Appendix 6

Site Walkover Checklist & Photographs

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



Walkover Survey Checklist - 25th April 2018

Information	Checked	Comment (include distances from site boundary)
What is the current land use?	√	The site is vegetated with trees and grassland and can be classified currently under agricultural use.
2. What are the neighbouring land uses?	√	Agricultural fields surround the site to the west, south and east with local road R184 bounding the site to the north.
3. What is the size of the site?	√	The site occupies approximately 4.5 hectares.
4. What is the topography?	√	The topography can be split into two profiles. The eastern half is flat and the western half is built up with mounds of stockpiled material and is considered undulating.
5. Are there potential receptors (if yes, give details)?	√ you putpos	the north within licenced landfill. The groundwater aquifer is an additional receptor. There are no houses within 1km of the site boundary.
Houses	sinspectonite	There are no houses within 1km of the site boundary.
Surface water features (if yes, distance and direction of flow)	√	A tributary of the River Fane is located approximately 250m north and flows east along the northern boundary of the licenced facility.
Any wetland or protected areas	√	None.
Public water supplies	√	None.
Private wells	√	One private well (W7) located north-west of the licenced facility boundary.
Services	√	Overhead wires along the local road boundary to the north of the historic site.
Other buildings	√	MCC office buildings and material recovery facility (MRF) located within 100m of the historic site boundary.
Other	√	N/A

Information	Checked	Comment (include distances from site boundary)
6. Are there any potential sources of contamination (if yes, give details)?	√	Leachate being redirected from Old G1 sump and spread over surface of eastern portion of historic landfill via. manifold system.
Surface waste (if yes, what type?)	√	No
Surface ponding of leachate	√	No
Leachate seepage	√	No
Landfill gas odours	√	No
7. Are there any outfalls to surface water? (If yes, are there discharges and what is the nature of discharge?)	√	Yes. To the west of the historic site, MCC have designed a surface water recirculating system which directs surface water to centre the site
8. Are there any signs of impact on the environment? (If yes, take photographic evidence)	V physical	ingo
Vegetation die off, bare ground	rinspection pursed	No
Leachate seepages	op /	No
Odours	√	No
Litter	√	No
Gas bubbling through water	~	No
Signs of settlement	√	No
Subsidence, water logged areas	√	No subsidence. Extensive area of rushes in flat lying eastern portion of the site indicating poor drainage.
Drainage or hydraulic issues	√	No

Downstream water quality appears poorer than upstream water quality	√	Anecdotal evidence of ongoing monitoring indicates landfill impacting on downstream water quality.
9. Are there any indications of remedial measures? (Provide details)	√	Yes. Recirculated surface water from G5 sump to percolation area within the centre of site. The objective of these measures is to reduce the contaminant concentration (particularly ammonia) via infiltration through subsoil before discharging to surface water.
Capping	√	No.
Landfill gas collection	√	No.
Leachate collection	√	Yes. Any leachate collected at the site is pumped from the Old G1 sump and directed to a manifold system consisting of 4 no. distribution evalves and spread across the surface in the eastern portion of the historic site.
		\$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
10. Describe fences and security features (if any)	Vi purpo	Low security mesh fencing surrounds the perimeter of the historic landfill.
	. OSP ON	
Any other relevant information? Consett of	striest oppriest	Since September 2017, MCC have altered the leachate collection from the historic site which previously was directed to the leachate lagoon within the licenced facility.





































