, flooring, joinery and other uses. Much in demand for transmission poles	Major forest species. Non-native tree. Delayed thinning of crop may lead to windthrow. Poor wildlife value
Lodgepole Pine <i>Pinus Contorta</i>	Grows on the poorest of mineral and peat soils	A fast growing pioneering species. Withstands exposure better than most other species. Up to recent times was widely planted on even the most difficult of sites	A general-purpose timber, suitable for building, joinery and other uses	Minor forest species now. Non-native tree. Suffers greatly from "basal sweep" reducing the quality of the log. One of the best shelter tree species
Larch <i>Larix spp</i>	European larch prefers moist, well drained, moderately fertile loams while both Japanese and hybrid larch will tolerate a wider range of sites with a preference for high rainfall areas	Larches are strong, light demanding, deciduous conifers. First generation hybrid is normally faster growing than Japanese and both are faster than European	All larches produce dense valuable commercial timber which is both heavier and stronger than most other softwoods	Major forest species. Non-native tree. Larches have a high amenity and wildlife value. Produces light shade allowing ground vegetation
Scots Pine <i>Pinus Sylvestris</i>	Thrives on light textured or sandy soils. Tolerant of acid conditions. Avoid poorly drained or alkaline soils and exposure to coastal winds	A strong, light demanding slow growing tree. Can be used as a nurse species. Unsuitable for high elevations or shelter-belt	Good general-purpose softwood timber referred to as "red deal" in the trade. Suitable for construction, flooring, joinery and other uses	Major forest species. Once native but died out, now comes from imported sources. Regarded as the best conifer for both amenity and wildlife. Attracts insects, birds and red squirrels

## CONIFERS

SPECIES	OPTIMUM SITE	CHARACTERISTICS	TIMBER QUALITY	REMARKS
Monterey Pine <i>Pinus Radiata</i>	Light to medium textured free draining loam soils. Can be used on infertile sandy soils. Not frost hardy	Very fast growing tree but often of poor coarse branched form. Requires careful attention to seed selection preferably from New Zealand. Early and heavy pruning helps to produce a worthwhile crop	Not much known about quality of Irish grown timber. Widely used general-purpose timber in southern hemisphere, New Zealand, Australia and Chile	Minor forest species. Non-native tree. A species with potential if quality seed stock can be produced. Suitable for shelterbelts in coastal areas
Western Red Cedar <i>Thuja Plicata</i>	Requires deep free draining fertile soil. Good on alkaline soils. Avoid poor or very acid soils and exposed sites	Shade tolerant moderately fast growing tree. Useful for under-planting	Produces a lightweight timber of moderate strength. Very durable in outdoor situations, suitable for greenhouses, decking and cladding	Minor forest species. Non-native tree. Regarded as good estate tree suitable for screens, mixtures and game cover
Western Hemlock <i>Tsuga Heterophylla</i>	Can tolerate acid mineral soils and the better peats. Suitable for low rainfall areas. Avoid planting on sites where previous conifer crop suffered from butt rots	Moderate growth rates. A strong shade bearer and excellent for under-planting. Probably best established under some shade	Good durable timber suitable for quality building purposes	Minor forest species. Non-native tree which has potential for greater use
Noble Fir <i>Abies Noblis</i>	Prefers well-drained mineral soils. Tolerates moderately acid soils and is less frost tender than other firs. Has a wide pH tolerance	A fast growing tree unsuitable for very poor and dry sites. Christmas tree production may require somewhat less fertile soils	Timber may be (unfairly) regarded as being of inferior quality. Now mostly grown for Christmas tree production and foliage	Minor forest species now developing multiple uses. Non-native tree. When grown for Christmas tree production need to be well managed to produce a compact well furnished tree
Corsican Pine <i>Pinus Nigra var. Maritima</i>	Wide range of soils from sands to heavy clays. Suitable for coastal areas	Moderate growth rates but a good tree for difficult areas such as exposed areas or sandy soil	Similar to Scots pine but not quite as good	Minor forest species. Non-native tree. More resistant to smoke pollution than most conifers. Suitable shelter tree
Cupressus like species <i>Cupressus</i> <i>Chamaecyparis</i> <i>Cupressocyparis</i>	Tolerate a wide range of soils except very acid soils and raw peats	Moderate to fast growth rates but very poor stem form or coarse branching in most cases	General purpose softwood uses	Minor forest species. Non-native tree. Macrocarpa suitable for shelter in coastal areas. <b>Leyland and Lawson although widely used for shelter-belt and screening are in most cases inappropriate and an intrusion in the landscape</b>

## ***Appendix No. 18***

### ***Screening for Appropriate Assessment***

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*




**Noreen McLoughlin, MSc**  
Environmental Consultant

Whitehill  
Edgeworthstown  
Co. Longford  
☎ (087) 4127248 / (043) 6672775  
✉ noreen.mcloughlin@gmail.com

## **HABITATS DIRECTIVE SCREENING REPORT FOR A PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AT LISLEA, CASTLERAHAN, VIRGINIA, CO CAVAN**

**IN LINE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ARTICLE 6(3) OF THE  
EU HABITATS DIRECTIVE**



**John and Charles Smith**  
c/o Paraic Fay  
C.L.W. Environmental Planners Ltd  
The Mews  
23 Farnham Street

*September 2020*



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Background	3
1.2	Regulatory Context	3
<b>2</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Appropriate Assessment	7
2.2	Statement of Competency	9
2.3	Desk Studies & Consultation	9
2.4	Assessment Methodology	9
<b>3</b>	<b>SCREENING</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Development Description	11
3.2	Site Location and Surrounding Environment	15
3.3	Natura 2000 Sites Identified	18
3.4	Impact Assessment	23
3.5	Finding of No Significant Effects	27
<b>4</b>	<b>APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>APPENDIX I:</b>	<b>SCAIL DATA AND ASSESSMENTS</b>	<b>29</b>

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

# 1 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 BACKGROUND

Article 6 of the EU Habitat's Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC) requires that all plans and projects be screened for potential impacts upon Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) or Special Protection Areas (SPAs). The aim of this screening process is to establish whether or not a full Appropriate Assessment of the proposed plan or project is necessary.

A comprehensive assessment of the potential impacts of a proposed development in Lislea, Castlerahan, Virginia, Co. Cavan on designated sites was carried out in September 2020 by Noreen McLoughlin, MSc, MCIEEM of Whitehill Environmental. This assessment allowed areas of potential ecological value and potential ecological constraints associated with this proposed development to be identified and it also enabled potential ecological impacts associated with the proposed development on designated sites to be assessed.

The location of the proposed development is within the Zone of Influence of sites designated under European Law. As such and in accordance with Article 6(3) of the EU Habitat's Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC) regarding Appropriate Assessment, this screening exercise for Appropriate Assessment was carried out in order to identify whether any significant impacts on designated sites are likely.

## 1.2 REGULATORY CONTEXT

The Birds Directive (Council Directive 2009/147/EC) recognises that certain species of birds should be subject to special conservation measures concerning their habitats. The Directive requires that Member States take measures to classify the most suitable areas as Special Protection Areas (SPAs) for the conservation of bird species listed in Annex 1 of the Directive. SPAs are selected for bird species (listed in Annex I of the Birds Directive), that are regularly occurring populations of migratory bird species and the SPA areas are of international importance for these migratory birds.

The EU Habitats Directive (92/43/EEC) requires that Member States designate and ensure that particular protection is given to sites (Special Areas of Conservation) which are made up of or support particular habitats and species listed in annexes to this Directive.

Articles 6(3) and 6(4) of this Directive also call for the undertaking of an Appropriate Assessment for plans and projects not directly connected with or necessary to the management of, but which are likely to have a significant effect on any European designated

sites (i.e. SACs and SPAs). This is explained in greater detail in the following section (Section 1.2.2 and Section 1,2.3).

The Water Framework Directive (WFD) (2000/60/EC), which came into force in December 2000, establishes a framework for community action in the field of water policy. The WFD was transposed into Irish law by the European Communities (Water Policy) Regulations 2003 (S.I. 722 of 2003). The WFD rationalises and updates existing legislation and provides for water management on the basis of River Basin Districts (RBDs). RBDs are essentially administrative areas for coordinated water management and are comprised of multiple river basins (or catchments), with cross-border basins (i.e. those covering the territory of more than one Member State) assigned to an international RBD. The aim of the WFD is to ensure that waters achieve at least good status by 2021 and that status does not deteriorate in any waters.

### **Appropriate Assessment and the Habitats Directive**

Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and Wild Fauna and Flora – the ‘Habitats Directive’ - provides legal protection for habitats and species of European importance. Article 2 of the Directive requires the maintenance or restoration of habitats and species of European Community interest, at a favourable conservation status. Articles 3 - 9 provide the legislative means to protect habitats and species of Community interest through the establishment and conservation of an EU-wide network of sites known as *Natura 2000*. *Natura 2000* sites are Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) designated under the Habitats Directive and Special Protection Areas (SPAs) designated under the Conservation of Wild Birds Directive (79/409/EEC).

Articles 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive sets out the decision-making tests for plans or projects affecting *Natura 2000* sites. Article 6(3) establishes the requirement for Appropriate Assessment:

“Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects, shall be subject to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In the light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implications for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public.”

Article 6(4) deals with the steps that should be taken when it is determined, as a result of appropriate assessment, that a plan/project will adversely affect a European site. Issues dealing with alternative solutions, imperative reasons of overriding public interest and compensatory measures need to be addressed in this case.

Article 6(4) states:

"If, in spite of a negative assessment of the implications for the site and in the absence of alternative solutions, a plan or project must nevertheless be carried out for imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature, the Member States shall take all compensatory measures necessary to ensure that the overall coherence of Natura 2000 is protected. It shall inform the Commission of the compensatory measures adopted.

Where the site concerned hosts a priority natural habitat type and/or a priority species, the only considerations which may be raised are those relating to human health or public safety, to beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment or, further to an opinion from the Commission, to other imperative reasons of overriding public interest."

#### The Appropriate Assessment Process

The aim of Appropriate Assessment is to assess the implications of a proposal in respect of a designated site's conservation objectives.

The 'Appropriate Assessment' itself is an assessment which must be carried out by the competent authority which confirms whether the plan or project in combination with other plans and projects will have an adverse impact on the integrity of a European site.

Screening for Appropriate Assessment shall be carried out by the competent authority as set out in Section 177U(1) and (2) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) as follows:

'(1) A screening for appropriate assessment of a draft Land use plan or application for consent for proposed development shall be carried out by the competent authority to assess, in view of best scientific knowledge, if that Land use plan or proposed development, individually or in combination with another plan or project is likely to have a significant effect on the European site.

(2) A competent authority shall carry out a screening for appropriate assessment under subsection (1) before—



(a) a Land use plan is made including, where appropriate, before a decision on appeal in relation to a draft strategic development zone is made, or

(b) consent for a proposed development is given.'

The competent authority shall determine that an Appropriate Assessment is not required if it can be excluded, that the proposed development, individually or in combination with other plans or project will have a significant effect on a European site.

Where the competent authority cannot exclude the potential for a significant effect on a European site, an Appropriate Assessment shall be deemed required.

Where an Appropriate Assessment is required, the conclusions of the Appropriate Assessment Report (Natura Impact Statement (NIS)) should enable the competent authority to ascertain whether the plan or proposed development would adversely affect the integrity of the European site. If adverse impacts on the integrity of a European site cannot be avoided, then mitigation measures should be applied during the appropriate assessment process to the point where no adverse impacts on the site remain. Under the terms of the Habitats Directive consent can only be granted for a project if, as a result of the appropriate assessment either (a) it is concluded that the integrity of any European sites will not be adversely affected, or (b) after mitigation, where adverse impacts cannot be excluded, there is shown to be an absence of alternative solutions, and there exists imperative reasons of overriding public interest for the project should go ahead.

Section 177(V) of the Planning and Development Act 2000 (as amended) outlines that the competent authority shall carry out the Appropriate Assessment, taking into account the Natura Impact Statement (amongst any other additional or supplemental information). A determination shall then be made by the competent authority in line with the requirements of Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive as to whether the plan or proposed development would adversely affect the integrity of a European site, prior to consent being given.

## 2 METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT

This Statement of Screening for Appropriate Assessment (Stage 1) has been prepared with reference to the following:

- European Commission (2000). Managing Natura 2000 Sites: The Provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC.
- European Commission (2002). Assessment of Plans and Projects Significantly Affecting Natura 2000 sites: Methodological Guidance on the Provisions of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC.
- European Commission (2006). Nature and Biodiversity Cases: Ruling of the European Court of Justice.
- European Commission (2007). Clarification of the Concepts of: Alternative Solution, Imperative Reasons of Overriding Public Interest, Compensatory Measures, Overall Coherence, Opinion of the Commission.
- Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government (2009). Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland: Guidance for Planning Authorities.

The EC Guidance sets out a number of principles as to how to approach decision making during the process. The primary one is 'the precautionary principle' which requires that the conservation objectives of Natura 2000 should prevail where there is uncertainty.

When considering the precautionary principle, the emphasis for assessment should be on objectively demonstrating with supporting evidence that:

- There will be no significant effects on a Natura 2000 site;
- There will be no adverse effects on the integrity of a Natura 2000 site;
- There is an absence of alternatives to the project or plan that is likely to have an adverse effect to the integrity of a Natura 2000 site; and
- There are compensation measures that maintain or enhance the overall coherence of Natura 2000.

This translates into a four stage process to assess the impacts, on a designated site or species, of a policy or proposal.

The EC Guidance states that “each stage determines whether a further stage in the process is required”. Consequently, the Council may not need to proceed through all four stages in undertaking the Appropriate Assessment.

The four-stage process is:

**Stage 1: Screening** – The process which identifies the likely impacts upon a Natura 2000 site of a project or plan, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans, and considers whether or not these impacts are likely to be significant;

**Stage 2: Appropriate Assessment** – The consideration of the impact on the integrity of the Natura 2000 site of the project or plan, either alone or in combination with other projects or plans, with respect to the site’s structure and function and its conservation objectives. Additionally, where there are adverse impacts, an assessment of the potential mitigation of those impacts;

**Stage 3: Assessment of Alternative Solutions** – The process which examines alternative ways of achieving objectives of the project or plan that avoid adverse impacts on the integrity of the Natura 2000 site;

**Stage 4: Assessment where no alternative solutions exist and where adverse impacts remain** – An assessment of the compensatory measures where, in the light of an assessment of imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI), it is deemed that the project or plan should proceed.

In complying with the obligations set out in Articles 6(3) and following the guidelines described above, this screening statement has been structured as a stage by stage approach as follows:

- Description of the proposed project;
- Identification of the Natura 2000 sites close to the proposed development;
- Identification and description of any individual and cumulative impacts on the Natura 2000 sites likely to result from the project;
- Assessment of the significance of the impacts identified above on site integrity. Exclusion of sites where it can be objectively concluded that there will be no significant effects;
- Description of proven mitigation measures.

## 2.2 STATEMENT OF COMPETENCY

This AA Screening report was carried out by Noreen McLoughlin, BA, MSc, MCIEEM. Noreen has an honours degree in Zoology and an MSc in Freshwater Ecology from Trinity College, Dublin and she has been a full member of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management for over thirteen years. Noreen has over 15 years' experience as a professional ecologist in Ireland.

## 2.3 DESK STUDIES & CONSULTATION

Information on the site and the area of the proposed development was studied prior to the completion of this statement. The following data sources were accessed in order to complete a thorough examination of potential impacts:

- National Parks and Wildlife Service - Aerial photographs and maps of designated sites, information on habitats and species within these sites and information on protected plant or animal species, conservation objectives, site synopses and standard data forms for relevant designated sites.
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)- Information pertaining to water quality, geology and licensed facilities within the area;
- Myplan.ie – Mapped based information;
- National Biodiversity Data Centre (NBDC) – Information pertaining to protected plant and animal species within the study area;
- CLW Environmental Planners – Site plans, development description and information on potential emissions.
- Cavan County Council – Information on planning history in the area for the assessment of cumulative impacts.

## 2.4 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The proposed development was assessed to identify its potential ecological impacts and from this, the Zone of Influence (Zoi) of the proposed development was defined. Based on the potential impacts and their Zoi, the Natura 2000 sites potentially at risk from direct, indirect or in-combination impacts were identified. The assessment considered all potential impact sources and pathways connecting the proposed development to Natura 2000 sites, in view of the conservation objectives supporting the favourable conservation condition of the site's Qualifying Interests (QIs) or Special Conservation Interests (SCIs).

The conservation objectives relating to each Natura 2000 site and its QIs/SCIs are cited generally for SACs as "to maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the Annex I habitat(s) and/or Annex II species for which the SAC has been selected", and for



SPAs "to maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of the bird species listed as Special Conservation Interests for this SPA".

As defined in the Habitat's Directive, the favourable conservation status of a habitat is achieved when:

- Its natural range and area it covers within that range is stable or increasing;
- The specific structure and functions which are necessary for its long-term maintenance exist and are likely to continue to exist for the foreseeable future;

The favourable conservation status of a species is achieved when:

- The population dynamics data on the species concerned indicate that it is maintaining itself on a long-term basis as a viable component of its natural habitats;
- The natural range of the species is neither being reduced nor is likely to be reduced for the foreseeable future;
- There is, and will probably continue to be, a sufficiently large habitat to maintain its populations on a long-term basis.

Where site-specific conservation objectives (SSCOs) have been prepared for a European site, these include a series of specific attributes and targets against which effects on conservation condition, or integrity, can be measured. Where potential significant effects are identified, then these SSCO's should be considered in detail.

### 3 SCREENING

#### 3.1 DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION

John and Charles Smith have indicated their intention to shortly apply to Cavan County Council for planning permission for the construction of an extension to two poultry houses at Lislea, Castlerahan, Virginia, Co. Cavan. Planning permission also pertains to all ancillary structures and associated site works. The range area of the birds extends to the field immediately surrounding the poultry houses. Once completed, the proposed extensions will allow for an increase in capacity of not more than 10,000 birds in each house, therefore total expansion will be not more than 20,000 birds. The capacity of the existing houses is 14,000 each and the total capacity upon completion of the development will be 48,000 birds.

An extract from the planning drawings can be seen in Figure 1.




Figure 1 – Proposed Site Plan (as Prepared by Horizon Group)

The operation of the farm will involve the rearing of the chickens from day olds over a period of approximately 6-7 weeks. There will be approximately 5 cycles of per annum, with a break between batches during which time the cleaning of the houses and yards is carried out. The spent poultry litter and manure will be removed from the farm by specialised contractors where it will be composted and used in the mushroom industry or it will be used as an organic fertiliser in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended). All records for the

movement of fertiliser will be kept on site and presented to the Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine as requested.

Construction methods for the new structures will be standard and will follow best practice guidelines at all stages. All structures will be compliant with the recommendations of the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine. The operation of the farm and all its associated activities will be done in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended).

### **S.I. 605 OF 2017 (AS AMENDED)**

The European Union (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations 2017 provides a basic set of measures to ensure the protection of waters, including drinking water sources, against pollution caused by nitrogen and phosphorus from agricultural sources, with the primary emphasis being on the management of livestock manures and other fertilisers. The purpose of these Regulations is to give effect to Ireland's Nitrates Action Programme. This directive outlines measures that must be followed during the land-spreading of manure. These measures are summarised in the points below.

- The amount of livestock manure applied in any year to land on a holding, together with that deposited to land by livestock, shall not exceed an amount containing 170 kg nitrogen per hectare.
- The spreading of any organic fertiliser during certain times of the year is prohibited (The prohibited spreading period, generally between Mid-October and Mid-January).
- Farmers must keep within the overall maximum fertilisation rates for nitrogen and phosphorus.
- Farmers must have sufficient storage capacity to meet the minimum requirements of the regulations.
- All storage facilities must be kept leak proof and structurally sound.
- Records for the movement of fertilisers must be kept.
- Chemical fertilisers, livestock manure and other organic fertilisers, effluents and soiled water must be spread as accurately and as evenly as possible.
- An upward-facing splash plate or sludge irrigator on a tanker or umbilical system must not be used for the spreading of organic fertiliser or soiled water.
- Chemical fertilisers, livestock manure, soiled water or other organic fertilisers must not be spread when:
  - The land is waterlogged;
  - The land is flooded, or it is likely to flood;
  - The land is frozen, or covered with snow;

- Heavy rain is forecast within 48 hours;
- The ground slopes steeply and there is a risk of water pollution, when factors such as surface run-off pathways, the presence of land drains, the absence of hedgerows to mitigate surface flow, soil condition and ground cover are taken into account.
- Chemical fertilisers must not be spread on land within 2 metres of a surface watercourse.

Table 1 shows the buffer zones for various water bodies (lakes, rivers, wells etc.). Soiled water, effluents, farmyard manures or other organic fertilisers must not be spread inside these buffer zones.

Water Feature	Buffer Zone
Any water supply source providing 100m <sup>3</sup> or more of water per day, or serving 500 or more people	200m (or as little as 30m where a local authority allow)
Any water supply source providing 10m <sup>3</sup> or more of water per day, or serving 50 people or more	100m (or as little as 30m where a local authority allows)
Any other water supply for human consumption	25m (or as little as 15m where a local authority allows)
Lake shoreline or a turlough likely to flow	20m
Exposed cavernous or karstified limestone features	15m
Any surface watercourse where the slope towards the watercourse exceeds 10%	10m
Any other surface waters	5m

**Table 1 – Requirements for the Application of Fertilisers and Soiled Water as set out in S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended).**

Prior to its implementation, S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended) was subjected to Appropriate Assessment (AA) and a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening at draft stage (March 2017). At this stage, it was referred to as Ireland's Fourth Nitrates Action Programme (NAP). This draft NAP was assessed in terms of the likely significant effects of the programme and where it would adversely affect the integrity of European sites. The NIS identified that the existing and proposed measures would be predominantly positive for European sites. The measures of the NAP were influenced to avoid, as appropriate, measures that would have an adverse effect upon the integrity of the European sites. Any project falling under the requirements of the NAP will be required to conform to the mitigation measures contained within the NIS prepared and to any further regulatory provisions aimed at preventing pollution or other environmental effects. The applicant is fully aware of his obligations under S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended) and they will meet all the requirements under this Directive with the proposed application.

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*



### 3.2 SITE LOCATION AND SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT

The application site is 4.9 hectares and it is located in a rural area within the townland of Lislea. Access to the site is via an existing farm access road, that is located just off a local, third class road. The site is 3.5km east of Virginia and 5.6km west of Mullagh.

The land-use surrounding the site is predominantly agricultural and the main habitat is improved agricultural grassland. Other habitats represented locally include areas of neutral and wet grasslands, recently felled coniferous woodlands, raised bogs, hedgerows, treelines and water courses. Lough Ramor is 1.7km west of the site. Site location maps can be seen in Figures 2 and 3, whilst an aerial photograph of the site and its surrounding habitats can be seen in Figure 4.





Figure 2 – Map showing the Location of the Proposed Development Site (Pinned)



**Figure 3 – Map showing the Location of the Proposed Development Site (Outlined in Red). The Range Area of the Birds is Outlined in Orange.**

### HABITATS AND SPECIES

Currently, the main habitats within the application site include buildings and artificial surfaces (the existing poultry house and associated hard surfaces, including the access road), and these areas are surrounded by improved grassland habitats and this habitat will form the range areas of the birds. The site is bounded to the west, south and north-east by a hedgerow/treeline. The land to the immediate west of the site was a coniferous plantation that has recently been felled and this area surrounds a raised bog habitat.

An examination of the website of the National Biodiversity Data Centre revealed that there are records for the presence of one protected mammal species from the relevant one km<sup>2</sup> (N6386) of this proposed development. This species is the badger *Meles meles* and it is fully protected under the Irish Wildlife Acts. A custom polygon generated for the site revealed that these records do not pertain to from within the application site itself.

## WATER FEATURES AND QUALITY

The application site lies within the Boyne Hydrometric Area and Catchment, the Blackwater (Kells) Sub-Catchment and the Lislea Sub-Basin. The Lislea Stream flows through the application site (via a culvert) and it emerges in the south-easterly corner of the site. Clean surface water from the application site will continue to be discharged to this stream. This stream flows north then west, whereupon it enters Lough Ramor at a point 1.7km west of the application site.

The EPA have defined the ecological status of the Lislea Stream and its tributaries at points close to the application site as good. Lough Ramor is of poor ecological status. Under the requirements of the Water Framework Directive in Ireland, good status must be achieved in all water bodies within a certain time frame.



**Figure 4 – Aerial Photograph of the Site (Outlined in Red) and its Surrounding Habitats. The Range Area of the Birds is Outlined in Orange.**



### 3.3 NATURA 2000 SITES IDENTIFIED

In accordance with the guidelines issued by the Department of the Environment and Local Government, a list of Natura 2000 sites within 15km of the proposed development have been identified and described according to their site synopsis, qualifying interests and conservation objectives. In addition, any other sites further than this, but potentially within its zone of interest were also considered. The zone of impact may be determined by an assessment of the connectivity between the application site and the designated areas by virtue of hydrological connectivity, atmospheric emissions, flight paths, ecological corridors etc.

There are three Natura 2000 designated sites within 15km of the application site. These designated areas and their closest points to the proposed development site are summarised in Table 2 and a map showing their locations relative to the application site is shown in Figure 5. A full description of the sites can be read on the websites of the National Parks and Wildlife Service ([www.npws.ie](http://www.npws.ie)).

Site Name & Code	Distance	Qualifying Interests	Significant Effects?
The River Boyne and River Blackwater SAC 002299	3km south / 6.1km downstream via the Lislea Stream and Lough Ramor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>River lamprey (<i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i>)</li> <li>Salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>)</li> <li>Otter (<i>Lutra lutra</i>)</li> <li>Alkaline fens</li> <li>Alluvial forests with alder <i>Alnus glutinosa</i> and ash <i>Fraxinus excelsior</i></li> </ul>	Due to hydrological connectivity and potential atmospheric emissions, impacts will be considered further.
The River Boyne and River Blackwater SPA 004232	3km south / 6.1km downstream via the Lislea Stream and Lough Ramor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Common Kingfisher <i>Alcedo atthis</i></li> </ul>	Due to hydrological connectivity and potential atmospheric emissions, impacts will be considered further
Killyconny Bog SAC 000006	4.6km south-east	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Active Raised Bogs.</li> <li>Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration.</li> </ul>	Potential impacts arising from atmospheric emissions will be considered further.

Table 2 – Natura 2000 Sites Within 15km of the Proposed Site




Figure 5 – The Application Site (Red Dot) in relation to the Natura 2000 Sites within 15km. SACs – Red Hatching, SPAs – Pink Hatching

### THE RIVER BOYNE AND RIVER BLACKWATER SAC 002299

This site comprises most of the freshwater element of the River Boyne from upriver of the Boyne Aqueduct at Drogheda, the Blackwater River as far as Lough Ramor and the principal Boyne tributaries, notably the Deel, Stoneyford and Tremblestown Rivers. This system drains a considerable area of Counties Meath and Westmeath and smaller areas of Cavan and Louth. The rivers flow through a landscape dominated by intensive agriculture, mostly of improved grassland but also cereals. Much of the river channels were subject to arterial drainage schemes in the past. Natural flood-plains now exist along only limited stretches of river, though often there is a fringe of reed swamp, freshwater marsh, wet grassland or deciduous wet woodland. Along some parts, notably between Drogheda and Slane, are stands of tall, mature mixed woodland. Substantial areas of improved grassland and arable land are included in site for water quality reasons. There are many medium to large sized towns adjacent to but not within the site.

The main channel of the Boyne contains a good example of alluvial woodland of the *Salicetum albo-fragilis* type which has developed on three alluvium islands. Alkaline fen vegetation is well represented at Lough Shesk, where there is a very fine example of habitat succession from open water to raised bog. The Boyne and its tributaries is one of Ireland's



premier game fisheries and offers a wide range of angling, from fishing for spring salmon and grilse to sea trout fishing and extensive brown trout fishing. The site is one of the most important in eastern Ireland for *Salmo salar* and it has very extensive spawning grounds. The site also has an important population of *Lampetra fluviatilis*, though the distribution or abundance of this species is not well known. *Lutra lutra* is widespread throughout the site. Some of the grassland areas along the Boyne and Blackwater are used by a nationally important winter flock of *Cygnus cygnus*. Several Red Data Book plants occur within the site, with *Pyrola rotundifolia*, *Poa palustris* and *Juncus compressus*. Also occurring are a number of Red Data Book animals, notably *Meles meles*, *Martes martes* and *Rana temporaria*. The River Boyne is a designated Salmonid Water under the EU Freshwater Fish Directive.

#### **The River Boyne and River Blackwater Qualifying Interests:**

- River lamprey (*Lampetra fluviatilis*)
- Salmon (*Salmo salar*)
- Otter (*Lutra lutra*)
- Alkaline fens
- Alluvial forests with alder *Alnus glutinosa* and ash *Fraxinus excelsior*

#### **The Conservation Objectives of the Site are as Follows:**

1. To maintain the favourable conservation status of the qualifying interests (outlined above) of this SAC.
2. To maintain the extent, species richness and biodiversity of the entire site.
3. To establish effective liaison and co-operation with landowners, legal users and relevant authorities.

#### **THE RIVER BOYNE AND BLACKWATER (SPA 004232)**

The River Boyne and River Blackwater SPA is a long linear site that comprises stretches of the River Boyne and several of its tributaries. Most of the site is in Co Meath but it extends also into Counties Cavan, Louth and Westmeath. It includes the following river sections: The River Boyne from the M1 motorway bridge, west of Drogheda, to the junction with the Royal Canal, west of Longwood, Co Meath; the River Blackwater from its junction with the River Boyne in Navan to the junction with Lough Ramor in Co Cavan; the Tremblestown River (and Athboy River) from the junction with the River Boyne at Kilnagross Bridge to the bridge in Athboy, Co Meath; the Stoneyford River from its junction with the River Boyne to Stonestone Bridge in Co. Westmeath; the River Deel from its junction with the River Boyne

to Cummer Bridge, Co. Westmeath. The site includes the river channel and marginal vegetation.

The River Boyne and River Blackwater SPA supports nationally important numbers of *Alcedo atthis*. Other species which occur within the site include *Cygnus olor*, *Anas crecca*, *Anas platyrhynchos*, *Phalacrocorax carbo*, *Ardea cinerea*, *Gallinula chloropus*, *Gallinago gallinago* and *Riparia riparia*.

**The River Boyne and Blackwater (SPA 004232) Qualifying Interests:**

- Common Kingfisher *Alcedo atthis* (Breeding)

The main objective of this SPA is to maintain or restore the favourable conservation condition of this species.

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

**KILLYCONNY BOG (SAC 000006)**

Killyconny Bog is a rather small raised bog site located in the north-east of the country. The site contains good examples of the priority Annex I habitat active raised bog and the non-priority habitat degraded raised bog (capable of regeneration). The uncut high bog area is surrounded by extensive cutover surfaces and a portion of this cutover has been planted with conifers. Although the site is rather damaged at present due to drainage effects, it remains one of the largest extant areas of relatively intact raised bog in the north-east of the country and thus is of considerable ecological and biogeographical importance.

**Killyconny Bog SAC Qualifying Interests:**

- Active raised bogs
- Degraded raised bogs still capable of natural regeneration

**The Conservation Objectives of the Site are as Follows:**

4. To maintain the favourable conservation status of the qualifying interests (outlined above) of this SAC.
5. To maintain the extent, species richness and biodiversity of the entire site.
6. To establish effective liaison and co-operation with landowners, legal users and relevant authorities.

### 3.4 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The potential effects of the proposed development on the Natura 2000 sites identified above are described below.

Describe the individual elements of the project (either alone or in combination with other plans or projects) likely to give rise to impacts on nearby Natura 2000 site:

The construction and operation of the proposed development at Lislea will have **no impacts** upon the integrity or the site structure of the designated sites identified. There are no individual elements of the proposed project that are likely to give rise to negative impacts on these Natura 2000 sites. There will be no impacts upon designated habitats or species arising from the predicted emissions from this facility. There is an adequate distance between the proposed development site and designated areas to ensure that no direct impacts will occur.

There are other agricultural activities ongoing close to the current application site, therefore cumulative impacts arising from the operation of these farms together were considered. All farms, regardless of whether licensed by the EPA or not, are required to operate within the legalisation defined in S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended) regarding manure storage, minimisation of soiled water and general good agricultural practice, etc. Therefore, cumulative impacts arising from the combined operation of these activities with the proposed operation of the poultry farm at Lislea will be negligible.

The land-spreading of the poultry manure produced at the proposed facility has also been considered as part of this process. Records for the distribution and movement of all the manure produced will be kept on site and presented to the Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine if necessary. All organic fertiliser will replace the use of chemical fertiliser; therefore, there will be no overall increase in the amount of nutrients spread.

The wash water generated during the maintenance of the facility will be land-spread on lands owned and / or rented by the applicant. Land-spreading will only be done on areas of improved agricultural grasslands. The receipt lands are not adjacent to or connected to any Natura 2000 site. The use of these lands for land-spreading of water will have no impacts upon the integrity or conservation objectives of any Natura 2000 site. All land-spreading will be done in accordance with the Nutrient Management Plan for the farm and in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended).

All farmers that receive the manure from the proposed farm will do so under the European Union (Good Agricultural Practice for the Protection of Waters) Regulations 2014 (S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended)). Upon the receipt of the manure, they will be informed of their obligation under this legalisation. Compliance with these regulations will minimise cumulative impacts as well as any impacts upon water quality.

Describe any likely direct, indirect or secondary impacts of the project (either alone or in combination with other plans or projects) on the nearby Natura 2000 sites by virtue of:

**Size and scale:** Given the small size and scale of the development in relation to the overall size of the Natura 2000 sites identified, then the likelihood of any direct, indirect or cumulative impacts upon this designated site is low.

**Land-take:** There will be no land-take from any designated site. There will be no interference with the boundaries of any designated site. There will be no loss of any undesignated priority habitats.

**Distance from Natura 2000 site or key features of the site:** There are three Natura 2000 sites within 15km of the application site. The closest of these include the River Boyne and Blackwater SAC / SPA and these are 3km south of the application site and 6.1km downstream of the application site. In this instance, this distance is sufficient to ensure that impacts will not arise.

**Resource requirements (water abstraction etc.):** No resources will be taken from any Natura 2000 site and there are no resource requirements that will impact upon any designated site.

**Emissions:** Neither the construction nor the operation of the proposed development will result in any direct emissions to the Natura 2000 sites identified. As is the current situation on site, clean surface water from the site will continue to be directed to the Lislea Stream, which is culverted under the site. The ecological status of this stream remains good and the current discharge is having no significant effects on this stream. The site is 6.1km upstream of the SAC / SPA. Given this separation distance, and the small scale of the proposed development, it can be concluded that any significant effects arising from the proposed development can be ruled out.

Any associated land-spreading of the manure or wash water from this development will be done in accordance with S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended). This will minimise run-off from land into local watercourses.

In order to predict atmospheric emissions (ammonia and nitrogen) from the development of this facility, a SCAIL model (Simple Calculation of Atmospheric Impact Limits) was run by CLW Environmental Planners to determine the potential impacts on the closest designated sites. This model was run for the proposed expansion of birds only (20,000) as the existing birds on the farm are accounted for in the background data in SCAIL. The SCAIL model predicted that the deposition of ammonia and nitrogen at the edge of the designated areas would be de-minimus and that there would be no significant effects upon the designated sites arising from emissions from the proposed development. A summary of the SCAIL data for all sites is provided in Appendix I.

**In-Combination / Cumulative Impacts:** The proposed application was considered in combination with other developments or proposed developments in the Lislea area. There are other agricultural activities ongoing close to the current application site, therefore cumulative impacts arising from the operation of these farms together were considered. All farms, regardless of whether licensed by the EPA or not, are required to operate within the legalisation defined in S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended) regarding manure storage, the maintenance of buffer zones, prohibited spreading periods,



minimisation of soiled water and general good agricultural practice, etc. Any future individual application that has the potential to impact upon a Natura 2000 site will be subject to Appropriate Assessment as required under Articles 6(3) of the Habitats Directive.

**Transportation requirements:** There will be no additional transportation requirements resulting from the proposed development and associated works that will have any impact upon the Natura 2000 sites identified.

**Duration of construction, operation, decommissioning etc:** Once construction begins, it should be complete within one year. Operation will be ongoing.

Describe any likely changes to the nearby Natura 2000 sites arising as a result of:

**Reduction of habitat area:** The proposed development lies outside the boundaries of the Natura 2000 sites identified in Section 3.3. There will be no reduction of designated habitat area. There will be no interference with the boundaries of any designated site.

**Disturbance to key species:** There will be no direct disturbance to any species listed in Annex I of the Birds Directive or Annex II of the Habitats Directive. All rodenticide used on site will be used responsibly and in accordance with the best practice guidelines issued the *Campaign for Responsible Rodenticide Use*.

**Habitat or species fragmentation:** There will be no habitat or species fragmentation within any SAC or SPA. No ecological corridors between the proposed site and any designated area will be damaged or destroyed.

**Reduction in species density:** There will be no reduction in species density.

**Changes in key indicators of conservation value (water quality etc.):** There will be no negative impacts upon surface or ground water quality. There will be no negative impacts upon the water quality in any designated site.

Describe any likely impacts on the nearby Natura 2000 sites as a whole in terms of:

**Interference with the key relationships that define the structure or function of the site:** It is not considered likely that there will be any impacts on the key relationships that define the structure or function of the Natura 2000 sites identified.

Provide indicators of significance as a result of the identification of effects set out above in terms of:

**Loss** - Estimated percentage of lost area of habitat: None

**Fragmentation:** None

**Disruption & disturbance:** None

**Change to key elements of the site (e.g. water quality etc.):** None

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

### 3.5 FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS

Finding of No Significant Effects Report Matrix	
<b>Name of project</b>	Construction of an Extensions to Two Existing Poultry Houses at Lislea, Virginia, Co. Cavan
<b>Name and location of Natura 2000 site</b>	There are three Natura 2000 sites within 15km of the application site. The closest of these include the River Boyne and Blackwater SAC / SPA and these are 3km south of the application site and 6.1km downstream of the application site.
<b>Description of project</b>	A Small Scale Agricultural Development (Poultry)
<b>Is the project directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site?</b>	No
<b>Are there other projects or plans that together with project being assessed could affect the site?</b>	All farm land surrounding this proposed development must operate within the requirements of S.I. 605 of 2017 (as amended). Compliance with this legislation will minimise any in-combination effects.
The Assessment of Significance of Effects	
<b>Describe how the project is likely to affect the Natura 2000 site</b>	No impacts likely
<b>Explain why these effects are not considered significant</b>	Not applicable as there is no potential for negative impacts
<b>Describe how the project is likely to affect species designated under Annex II of the Habitats Directive.</b>	No impacts likely
Data Collected to Carry out the Assessment	
<b>Who carried out the assessment</b>	Noreen McLoughlin, MSC, MCIEEM. Consultant Ecologist
<b>Sources of data</b>	NPWS, EPA, National Biodiversity Data Centre, Cavan County Council
<b>Level of assessment completed</b>	Stage1. Appropriate Assessment Screening
<b>Where can the full results of the assessment be accessed and viewed</b>	Full results included

#### 4 APPROPRIATE ASSESSMENT CONCLUSION

In accordance with Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive, the relevant case law, established best practice and the precautionary principle, this AA Screening Report has examined the details of the project in relation to the relevant Natura 2000 sites within 15km of the application site. This report has analysed the potential impacts and effects of the proposed project on the Special Conservation Interests of these designated sites. It has evaluated the significance of these potential impacts and effects in view of these sites' conservation objectives.

In view of best scientific knowledge and on the basis of objective information, it can be concluded that this application, whether individually or in combination with other plans and projects, will have no significant effect upon the Natura 2000 sites. It is of the opinion of this author that this application does not need to proceed to Stage II of the Appropriate Assessment process.



Noreen McLoughlin, MSc, MCIEEM.  
Ecologist.

(PI Insurance details available on request)

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

## Appendix I: SCAIL DATA AND ASSESSMENTS

### AMMONIA

In order to predict atmospheric emissions of ammonia from facility at Lislea, a SCAIL model (Simple Calculation of Atmospheric Impact Limits) was run by CLW Environmental Planners Ltd to determine the potential impacts of this farm on designated sites. In this instance a number of factors were taken into account, such as the use of natural ventilation. The results of the SCAIL outputs for ammonia are presented below. These figures are based on 20,000 birds. Existing emissions from the farm are accounted for in the background data. The most sensitive area for ammonia deposition in the River Boyne and Blackwater SAC is alkaline fen and this is a Qualifying Interest of the site. It has the lower CL for ammonia. However, the majority of the SAC (the river itself) has the upper CL for ammonia applied to it.

River Boyne and Blackwater SAC				
Background NH <sub>3</sub>	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL Range
2.67 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.02921 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.69921 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1 µg/m <sup>3</sup> - 3 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.9% - 0.97%
River Boyne and Blackwater SPA				
Background NH <sub>3</sub>	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL Range
2.67 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.02921 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.69921 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1 µg/m <sup>3</sup> - 3 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.9% - 0.97%
Killyconny Bog SAC				
Background NH <sub>3</sub>	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL Range
2.76 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.01564 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	2.77564 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5%

Ammonia Loadings Arising from Proposed Development on Natura 2000 Sites



**NITROGEN LEVELS**

The SCAIL results for the predicted deposition of nitrogen are presented in the table below. For the SACs, either the SCAIL critical loads or those defined by APIS (Air Pollution Information System) were used.

River Boyne and Blackwater SAC				
Background N	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL
17.09 kg N/ha/yr	0.15 kg N/ha/yr	17.24 kg N/ha/yr	15 kg N/ha/yr (alkaline fen)	1%
			Alluvial Forests are Not Considered as Nitrogen Sensitive	-
River Boyne and Blackwater SPA				
Background N	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL
17.09 kg N/ha/yr	0.15 kg N/ha/yr	17.24 kg N/ha/yr	15 kg N/ha/yr (alkaline fen)	1%
			Alluvial Forests are Not Considered Nitrogen Sensitive	-
Killyconny Bog SAC				
Background N	Process Contribution	Total Conc.	Critical Load	% of CL
16.33 kg N/ha/yr	0.8 kg N/ha/yr	16.41 kg N/ha/yr	5 kg N/ha/yr (Active Raised Bog)	1.6%
			5 kg N/ha/yr (Degraded Bog)	1.6%

**Nitrogen Loadings Arising from Proposed Development on Natura 2000 Sites**

## ***Appendix No. 19***

### ***European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations 2017 – S.I. 605 of 2017***

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



**STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS.**

**S.I. No. 605 of 2017**

---

**EUROPEAN UNION (GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE FOR  
PROTECTION OF WATERS) REGULATIONS 2017**

*For inspection purposes only  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*

S.I. No. 605 of 2017

EUROPEAN UNION (GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE FOR  
PROTECTION OF WATERS) REGULATIONS 2017

CONTENTS

*PART 1*

PRELIMINARY

ARTICLE

1. Citation, commencement and application
2. Purpose of Regulations
3. Revocations
4. Interpretation

*PART 2*

FARMYARD MANAGEMENT

5. Minimisation of soiled water
6. Collection and holding of certain substances
7. Provision and management of storage facilities
8. General obligations as to capacity of storage facilities
9. Capacity of storage facilities for effluents and soiled water
10. Capacity of storage facilities for pig manure
11. Capacity of storage facilities for poultry manure
12. Capacity of storage facilities for manure from deer, goats and sheep
13. Capacity of storage facilities for manure from cattle
14. Reduced storage capacity in certain circumstances

*PART 3*

NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

15. Interpretation, commencement etc.
16. Duty of occupier in relation to nutrient management

*PART 4*

PREVENTION OF WATER POLLUTION FROM FERTILISERS AND  
CERTAIN ACTIVITIES

17. Distances from a water body and other issues
18. Requirements as to manner of application of fertilisers, soiled water etc.
19. Periods when application of fertilisers is prohibited
20. Limits on the amount of livestock manure to be applied
21. Ploughing and the use of non-selective herbicides

*PART 5*

GENERAL

22. General duty of occupier
23. Keeping of records by occupier
24. False or misleading information
25. Authorised person
26. Offences and related matters

*PART 6*

FUNCTIONS OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES

27. Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine
28. Making and review of action programme by the Minister
29. Agency
30. Local authorities
31. Compliance with Data Protection Acts
32. Certificate in relation to nutrient content of fertiliser
33. Exemption for exceptional circumstances for research
34. Transitional provisions

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



SCHEDULE 1

SOIL TEST

SCHEDULE 2

CRITERIA AS TO STORAGE CAPACITY AND NUTRIENT  
MANAGEMENT

SCHEDULE 3

STORAGE PERIODS FOR LIVESTOCK MANURE

SCHEDULE 4

PERIODS WHEN APPLICATION OF FERTILISERS TO LAND IS  
PROHIBITED

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

S.I. No. 605 of 2017

## EUROPEAN UNION (GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICE FOR PROTECTION OF WATERS) REGULATIONS 2017

I, EOGHAN MURPHY, Minister for Housing, Planning and Local Government, in exercise of the powers conferred on me by section 3 of the European Communities Act 1972 (No. 27 of 1972) and for the purpose of giving further effect to Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991<sup>1</sup>, Directive 2000/60/EC of 23 October 2000<sup>2</sup>, Directive 2003/35/EC of 26 May 2003<sup>3</sup>, Directive 2006/11/EC of 15 February 2006<sup>4</sup>, Directive 2006/118/EC of 12 December 2006<sup>5</sup> and Directive 2008/98/EC of 19 November 2008<sup>6</sup> hereby make the following regulations:

### PART 1

#### PRELIMINARY

##### *Citation, commencement and application*

1. (a) These Regulations may be cited as the European Union (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations 2017.
- (b) These Regulations shall apply to all holdings in the State.
- (c) These Regulations shall apply to all movements of livestock manure in the State.
- (d) These Regulations shall come into effect on 1 January 2018.

##### *Purpose of Regulations*

2. The purpose of these Regulations is to give effect to Ireland's Nitrates Action Programme for the protection of waters against pollution caused by agricultural sources. The set of measures in these regulations provides a basic level of protection against possible adverse impacts to waters arising from the agricultural expansion targets set under Food Harvest 2020.

##### *Revocations*

3. The European Union (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations 2014 and the European Union (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2014 are hereby revoked.

<sup>1</sup>O.J. No. L 375/1, 31 December 1991.

<sup>2</sup>O.J. No. L 327/1, 22 December 2000.

<sup>3</sup>O.J. No. L 156/17, 25 June 2003.

<sup>4</sup>O.J. No. L 64/52, 4 March 2006.

<sup>5</sup>O.J. No. L 372/19, 27 December 2006.

<sup>6</sup>O.J. No. L 312/3, 22 November 2008.

*Notice of the making of this Statutory Instrument was published in  
"Iris Oifigiúil" of 2nd January, 2018.*

*Interpretation*

4. (1) In these Regulations, save where the context otherwise requires—

“Act of 1992” means the Environmental Protection Agency Act, 1992 (No. 7 of 1992);

“Agency” means the Environmental Protection Agency established under section 19 of the Act of 1992;

“agriculture” includes the breeding, keeping and sale of livestock (including cattle, horses, pigs, poultry, sheep and any creature kept for the production of food, wool, skins or fur), the making and storage of silage, the cultivation of land, and the growing of crops (including forestry and horticultural crops);

“application to land”, in relation to fertiliser, means the addition of fertiliser to land whether by spreading on the surface of the land, injection into the land, placing below the surface of the land or mixing with the surface layers of the land but does not include the direct deposition of manure to land by animals;

“aquifer” means a subsurface layer or layers of rock or other geological strata of sufficient porosity and permeability to allow either a significant flow of groundwater or the abstraction of significant quantities of groundwater;

“biochemical oxygen demand” for the purposes of sub-article (2) (b) (i) means a 5 day biochemical oxygen demand test done in accordance with method ISO 5815-1:2003, International Organisation for Standardization, or any update of that method;

“chemical fertiliser” means any fertiliser that is manufactured by an industrial process;

“dry matter” for the purposes of sub-article (2)(b)(ii) means a test for total solids done in accordance with method 2540B, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, American Public Health Association, 21st Edition, 2005, or any update of that method;

“eligible area” in relation to a holding and the grassland stocking rate, means the eligible area of the holding or the grassland as appropriate excluding areas under farm roads, paths, buildings, farmyards, woods, dense scrub, rivers, streams, ponds, lakes, sandpits, quarries, expanses of bare rock, areas of bogland not grazed, areas fenced off and not used for production, inaccessible areas and areas of forestry (including Christmas trees), or required to be totally destocked under a Commonage Framework Plan;

“farmyard manure” means a mixture of bedding material and animal excreta in solid form arising from the housing of cattle, sheep and other livestock excluding poultry;

“fertiliser” means any substance containing nitrogen or phosphorus or a nitrogen compound or phosphorus compound utilised on land to enhance growth of

vegetation and may include livestock manure, the residues from fish farms and sewage sludge;

“groundwater” means all water that is below the surface of the ground in the saturation zone and in direct contact with the ground or subsoil;

“holding” means an agricultural production unit and, in relation to an occupier, means all the agricultural production units managed by that occupier;

“livestock” means all animals kept for use or profit (including cattle, horses, pigs, poultry, sheep and any creature kept for the production of food, wool, skins or fur);

“livestock manure” means waste products excreted by livestock or a mixture of litter and waste products excreted by livestock, even in processed form;

“local authority” means a city council or county council within the meaning of the Local Government Act, 2001 (No. 37 of 2001);

“the Minister” means the Minister for Housing, Planning and Local Government;

“the Nitrates Directive” means Council Directive 91/676/EEC of 12 December 1991 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates from agricultural sources;

“occupier”, in relation to a holding, includes the owner, a lessee, any person entitled to occupy the holding or any other person having for the time being control of the holding;

“organic fertiliser” means any fertiliser other than that manufactured by an industrial process and includes livestock manure, dungstead manure, farmyard manure, slurry, soiled water, silage effluent, spent mushroom compost, non-farm organic substances such as sewage sludge, industrial by-products and sludges and residues from fish farms;

“ploughing” includes ploughing and primary cultivation, excluding light cultivation carried out to encourage natural regeneration;

“relevant local authority” means the local authority in whose administrative area a farm holding or part of a farm holding is situated;

“river basin district” means a river basin district established by the European Communities (Water Policy) Regulations, 2003 (S.I. No. 722 of 2003) or any amendment thereof in relation to the establishment of river basin districts;

“slurry” includes—

- (a) excreta produced by livestock while in a building or yard, and
- (b) a mixture of such excreta with rainwater, washings or other extraneous material or any combination of these, of a consistency that allows it

to be pumped or discharged by gravity at any stage in the handling process but does not include soiled water;

“soil test” means a soil sample taken in accordance with the soil sampling procedure set out in Schedule 1 and analysed in accordance with that Schedule, at a laboratory that meets the requirements of the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine for this purpose;

“soiled water” has the meaning assigned by sub-article (2);

“steep slope” means ground which has an average incline of 20% or more in the case of grassland or 15% or more in the case of other land;

“tidal waters” includes the sea and any estuary up to high water mark medium tide and any enclosed dock adjoining tidal waters;

“waters” includes—

- (a) any (or any part of any) river, stream, lake, canal, reservoir, aquifer, pond, watercourse, or other inland waters, whether natural or artificial,
- (b) any tidal waters, and
- (c) where the context permits, any beach, river bank and salt marsh or other area which is contiguous to anything mentioned in paragraph (a) or (b), and the channel or bed of anything mentioned in paragraph (a) which is for the time being dry, but does not include a sewer;

“waterlogged ground” means ground that is saturated with water such that any further addition will lead, or is likely to lead, to surface run-off;

and cognate words shall be construed accordingly.

- (2) (a) In these Regulations “soiled water” includes, subject to this sub-article, water from concreted areas, hard standing areas, holding areas for livestock and other farmyard areas where such water is contaminated by contact with any of the following substances—

- (i) livestock faeces or urine or silage effluent,
- (ii) chemical fertilisers,
- (iii) washings such as vegetable washings, milking parlour washings or washings from mushroom houses,
- (iv) water used in washing farm equipment.

- (b) In these Regulations, “soiled water” does not include any liquid where such liquid has either—

- (i) a biochemical oxygen demand exceeding 2,500 mg per litre, or



- (ii) a dry matter content exceeding 1% (10 g/L).
  - (c) For the purposes of these Regulations, soiled water which is stored together with slurry is deemed to be slurry.
- (3) In these Regulations a reference to:—
- (a) an Article, Part or Schedule which is not otherwise identified is a reference to an Article, Part or Schedule of these Regulations,
  - (b) a sub-article or paragraph which is not otherwise identified is a reference to a sub-article or paragraph of the provision in which the reference occurs, and
  - (c) a period between a specified day in a month and a specified day in another month means the period commencing on the first-mentioned day in any year and ending on the second-mentioned day which first occurs after the first-mentioned day.
- (4) In these Regulations a footnote to a table in Schedule 2 shall be deemed to form part of the table.

## PART 2

### FARMYARD MANAGEMENT

#### *Minimisation of soiled water*

5. (1) An occupier of a holding shall take all such reasonable steps as are necessary for the purposes of minimising the amount of soiled water produced on the holding.

(2) Without prejudice to the generality of sub-article (1), an occupier of a holding shall ensure, as far as is practicable, that—

- (a) clean water from roofs and unsoiled paved areas and that flowing from higher ground on to the farmyard is diverted away from soiled yard areas and prevented from entering storage facilities for livestock manure and other organic fertilisers, soiled water, and effluents from dungsteads, farmyard manure pits, silage pits or silage clamps and
- (b) rainwater gutters and downpipes where required for the purposes of paragraph (a) are maintained in good working condition.

#### *Collection and holding of certain substances*

6. (1) Livestock manure and other organic fertilisers, soiled water and effluents from dungsteads, farmyard manure pits, silage pits or silage clamps arising or produced in a building or yard on a holding shall, prior to its application to land or other treatment, be collected and held in a manner that prevents the run-off or seepage, directly or indirectly, into groundwaters or surface waters of such substances.

(2) The occupier of a holding shall not cause or permit the entry to waters of any of the substances specified in sub-article (1).

*Provision and management of storage facilities*

7. (1) Storage facilities for livestock manure and other organic fertilisers, soiled water and effluents from dungsteads, farmyard manure pits, silage pits or silage clamps shall be maintained free of structural defect and be maintained and managed in such manner as is necessary to prevent run-off or seepage, directly or indirectly, into groundwater or surface water, of such substances.

(2) Storage facilities being provided on a holding on or after 31 March 2009 shall—

- (a) be designed, sited, constructed, maintained and managed so as to prevent run-off or seepage, directly or indirectly, into groundwater or surface water of a substance specified in sub-article (1), and
- (b) comply with such construction specifications for those facilities as may be approved from time to time by the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine.

(3) Storage facilities other than those referred to in sub-article (2) shall be of such construction and design and shall be maintained and managed in such a manner so as to comply with the requirements of sub-article (1) and article 6(2).

(4) In this article “storage facilities” includes out-wintering pads, earthen-lined stores, integrated constructed wetlands and any other system used for the holding or treatment of livestock manure or other organic fertilisers.

*General obligations as to capacity of storage facilities*

8. (1) The capacity of storage facilities for livestock manure and other organic fertilisers, soiled water and effluents from dungsteads, farmyard manure pits, silage pits or silage clamps on a holding shall be adequate to provide for the storage of all such substances as are likely to require storage on the holding for such period as may be necessary as to ensure compliance with these Regulations and the avoidance of water pollution.

(2) For the purposes of sub-article (1) an occupier shall have due regard to the storage capacity likely to be required during periods of adverse weather conditions when, due to extended periods of wet weather, frozen ground or otherwise, the application to land of livestock manure or soiled water is precluded.

(3) For the purposes of Articles 8 to 14, the capacity of storage facilities on a holding shall be disregarded insofar as the occupier does not have exclusive use of those facilities.

(4) For the purposes of Articles 10 to 14 the capacity of facilities required in accordance with these Regulations for the storage of manure from livestock of the type specified in Tables 1, 2 or 3 of Schedule 2 shall be determined by reference to the criteria set out in the relevant table and the rainfall criteria set

out in Table 4 of that schedule and shall include capacity for the storage for such period as may be necessary for compliance with these Regulations of rain-water, soiled water or other extraneous water which enters or is likely to enter the facilities.

(5) The occupier of a holding shall only be eligible to avail of a derogation from the limits on the amount of livestock manure to be applied as specified in Article 20 if the capacity of storage facilities for livestock manure, effluent and soiled water on the holding is in accordance with Articles 8 and 9.

*Capacity of storage facilities for effluents and soiled water*

9. Without prejudice to the generality of Article 8, the capacity of facilities for the storage on a holding of—

- (a) effluent produced by ensiled forage and other crops shall equal or exceed the capacity specified in Table 5 of Schedule 2,
- (b) soiled water shall equal or exceed the capacity required to store all soiled water likely to arise on the holding during a period of 10 days, and
- (c) soiled water being provided on a holding on or after 1 January 2015 shall equal or exceed the capacity required to store all soiled water likely to arise on the holding during a period of 15 days.

*Capacity of storage facilities for pig manure*

10. (1) Without prejudice to the generality of Article 8, the capacity of facilities for the storage on a holding of livestock manure produced by pigs shall, subject to sub-article (2) and Article 14, equal or exceed the capacity required to store all such livestock manure produced on the holding during a period of 26 weeks.

(2) The period specified in Schedule 3 shall, in substitution for that prescribed by sub-article (1), apply in relation to livestock manure produced by pigs on a holding where all the following conditions are met—

- (a) the number of pigs on the holding does not at any time exceed one hundred pigs, and
- (b) the holding comprises a sufficient area of land for the application in accordance with these Regulations of all livestock manure produced on the holding.

*Capacity of storage facilities for poultry manure*

11. (1) Without prejudice to the generality of Article 8, the capacity of facilities for the storage on a holding of livestock manure produced by poultry shall, subject to sub-article (2) and Article 14, equal or exceed the capacity required to store all such livestock manure produced on the holding during a period of 26 weeks.

(2) The period specified in Schedule 3 shall, in substitution for that prescribed by sub-article (1), apply in relation to livestock manure produced by poultry on a holding where all the following conditions are met—

- (a) tillage or grassland farming is carried out on the holding,
- (b) the number of poultry places on the holding does not exceed 2,000 places, and
- (c) the holding comprises a sufficient area of land for the application in accordance with these Regulations of all livestock manure produced on the holding.

*Capacity of storage facilities for manure from deer, goats and sheep*

12. Without prejudice to the generality of Article 8, the capacity of facilities for the storage on a holding of livestock manure produced by deer, goats and sheep shall, subject to Article 14, equal or exceed the capacity required to store all such livestock manure produced on the holding during a period of six weeks.

*Capacity of storage facilities for manure from cattle*

13. Without prejudice to the generality of Article 8, the capacity of facilities for the storage on a holding of livestock manure produced by cattle shall, subject to Article 14, equal or exceed the capacity required to store all such livestock manure produced on the holding during the period specified in Schedule 3.

*Reduced storage capacity in certain circumstances*

14. (1) The capacity of facilities for the storage of livestock manure on a holding may, to such extent as is justified in the particular circumstances of the holding, be less than the capacity specified in Article 10, 11, 12 or 13, as appropriate, in the case of a holding where—

- (a) the occupier of the holding has a contract providing exclusive access to adequate alternative storage capacity located outside the holding,
- (b) the occupier has a contract for access to a treatment facility for livestock manure, or
- (c) the occupier has a contract for the transfer of the manure to a person registered under and in accordance with the European Communities (Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies and Animal By-products) Regulations 2008 S.I. 252 of 2008 to undertake the transport of manure.

(2) Subject to sub-article (3), the capacity of facilities for the storage of livestock manure may be less than the capacity specified in Article 12 or 13, as appropriate, in relation to—

- (a) deer, goats or sheep which are out-wintered at a grassland stocking rate which does not exceed 130 kg nitrogen at any time during the period specified in Schedule 4 in relation to the application of organic fertiliser other than farmyard manure, or

- (b) livestock (other than dairy cows, deer, goats or sheep) which are out-wintered at a grassland stocking rate which does not exceed 85 kg nitrogen at any time during the period specified in Schedule 4 in relation to the application of organic fertiliser other than farmyard manure.
- (3) Sub-article (2) shall apply only in relation to a holding where all the following conditions are met—
- (a) all the lands used for out-wintering of the livestock are comprised in the holding,
  - (b) the out-wintered livestock have free access at all times to the required lands,
  - (c) the amount of manure produced on the holding does not exceed an amount containing 140kg of nitrogen per hectare per annum,
  - (d) severe damage to the surface of the land by poaching does not occur, and
  - (e) the reduction in storage capacity is proportionate to the extent of out-wintered livestock on the holding.
- (4) In this article, a grassland stocking rate of 130 kg or 85 kg of nitrogen, as the case may be, means the stocking of grassland on a holding at any time by such numbers and types of livestock as would in the course of a year excrete waste products containing 130 kg or 85 kg of nitrogen, as the case may be, per hectare of the grassland when calculated in accordance with the nutrient excretion rates for livestock specified in Table 6 of Schedule 2.

### PART 3

## NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

### *Interpretation, commencement etc*

15. (1) In this Part, “crop requirement”, in relation to the application of fertilisers to promote the growth of a crop, means the amounts and types of fertilisers which are reasonable to apply to soil for the purposes of promoting the growth of the crop having regard to the foreseeable nutrient supply available to the crop from the fertilisers, the soil and from other sources.

(2) The amount of nitrogen or phosphorus specified in Table 7 or 8 of Schedule 2, as the case may be, in relation to a type of livestock manure or other substance specified in the relevant table shall for the purposes of this Part be deemed to be the amount of nitrogen or phosphorus, as the case may be, contained in that type of manure or substance except as may be otherwise specified in a certificate issued in accordance with Article 32.

(3) The amount of nitrogen or phosphorus available to a crop from a fertiliser of a type which is specified in Table 9 of Schedule 2 in the year of application of



that fertiliser shall, for the purposes of this Part, be deemed to be the percentage specified in that table of the amount of nitrogen or phosphorus, as the case may be, in the fertiliser.

(4) The amount of nitrogen or phosphorus available to a crop from an organic fertiliser of a type which is not specified in Table 9 of Schedule 2 shall be deemed to be the amount specified in the table in relation to cattle manure or, where supported by the necessary analysis, the amount of nitrogen estimated on the basis of the C:N ratio of the compost in accordance with Table 9A unless a different amount has been determined in relation to that fertiliser by, or with the agreement of, the relevant local authority or the Agency, as the case may be.

(5) A reference in this Part to the “nitrogen index” or the “phosphorus index” in relation to soil is a reference to the index number assigned to the soil in accordance with Table 10 or 11 of Schedule 2, as the case may be, to indicate the level of nitrogen or phosphorus available from the soil.

*Duty of occupier in relation to nutrient management*

16. (1) An occupier of a holding shall take all such reasonable steps as are necessary for the purposes of preventing or minimising the application to land of fertilisers in excess of crop requirement on the holding.

(2) For the purposes of the determination of the grassland stocking rate in tables 12, 13A and 13B the previous calendar year's stocking rate data shall be used.

(3) (a) For the purposes of this article, the phosphorus index for soil shall be deemed to be phosphorus index 3 unless a soil test indicates that a different phosphorus index is appropriate in relation to that soil.

(b) The soil test to be taken into account for the purposes of paragraph (a) in relation to soil shall, subject to paragraph (c), be the soil test most recently taken in relation to that soil.

(c) Where a period of four years or more has elapsed after the taking of a soil test, the results of that test shall be disregarded for the purposes of paragraph (a) except in a case where that soil test indicates the soil to be at phosphorus index 4.

(d) An occupier of a holding located in an area where soils have an organic matter content of 20% and above, as defined on the Teagasc-EPA Indicative Soils map, shall ensure that the soil test undertaken includes organic matter determination. The phosphorus fertilisation rate for soils with more than 20% organic matter shall not exceed the amounts permitted for Index 3 soils. Soil organic matter determination shall not be required where it is certified by a Farm Advisory System Advisor that soils on a holding/field in such areas are mineral soils.

(4) Without prejudice to the generality of sub-article (1) and subject to sub-article (5), the amount of available nitrogen or available phosphorus applied to

promote the growth of a crop specified in Table 12, 13A, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 or 21 of Schedule 2 shall not exceed the amount specified in the table in relation to that crop having regard to the relevant nitrogen index or phosphorus index, as the case may be, for the soil on which the crops are to be grown. In the case of crops not identified in the tables listed above, fertilisers shall be applied in accordance with the national agriculture and food development authority's guidance as approved by the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine.

(5) Increased phosphorus build-up on grassland on farms with grassland stocking rates of 130kg nitrogen per hectare and above shall only be permitted in accordance with the rates contained in Table 13B provided that the following conditions are met:

- (a) Soil analysis is carried out for soil phosphorus and soil organic matter contents; soil organic matter testing shall not be required where it is certified by a Farm Advisory System Advisor that all soils on a holding are mineral soils.
  - (b) An occupier availing of the phosphorus build-up programme shall engage the services of a Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine approved Farm Advisory System Advisor.
  - (c) A detailed farm nutrient plan for the holding shall be submitted in a format specified by the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine.
  - (d) The occupier shall participate in an appropriate training programme specified by the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine for the purpose of meeting the requirements of these regulations.
- (6) In the case of a holding on which grazing livestock are held, the amount of available phosphorus supplied to the holding by concentrated feedstuff shall be the amount fed to such livestock in excess of 300kg per 85kg livestock manure nitrogen in the previous calendar year and the phosphorus content of such concentrated feedstuff shall, in the absence of a known phosphorus content or phosphorus content provided by the supplier, be deemed to be 0.5 kg phosphorus in respect of each 100 kg of such concentrated feedstuff.

(7) The nitrogen and phosphorus maximum limits in Tables 12, 13A and 13B are in addition to the nitrogen and phosphorus contained in grazing livestock manure produced on the holding.

#### PART 4

#### PREVENTION OF WATER POLLUTION FROM FERTILISERS AND CERTAIN ACTIVITIES

##### *Distances from a water body and other issues*

17. (1) Chemical fertiliser shall not be applied to land within 2m of any surface waters.

(2) Organic fertiliser or soiled water shall not be applied to land within—

- (a) 200m of the abstraction point of any surface waters, borehole, spring or well used for the abstraction of water for human consumption in a water scheme supplying 100m<sup>3</sup> or more of water per day or serving 500 or more persons,
- (b) 100m of the abstraction point (other than an abstraction point specified in paragraph (a)) of any surface waters, borehole, spring or well used for the abstraction of water for human consumption in a water scheme supplying 10m<sup>3</sup> or more of water per day or serving 50 or more persons,
- (c) 25m of any borehole, spring or well used for the abstraction of water for human consumption other than a borehole, spring or well specified in paragraph (a) or (b),
- (d) 20m of a lake shoreline or a turlough likely to flood,
- (e) 15m of exposed cavernous or karstified limestone features (such as swallow-holes and collapse features),
- (f) subject to sub-article (13), 5m of any surface waters (other than a lake or surface waters specified in paragraph (a) or (b)), or
- (g) the distance specified in sub-article 2(f) shall be increased to 10m for a period of two weeks preceding and two weeks following the periods specified in Schedule 4.

(3) Notwithstanding the requirements of sub-articles (2)(a), (2)(b) and (2)(c), the following distances shall apply—

- (a) 30m from the abstraction point in the case of any surface waters, borehole, spring or well used for the abstraction of water for human consumption in a water scheme supplying 10m<sup>3</sup> or more of water per day or serving 50 or more persons,
- (b) 15m from the abstraction point in the case of any borehole, spring or well used for the abstraction of water for human consumption other than a borehole, spring or well specified in paragraph (a).

(4) Sub-article (3) shall only apply in situations where a local authority or Irish Water (as the case may be) has completed a technical assessment of conditions in the vicinity of the abstraction point, including taking into account variation in soil and subsoil conditions, the landspreading pressures in the area, the type of abstraction, available water quality evidence and the likely risk to the water supply source and the local authority, in consultation with Irish Water, where relevant, has determined that the distance does not give rise to a risk to the water supply and a potential danger to human health.

(5) A local authority may, following consultation with Irish Water, where relevant, decide to apply the landspreading restriction to the upstream catchment area and to the close proximity downstream of the abstraction point in the case of any surface waters.

(6) A local authority may, in the case of any particular abstraction point and following consultation with the Agency and, where relevant, Irish Water, specify a greater distance to that specified in sub-articles (2) or (3) where, following prior investigations by Irish Water or the local authority (as the case may be), the local authority is satisfied that such distance is appropriate for the protection of waters being abstracted at that point. The distance so specified shall be determined by the local authority using an evidence-based approach which takes into account the natural vulnerability of the waters to contamination from land spreading, the potential risk to human health arising from the landspreading activity as well as the water quality evidence, including information on water quality trends.

(7) Notwithstanding the provisions of sub-articles (2), (3) and (6), a local authority shall, following prior investigations by Irish Water or the local authority (as the case may be) and following consultation with the Agency and, where relevant, Irish Water, specify an alternative distance, including a landspreading exclusion area where necessary, in the case of a water abstraction for human consumption in a scheme supplying 10m<sup>3</sup> or more of water per day, or serving 50 or more persons, within a timeframe to be agreed with the Agency and, where relevant, Irish Water, where—

- (a) on the basis of the results of monitoring carried out for the purposes of Article 7 of the European Communities (Drinking Water) Regulations (S.I. No. 122 of 2014), the quality of water intended for human consumption does not meet the parametric values specified in Part I of the Schedule of those Regulations or the quality of water constitutes a potential danger to human health, and it appears to the local authority following consultation with the Agency and, where relevant, Irish Water, that this is due to the landspreading of organic fertilisers or soiled water in the vicinity of the abstraction point, or
- (b) investigations undertaken by Irish Water as part of the management of a water supply scheme indicate that the landspreading activity presents a significant risk to the drinking water supply or a potential danger to human health having regard to catchment factors in the vicinity of the abstraction point including but not limited to slope, vulnerability, and hydrogeology, the scale and intensity of land spreading pressures, the type of water supply source and water quality evidence, including information on water quality trends.

(8) A distance specified by a local authority in accordance with sub-articles (3), (5), (6) and (7) may be described as a distance or distances from an abstraction point, a hydrogeological boundary or topographical feature or as an area delineated on a map or in such other way as appears appropriate to the authority.

(9) In relation to sub-articles (6) and (7), "prior investigations" means, in relation to an abstraction point, an assessment of the susceptibility of waters to contamination in the vicinity of the abstraction point having regard to—

- (a) the direction of flow of surface water or groundwater, as the case may be,
- (b) the slope of the land and its runoff potential,
- (c) the natural geological and hydrogeological attributes of the area including the nature and depth of any overlying soil and subsoil and its effectiveness in preventing or reducing the entry of harmful substances to water, and
- (d) where relevant, the technical specifications set out in the document "Groundwater Protection Schemes" published in 1999 (ISBN 1-899702-22-9) or any subsequent published amendment of that document.

(10) Where a local authority specifies a distance in accordance with either of sub-articles (3), (5), (6) or (7) the authority shall, as soon as may be—

- (a) notify the affected landowners, Irish Water, the Agency and the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine of the distance so specified,
- (b) send to the Agency a summary of the report of any investigations undertaken and the reasons for specifying the alternative distance,
- (c) make an entry in the register maintained in accordance with Article 30(6), and
- (d) publish and maintain on the local authority website an updated schedule of setback distances specified for each drinking water supply.

(11) The Agency may issue advice and/or direction to Irish Water or a local authority in relation to any requirements including requirements for technical assessments and prior investigations arising under sub-articles (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8) or (9) and Irish Water or a local authority (as the case may be) shall comply with any such advice or direction given.

(12) Notwithstanding sub-article (2)(f), organic fertiliser or soiled water shall not be applied to land within 10m of any surface waters where the land has an average incline greater than 10% towards the water.

(13) Where farmyard manure is held in a field prior to landspreading it shall be held in a compact heap and shall not be placed within-

- (a) 250m of the abstraction point of any surface waters or borehole, spring or well used for the abstraction of water for human consumption in a



water scheme supplying 10m<sup>3</sup> or more of water per day or serving 50 or more persons,

- (b) 50m of any other borehole, spring or well used for the abstraction of water for human consumption other than a borehole, spring or well specified at paragraph (a),
- (c) 20m of a lake shoreline or a turlough likely to flood,
- (d) 50m of exposed cavernous or karstified limestone features (such as swallow-holes and collapse features),
- (e) 20m of any surface waters (other than a lake or surface waters specified at paragraph (a)).

(14) Farmyard manure shall not be held in a field at any time during the periods specified in Schedule 4 as applicable to that substance.

(15) Silage bales shall not be stored outside of farmyards within 20m of surface waters or a drinking water abstraction point in the absence of adequate facilities for the collection and storage of any effluent arising.

(16) No cultivation shall take place within 2m of a watercourse identified on the modern 1:5,000 scale OSi mapping or better, except in the case of grassland establishment or the sowing of grass crops.

(17) Supplementary feeding points shall not be located within 20m of waters and shall not be located on bare rock.

(18) In the case of holdings with grassland stocking rates of 170kgs nitrogen per hectare from livestock manure or above, bovine livestock shall not be permitted to drink directly from waters from 1 January 2021 onwards. Where bovine livestock have direct access to water from the holding, a fence shall be placed at least 1.5m from the top of the riverbank or water's edge (as the case may be) by 1 January 2021. It will be permissible to move livestock across a watercourse to an isolated land parcel where necessary, provided that both sides of the watercourse are fenced.

(19) In the case of holdings identified in sub-Article 18, supplementary drinking points may not be located within 20m of surface waters from 1 January 2021.

(20) There shall be no direct runoff of soiled water from farm roadways to waters from 1 January 2021. The occupier of a holding shall comply with any specification for farm roadways specified by the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine pursuant to this requirement.

(21) There shall be no direct runoff of soiled waters to waters resulting from the poaching of land on the holding.

*Requirements as to manner of application of fertilisers, soiled water etc*

18. (1) Livestock manure, other organic fertilisers, effluents, soiled water and chemical fertilisers shall be applied to land in as accurate and uniform a manner as is practically possible.

(2) Organic and chemical fertilisers or soiled water shall not be applied to land in any of the following circumstances—

- (a) the land is waterlogged;
- (b) the land is flooded or likely to flood;
- (c) the land is snow-covered or frozen;
- (d) heavy rain is forecast within 48 hours, or
- (e) the ground slopes steeply and there is a risk of water pollution having regard to factors such as surface runoff pathways, the presence of land drains, the absence of hedgerows to mitigate surface flow, soil condition and ground cover.

(3) A person shall, for the purposes of sub-article (2)(d), have regard to weather forecasts issued by Met Éireann.

(4) Organic fertilisers or soiled water shall not be applied to land—

- (a) by use of an umbilical system with an upward-facing splashplate,
- (b) by use of a tanker with an upward-facing splashplate,
- (c) by use of a sludge irrigator mounted on a tanker, or
- (d) from a road or passageway adjacent to the land irrespective of whether or not the road or passageway is within or outside the curtilage of the holding.

(5) Subject to sub-article (6), soiled water shall not be applied to land—

- (a) in quantities which exceed in any period of 42 days a total quantity of 50,000 litres per hectare, or
- (b) by irrigation at a rate exceeding 5 mm per hour.

(6) In an area which is identified on maps compiled by the Geological Survey of Ireland as “Extreme Vulnerability Areas on Karst Limestone Aquifers”, soiled water shall not be applied to land—

- (a) in quantities which exceed in any period of 42 days a total quantity of 25,000 litres per hectare, or
- (b) by irrigation at a rate exceeding 3 mm per hour unless the land has a consistent minimum thickness of 1m of soil and subsoil combined.

(7) For the purposes of sub-article (6), it shall be assumed until the contrary is shown that areas so identified as “Extreme Vulnerability Areas on Karst Limestone Aquifers” do not have a consistent minimum thickness of 1m of soil and subsoil combined.

*Periods when application of fertilisers is prohibited*

19. (1) Subject to this article, the application of fertiliser to land is prohibited during the periods specified in Schedule 4.

(2) Sub-article (1) shall not apply in relation to the application to land of—

- (a) soiled water, or
- (b) chemical fertilisers to meet the crop requirements of Autumn-planted cabbage or of crops grown under permanent cover, or
- (c) fertilisers whose application rate or usage rate is less than 1kg per hectare of available nitrogen or phosphorus.

*Limits on the amount of livestock manure to be applied*

20. (1) The amount of livestock manure applied in any year to land on a holding, together with that deposited to land by livestock, shall not exceed an amount containing 170 kg of nitrogen per hectare. Where imported livestock manure is to be applied to the land on the holding, calculations shall be based on the previous calendar year's stocking rate.

(2) For the purposes of sub-article (1), the amount of nitrogen produced by livestock and the nitrogen content of livestock manure shall be calculated in accordance with Tables 6, 7 and 8 of Schedule 2 except in the case of pig manure or poultry manure where a different amount is specified in a certificate issued in accordance with Article 32 in relation to that manure.

(3) For the purposes of sub-article (1), the area of a holding shall be deemed to be the eligible area of the holding.

*Ploughing and the use of non-selective herbicides*

21. (1) Where arable land is ploughed between 1 July and 30 November the necessary measures shall be taken to provide for emergence, within 6 weeks of ploughing, of green cover from a sown crop. A rough surface shall be maintained prior to a crop being sown in the case of lands ploughed between 1 December and 15 January.

(2) Where grassland is ploughed between 1 July and 15 October the necessary measures shall be taken to provide for emergence by 1 November of green cover from a sown crop.

(3) Grassland shall not be ploughed between 16 October and 30 November.

(4) (a) When a non-selective herbicide is applied to arable land or to grassland in the period between 1 July and 30 November the necessary measures shall be taken to provide for the emergence, within 6 weeks

of the application, of green cover from a sown crop or from natural regeneration.

- (b) When a non-selective herbicide is applied to land after 15 October, the requirement in sub-article 4 (a) shall be reduced to 75% of the relevant cereal area where a contract is in place for seed crops or crops producing grain destined for human consumption which prohibits the application of a non-selective herbicide preharvest.

(5) Where green cover is provided for in compliance with this Article, the cover shall not be removed by ploughing or by the use of a non-selective herbicide before 1 December unless a crop is sown within two weeks of its removal.

(6) In the case of land which is ploughed in the course of a ploughing competition under the auspices of the National Ploughing Association, a temporary exemption applies in the form of an extension to the time period specified in sub-article (1) or (2) for establishment of green cover after the land is ploughed.

## PART 5

### GENERAL

#### *General duty of occupier*

22. (1) An occupier of a holding shall ensure compliance with the provisions of these Regulations in relation to that holding.

(2) An occupier of a holding shall comply with any advice or guidelines which may be issued from time to time for the purposes of these Regulations by the Minister, the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine or the Agency.

#### *Keeping of records by occupier*

23. (1) Records shall be maintained for each holding which shall indicate—

- (a) total area of the holding,
- (b) eligible area of the holding,
- (c) cropping regimes and their individual areas,
- (d) livestock numbers and type,
- (e) an estimation of the annual fertiliser requirement for the holding and a copy of any Nutrient Management Plan prepared in relation to the holding,
- (f) quantities and types of chemical fertilisers moved on to or off the holding, including opening stock, records of purchase and closing stock,
- (g) livestock manure and other organic fertilisers moved on to or off the holding including quantities, type, dates and details of exporters and

importers, as the case may be, in a format specified by the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine,

- (h) the results of any soil tests carried out in relation to the holding,
- (i) the nature and capacity of facilities on the holding for the storage of livestock manure and other organic fertilisers, soiled water and effluents from dungsteads, farmyard manure pits, silage pits or silage clamps, including an assessment of compliance with Articles 9 to 14,
- (j) the quantities and types of concentrated feedstuff fed to grazing livestock on the holding, and
- (k) the location of any abstraction point of water used for human consumption from any surface waters, borehole, spring or well.

(2) Where fertiliser is used on a holding and a certificate of the type mentioned in Article 15 or 20 was issued in relation to that fertiliser in accordance with Article 32, a copy of the certificate shall be retained and be available for inspection on the holding for a period of not less than five years from the expiry of validity of the certificate.

(3) Records shall be prepared for each calendar year by 31 March of the following year and shall be retained for a period of not less than five years.

(4) Notwithstanding sub-paragraphs (1), (2) and (3), an occupier shall, where requested by the Minister, the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine, a local authority or the Agency, provide such information as is requested relating to the movement of organic fertilisers on or off the holding.

*False or misleading information*

24. A person shall not compile information which is false or misleading to a material extent or furnish any such information in any notice or other document for the purposes of these Regulations.

*Authorised person*

25. (1) In this Article, “authorised person” means—

- (a) a person who is an authorised person for the purposes of section 28 of the Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977 (No. 1 of 1977), or
- (b) a person appointed under sub-article (11) to be an authorised person for the purposes of these Regulations.

(2) An authorised person may for any purpose connected with these Regulations—

- (a) enter and inspect any premises for the purposes of performing a function under these Regulations or of obtaining any information which he or she may require for such purposes,



- (b) at all reasonable times, or at any time if he or she has reasonable grounds for believing that there is or may be a risk to the environment, or that an offence under these Regulations is being or is about to be committed, arising from the carrying on of an activity at a premises, enter any premises and bring onto those premises such other persons (including a member of the Garda Síochána) or equipment as he or she may consider necessary, or
  - (c) at any time if he or she has reasonable grounds for suspecting there may be a risk to the environment, or that an offence under these Regulations is being or is about to be committed, involving the use of any vehicle halt and board the vehicle and require the driver of the vehicle to take it to a place designated by the authorised person, and such a vehicle may be detained at that place by the authorised person for such period as he or she may consider necessary.
- (3) An authorised person shall not enter into a private dwelling under this article unless one of the following conditions applies—
- (a) the entry is effected with the consent of the occupier or
  - (b) the entry is authorised by a warrant issued under sub-article (7).
- (4) Whenever an authorised person enters any premises or boards any vehicle, under this article, he or she may—
- (a) take photographs and carry out inspections, record information on data loggers, make tape, electrical, video or other recordings,
  - (b) carry out tests and make copies of documents (including records kept in electronic form) found therein and take samples,
  - (c) monitor any effluent, including trade effluent or other matter, which is contained in or discharged from a premises,
  - (d) carry out surveys, take levels, make excavations and carry out examinations of depth and nature of subsoil,
  - (e) require that the premises or vehicle or any part of the premises or anything in the premises or vehicle shall be left undisturbed for a specified period,
  - (f) require information from an occupier of the premises of any occupant of the vehicle or any person employed on the premises or any other person on the premises,
  - (g) require the production of, or inspect, records (including records held in electronic form) or documents, or take copies of or extracts from any records or documents, and

- (h) remove and retain documents and records (including documents held in electronic form) for such period as may be reasonable for further examination,

which the authorised person, having regard to all the circumstances, considers necessary for the purposes of exercising any function under these Regulations.

- (5) (a) An authorised person who, having entered any premises or boarded any vehicle pursuant to these Regulations, considers that a risk to the environment arises from the carrying on of an activity at the premises or involving the use of the vehicle, may direct the owner or occupier of the premises or the driver of the vehicle to take such measures as are considered by that authorised person to be necessary to remove that risk.
- (b) If the owner, occupier or driver referred to in paragraph (a) fails to comply with a direction of an authorised person under this subsection, the authorised person may do all things as are necessary to ensure that the measures required under the direction are carried out and the costs incurred by him or her in doing any such thing shall be recoverable from the owner or occupier by him or her, or the person by whom he or she was appointed.
- (6) A person shall not—
  - (a) refuse to allow an authorised person to enter any premises or board any vehicle or to bring any person or equipment with him or her in the exercise of his or her powers,
  - (b) obstruct or impede an authorised person in the exercise of any of his or her powers,
  - (c) give to an authorised person information which is to his or her knowledge false or misleading in a material respect, or
  - (d) fail or refuse to comply with any direction or requirement of an authorised person.
- (7) (a) Where an authorised person in the exercise of his or her powers under this Article is prevented from entering any premises, or if the authorised person has reason to believe that evidence related to a suspected offence under these Regulations may be present in any premises and that the evidence may be removed therefrom or destroyed, or if the authorised person has reason to believe that there is a significant immediate risk to the environment, the authorised person or the person by whom he or she was appointed may apply to the District Court for a warrant under this Article authorising the entry by the authorised person onto or into the premises.

- (b) If, on application being made to the District Court under this Article, the District Court is satisfied, on the sworn information of the authorised person that he or she has been prevented from entering a premises, the Court may issue a warrant authorising that person, accompanied, if the Court deems it appropriate by another authorised person or a member of the Garda Síochána, as may be specified in the warrant, at any time or times within one month from the date of the issue of the warrant, on production if so requested of the warrant, to enter, if need be by force, the premises concerned and exercise the powers referred to in sub-article (4) or (5).

(8) An authorised person may, in the exercise of any power conferred on him or her by these Regulations involving the bringing of any vehicle to any place, or where he or she anticipates any obstruction in the exercise of any other power conferred on him or her by these Regulations, request a member of the Garda Síochána to assist him or her in the exercise of such a power and any member of the Garda Síochána to whom he or she makes such a request shall comply with this request.

(9) Any certificate or other evidence given, or to be given, in respect of any test, examination or analysis of any sample shall, in relation to that sample, be evidence, without further proof, of the result of the test, examination or analysis unless the contrary is shown.

(10) When exercising any power conferred on him or her by these Regulations an authorised person shall, if requested by any person affected, produce a certificate or other evidence of his or her appointment as an authorised person.

(11) A person may be appointed as an authorised person for the purposes of these Regulations by the Minister, the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine or the Agency.

(12) In this article "premises" includes land whether or not there are any structures on the land.

#### *Offences and related matters*

26. (1) A person who contravenes a provision of Parts 2 to 5 and Schedule 5 of these Regulations, excluding Article 17(5), (6), (7), (10) and (11), is guilty of an offence and shall be liable—

- (a) on summary conviction to a Class A fine or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 3 months or both or,
- (b) on conviction on indictment to a fine not exceeding €500,000 or to imprisonment for a term not exceeding one year or to both such fine and such imprisonment.

(2) Where an offence under these Regulations has been committed by a body corporate and it is proved to have been so committed with the consent or connivance of or to be attributable to any neglect on the part of any person who, when the offence was committed, was a director, manager, secretary or other

officer of the body corporate, or a person purporting to act in any such capacity, that person, as well as the body corporate, is guilty of an offence and liable to be proceeded against and punished as if guilty of the first-mentioned offence.

(3) Where the affairs of a body corporate or unincorporated body are managed by its members, sub-article (2) shall apply to the acts and defaults of a member in connection with the functions of management as if such a member were a director or manager of the body.

(4) A prosecution for a summary offence under these Regulations may be taken by a local authority or the Agency.

(5) A prosecution for a summary offence may be taken by a local authority whether or not the offence is committed in the functional area of the authority.

(6) Where a court imposes a fine or affirms or varies a fine imposed by another court for an offence under these Regulations, prosecuted by the Agency or a local authority, it shall, on the application of the Agency or local authority concerned (made before the time of such imposition, affirmation or variation), provide by order for the payment of the amount of the fine to the Agency or local authority, as the case may be, and such payment may be enforced by the Agency or local authority, as the case may be, as if it were due to it on foot of a decree or order made by the court in civil proceedings.

(7) Where a person is convicted of an offence under these Regulations the court shall, unless it is satisfied that there are special and substantial reasons for not so doing, order that person to pay to the Agency or local authority concerned the costs and expenses, measured by the court, reasonably incurred by the Agency or local authority in relation to the investigation, detection and prosecution of the offence, including costs incurred in the taking of samples, the carrying out of tests, examinations and analyses and in respect of the remuneration and other expenses of employees, consultants and advisers.

(8) (a) Where a local authority has reason to believe that an offence has been or is being committed in relation to a holding the authority may by notice require the person who appears to the authority to be the occupier to provide such information as is specified in the notice in relation to the alleged offence and it shall be the duty of that person to provide such information within the time frame specified in the notice insofar as is known to him or her.

(b) A notice issued in accordance with paragraph (a) shall set out the provisions of Articles 22(1) and 24 and of sub-article (1).

(9) Where a local authority considers that an offence under these Regulations has been or is being committed in relation to a holding the authority shall take such enforcement measures as are warranted by the circumstances and as are necessary to ensure satisfactory compliance with these Regulations and which, save in the case of a trivial or insignificant offence or specific mitigating circumstances, shall include prosecution for the alleged offence.



(10) (a) Where on application by motion by the Agency or a local authority to the District Court, Circuit Court or the High Court, the court hearing the application is satisfied that a person has failed or is failing to comply with a provision of Parts 2 to 5 of these Regulations, the court may by order—

- (i) direct the person to comply with the provisions,
- (ii) make such other provision, including provision in relation to the payment of costs, as the court considers appropriate, and
- (iii) make such interim or interlocutory order as it considers appropriate.

(b) An application for an order under this Article may be made whether or not there has been a prosecution for an offence under these Regulations in relation to the relevant failure of compliance and shall not prejudice the initiation of a prosecution for an offence under these Regulations in relation to the failure of compliance.

(11) The powers, duties and functions assigned to a local authority or the Agency by this Article are additional to, and not in substitution for, the powers, duties and functions assigned by the Local Government (Water Pollution) Acts 1977 and 1990 or any other statute.

(12) A local authority shall maintain a register of inspections undertaken of farm holdings and information received for the purposes of Article 26(8) and shall keep updated a record of all enforcement measures undertaken in accordance with the requirements of Article 26(9).

## PART 6

### FUNCTIONS OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES

#### *Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine*

27. (1) The Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine shall carry out, or cause to be carried out, such monitoring and evaluation programmes in relation to farm practices as may be necessary to determine the effectiveness of measures being taken in accordance with these Regulations.

(2) The Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine shall, in relation to each year, make the overall results of monitoring and evaluations carried out in accordance with sub-article (1) available to the Agency, to the Minister and, on request, to a local authority.

(3) The Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine shall prepare and keep updated a register of all holdings and shall, on request, make a copy of the register available to the Minister, the Agency or a local authority.

(4) The Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine shall make available to the Minister, a local authority and/or the Agency a report of an inspection or



inspections carried out for the purposes of these Regulations and/or upon written request other information in relation to any holding or holdings as the case may be where such transfer of data is necessary for the purposes of ensuring compliance with these Regulations.

(5) The Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine shall make available to the Minister, a local authority and its agents upon written request information in relation to any holding or holdings as the case may be where such transfer of data is necessary for the purposes of promoting compliance with these Regulations.

*Making and review of action programme by the Minister*

28. (1) The Minister shall, following consultation with the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine and other interested parties in accordance with this Article, prepare and publish not later than 31 December 2021 and every four years thereafter, a programme of measures (hereafter in this Article referred to as “an action programme”) for the protection of waters against pollution from agriculture.

(2) An action programme required by sub-article (1) shall include all such measures as are necessary for the purposes of Article 5 of the Nitrates Directive and shall contain a review of the action programme most recently made for those purposes and of such additional measures and reinforced actions as may have been taken.

(3) The Minister shall ensure that all interested parties are given early and effective opportunities to participate in the preparation, review and revision of an action programme required by this Article and for this purpose shall—

- (a) inform interested parties by public notices or other appropriate means including electronic media, in relation to any proposals for the preparation, review or revision of an action programme,
- (b) make available to interested parties information in relation to the proposals referred to in paragraph (a) including information about the right to participate in decision-making in relation to those proposals,
- (c) provide an opportunity for comment by interested parties before any decision is made on the establishment, review or revision of an action programme,
- (d) in making any such decision, take due account of the comments made by interested parties and the results of the public participation, and
- (e) having examined any comments made by interested parties, make reasonable efforts to inform those parties of the decisions taken and the reasons and considerations on which those decisions are based, including information on the public participation process.

(4) The Minister shall ensure that such reasonable time is allowed as is sufficient to enable interested parties to participate effectively.

(5) Where the Minister publishes any information in accordance with this Article, the Minister shall—

- (a) do so in such manner as the Minister considers appropriate for the purpose of bringing that information to the attention of the public, and
- (b) make copies of that information accessible to interested parties free of charge through a website or otherwise.

(6) The Minister shall specify by way of public notice on a website or otherwise the detailed arrangements made to enable public participation in the preparation, review or revision of an action programme, including—

- (a) the address to which comments in relation to those proposals may be submitted, and
- (b) the date by which such comments should be received.

(7) In this Article “interested parties” includes persons who—

- (a) are carrying on any business which relies upon the water environment or which is affected, or likely to be affected, by the action programme, or
- (b) are carrying on any activities which have or are likely to have an impact on water status, or
- (c) have an interest in the protection of the water environment whether as users of the water environment or otherwise.

#### *Agency*

29. (1) The Agency shall prepare at four-yearly intervals a report in accordance with Article 10 of the Nitrates Directive and shall submit such report to the Minister.

(2) The Agency shall undertake a review of progress made in implementing these Regulations and shall submit a report to the Minister by 30 June 2021 and every four years thereafter with the results of that review and with recommendations as to such additional measures, if any, as appear to be necessary to prevent and reduce water pollution from agricultural sources.

(3) In preparing the reports required under sub-articles (1) and (2) the Agency shall consult with the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine and the co-ordinating local authority in each river basin district, and such other persons as it considers appropriate.

(4) The Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine, the relevant local authorities and Irish Water shall provide the Agency with such information appropriate to their functions as may be requested by the Agency for the purposes of these Regulations.

(5) Each monitoring programme prepared by the Agency for the purposes of Article 10 of European Communities (Water Policy) Regulations, 2003 (S.I. No. 722 of 2003) shall include provision for such monitoring as is necessary for the purposes of these Regulations.

(6) The Agency shall, from time to time as it considers appropriate, make recommendations and give directions to a local authority in relation to the monitoring and inspections to be carried out, or other measures to be taken, by the authority for the purposes of these Regulations and may revise such recommendations and directions at such times thereafter as the Agency considers appropriate.

(7) The powers, duties and functions assigned to the Agency by these Regulations are additional to, and not in substitution for, the powers, duties and functions assigned to the Agency by section 63 of the Environmental Protection Agency Act, 1992 (No. 7 of 1992) or any other statute.

#### *Local authorities*

30. (1) A local authority shall carry out, or cause to be carried out, such monitoring of surface waters and groundwaters at selected measuring points within its functional area as makes it possible to establish the extent of pollution in the waters from agricultural sources and to determine trends in the occurrence and extent of such pollution.

(2) A local authority shall carry out or cause to be carried out such inspections of farm holdings as is necessary for the purposes of these Regulations and shall aim to co-ordinate its inspection activities with inspections carried out by other public authorities.

(3) For the purposes of sub-article (2) a local authority shall aim to develop co-ordination arrangements with other public authorities with a view to promoting consistency of approach in inspection procedures and administrative efficiencies between public authorities and to avoid any unnecessary duplication of administrative procedures and shall have regard to any inspection protocol which may be developed by the Minister, following consultation with the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine.

(4) A local authority shall, in the exercise of its functions for the purposes of these Regulations—

- (a) consult to such extent as it considers appropriate with the Minister, the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine, the Agency, Irish Water and such other persons as it considers appropriate, and
- (b) have regard to any recommendations made, and comply with any direction given, to the authority by the Agency in accordance with Article 29.

(5) A local authority shall follow the protocol as established by the Minister for furnishing a report of an inspection or inspections to the Department of

Agriculture, Food and the Marine and such other persons as it considers appropriate for the purposes of these Regulations where non-compliance has been detected.

(6) A local authority shall maintain a register of all prior investigations carried out by the local authority itself or carried out by Irish Water within its jurisdiction, and distances specified, for the purposes of Article 17.

*Compliance with Data Protection Acts*

31. The provision of information by a local authority, the Agency or the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine in accordance with Article 27, 29 or 30 of these Regulations shall not be a breach of the Data Protection Acts, 1988 and 2003.

*Certificate in relation to nutrient content of fertiliser*

32. (1) A certificate of the type specified in Article 15 or 20 may be issued by a competent authority where the authority is satisfied that the nutrient content of the fertiliser in question has been assessed on the basis of appropriate methodologies based on net farm balance and is as specified in the certificate.

(2) A certificate issued under this Article shall be valid for such period, not exceeding twelve months, as shall be specified in the certificate.

(3) In this Article “competent authority” means—

- (a) the Agency in relation to fertiliser arising in an activity in relation to which there is in force a licence under Part IV of the Act of 1992, and
- (b) the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine in relation to any other fertiliser.

(4) Notice of the methodologies used for the purposes of sub-article (1) shall be notified to the European Commission by the competent authority.

*Exemption for exceptional circumstances for research*

33. (1) A temporary exemption from a requirement of these Regulations may be granted to a person by the Agency or the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine in the case of exceptional circumstances relating to research.

(2) A temporary exemption for the purposes of sub-article (1) shall be granted by way of certificate issued to a person by the Agency or the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine and shall be subject to such conditions, if any, as are specified in the certificate.

(3) A certificate issued for the purposes of this Article shall specify the nature, extent and duration of the exemption to which the certificate relates and a copy of the certificate shall be sent as soon as may be to the relevant local authority.

*Transitional provisions*

34. Notwithstanding Articles 16 and 26 and sub-article (2), the application to land of phosphorus in excess of the quantities prescribed by Article 16 shall not be an offence for the purposes of Article 16 in a case where—

- (a) the excess arises from the application of pig manure, and
- (b) the excess amount does not exceed the amounts specified in Schedule 2, Table 22 of these Regulations from the prescribed dates.

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



## SCHEDULE 1

## SOIL TEST

A soil test refers to the results of an analysis of a soil sample carried out by a soil-testing laboratory that meets the requirements of the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine for this purpose.

The analysis for phosphorus and, where appropriate, organic matter content and soil pH, and the taking of soil samples shall be carried out in accordance with the procedures below.

**Analysis for Phosphorus**

The Morgan's extractable P test as detailed below shall be used to determine the Soil P Index.

**Preparation of soil sample**

The soil shall be dried at 40°C for at least 24 hours (longer if necessary to ensure complete drying) in a forced draught oven with moisture extraction facilities. It shall then be sieved through a 2 mm mesh screen to remove stones and plant debris. After thorough mixing, it shall be sub-divided to obtain a representative sample. Where large samples are received at the laboratory, the entire sample shall be dried and sieved prior to sub-sampling for analysis.

**Morgan's extracting solution**

Constituents:— 1,400 ml of 40% NaOH in approximately 15 litres of water. Add 1,440 ml of glacial acetic acid. Make up to 20 litres with water and adjust pH to 4.8. The pH of the solution must be checked regularly and adjusted as necessary before use. A volume ratio of one part sieved soil to five parts of solution must be used, e.g. 6 ml of the prepared soil sample is extracted with a 30 ml volume of Morgan's extracting solution. The sample shall be shaken for 30 minutes to get a suitable mix and permit intended reaction, after which it is filtered through a No. 2 Whatman filter paper into vials for analysis. The filtered extract shall be analysed using standard laboratory techniques.

Results shall be reported in mg per litre.

**Analysis of organic matter**

Organic matter content shall be determined by loss on ignition.

Place a quantity of the prepared soil sample in an oven for 16 hours at 105°C. Remove and cool in a desiccator. Put approximately 4g of this soil into a pre-weighed crucible and determine the weight of the soil (initial weight). Place in a muffle furnace at 500°C for 16 hours for ashing. Remove the crucible, cool in a desiccator and determine the weight of the ash (final weight).

The organic matter of the soil is the difference in weight between the initial and final weights expressed as a percentage of the initial weight.

### Analysis of soil pH


Soil pH shall be determined by measuring pH in a soil:water suspension of 1:2 ratio. Place 10 ml of dried sieved soil and 20 mls of deionised water into a suitable container. Mix thoroughly and allow to stand for at least 10 minutes. Stir for 30 seconds, and allow to settle immediately before recording the pH on a meter calibrated using buffer solutions of pH 4.0 and 7.0

### Soil Sampling Procedure

The soil sample shall be taken in accordance with the procedure as specified below:

- (a) The sampling area shall not exceed 4 hectares. Exceptionally, where soil types and cropping of lands were similar during the previous five years, a sample area of up to 5 hectares shall be deemed acceptable.
- (b) Separate samples shall be taken from areas that are different in soil type, previous cropping history, slope, drainage or persistent poor yields.
- (c) Any unusual spots such as old fences, ditches, drinking troughs, dung or urine patches or where fertiliser or lime has been heaped or spilled shall be avoided.
- (d) A field shall not be sampled for phosphorus until 3 months after the last application of any fertiliser containing this nutrient (chemical or organic).
- (e) The sampling pattern shown in the figure below shall be followed. A soil core shall be taken to the full 100 mm depth. 20 cores shall be taken from the sampling area and placed in the soil container to make up the sample. Ensure the container is full of soil.
- (f) The field and sample numbers shall be written/attached onto the soil container.

Figure 1: Sampling pattern



## SCHEDULE 2

## CRITERIA AS TO STORAGE CAPACITY AND NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT

Table 1 Slurry storage capacity required for sows and pigs

Unit type	m <sup>3</sup> /week <sup>1</sup>				
Water:meal ratio changing for finishers only	2.0:1	2.5:1	3.0:1	3.5:1	4.0:1
Breeding unit (per sow place)	-	-	-	-	0.174
Integrated unit (per sow place)	0.312	0.355	0.398	0.441	0.483
Finishing unit (per pig)	0.024	0.031	0.039	0.046	0.053

<sup>1</sup>An additional 200mm freeboard must be provided in all covered tanks and 300mm freeboard in all uncovered tanks. Allowance must also be made for net rainfall during the specified storage period for uncovered tanks.

Table 2 Slurry storage capacity required for cattle, sheep and poultry

Livestock type	m <sup>3</sup> /week <sup>1</sup>
Dairy cow	0.33
Suckler cow	0.29
Cattle > 2 years	0.26
Cattle (18-24 months old)	0.26
Cattle (12-18 months old)	0.15
Cattle (6-12 months old)	0.15
Cattle (0-6 months old)	0.08
Lowland ewe	0.03
Mountain ewe	0.02
Lamb-finishing	0.01
Poultry — layers per 1000 birds (30% DM)	0.81

<sup>1</sup>An additional 200mm freeboard must be provided in all covered tanks and 300mm freeboard in all uncovered tanks. Allowance must also be made for net rainfall during the specified storage period for uncovered tanks.

Table 3 Storage capacity required for dungstead manure

Livestock type	Solid fraction (m <sup>3</sup> /week)	Seepage fraction (m <sup>3</sup> /week) <sup>1</sup>
Dairy cow	0.28	0.04
Suckler cow	0.25	0.03
Cattle > 2 years	0.23	0.02
Cattle (18-24 months old)	0.23	0.02
Cattle (12-18 months old)	0.13	0.01
Cattle (6-12 months old)	0.13	0.01
Cattle (0-6 months old)	0.07	0.01

<sup>1</sup>Allowance must also be made for net rainfall during the specified storage period for uncovered tanks.

Table 4 Average net rainfall during the specified storage period

County	Millimetres per week
Carlow	24
Cavan	27
Clare	32
Cork	37
Donegal	38
Dublin	17
Galway	34
Kerry	45
Kildare	18
Kilkenny	23
Laois	22
Leitrim	33
Limerick	26
Longford	23
Louth	20
Mayo	40
Meath	19
Monaghan	23
Offaly	20
Roscommon	26
Sligo	32
Tipperary	27
Waterford	31
Westmeath	21
Wexford	25
Wicklow	33

## Article 9

Table 5 Storage capacity required for effluent produced by ensiled forage

Crop	Minimum storage requirement	(m <sup>3</sup> /100 tonnes)
	Short Term Storage <sup>1</sup>	Full Storage
Grass	7	21
Arable silage	7	21
Maize	4	10
Sugar beet tops	15	50

<sup>1</sup>Only permitted where a vacuum tanker or an irrigation system is available on the holding.

Table 6 Annual nutrient excretion rates for livestock

Livestock type	Total Nitrogen	Total Phosphorus
	kg/year	kg/year
Dairy cow	85	13
Suckler cow	65	10
Cattle (0-1 year old)	24	3
Cattle (1-2 years old)	57	8
Cattle > 2 years	65	10
Mountain ewe & lambs	7	1
Lowland ewe & lambs	13	2
Mountain hogget	4	0.6
Lowland hogget	6	1
Goat	9	1
Horse (>3 years old)	50	9
Horse (2-3 years old)	44	8
Horse (1-2 years old)	36	6
Horse foal (< 1 year old)	25	3
Donkey/small pony	30	5
Deer (red) 6 months — 2 years	13	2
Deer (red) > 2 years	25	4
Deer (fallow) 6 months — 2 years	7	1
Deer (fallow) > 2 years	13	2
Deer (sika) 6 months — 2 years	6	1
Deer (sika) > 2 years	10	2
Breeding unit (per sow place)	35	8
Integrated unit (per sow place)	87	17
Finishing unit (per pig place)	9.2	1.7
Laying hen per bird place	0.56	0.12
Broiler per bird place	0.24	0.09
Turkey per bird place	1	0.4

Table 7 Amount of nutrient contained in 1m<sup>3</sup> of slurry

Livestock type	Total Nitrogen (kg)	Total Phosphorus (kg)
Cattle	5.0	0.8
Pig	4.2	0.8
Sheep	10.2	1.5
Poultry — layers 30% DM	13.7	2.9

For the purposes of calculation, assume that 1 m<sup>3</sup> = 1,000 litres = 1 tonne.



Table 8 Amount of nutrients contained in 1 tonne of organic fertilisers other than slurry

Livestock type		Total Nitrogen (kg)	Total Phosphorus (kg)
Poultry manure	broilers/deep litter	11.0	6.0
	layers 55% dry matter	23.0	5.5
	turkeys	28.0	13.8
Dungstead manure (cattle)		3.5	0.9
Farmyard manure		4.5	1.2
Spent mushroom compost		8	1.5
Sewage sludge		Total nitrogen and total phosphorus content per tonne shall be declared by the supplier in accordance with the Waste Management (Use of Sewage Sludge in Agriculture) Regulations, 1998 to 2001 and any subsequent amendments thereto.	
Dairy processing residues and other products not listed above		Total nitrogen and total phosphorus content per tonne based on certified analysis shall be provided by the supplier.	

Article 15

Table 9 Nutrient availability in fertilisers

Fertiliser	Availability (%)		
	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	
		Soil Index 1 & 2	Soil Index 3 & 4
Chemical	100	100	100
Pig and poultry manure	50	50	100
Farmyard manure	30	50	100
Spent mushroom compost	20	50	100
Cattle and other livestock manure (including that produced on the holding)	40	50	100

Table 9A Nutrient availability in compost

Compost C:N ratio <sup>1</sup>	N availability (%)
<10	25
12.5	17.5
15.0	10
17.5	5.5
>20	0.0

<sup>1</sup>The determination of the C:N ratio shall be based on a methodology agreed with the Agency or the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine

Table 10 Determining nitrogen index for tillage crops

Tillage crops that follow permanent pasture			
Nitrogen Index			
Index 1	Index 2	Index 3	Index 4
The 5th tillage crop following permanent pasture. For subsequent tillage crops use the continuous tillage table.	The 3rd or 4th tillage crop following permanent pasture. If original permanent pasture was cut only, use index 1.	The 1st or 2nd tillage crop following permanent pasture (see also Index 4). If original permanent pasture was cut only, use index 2.	The 1st or 2nd tillage crop following very good permanent pasture which was grazed only.
Continuous tillage: — crops that follow short leys (1-4 years) or tillage crops			
Previous crop			
Index 1	Index 2	Index 3	Index 4
Cereals Maize	Sugar beet Fodder beet Potatoes Mangels Kale Oil seed rape, Peas, Beans		
	Leys (1-4 years) grazed or cut and grazed		
	Swedes removed	Swedes grazed in situ	
Vegetables receiving less than 200 kg/ha nitrogen	Vegetables receiving more than 200 kg/ha nitrogen		

Table 11 Phosphorus index system

Soil phosphorus index	Soil phosphorus ranges (mg/l)	
	Grassland	Other crops
1	0.0-3.0	0.0-3.0
2	3.1-5.0	3.1-6.0
3	5.1-8.0	6.1-10.0
4	> 8.0	>10.0

Table 12 Annual maximum fertilisation rates of nitrogen on grassland

Grassland stocking rate <sup>1</sup>	Available Nitrogen <sup>2</sup>
(kg/ha/year)	(kg/ha)
≤170	206
Grassland stocking rate greater than 170 kg/ha/year <sup>3,4</sup>	
171-210	282
211-250	250
>250	250 <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Total annual nitrogen (kg) excreted by grazing livestock averaged over the eligible grassland area (ha) (grazing and silage area). Stocking rate refers to grassland area only.

<sup>2</sup>The maximum nitrogen fertilisation of grassland shall not exceed that specified for stocking rates less than or equal to 170 kg/ha/year unless a minimum of 5% of the eligible area of the holding is used to grow crops other than grass or a derogation applies in respect of the holding.

<sup>3</sup>This table does not imply any departure from Article 20(1) which prohibits the application to land on a holding of livestock manure in amounts which exceed 170kg nitrogen per hectare per year, including that deposited by the animals themselves (or 250kg in the case of a holding to which a derogation has been granted, in accordance with the Nitrates Directive).

<sup>4</sup>From 1 January 2021 these fertilisation rates are only applicable where the fertiliser type specified by the Minister for Agriculture, Food and the Marine is used.

<sup>5</sup>The application of nitrogen from livestock manure (including that deposited by the animals themselves) to the eligible grassland area shall not exceed 250 kg nitrogen per hectare per year.

Table 13A Annual maximum fertilisation rates of phosphorus on grassland

Grassland stocking rate <sup>1</sup> (kg/ha/year)	Phosphorus Index			
	1	2	3	4
	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>2,3,6</sup>			
<85	27	17	7	0
86-130	30	20	10	0
131-170	33	23	13	0
Grassland stocking rate greater than 170 kg/ha/year <sup>4,5</sup>				
171-210	36	26	16	0
211-250	39	29	19	0
>250	39	29	19	0

<sup>1</sup>Total annual nitrogen (kg) excreted by grazing livestock averaged over the eligible grassland area (grazing and silage area). Stocking rate refers to grassland area only.

<sup>2</sup>The fertilisation rates for soils which have more than 20% organic matter shall not exceed the amounts permitted for Index 3 soils.

<sup>3</sup>Manure produced by grazing livestock on a holding may be applied to Index 4 soils on that holding in a situation where there is a surplus of such manure remaining after the phosphorus fertilisation needs of all crops on soils at phosphorus indices 1, 2 or 3 on the holding have been met by the use only of such manure produced on the holding.

<sup>4</sup>The maximum phosphorus fertilisation of grassland shall not exceed that specified for stocking rates less than or equal to 170 kg/ha/year unless a minimum of 5% of the eligible area of the holding is used to grow crops other than grass or a derogation applies in respect of the holding.

<sup>5</sup>This table does not imply any departure from Article 20(1) which prohibits the application to land on a holding of livestock manure in amounts which exceed 170kg Nitrogen per hectare per year, including that deposited by the animals themselves (or 250kg in the case of a holding to which a derogation has been granted in accordance with the Nitrates Directive).

<sup>6</sup>An additional 15 kg of phosphorus per hectare may be applied on soils at phosphorus indices 1, 2, or 3 for each hectare of pasture establishment undertaken.

Table 13B Annual maximum fertilisation rates of phosphorus on grassland adopting increased P build-up application rates

Grassland stocking rate <sup>1</sup> (kg/ha/year)	Phosphorus Index			
	1	2	3	4
	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>2,3,6</sup>			
131-170	63	43	13	0
Grassland stocking rate greater than 170 kg/ha/year <sup>4,5</sup>				
171-210	66	46	16	0
211-250	69	49	19	0
>250	69	49	19	0

<sup>1</sup>Total annual nitrogen (kg) excreted by grazing livestock averaged over the eligible grassland area (grazing and silage area). Stocking rate refers to grassland area only.

<sup>2</sup>The fertilisation rates for soils which have more than 20% organic matter shall not exceed the amounts permitted for Index 3 soils.

<sup>3</sup>Manure produced by grazing livestock on a holding may be applied to Index 4 soils on that holding in a situation where there is a surplus of such manure remaining after the phosphorus fertilisation needs of all crops on soils at phosphorus indices 1, 2 or 3 on the holding have been met by the use only of such manure produced on the holding.

<sup>4</sup>The maximum phosphorus fertilisation of grassland shall not exceed that specified for stocking rates less than or equal to 170 kg/ha/year unless a minimum of 5% of the eligible area of the holding is used to grow crops other than grass or a derogation applies in respect of the holding.

<sup>5</sup>This table does not imply any departure from Article 20(1) which prohibits the application to land on a holding of livestock manure in amounts which exceed 170 kg Nitrogen per hectare per year, including that deposited by the animals themselves (or 250kg in the case of a holding to which a derogation has been granted in accordance with the Nitrates Directive).

<sup>6</sup>An additional 15 kg of phosphorus per hectare may be applied on soils at phosphorus indices 1, 2, or 3 for each hectare of pasture establishment undertaken.

Table 14 Annual maximum fertilisation rates of available nitrogen on grassland (cut only, no grazing livestock on holding)

	Available nitrogen (kg/ha)
1st cut	125
Subsequent cuts	100
Hay	80

Table 15 Annual maximum fertilisation rates of phosphorus on grassland cut only

	Phosphorus Index			
	1	2	3	4
	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>1,2,3</sup>			
First cut	40	30	20	0
Subsequent cuts	10	10	10	0

<sup>1</sup>The fertilisation rates for soils which have more than 20% organic matter shall not exceed the amounts permitted for Index 3 soils.

<sup>2</sup>The fertilisation rates apply to grassland where there is no grazing livestock on the holding.

<sup>3</sup>The fertilisation rates in this table apply to those areas of farms where hay or silage is produced for sale off the holding on farms stocked <85kg grassland stocking rate.

Table 16 Maximum fertilisation rates of nitrogen on tillage crops

Crop	Nitrogen Index			
	1	2	3	4
	Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)			
Winter Wheat <sup>1,2</sup>	210	180	120	80
Spring Wheat <sup>1,2</sup>	160	130	95	60
Winter Barley <sup>1</sup>	180	155	120	80
Spring Barley <sup>1,3</sup>	135	100	75	40
Winter Oats <sup>1</sup>	145	120	85	45
Spring Oats <sup>1</sup>	110	90	60	30
Sugar Beet	195	155	120	80
Fodder Beet	195	155	120	80
Potatoes: Main Crop, >120 days <sup>4</sup>	250	190	170	140
Potatoes: Maincrop/seed, 90-120 days <sup>4</sup>	270	230	210	180
Potatoes: Early, 60-90 days <sup>4</sup>	210	170	150	120
Potatoes: Salad, <60 days <sup>4</sup>	140	120	100	60
Maize	180	140	110	75
Field Peas/Beans	0	0	0	0
Oil Seed Rape	225	180	160	140
Linseed	75	50	35	20
Swedes/Turnips	90	70	40	20
Kale	150	130	100	70
Forage Rape	130	120	110	90

<sup>1</sup>Where proof of higher yields is available, an additional 20kg N/ha may be applied for each additional tonne above the following yields:

Winter Wheat — 9.0 tonnes/ha Spring Wheat — 7.5 tonnes/ha

Winter Barley — 8.5 tonnes/ha Spring Barley — 6.5 tonnes/ha

Winter Oats — 7.5 tonnes/ha Spring Oats — 6.5 tonnes/ha

The higher yields shall be based on the best yield achieved in any of the three previous harvests, at 20% moisture content.

<sup>2</sup>Where milling wheat is grown under a contract to a purchaser of milling wheat, an extra 30 kg N/ha may be applied.

<sup>3</sup>Where malting barley is grown under a contract to a purchaser of malting barley, an extra 20 kg N/ha may be applied where it is shown on the basis of agronomic advice that additional nitrogen is needed to address a proven low protein content in the grain.

<sup>4</sup>Length of growing season

Table 17 Maximum fertilisation rates of phosphorus on tillage crops

Crop	Phosphorus Index			
	1	2	3	4
	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>1</sup>			
Winter Wheat <sup>2,3,5</sup>	45	35	25	0
Spring Wheat <sup>2,3</sup>	45	35	25	0
Winter Barley <sup>2,3,5</sup>	45	35	25	0
Spring Barley <sup>2,3</sup>	45	35	25	0



Crop	Phosphorus Index			
	1	2	3	4
	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>1</sup>			
Winter Oats <sup>2,3,5</sup>	45	35	25	0
Spring Oats <sup>2,3</sup>	45	35	25	0
Sugar Beet	70	55	40	20
Fodder Beet	70	55	40	20
Potatoes: Main Crop	125	100	75	50
Potatoes: Early	125	115	100	50
Potatoes: Seed/Salad	125	115	100	85
Maize	70	50	40	20 <sup>4</sup>
Field Peas	40	25	20	0
Field Beans	50	40	20	0
Oil Seed Rape	55	45	35	0
Linseed	35	30	20	0
Swedes/Turnips	70	60	40	40
Kale	60	50	30	0
Forage Rape	40	30	20	0

<sup>1</sup>The fertilisation rates for soils which have more than 20% organic matter shall not exceed the amounts permitted for Index 3 soils.

<sup>2</sup>Where proof of higher yields is available, an additional 38kg P/ha may be applied on soils at phosphorus 1, 2, or 3 for each additional tonne above a yield of 6.5 tonnes/ha. The higher yields shall be based on the best yield achieved in any of the three previous harvests at 20% moisture content.

<sup>3</sup>Where pH is greater than or equal to 7, 20kg P/ha may be applied on soils at phosphorus index 4.

<sup>4</sup>Must be incorporated prior to or during sowing.

<sup>5</sup>For winter cereals on soils of P index 1 and 2, 20 kg of the maximum P fertilisation rate may be applied up to 31st October, which must be incorporated prior to or during sowing.

Table 18 Maximum fertilisation rates of nitrogen on vegetable crops

Crop	Nitrogen Index				Maximum additional supplementation (Top dressing)
	1	2	3	4	
	Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)				
Asparagus (Establishment)	140	115	95	70	
Asparagus (After harvest)	0	0	0	0	70
Broad Beans	0	0	0	0	
French Beans	90	85	75	70	
Beetroot	140	125	105	90	
Brussels Sprouts	120	115	105	100	180
Spring Cabbage	50	35	15	0	250
Other Cabbage	150	135	115	100	100
Broccoli	120	115	100	90	120
Cauliflower (Winter and Spring)	75	50	25	0	150
Cauliflower (Summer and Autumn)	120	85	65	40	120

Crop	Nitrogen Index				Maximum additional supplementation (Top dressing)
	1	2	3	4	
	Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)				
Carrots	90	70	40	0	
Celery	120	85	65	50	180
Courgettes	140	125	105	90	
Leeks	150	130	100	80	150
Lettuce	100	90	80	70	50
Onions	70	60	50	40	70
Scallions	90	80	70	60	60
Parsley	100	80	60	40	150
Parsnip	100	85	70	50	70
Peas (Market)	0	0	0	0	
Rhubarb	100	90	80	70	200
Spinach	140	125	105	90	100
Swede (Horticultural)	70	45	25	20	30
Swede (Transplanted crops)	90	60	30	0	

Table 19 Maximum fertilisation rates of phosphorus on vegetable crops

Crop	Nitrogen Index			
	1	2	3	4
	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>1</sup>			
Asparagus (Establishment)	65	45	35	20
Asparagus (After harvest)	27	22	15	10
Broad Beans	65	45	35	20
French Beans	65	45	35	20
Beetroot	65	45	35	20
Brussels Sprouts	65	45	35	20
Spring Cabbage	65	45	35	20
Other Cabbage	65	45	35	20
Broccoli	65	45	35	20
Cauliflower (Winter and Spring)	65	45	35	20
Cauliflower (Summer and Autumn)	65	45	35	20
Carrots	65	45	35	20
Celery	88	65	55	28
Courgettes	65	45	35	20
Leeks	65	45	35	20
Lettuce	80	60	40	20

Crop	Nitrogen Index			
	1	2	3	4
	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>1</sup>			
Onions	65	45	35	20
Scallions	65	45	35	20
Parsley	65	45	35	20
Parsnip	65	45	35	20
Peas (Market)	65	45	35	20
Rhubarb	65	45	35	20
Spinach	65	45	35	20
Swede (Horticultural)	70	60	45	35
Swede (Transplanted crops)	70	60	45	35

<sup>1</sup>The fertilisation rates for soils which have more than 20% organic matter shall not exceed the amounts permitted for Index 3 soils.

Table 20 Annual maximum fertilisation rates of nitrogen on fruit/soft fruit crops

	Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)
Apples (Dessert)	125
Apples (Culinary)	125
Pears	50
Cherries	70
Plums	70
Blackcurrants	80
Gooseberries	40
Raspberries	60
Strawberries	50
Redcurrants	60
Loganberries	50
Blackberries	50

Table 21 Annual maximum fertilisation rates of phosphorus on fruit/soft fruit crops

Phosphorus Index				
	1	2	3	4
	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>1</sup>			
Apples (Dessert)	25	16	12	8
Apples (Culinary)	20	12	10	8
Pears	16	8	4	0
Cherries	16	8	4	0
Plums	16	8	4	0
Blackcurrants	20	16	12	8

Phosphorus Index				
	1	2	3	4
Available Phosphorus (kg/ha) <sup>1</sup>				
Gooseberries	20	16	12	8
Raspberries	20	16	12	8
Strawberries	16	8	4	0
Redcurrants	20	16	12	8
Loganberries	20	16	12	8
Blackberries	20	16	12	8

<sup>1</sup>The fertilisation rates for soils which have more than 20% organic matter shall not exceed the amounts permitted for Index 3 soils.

Table 22 Phosphorus excess limits Article 34

Date	Total available phosphorus (kg/ha)
1 January 2017	3
1 January 2018	3
1 January 2019	2
1 January 2020	1
1 January 2021	0

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

### SCHEDULE 3

*Articles 10, 11, 13 and 16*

#### STORAGE PERIODS FOR LIVESTOCK MANURE

1. The storage period specified for the purposes of Articles 10(2), 11(2), 13 and 16(5)(b) is—

- (a) 16 weeks in relation to holdings in counties Carlow, Cork, Dublin, Kildare, Kilkenny, Laois, Offaly, Tipperary, Waterford, Wexford and Wicklow;
- (b) 18 weeks in relation to holdings in counties Clare, Galway, Kerry, Limerick, Longford, Louth, Mayo, Meath, Roscommon, Sligo and Westmeath;
- (c) 20 weeks in relation to holdings in counties Donegal and Leitrim, and
- (d) 22 weeks in relation to holdings in counties Cavan and Monaghan.

2. Where 20% or more of a holding lies within one or more counties of higher storage requirement as specified in paragraph 1, the holding shall be deemed for the purposes of this Schedule to lie wholly within the county in relation to which the longest storage period is specified.

For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



## SCHEDULE 4

*Articles 14, 17 and 19*

## PERIODS WHEN APPLICATION OF FERTILISERS TO LAND IS PROHIBITED

1. In counties Carlow, Cork, Dublin, Kildare, Kilkenny, Laois, Offaly, Tipperary, Waterford, Wexford and Wicklow, the period during which the application of fertilisers to land is prohibited in the period from—

- (a) 15 September to 12 January in the case of the application of chemical fertiliser
- (b) 15 October to 12 January in the case of the application of organic fertiliser (other than farmyard manure)
- (c) 1 November to 12 January in the case of the application of farmyard manure.

2. In counties Clare, Galway, Kerry, Limerick, Longford, Louth, Mayo, Meath, Roscommon, Sligo and Westmeath, the period during which the application of fertilisers to land is prohibited is the period from—

- (a) 15 September to 15 January in the case of the application of chemical fertiliser
- (b) 15 October to 15 January in the case of the application of organic fertiliser (other than farmyard manure)
- (c) 1 November to 15 January in the case of the application of farmyard manure.

3. In counties Cavan, Donegal, Leitrim and Monaghan, the period during which the application of fertilisers to land is prohibited is the period from—

- (a) 15 September to 31 January in the case of the application of chemical fertiliser

- (b) 15 October to 31 January in the case of the application of organic fertiliser (other than farmyard manure)
- (c) 1 November to 31 January in the case of the application of farmyard manure.



GIVEN under the Official Seal of the Minister for Housing, Planning and Local Government,  
20 December 2017.

EOGHAN MURPHY,  
Minister for Housing, Planning and Local Government.

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*

## EXPLANATORY NOTE

*(This note is not part of the Instrument and does not purport to be a legal interpretation)*

These Regulations revoke the European Communities (Good Agricultural Practice for Protection of Waters) Regulations, 2014.

These Regulations, which give effect to Ireland's 4th Nitrates Action Programme, provide statutory support for good agricultural practice to protect waters against pollution from agricultural sources and include measures such as

- periods when land application of fertilisers is prohibited
- limits on the land application of fertilisers
- storage requirements for livestock manure, and
- monitoring of the effectiveness of the measures in terms of agricultural practice and impact on water quality.

The Regulations give further effect to several EU Directives including Directives in relation to protection of waters against pollution from agricultural sources ("the Nitrates Directive"), dangerous substances in water, waste management, protection of groundwater, public participation in policy development and water policy (the Water Framework Directive).

For inspection purposes only  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use

BAILE ÁTHA CLIATH  
ARNA FHOILSÚ AG OIFIG AN tSOLÁTHAIR  
Le ceannach díreach ó  
FOILSEACHÁIN RIALTAIS,  
52 FAICHE STIABHNA, BAILE ÁTHA CLIATH 2  
(Teil: 01 - 6476834 nó 1890 213434; Fax: 01 - 6476843)  
nó trí aon díoltóir leabhar.

DUBLIN  
PUBLISHED BY THE STATIONERY OFFICE  
To be purchased from  
GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS,  
52 ST. STEPHEN'S GREEN, DUBLIN 2.  
(Tel: 01 - 6476834 or 1890 213434; Fax: 01 - 6476843)  
or through any bookseller.

€10.16

ISBN 978-1-4468-7244-4



Wt. (B33218). 285. 12/17. Essentra. Gr 30-15.