ATTACHMENT-7-6-3-EMISSIONS TO GROUND CONTROLS

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

i



22 Lower Main St Dungarvan Co.Waterford Ireland tel: +353 (0)58 44122 fax: +353 (0)58 44244 email: info@hydroenvironmental.ie web: www.hydroenvironmental.ie

MIDLETON QUARRY, CO. CORK

Hydrogeological Assessment Report for the Proposed Discharge of Stormwater Runoff to Ground



Prepared by: HYDRO-ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

1

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

DOCUMENT TITLE:	MIDLETON QUARRY, CO. CORK
	HYDROGEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT REPORT
	FOR THE PROPOSED DISCHARGE OF STORMWATER RUNOFF
	TO GROUND
ISSUE DATE:	
	25 [™] NOVEMBER 2019
PROJECT NUMBER:	P1416-5
PROJECT REPORTING HISTORY:	NONE
CURRENT REVISION NO:	FINAL REPORT – REV 0
AUTHORS:	DAVID BRODERICK
	MICHAEL GILL
SIGNED:	
	Mulad Gill e
	, and good good wet
	A NOTI
	MICHAEL GILL B. A. B. A.I., M.SC. DIP. GEOL, MIEI, MCIWEM
	MANAGING DIRECTOR - HYDRO-ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES
	MIPCHIT
	of the

Disclaimer:

This report has been prepared by HES with all reasonable skill, care and diligence within the terms of the contract with the client, incorporating our terms and conditions and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client. We disclaim any responsibility to the client and others in respect of any matters outside the scope of the above. This report is confidential to the client and we accept no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INT	RODUCTION	5
	1.1	OVERVIEW	5
	1.2	PROJECT BACKGROUND	5
	1.3	PLANNING CONDITIONS	5
	1.4	LEGISLATION & IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	6
	1.5	REPORT STRUCTURE	7
2.	DES	K DTUDY - ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	8
	2.1	SITE DESCRIPTION & PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	8
	2.2	HYDROLOGY	9
	2.2.	1 Regional and Local Hydrology	9
	2.2.	2 Rainfall	10
	2.3	WFD SURFACE WATER BODY & STATUS	10
	2.4	LOCAL GEOLOGY	10
	2.5	REGIONAL AND LOCAL HYDROGEOLOGY	12
	2.6	GROUNDWATER VULNERABILITY	13
	2.7	GROUNDWATER RECHARGE	13
	2.8	WFD GROUNDWATER BODY & STATUS	13
	2.9	WATER RESOURCES	13
_	2.10	DESIGNATED SITES & GROUNDWATER DEPENDANT ECOSYSTEMS	15
3.	FIEL		
	3.1		16
	3.2	TRIAL PITS	16
	3.3		
	3.4	INVESTIGATION DRILLING	19
	3.5	GROUNDWATER LEVELS & GRADIENTS	20
	3.6	GROUNDWATER QUALITY MONYORING	
4.	SIO	PRMWAIER SYSIEM LAYOUI & DESIGN	
	4.1		
~	4.2	SOAKAWAY DESIGN	
5.	HYL		
	5.1		23
	5.2	COMPLIANCE WITH EPA GUIDANCE ON DISCHARGE ASSESSMENT	
	5.3	STORMWATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS	
	5.4 5.7		
	5.5		
	5.6 5.7		
	5./ 5.0		
	5.0 5.0		
1	J.Y		
0. 7		INCLUSICINS	28
1.	KEF	ERINE CE3	

FIGURES (in text)

Figure A: Site Location	. 8
Figure B: Local Hydrology	9
Figure D: GSI Mapped Subsoils	11
Figure E: GSI Mapped Bedrock Geology	11
Figure F: GSI Bedrock Aquifer Mapping	12
Figure G: GSI Groundwater Vulnerability Rating	13
Figure H: GSI Mapped Wells	14
Figure I: Trial Pit Locations (in relation to proposed site layout)	17
Figure J: Infiltration Test Plots	18
Figure K: Monitoring Well Locations	19

FIGURES (at end of report)

FIGURE 1 PROPOSED SITE LAYOUT

TABLES (in text)

Table A: Summary of Trial Pit Logs	.16
Table B: Monitoring well water levels	.20

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: BRE365 INFILTRATION CALCULATION SHEET	
APPENDIX II: MONITORING WELL DRILLING LOGS	
APPENDIX III: ORIGINAL LABORATORY REPORTS	
APPENDIX IV: OIL INTERCEPTOR DESIGN	
APPENDIX V: SOAKAWAY DESIGN CALCULATION SHEET	35



1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 **OVERVIEW**

Hydro-Environmental Services (HES) were commissioned by Roadstone Ltd to prepare a hydrogeological assessment for the purpose of an EPA waste licence application for a proposed facility located at Midleton Quarry, Castleredmond, Carrigshane and Coppingerstown townlands, Midleton Co. Cork.

This hydrogeological assessment relates to the proposed indirect discharge of treated stormwater runoff to ground via a full retention oil interceptor.

1.2 **PROJECT BACKGROUND**

The development, which is already permitted (local authority planning reference No. 19/04719), will consist of a waste soils recovery facility for the importation of approximately 1.4Mm³ of inert soil and stones material to fill quarry voids; final restoration and landscaping to revert the site to agricultural use; provision of internal access track linking Midleton Quarry with adjacent permitted Coppingerstown Quarry; ancillary services such as installation of a weighbridge, weighbridge office incorporating welfare facilities, wheelwash, inspection shed/quarantine area with skips; associated site development works; access to site via existing vehicular access points; all on a site of approximately 15.7ha.

A new car parking area (70m²) and a dedicated machinery refuelling area (124m²) which will also serve as an overnight plant parking grea/equipment storage area, will drain to a full retention oil interceptor prior to discharge to ground via a soakaway. The soakaway will also receive direct (untreated) runoff from the proposed new site roads. The oil interceptor prior discharge will be installed to satisfy the Cork County Council Planning Conditions (see below).

The development will be subject to the requirements of an EPA waste licence. The proposed site layout is shown as **Figure** attached at the end of this report.

In order to comply with the discharge to ground requirements, a Tier 2 risk assessment as per EPA Guidance on the Authorisation of Discharges to Groundwater (2011) has been prepared as outlined in this report.

1.3 PLANNING CONDITIONS

Cork County Council Planning Conditions with respect hydrocarbons in stormwater runoff include the following:

Condition 13(a):

"Measures to be put in place for the management of Surface water runoff from machinery & equipment areas, hydrocarbons storage areas and diesel filling areas will not result in environmental risks".

Condition 21:

"The operator of the site shall ensure that all site surface water draining from car parking/offloading areas or any site surface water contaminated with hydrocarbons shall discharge via a grit trap and appropriate interceptor".

1.4 LEGISLATION & IMPACT ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

The control of discharges to waters (aquifer in this case) is governed by S.I. No. 42 of 1999: Local Government (Water Pollution) (Amendment) Regulations, 1999.

Article 40 (2) of S.I. 42 of 1999 details the requirements of the required Hydrogeological Assessment as follows:

40 (2) The prior investigation referred to in sub-article (1) shall include —

(a) an assessment of the environmental impact of alternative methods of disposal of the harmful substance, and

(b) an examination of the aquifer to which the licence application relates in respect of the following—

- (i) the extent and estimated volume of water therein,
- (ii) the quality of water therein,
- (iii) the estimated rate of recharge,
- (iv) the identification of any existing or proposed uses of the water therein,
- (v) the hydrogeological conditions of the area in which the aquifer is located,

(vi) the nature and depth of overlying soil and subsoil and its effectiveness in preventing or reducing the entry of the housinful substance to water in the aquifer,

(vii) the risk of deterioration in the quality of the water therein due to the entry of the harmful substance,

(viii) the risk of the water therein being affected by the harmful substance so as to endanger human health or water supplies, harm living resources and the aquatic ecosystem or interfere with the use of the water for agricultural, commercial, domestic, fisheries, industriation recreational purposes, and

(ix) such other matters as the local authority may reasonably require for the purpose of establishing whether the discharge of the harmful substance to the aquifer is a satisfactory method of disposal having regard to its environmental impact and the results of the assessment referred to in paragraph (a).

A "harmful substance" means substances and groups of substances specified in the First Schedule or in the Second Schedule, except where otherwise provided (S.I. No. 271/1992: Local Government (Water Pollution) Regulations, 1992). It is noted that some of the constituents (i.e. hydrocarbons) of the treated stormwater proposed for discharge at Midleton Quarry to groundwater may constitute definition as potential "harmful substances" under the schedules of the Local Government (Water Pollution) Regulations (1992). Therefore, this report details the alternative strategies considered and the results of the 'examination of the aquifer'.

The discharge must also be considered in the context of the Groundwater Regulations (2010), which do not specify groundwater limit concentrations but rather require no upward (improving) trend in groundwater concentrations.

EPA Guidance on the Authorisation of Discharges to Groundwater (December 2011)¹ requires that the proposed discharge is assessed according to the risk posed, which is assigned according to the magnitude of hydraulic loading proposed and the nature of the receiving

¹ Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Guidance on the Authorisation of Discharges to Groundwater (2011);

environment. The chemical/hydraulic loading and impact assessment is presented in Section 5 of this report.

1.5 **REPORT STRUCTURE**

In summary, the technical assessment is aimed at examining the following:

- Demonstrating that a site has sufficient infiltration capacity to physically -accept the effluent (i.e. treated stormwater runoff), thereby avoiding surface ponding and effluent runoff:
- Demonstrating that a site has adequate attenuation potential to limit the loading of substances to groundwater;
- In certain cases, predicting an impact on groundwater quality; and,
- Where necessary, verifying predicted impacts by checking compliance with relevant groundwater quality objectives and standards.

More specifically, a Tier 2 – Environmental Risk Assessment includes the following requirements:

- Desk study/environmental setting;
- Walkover survey;
- Infiltration capacity assessment;

- Calculation of minimum separation distances; M
 Groundwater flow direction inferred from the second seco Groundwater flow direction inferred from sites pecific measurement and monitoring;
- Assessment of subsoil type, texture thickness and permeability;
- Assessment of aquifer type and hydraulic properties;
- Assessment of background groundwater quality;
- Identification of relevant receptors and associated water quality standards;
- ZOCs of downgradient abstraction points/schemes where these have not yet been delineated;
- Quantification of interaction between groundwater and surface water or GWDTE where appropriate and relevant;
- Conceptual model, Wacked up where necessary using using basic calculation procedures: and.
- Conclusions and recommendations.

2. DESK DTUDY - ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

2.1 SITE DESCRIPTION & PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The Midleton limestone quarry, situated approximately 2.1km southeast of Midleton town centre, has an area of 15.51Ha (11.39ha of extraction area that will be backfilled) within a total landholding area of 46.6Ha in the ownership of the applicant.

The quarry has been worked extensively in the past, and the site includes large excavated areas (to \sim 8 - 9mOD), an open first bench which is currently being quarried (top level at \sim 21mOD), quarry workings are predominately in the centre and northeast of the site. The site also contains undeveloped sections which remain densely vegetated in the far north and north-western corner of the site.

The floor levels of all the extraction areas within the site and overall landholding of the applicant are above the local groundwater table. There are no discharges from the site or overall landholding.

Landuse in the surrounding area is largely agricultural with scattered rural pattern of residential dwellings along the N22 which runs immediately to the north of the site and along other local roads to the south and east of the site.

The location of the proposed oil interceptor and sookaway is shown in **Figure 1** attached at the end of this report. The oil interceptor will treat whoff just from the car park/refuelling area. However, the soakaway will be designed/sized to receive runoff from the proposed new roads also. A site location map is shown as Figure A.



Figure A: Site Location

2.2 HYDROLOGY

2.2.1 Regional and Local Hydrology

Regionally, the site is located in the Owennacurra River surface water catchment within the South Western River Basin District.

The Owennacurra River flows through Midleton town, ~1.5km to the west of the site. Downstream of Midleton town this watercourse is referred to as the Ballynacorra River which flows into Cork Harbour further south.

In terms of mapped local hydrology, the northern section of the site is located in the Dungourney River catchment which flows in a westerly direction ~1.9km north of the site. The Dungourney River discharges into the Owennacurra River at Midleton town.

The southern section of the site (including the proposed soakaway location) is located in the West Ballynacorra Stream catchment. The source of the West Ballynacorra Stream is a karst spring which is located in the most southeastern part of the landholding. The West Ballynacorra Stream flows westerly and discharges into the Ballynacorra River estuary.

Other than the West Ballynacorra Stream, there are no other natural surface water features within the site or in close proximity to the boundary of the site.



A local hydrology map is shown as **Figure B**.

Figure B: Local Hydrology

2.2.2 Rainfall

The SAAR (Standard Average Annual Rainfall 1981 - 2010) recorded at Ballinacurra, which is located approx. 1km southwest of the site, is approx. 1,060mm (<u>www.met.ie</u>).

2.3 WFD SURFACE WATER BODY & STATUS

Local Surface water Body status and risk result are available from (www.catchments.ie).

The Dungourney_020 waterbody (IE_SW_19D070700) which drains the northern sections of the site has a Poor ecological status under the 2010-2015 WDR round.

The Knocknamadderee_010 waterbody (IE_SW_19K630910) which drains the lands to the south of the landholding has an unassigned ecological status under the 2010-2015 WFD round. (This watercourse is referred to as the West Ballynacorra Stream by the EPA).

2.4 LOCAL GEOLOGY

The GSI/Teagasc soils map (<u>www.gsi.ie</u>) for the site area indicates that the majority of the surrounding lands are overlain by Shallow well-drained mineral soils derived from mainly basic parent material (BminSW). There are also areas of deep well-drained mineral soils derived from mainly basic parent materials (BminDW) on the southeast of the site and in the land surrounding the landholding on the northern and castern sides.

The GSI subsoils map for the area (www.gside) shows that the site is located in an area of bedrock outcrop or subcrop (Rck) with the surrounding area being overlain by limestone tills and sandstone tills. The proposed soakeway is located in an area where limestone tills are mapped.

In terms of bedrock geology, the Liftle Island formation composed of massive and crinoidal fine limestone underlies the site. A section of the applicant's landholding to the southeast of the site is mapped to be underlain by the Clashavodig Formation which comprises oolitic, peloidal, cherty, fine limestone. Both bedrock types are susceptible to karstification.

The GSI subsoil mapping and bedrock mapping is shown as Figure D and Figure E below.



Figure D: GSI Mapped Bedrock Geology

2.5 REGIONAL AND LOCAL HYDROGEOLOGY

The different bedrock units which underlie the site are mapped by the GSI as part of the same Regionally Important Karstified (diffuse) Aquifer.

These rocks are devoid of intergranular permeability. Groundwater flow occurs in the many faults and joints, enlarged by karstification. Past depression of the sea level enabled karstification at depth, which further enhances the permeability of these rocks. Because of the high frequency of fissures in this region, overall groundwater flow is thought to be diffuse, although solutionally enlarged conduits and cave systems occur (GSI, 2014).

Most groundwater flow may occur in an epikarstic layer a couple of metres thick and in a zone of interconnected solutionally-enlarged fissures and conduits that extends approximately 30 m below this.

Groundwater flow paths can be up to several kilometers long but may be significantly shorter in areas where the water table is very close to the surface.

The GSI Midleton initial groundwater body characterisation report (GSI, 2004) gives aquifer transmissivity estimates of between 200 to over 2,000m²/day. Assuming the majority of the groundwater flows occurs in the top 30m, this gives an aquifer permeability of between approximately 6 and 66m/day.

The regionally important limestone aquifer is the main groundwater body receptor with respect this assessment and this aquifer is shown in **Figure F** below. Site specific hydrogeological details are outlined in **Section** a below.

R



Figure E: GSI Bedrock Aquifer Mapping

2.6 GROUNDWATER VULNERABILITY

Based on the GSI mapping, the groundwater vulnerability rating (see GSI hydrogeological conditions in **Figure G** below) at the site ranges from Extreme-E (0 - 3m subsoils) to Extreme-X (rock at or near the surface). The groundwater vulnerability rating in the area of the proposed soakaway is Extreme E which suggests up to 3m of subsoil.

The type and depth of unsaturated material above the groundwater table at the proposed discharge location is discussed in **Section 3** below.

	Hydrogeological Conditions						
Vulnerability Rating	Subsoil Pe	rmeability (Type)	Unsaturated Zone	Karst Features			
	High permeability (sand/gravel)	Moderate permeability (e.g. Sandy subsoil)	Low permeability (e.g. Claycy subsoil, clay, peat)	(Sand/gravel aquifers only)	(<30 m radius)		
Extreme (E)	0 - 3.0m	0 - 3.0m	0 - 3.0m	0 - 3.0m	-		
High (H)	>3.0m	3.0 - 10.0m	3.0 - 5.0m	> 3.0m	N/A		
Moderate (M)	N/A	>10.0m	5.0 - 10.0m	N/A	N/A		
Low (L)	N/A	N/A	>10.0m	N/A	N/A		
Notes: (1) N/A = not applicable. (2) Precise permeability values cannot be given at present. (3) Release point of contaminants is assumed to be 1-2 m below ground surface.							

Figure F: GSI Groundwater Vulnerability Rating

2.7 GROUNDWATER RECHARGE

The GSI estimate the average groundwater recharge in the local area is between 425 and 602mm/year. The hydrogeological setting for the lower end of the range is for "till overlain by well-drained soil" while the higher in the range is for "rock at or near the surface".

2.8 WFD GROUNDWATER BODY & STATUS

Local Groundwater Body status and risk result are available from (<u>www.catchments.ie</u>).

The Midleton GWB (IE_SW_G_058) is assigned Good status under the 2010-2015 WFD round.

2.9 WATER RESOURCES

Based on the GSI mapping there are no groundwater protection zones for existing public water or group water schemes mapped in the area of the quarry.

According to the GSI well database there is only 1 no. registered well within 1km of the proposed site and this well is located to the south of the site. GSI mapped wells with an accuracy of <50m are shown on **Figure H** below. As discussed in Section 3.5 below, the groundwater flow direction in the area of the site is in a west / south-west direction and therefore this mapped GSI well is not down-gradient of the proposed site or soakaway.

As the GSI well database is not exhaustive in terms of the locations of all wells in the area (as the database relies on the submission of data by drillers and the public etc.) a door to door

well survey of dwellings in close proximity (500m of site boundary) was carried out on 30th April 2018 for the purpose of the EIAR.

A total of 9 no. private wells were identified within 500m of the site boundary. These are also shown on **Figure H**. The wells are mainly located to the north and west of the site.

However, there are no mapped private wells within 500m downstream of the proposed soakaway location. The groundwater gradient (discussed below) is to the west - southwest

In addition, there are no private dwelling houses within 0.75km downstream of the proposed soakaway location.

Therefore, for the purposes of impact assessment (**Section 5** below) it is assumed that the closet private well is 0.75km downstream of the site. This location is used as a downstream Assessment Point (AP1) with respect the proposed discharge. This is discussed in **Section 5** below.



Figure G: GSI Mapped Wells

2.10 DESIGNATED SITES & GROUNDWATER DEPENDANT ECOSYSTEMS

Within the Republic of Ireland designated sites include National Heritage Areas (NHAs), Proposed National Heritage Areas (pNHAs), Special Areas of Conservation, candidate Special Areas of Conservation (cSAC) and Special Protection Areas (SPAs).

Downstream designated sites that are hydrogeologically connected to the site include Great Island Channel SAC (Site Code: 001058). Great Island Channel SAC is located approximately 2km downstream of the site. As stated above in the report there is no surface water discharge / runoff from the proposed site to either the Dungourney River or Ballynacora River and therefore there is no direct surface water flowpath between the two sites.

Groundwater flow from the local aquifer in the area of the proposed site is expected to discharge into the Ballynacora River/Estuary and therefore this is a potential indirect groundwater water flowpath to the SAC.

All other designated sites are sufficiently remote from the proposed development site to state with confidence that they are hydrogeologically disconnected from the proposed development site.

Consent of copyright owned required for any other use.

3. FIELD DATA COLLECTION AND INTERPRETATION

3.1 WALKOVER SURVEY

Site walkover surveys were completed by David Broderick of Hydro-Environmental Services in January 2018 and in October 2019.

This involved a water features survey, geological mapping of exposures of subsoils, including inspection and mapping of all relevant hydrological features, such as existing drainage ditches and streams. As discussed above a private well survey was also completed.

3.2 **TRIAL PITS**

A total of 2 no. trial pits were excavated at the area of the proposed stormwater soakaway on 23rd October 2019 to assess overburden lithology and depth.

A summary of the trial pit logs is shown in **Table A** below. The locations of the trial pits are shown in Figure I below.

Both trial pits intercepted firm, brown SILT/CLAY down to approximately 0.7m which was underlain by gravelly SILT/CLAY down to the end of the trial hole at 2.5m.

Table A: Summary of Trial Pit Logs						
	Total Depth					
Location	(mbgl)*	Easting	Northing	Summary Subsoil Description		
			tion	SILT/CLAY over very gravelly		
TP01	2.5	189,955	72,28	SILT/CLAY		
			orinient	SILT/CLAY over sandy gravelly		
TP02	2.5	189,968	72,286	SILT/CLAY		
*mbgl – metres below ground level 🔬 న్						
Conserv						

Table A: Summary of Trial Pit Logs



Figure H: Trial Pit Locations (in relation to proposed site layout)

сÔ

3.3 INFILTRATION TEST

In order to demonstrate that the proposed discharge area has sufficient infiltration capacity to physically accept the treated stormwater and also to design the soakaway size, an Infiltration test, which carried out in accordance with BRE Digest 365, was undertaken on both trial holes.

Both holes were filled to the required effective depth (water level) with clean water using a tanker. Water level monitoring was undertaken both manually (dip tape) and with the use of a datalogger which was installed in the trial hole for the duration of the infiltration test.

An infiltration test water level plots are shown as **Figure J** below and infiltration calculation sheets are shown in **Appendix I**.

An infiltration rate of 1.6 x 10^{-3} m/s and 7.5 x 10^{-5} m was calculated for TP01 and TP02 respectively.

The proposed design of the soakaway based on the lowest infiltration rate is detailed in **Section 4** below.





Figure I: Infiltration Test Plots

3.4 INVESTIGATION DRILLING

As part of the 2018 planning application/EIAR, 22 no. 4" blast hole drilling investigation points were completed between 15th and 16th November 2017. This was done to investigate the geological and hydrogeological conditions below the existing quarry floor.

The drilling results were variable. While many holes show solid limestone, and dust returns, with little fracturing, there are also several holes that suggest there are larger discrete fractures/conduits beneath the quarry floor. This is consistent with karstified limestone.

In addition, monitoring well drilling at the site was completed by Southern Pumps Drilling in October 2017 when 6 no. monitoring wells were installed at the site (MW1 – MW6). The drilling encountered weak to strong grey limestone which was found to be either slightly weathered or fractured to some extent in the majority of the wells. Soft brown clay infill was noted at many of the weathered/fractured sections.

The bedrock geology encountered during the drilling is consistent with karstified limestone.

The locations of the monitoring wells are shown in **Figure K** below. Monitoring well drilling logs are attached below as **Appendix II**.



Figure J: Monitoring Well Locations

3.5 GROUNDWATER LEVELS & GRADIENTS

Groundwater level monitoring data for the on-site monitoring wells measured on 30th April 2018 and 23rd October 2019 are shown in **Table B** below.

Groundwater levels at the site on 23rd October 2019 varied between 14.133mbgl (7.373m OD) and 27.447mbgl (7.332m OD).

Based on the groundwater level elevations (m OD), the groundwater flow direction in the area of the site is in a west / south-west direction as shown in **Figure L** below. The groundwater gradient at the site is calculated to be approximately 0.003. This would be considered a relatively shallow groundwater gradient, and this is due to the high bulk permeability of the karstified aquifer which creates a more flattened groundwater table.

Based on the measured groundwater levels, the gradient and the ground elevation at the proposed soakaway (~20m OD), the groundwater level below the proposed soakaway area is expected to be between approximately 12mbgl.

	30/04	/2018	23/10/2019		
Location	Water Level (mbgl)	Water Level (m OD)	Water Level (mbgl)	Water Level (mOD)	
17-MW01	27.074	7.029	27.447	7.332	
17-MW02	13.571	7.319	14.133	7.373	
17-MW03	14.766	7.669	15.484	7.585	
17-MW04	14.513	7.837	e ^{ctil} 19.542	7.395	
17-MW05	16.834	7.25 of in	17.175	7.475	
17-MW06	15.64	7.955	15.605	8.152	

Table B: Monitoring well water levels





Figure K: Groundwater Levels and Flow Direction

3.6 GROUNDWATER QUALITY MONITORING

Groundwater quality monitoring was completed at the on-site monitoring wells (MW1-MW6) on 8th March 2018 for the purpose of the planning application and EIAR.

Original laboratory reports are attached as Appendix III.

Overall the results were quite variable, particularly with respect to nutrients and this is likely due to the heterogeneous nature of groundwater flows in a karst aquifer.

There were no exceedances with respect to the drinking water regulation values. There was only one exceedance with respect to the groundwater regulation values and this was for nitrate in MW3 which exists to the north (across-gradient) of the site.

Nitrate was also relatively elevated in MW4 and MW6 which is also likely due to agricultural practices such as fertiliser / slurry spreading on the lands surrounding the site. Ortho-phosphate was also elevated in MW3 and MW4 which suggests a fertilizer/slurry source. There was no detection of coliforms in any of the samples which might indicate a chemical fertilizer rather than manure/slurry or it may indicate that the groundwater vulnerability is not overly high locally. There were no detections of hydrocarbons.

Overall the groundwater quality is typical of a karstified aquifer where the main landuse is agriculture. Variable groundwater quality is often a characteristic of this aquifer type.

4. STORMWATER SYSTEM LAYOUT & DESIGN

4.1 OIL INTERCEPTOR DESIGN

The dedicated refuelling area/plant storage yard (124m²) and car park (70m²) that will be contributing runoff to the full retention oil interceptor have a combined area of 194m². Using a design 100 -year 24-hour rainfall depth of 95.8mm, the peak flow will be in the order of 18.6m³/day.

The proposed full retention oil interceptor model is a FR-NS-40-CC (Molloy Precast) which has a hydraulic capacity of 40L/s which is well in excess of the actual runoff requirement. The oil interceptor design detail is attached as Appendix IV.

The interceptor is required to achieve a maximum concentration of 5 mg/L of total hydrocarbons in the final discharge as per the EN858-2002 standard (separator system for light liquids). This hydrocarbon concentration will be the assumed chemical loading value (see Section 5 below).

The oil interceptor will be discharged to ground via a soakaway pit which is detailed below. Poses only any other us The proposed location of the oil interceptor is shown on the site layout drawing (Figure 1 attached).

4.2 **SOAKAWAY DESIGN**

The soakaway is sized to accommodate runoff from the refuelling yard, cark park (discussed above) and the site access roads (2,152m2) which is a total hardstand area of 2,351m². Using a 100 -year 24-hour rainfall depth, the peak flow will be approximately 225m³/day.

Using the lowest measured subsoil infiltration capacity of 7.5 x 10-5 m/s, the total hardstand area of $2,351 \text{ m}^2$ and the 100-year 24-hour rainfall depth (95.8mm), a soakaway of the following dimension, 3m(W) x 3.5m (Effective Depth) x 18m (L) would be sufficient from a hydraulic design perspective?"However, the proposed soakaway that will be installed at the discharge location will be oversized to 20m in length for conservative purposes.

The proposed location of the soakaway is shown on the site layout drawing (Figure 1 attached).

The soakaway design calculations are shown in Appendix V.

5

HYDROGEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT 5.

This section follows the general principles of a Tier 2 risk assessment which are rooted in the source-pathway receptor model of environmental risk assessment, as per EPA guidance (2011) and which result in a determination of risk and compliance of a discharge activity against relevant water quality standards and objectives.

5.1 SOURCE – PATHWAY – RECEPTOR

The conventional source-pathway-target model (see below) for groundwater / surface water protection was applied to assess impacts on the groundwater body and downstream sensitive receptors such as potential wells and GWDTEs.

In the case of the subject site the primary source of impact is from discharge of treated stormwater from the soakaway whereby the primary potential hazard is leaching of residue levels of hydrocarbons to the local groundwater body causing a potential deterioration in groundwater quality.

The pathway in terms of groundwater flow paths is via the limestone aquifer which exists at below the soakaway.

The primary targets of concern is the underlying limestone aquifer and potential local wells (there are no GWDTEs present locally).



Based on the identified groundwater flow paths, a detailed Tier 2 hydrogeological assessment was developed and carried out at the site.

Based on this site specific hydrogeological information, various assessments, as required under the regulations (Refer to Section 1.4), are completed below.

5.2 COMPLIANCE WITH EPA GUIDANCE ON DISCHARGE ASSESSMENT

EPA (2011) Guidance on the Authorisation of Discharges to Groundwater outlines the risk-based approach and required level of field investigation required in the evaluation of a site's potential to accept a discharge of treated stormwater: This approach has been applied by HES in this case.

EPA (2011) Guidance on the Authorisation of Discharges to Groundwater States that "A technical assessment of a proposed discharge to groundwater activity has to address these basic questions (our responses are provided in bullet point format after each question):

1. What are the primary Source Pathway Risk factors associated with the site and discharge activity?

- **Source:** stormwater soakaway with hydrocarbon residues likely to be present in the final discharge.
- **Pathway:** The pathway is vertical percolation down through at least 2m of unsaturated overburden followed by lateral groundwater movement (to the west/southwest) in the limestone aquifer.
- **Receptor:** Receptors within the site include the underlying limestone aquifer with potential downstream receptors including local wells (the closet downstream dwelling is 0.75km and it is assumed a well is present).

2. What is the probable risk and predicted impact to groundwater quality and associated receptors? Given the relatively small surface area of the refuelling yard (and small loading

Given the relatively small surface area of the refuelling yard (and small loading volume), the appliance of best standard practice in terms of a full retention oil interceptor, the ground water protection afforded by the depth of unsaturation overburden (2m), the potentially large flows in the bedrock aquifer from a dilution perspective and the large downstream distance to off-site receptors such as wells (0.75km), the probably risk and impact is Low. Impacts are addressed in Section 5.4.

3. What level of technical assessment is required to adequately define and verify risk factors?

• A 'Tier 2' level of assessment was carried for this site even though the EPA (2011) guidance states that "Tier 2 assessments generally cover moderate risk activities. A Tier 2 site assessment must demonstrate sufficient infiltration capacity and adequate attenuation potential. Tier 2 assessments also involve the prediction of an impact on groundwater quality using basic calculation procedures. A Tier 2 assessment also requires subsoil characterisation, and besides lithological information and establishing depths to bedrock, the subsoil characterisation should provide estimates of subsoil permeability which can subsequently be used to estimate (calculate) infiltration capacity. All of the above have been completed in this report.

4. Is the site hydraulically suitable for effluent disposal?

• As assessed in **Section 3.3** and **Section 4.2** above, the presence of moderate permeability subsoils and sufficiently deep groundwater table at the proposed discharge means a more than adequately sized soakaway can be installed to accommodate discharge from the oil interceptor and the other hardstand areas.

24

- 5. Does the site provide for adequate attenuation of pollutants?
 - The site provides enough opportunity for attenuation of pollutants with respect • the proposed load. The attenuation of pollutants is assessed in Section 5.4 below.
- 6. What hydraulic and chemical loading may be acceptable such that groundwater quality objectives are not contravened, and harmful effects to human health or the status of aquatic or terrestrial ecosystems are avoided?
 - The loadings and concentrations of the proposed discharge are presented in • Sections 5.3 below.
- 7. How should a source and groundwater monitoring system be designed and implemented to verify that the impact to groundwater quality and receptors is either negligible or acceptable?
 - Regular monitoring of the performance of the oil interceptor will be sufficient to • ensure groundwater quality effects are negligible.

The required impact assessments are presented below.

only, any other of STORMWATER AND ENVIRONMENTAL LOADINGS 5.3

The total volumetric loading to the ground water system is based on a combination of output from the oil interceptor (i.e. refuelling vord and car park runoff) and also "clean" surface water runoff from the site entrance and access road (it's assumed no hydrocarbons will be present in this runoff water). The initial chemical loading (i.e. hydrocarbons residues) is based on discharge from the oil interceptor only (i.e. 5mg/L).

The mixing of the "clean" sufface water runoff with the treated water from the oil interceptor means any potential hydrocarbons residues in the oil interceptor discharge will be diluted down prior to being released to ground via the soakaway.

For environmental impact assessment purposes (i.e. groundwater quality), the volumetric loading is based on long term rainfall averages for the wettest month rather than a once off 100-year rainfall event. Based on the 30-year averages for Ballinacurra, the wettest month is October where the monthly average is 122mm which works out as a daily average of 3.9mm/day. Based on the hardstand area of the refuelling yard and car park (194m²), the average daily discharge to the soakaway is calculated to be 0.75m³/day during the wettest month.

Based on a road hardstand area of 2,157m², the "clean" surface water runoff component being released to the soakaway is 8.4m³/day during the wettest month.

Therefore, this is a dilution factor of approximately 11 fold when the discharge from the oil interceptor is mixed with the "clean" surface water runoff.

Based on maximum hydrocarbon concentration 5 mg/L in the oil interceptor discharge, the final concentration of the hydrocarbons in the water being released would be approximately 0.45mg/L after mixing with the "clean" surface water runoff. The total volume being released (clean + oil interceptor water) would be 9.15m³/day.

Prior to release into the soakaway it is then proposed to pass the discharge through a constructed wetland pond for further treatment (hydrocarbon removal) where concentrations can be reduced by between 50 and 85% (EPA 2006)². Using an approximate average value of 65% reduction, the above hydrocarbon concentration from the proposed interceptor (0.45mg/L) will be reduced to 0.16mg/L when discharged to the soakaway. The proposed location of the constructed wetland is shown on the site layout drawing (**Figure 1** attached).

The constructed wetland will be designed to have a retention time of 48 hours ($18.6m^3/day \times 2 = 37.2m^3$) (Refer to Figure 1). and will have the following dimensions – $15m(L) \times 5m(W) \times 0.5m(D)$.

After release into the soakaway, the effluent must percolate down through some 2 -3m of unsaturated overburden which will treat the effluent and reduce further the hydrocarbons concentrations.

EPA (2006) states that for a 3m depth of unsaturated overburden, hydrocarbons reductions of between 70 and 90% can be achieved. There by applying an average reduction of 80% to the post wetland effluent hydrocarbon concentration (i.e. 0.16mg/L), a hydrocarbon concentration of at least 0.031mg/L will be achieved before it reaches the groundwater table below the site.

5.4 RESULTANT GROUNDWATER CONCENTRATIONS

The risk of deterioration in the quality of groundwater from hydrocarbon residues was assessed by calculation based on adopting EPA (2014) Guidance on the Authorisation of Discharges to Groundwater. Effluent flow rate, groundwater flow rate, background groundwater concentrations and the concentrations in the final effluent are simulation inputs.

Where,

Cgw = resulting concentration in downstream groundwater after mixing (mg/L) Cin = concentration in the effluent water (0.031mg/L) Qin = volumetric rate of effluent water (9.15m³/day) Qgwu = concentration in the aquifer from upgradient areas (Zero mg/L) Qgw = groundwater flow rate through the bedrock aquifer (27m³/day – see below)

The groundwater flow rate through the bedrock aquifer is estimated using the GSI reported permeability of the aquifer which is $30m/day (3.47 \times 10^{-4}m/s)$, the measured groundwater gradient of 0.003 and an estimated groundwater mixing zone width and depth of 20m and 15m respectively downstream of the proposed discharge location. This results in a groundwater flow/flux of $27m^3/day$ below the soakaway discharge point.

Therefore, based on the above criteria and equation the resultant hydrocarbon concentration immediately downstream of the proposed soakaway discharge point after mixing is calculated to be ~0.008mg/L.

² Impact Assessment of Highway Drainage on Surface Water Quality – 2000-MS-13-M2 – Main Report ERTD 149 (EPA, 2006)

To estimate the hydrocarbon concentration at a further downstream Assessment Point – AP1 (we have taken this to be the nearest downstream dwelling/potential well, 0.75km to the west/south, the groundwater mixing zone width (20m) is extended to AP1 which is a plan area of 15,000m² (20m x 750m). Further dilution of residual hydrocarbons will occur at this point due to recharge of rainfall (reduction in hydrocarbon concentrations will also occur due to groundwater mixing itself, but due to the mathematical complexity of this mixing and the lack of data downstream of the site this has not being allowed for, therefore the below estimated hydrocarbon concentration at AP1 will be very conservative indeed).

The rainfall recharge (654mm/yr) occurring within the mixing zone footprint area (upstream of eastern boundary) is calculated to be $0.654m/year/365=0.0018m/day \times 15,000m=26.8m^3/day$.

Therefore, when mixing of the groundwater flow/flux of 27m³/day (with a conservative hydrocarbon concentration of 0.008mg/L) and the recharge rainfall, the resultant conservative concentration at the downstream eastern boundary (AP1) is calculated (using the above equation) to be 0.004mg/L. Again, no aquifer groundwater mixing is allowed for, therefore the actual value is likely to be significantly lower.

5.5 COMPLIANCE WITH GROUNDWATER QUALITY STANDARDS

The key legislative standards with respect groundwater quality are the Groundwater Regulations (S.I. No. 2010) and the Drinking Water Regulations (S.I. No. 122 of 2014). However, there is no threshold value provided for total hydrocarbons in these standards. The EPA Interim Guideline Value (IGV) is 0.01mg/L.

Guideline Value (IGV) is 0.01 mg/L. The conservative calculations carried out above for the two groundwater assessment points, Discharge Point and AP1, shown that concentrations of hydrocarbons in the groundwater downstream of the discharge point will comply with the IGV.

5.6 CULMULATIVE IMPACTS

With respect to the requirement to consider Cumulative Impacts (Section 3.7, EPA, 2011), there are no other significant stormwater discharges in the area. As such cumulative impacts are considered to be negligible.

5.7 IMPACT ON SURFACE WATER QUALITY

The closest downstream surface water body is the Owennacurra River which exists 1.5km downstream of the site. As demonstrated above, negligible groundwater quality effects are expected downstream of the discharge point.

5.8 **REQUIRED SEPARATION DISTANCES**

The closest potential downstream well is 0.75km or greater. Therefore, all required separation distances are adhered to.

5.9 MONITORING

Due to the very conservative nature of this assessment and the very low level of expected impacts, regular monitoring of the performance of the oil interceptor will be sufficient to ensure groundwater quality effects are negligible.

6. CONCLUSIONS

- The hydrogeological assessment relates to the proposed indirect discharge of treated stormwater runoff to ground via a full retention oil interceptor;
- > A Tier 2 Hydrogeological Assessment is presented in this report and demonstrates the site geology and hydraulic capacity of local subsoils to receive the proposed discharge. An assessment of likely resulting groundwater quality is completed also;
- From a design and site suitability assessment perspective (discharge acceptance), the peak loading will be approximately 225m³/day (100 -year 24-hour storm event);
- > Infiltration tests and the follow on soakaway design demonstrate that the site is capable of hydraulically accepting the proposed discharge;
- > For environmental impact assessment purposes, the volumetric loading is based on long term rainfall averages for the wettest month rather than a once off 100-year rainfall event. An average volumetric loading of 9.15m³/day is taken to reflect the wettest month;
- > A very conservative assessment with respect groundwater quality impacts was carried out and this indicates that negligible groundwater quality effects downstream of the proposed discharge point will occur, with all values being compliant with the EPA IGV for hydrocarbons; and,
- for hydrocarbons; and, Due to the very conservative nature of this assessment, the relatively low loading rate \triangleright and the very low level of expected impacts regular monitoring of the performance of the oil interceptor will be sufficient to ensure groundwater quality effects are Consent of copyright owner, og negligible.

* * * * * * *

7. **REFERENCES**

Appropriate Assessment of Plans and Projects in Ireland – Guidance for Planning Authorities (DoEHLG, 2009).

EPA (2006) Impact Assessment of Highway Drainage on Surface Water Quality – 2000-MS-13-M2 – Main Report ERTD 149.

European Communities (Quality of Salmonid Waters) regulations, S.I. No 84 of 1988.

European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations, 2009 (S.I. No. 272 of 2009).

Local Government (Water Pollution) Act, 1977.

Local Government (Water Pollution) Regulations, 1978.

Local Authority Services National Training Scheme Group (2011) - Guidance, Procedures and Training on Licensing of Discharges to Surface Waters and to Sewers.

Local Authority Services National Training Scheme Group (WSTG) - Discharge to Surface Waters, Guidance to the Applicant (August 2011)

DoELG, EPA, and GSI (1999). Groundwater Protection Schemes. Department of the Environment and Local Government (DOELG), Environmental Protection, Agency (EPA) and the Geological Survey of Ireland (GSI).

Ireland (GSI). EPA (2010). Classification of Hazardous and nor hazardous substances in groundwater. Dr. Matthew Craig, Hydrometric and Groundwater Section, Aquatic Environment, Office of Environmental Assessment. Environmental Protection Agency, Wexford, Ireland.

EPA (2011) Guidance on the Authorisation of Discharges to Groundwater. December 2011.

European Community Directive 2006/118/EC of the European Parliament and the Council of 12 December 2006 of the Protection of Groundwater against Pollution and Deterioration.

European Communities Environmental Objectives (Groundwater) Regulations, 2010 (S.I. No. 9 of 2010)

Geological Survey of Ireland (2008b) Interactive Groundwater Maps <u>www.gsi.ie</u>

EPA Export 25-04-2020:04:26:12

FIGURE 1





EPA Export 25-04-2020:04:26:12

APPENDIX I: BRE365 INFILTRATION CALCULATION SHEET

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

Project: Roadstone, Midleton, Co. Cork Appendix I: BRE365 Infiltration Test Sheet

q = soil infiltration rate

 $q = V_{p75-25}/a_{p50} \times t_{p75-25}$

Test Pit Dimensions

q

Test Hole TP02	Length 1.5	Width 1	Max Eff Depth 1.23
Formula † _{p75-25}	Data 45	mins	(From graph)
V _{p75-25}	0.9225	۳³	(From above)
a _{p50}	4.575	m²	(From above)
Final Result			

m/s

7.5E-05



EPA Export 25-04-2020:04:26:12

Project: Roadstone, Midleton, Co. Cork Appendix I: BRE365 Infiltration Test Sheet

q = soil infiltration rate

 $q = V_{p75-25}/a_{p50} \times t_{p75-25}$

Test Pit Dimensions

Test Hole	Length	Width	Max Eff Depth
	1.4	1.1	I
Formula	Data		
t _{p75-25}	2	mins	(From graph)
V _{p75-25}	0.77	۳³	(From above)
a _{p50}	4.04	m²	(From above)
Final Result			
q	1.6E-03	m/s	



APPENDIX II: MONITORING WELL DRILLING LOGS

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.
р	eters	en dri	lling s	service	es Ito	1.	on beł	nalf of				R	OAD	STO	NE					Rotary	[,] Drillir	ng Log						
Depth of			Drille	er's Strat	um				Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details			Drilling	Details					Standard	l Penetra	tion Test					Ке	metix
Stratum Top (m)			Dillik	escriptio	n			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
0.00	F	- irm browr	n siltv san	dy gravelly	CLAY	nossible f	ill																					
0.00	•		ronty our	ay graveny	02/11	peccipie																						
0.70	Mediur	m strong g	grey LIME	STONE we	athere	d occasio	nal clay																					
0.70				infill																								
0.00		,	<i>.</i> .								_																	
2.00		v	ery strong	g grey LIM	ESTON	IE																						
																150.												
															oth	ð- ⁻												
														soft.	3113													
													.0	Sited +														
													n Por	ja,														
												ect	WIE															
	Shift o	details						Drillin	g Equi	ipmen	t Detai	sn. oh	0						G	round	Water	Reco	rd			Ba	ckfill (m	1)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	- ۲۰ د د د	Bit Type		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
1400											sent																	
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)							Ç0,																		
1800	21.50	Dry	2.00																									
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remark	s or detail	s of any ad	ditional	testing in	formation	, Daywo	rks			SPT I.I Numbe	D. r	pd	1	Calibrat Date	tion	18/09/	/2017	Proj	ect T	Title						
		General; n	nobilisation t	to site near M	idleton C	o. Cork						SPT Ro Type	d	2 3/8 R	legular	SPT En Ratio	ergy	0.0	00		Міл	dlate	n O	luar	rv R	taher	ona	
												Drilling	Crew [Details				CSC	S No		IVII			uar		Brainat	one	
												Suppor	t Opera	tive	jo	ohn whyt	e			Weathe	r		Fi	ne		No No	44/ 	'17
												Lead D Site ca	tegory		step	nan pete	rsen Green			Date Rig type	e		05/12 knebe	/2017 I hy79		Day Boreł	I ues	iber
												Project	Engine	er						Inclinat	ion		Orienta	ation		17	MW1	1
												Lead D	riller's s	signature						Sheet			1	of	2	Comp	leted	Y

AGS

р	eters	en dri	illing s	service	es Ito	.	on be	half of				R	OAD	STO	NE					Rotar	y Drillir	ng Log						
Depth of			Drill	er's Stra	tum				Sample /	Hole / T	est Details	3	_	Drillin	g Details					Standar	d Penetra	tion Test					Ке	metix
Stratum Top (m)			D	escriptic	n			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	run time (hhmm)	Core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	flush level (m)
									RO		0.00	45.50	0000		100	grey												Dry
																20												
																x 150												
														1	olli	×												
														all's	3113													
														CON CON	,													
													2 Per	Of III														
												ر ک	Wher ,															
	Shift	details			Drillin	a Eau	ipmen	t Detai	Isn on	, 6				I		G	round	Wate	r Reco	ord			Ba	ckfill (m	1)			
Start time	Hole	Water	Casing	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO)	Drilling Equipment									Bit serial	Flush	Polymer	Time of	Depth Struck	Casing	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed	Туре	From	To
(111111)	(11)	(III)	(iii)	Coring (RC) RO	154.00	0.00		туре	(mm)	NOT C	DTH		115	Air	No	SUIKe	(m)	(11)						(m)		(111)	(11)	
0800	21.50	Dry	2.00	С	140.00	0.00	2.00				Self																	
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	RO	120.00	2.00	45.50			<u> </u>		DTH			Air	No	_											
1405	0.00																											
1400	0.00																											<u> </u>
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remark	s or detail	ls of any ac	Iditional	testing i	nformatior	n, Daywo	rks			SPT I. Numbe	D. vr	р	d1	Calibrat	tion	18/09	9/2017	Pro	ject 7	Fitle						
	. ,											SPT R	od .	2 3/8	Regular	SPT En	ergy	0	.00		-							
												Type	Crow	Detailo	togalai	Ratio		°	CE No		Mi	dlete	on C	Quar	ry R	oads	one	
												Suppo	rt Oper:	ative	i	ohn whyt	<u>م</u>	CSC	53 NU	Weath	ar		Var	iahla		Project	11/	/17
												Lead D	riller		sten	han nete	ersen			Date	51		06/13	2/2017		No Dav	Wedn	esdav
												Site ca	tegory		0.00		Green			Rig typ	e		kneb	el hy79		Bore	hole Num	ber
												Project	Engine	er						Inclina	tion		Orient	ation		1	7 MW 1	
												Lead D	riller's	signatur	e					Sheet		1	2	of	2	Com	leted	Y
AGS		1										•				1							1		F	Produced	by KeyLo	ogbook



р	eters	en dri	lling s	service	es Ito	J.	on beł	nalf of				R	OAD	STO	NE					Rotary	Drillir	ng Log						
Depth of			Drill	or's Strat	hum				Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details	-		Drilling	Details					Standard	Penetra	tion Test					Kę	metix
Stratum Top (m)			Dilli	escriptio	n			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		0.00	24.30	0000		100	grey												0.00
0.00	sand	y fill with	Brick lime	stone boul	ders M	ADE GRC	DUND																					
0.70	Firm	to stiff bro	own reddis	sh silty sligl	htly gra	velly CLA	Y with																					
0.70		C	occasional	limestone	boulde	ers																						
2.40	Ve	ery strong	grey LIM	ESTONE of	occasio	nal fractu	res																					
21.00	Very sti	rong grey	LIMESTO	ONE rare fr	actures	s some so	ft brown																					
21.00				clay infill												50												
															20	5 ⁻²⁻												
														23.	and													
														25 0 FO	.0.													
													R	S. Heo														
													n Pere	ja,														
												U	MICT															
	Shift c	letails	-					Drilling	g Equi	pmen	t Detai	SU ON							G	round	Water	Reco	rd	-		Ba	ckfill (m	ı)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	ېنې د د	Bit Type		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
1405				C RO	140.00	0.00	3.40 3.40				entor	DTH		115	Air	No	1700	18.30	3.40	Very Slow	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/S			
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	RO	120.00	3.40	24.30			ැ	p-	DTH			Air	No	1800	21.50	3.40	Medium	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/S			
1820	24.30	0.00	3.40																									
												edt i r				Calibrat	ion											
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remark	s or detail	s of any ad	ditiona	I testing in	formation	, Daywor	rks			Number	л. r d	pd	11	Date SPT Fn	erav	18/09	/2017	Proj	ect T	Fitle						
												Type	~ Crow [2 3/8 R	Regular	Ratio		0.0	00 5 No		Mio	dletc	on C	uar	ry Ro	oadst	one	
												Suppor	t Opera	tive	io	ohn whyte	e	030	5 110	Weathe	r		Sho	wers		Project	44/	17
												Lead D	riller		step	han pete	rsen			Date			06/12	2/2017		No Day	Wedne	esday
												Site cat	egory				Green			Rig type	e		knebe	el hy79		Borel	nole Num	ber
												Project	Engine	er						Inclinati	ion		Orienta	ation		17	7 MW2	2
												Lead D	riller's s	signature)					Sheet			1	of	2	Comp	leted	Y

AGS

p	eters	en dri	lling s	service	es Ito	J.	on be	half of				R	OAD	STO	NE					Rotar	y Drilliı	ng Log	J					
Depth of			Drill	er's Strat	tum				Sample /	Hole / To	est Details	3		Drillin	g Details					Standar	d Penetra	tion Test					Kę	metix
Stratum Top (m)			D	escriptio	n			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Core run time	Total core Recovery	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	flush level
													(nninin)	(m)			(mm)											(m)
																												
																												I
																												
																												
																							-					
																. 150												
															all'	ð,												
														All .	and													
														e5 14	5													
													- AL	0°. rec														L
													on Pr 1	300 300														
												Sec.	ONIT O															<u> </u>
	Shift	details						Drillin	g Equ	ipmen	t Detai	(sno jn							G	round	Wate	r Reco	ord			Ba	ckfill (n	1)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)		Bit Type		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0800	24.30	14.70	3.40								entor						_											Í
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing							0	50																	
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																									
1025	0.00																-											1
										1		SDT I	n			Calibra	tion	1										
from	(hhmm)	Remark	s or detail	s of any ad	ditiona	I testing in	nformation	i, Daywo	orks			Numbe	er	p	d1	Date		18/09	9/2017	Pro	ject -	Title						
												SPT Ro Type	od	2 3/8	Regular	SPT En Ratio	ergy	0	.00		N / i	dlat	on C	Juar	n D	oodo	ono	
												Drilling	g Crew	Details				CSC	CS No		IVII	ulet		Juar	IYK	uaus	one	
												Suppo	rt Opera	ative	j	ohn whyt	te			Weath	ər		F	ine		Project	44/	'17
												Lead D	riller		step	han pete	ersen			Date			07/12	2/2017		Day	Thur	sday
												Site ca	tegory				Green	1		Rig typ	e		kneb	el hy79		Bore	hole Num	ber
												Project	t Engine	eer	1					Inclina	tion		Orient	ation		1	7 MW2	2
												Lead D	riller's	signatur	e					Sheet		1	2	of	2	Com	leted	Y
AGS																									F	Produced	by KeyL	ogbook



р	eterse	en dri	illing s	service	es Ito	1.	on beł	nalf of				R	OAD	STO	NE					Rotary	[,] Drillin	ig Log						
Depth of			Drill	or's Strat	tum				Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details	-		Drilling	Details					Standard	I Penetrat	ion Test	-				ке	metix
Stratum Top (m)			D	escriptio	n			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		0.00	24.50	0000		100	brown												16.00
0.00			Hardcore	e MADE GI	ROUND)																						
0.20	F	irm to eti	iff brown r	oddish von	v sandv		v																					
0.20	I	inn to su	III DIOWITI		y sanuy	Silty OLA	.1																					
21.00			Strong																									
22.30		Weak b				voathorod																						
22.50		Weak Di	iown grey			reathered										150												
															- M	ő, Ö												
24.10			Strong	grey LIMES	STONE			-						27.	and													
														~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~														
													all	ob. rec														
													01 9 19	, Y														
													WILL															
	Shift d	letails	-		-	1		Drilling	g Equi	ipmen	t Detai	SU on						Donth	G	round	Water	Reco	rd		Donth	Ba	ckfill (m	ı)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	e cl	Bit Type		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
1025				RO	154.00	0.00	22.00				ente	DTH		115	Air	No	1345	22.50	22.00	Fast	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/S			
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	RO	120.00	22.00	24.50			ර		DTH			Air	No												
1545	0.00																											
Timo	Duration			]								SPT L	).			Calibrat	tion											
from	(hhmm)	Remark	s or detai	ls of any ad	lditional	testing in	formation	, Daywo	rks			Numbe SPT Ro	r od		11	Date SPT En	ergy	18/09	/2017	Proj	ect I	itle						
												Type Drilling	Crew D	2 3/6 P	kegulai	Ratio		CSC	S No		Mic	dleto	on Q	luar	ry Ro	oadst	one	
										Suppor	t Opera	tive	jo	ohn whyt	е			Weathe	r		Vari	able		Project No	44/	17		
												Lead D	riller		step	han pete	ersen			Date			07/12	/2017		Day	Thur	sday
												Site cat	egory				Green			Rig type	e		knebe	l hy79		Bore	nole Num	ber
												Project	Engine	er						Inclinat	ion		Orienta	ation		17	7 MW3	3
												Lead D	riller's s	signature	•					Sheet			1	of	1	Comp	leted	Y

AGS



р	eterse	en dr	illing :	service	es Ito	<b>.</b>	on bel	half of				R	OAD	STO	NE					Rotary	/ Drillir	ig Log						
Depth of			Drill	or's Stra	tum				Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details	;		Drilling	Details					Standard	l Penetrat	ion Test					Ке	metix
Stratum Top (m)			Dilli	escriptio	on			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		0.00	35.00	0000		100	grey												0.00
0.00		Sa	andy Hard	core MADE	E GROU	IND																						
1 90			Firm brow	n roddich c		N N																						
1.00		I		in reduisit s		A T																						
2.40		,	Very stron	ng grey LIN	IESTON	IE																						-
16.50	Med	dium stro	ng grey L	IMESTON	E weath	ered fract	ures									.e-												
																S USE												
17.50		,	Vory otrop												olli													
17.50			very stron	ig grey Liiv		•⊏								- 010 - 10	SIL.													
													~	D. red V														
32.00	Soft	to firm b	rown oran	gish silty g	ravelly (	CLAY faul	t infill						-0 P015	d <u>y</u>														
												فكني	WILCT															
	Shift d	letails						Drillin	g Equi	pmen	t Detai	sn. oh	0	-					G	round	Water	Reco	rd			Ba	ckfill (n	1)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)		Bit Type		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0820				C	140.00	0.00	3.50				attor	DTU																
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing	RO	154.00	0.00 3.50	3.50 35.00			co	B ^O	DTH		115	Air	No No												
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)													-												
1630	35.00	0.00	3.50																									
Time	Duration											SPT I.I	D.			Calibrat	ion		10015	Duri	1 7							
from	(hhmm)	Remark	(s or detai	is of any ac	ditional	testing in	formation	, Daywol	rks				r d	pc	11	Date		18/09	/2017	Proj	ect I	itie						
												Туре	a	23/8 F	Regular	Ratio	ergy	0.	00		Mio	dleta	on C	)uari	rv R	oadst	one	
												Drilling	Crew [	Details	1			CSC	S No						. <b>,</b>			
												Suppor	t Opera	ative	j	ohn whyte	е			Weathe	r		Fi	ne		Project No	44/	17
												Lead D	riller		step	han pete	rsen			Date			08/12	2/2017		Day	Fric	lay
												Site ca	tegory				Green			Rig typ	e		knebe	el hy79		Borel	ole Num	ber
												Project	Engine	er		r				Inclinat	ion		Orienta	ation		17	MW4	1
												Lead D	riller's s	signature	)					Sheet			1	of	2	Comp	leted	Y

AGS

p	eters	en dri	illing s	service	es Ito	<b>1.</b>	on beł	nalf of				R	OAD	STO	NE					Rotary	/ Drillir	ng Log						
Depth of			Drill	or'o Stra	tum.				Sample /	Hole / To	est Details			Drilling	J Details					Standard	d Penetra	tion Test					Ке	metix
Stratum Top (m)			Drine De	escriptio	n			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
													, ,															
								<u> </u>																				
																م												
															200	St Dr.												
														~~·	NOIL													
														~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	ar.													
													0	D. red														
													2 Posts	CH1														
												نځي ا	or the t															
	Shift o	details						Drilling	g Equi	ipmen	t Detai	(SIL off	5	1				1	G	round	Water	Reco	rd	1		Ba	ckfill (n	1)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	ې د د	B Type		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0820	35.00	14.00	3.50								ottor																	
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing							C0	60																	
(hhmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																									
1405	0.00								-																			
Time	Duration							_				SPT I.	).			Calibra	tion											
from	(hhmm)	Remark	s or detail	ls of any ad	ditional	l testing ir	formation	, Daywo	rks			Numbe	r	рс	11	Date		18/09	/2017	Pro	ect I	Itle						
0830	0400	Dayworks:	Airlift devel	oping of well								SP1 ко Туре	a	2 3/8 F	Regular	SPT En Ratio	ergy	0.	00		Мі	dloto	n C	)uar	rv R	nadet	one	
												Drilling	Crew [	Details				CSC	S No		IVIIN	aicit		uun	iy ix	ouusi	one	
												Suppor	t Opera	ative	je	ohn whyt	е			Weathe	er		Vari	iable		Project No	44/	'17
												Lead D	riller		step	han pete	ersen			Date			11/12	2/2017		Day	Mon	day
												Site cat	egory				Green			Rig typ	e		knebe	el hy79	_	Borel	nole Num	ber
												Project	Engine	er						Inclinat	ion		Orienta	ation		17	7 MW4	1
												Lead D	riller's s	signature	)					Sheet			2	of	2	Comp	leted	Y

AGS



р	eters	en dri	lling s	service	es Ito	d.	on beł	nalf of				R	DAD	STO	NE					Rotary	[,] Drillin	ig Log						
Depth of			Drill	or's Strat	tum				Sample /	Hole / Te	st Details	-	_	Drilling	Details					Standard	I Penetrat	ion Test	-	-			Ке	metix
Stratum Top (m)			Dilli	escriptio	n			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		0.00	30.00	0000		100	grey												Dry
0.00		Sa	andy rock	fill MADE	GROU	ND																						
0.30	Strong	g grey LIN	IESTONE	with occa	sional v	weathered	layers																					
																150												
															ill'	5-												
														27.	2113													
														~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~														
													- AL	os. rec														
													an Pur re	jo:														
												CC CC	WILC															
	Shift o	details						Drilling	g Equi	pmen	t Detai	SU ON							G	round	Water	Reco	rd			Ва	ckfill (m	1)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	- ۲۰ د در م	R Type		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
1405				RO	140.00	0.00	0.50				ent	DTH		115	Air	No	1505	9.00	0.50	Slow	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/S			
Finish time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	RO	120.00	0.50	30.00			Cos	2	DTH			Air	No												
(,	(,	(,	(,																									
1830	0.00																											
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remark	s or detail	s of any ad	ditiona	I testing ir	nformation	, Daywoi	rks			SPT I.C Numbe). r	рс	1	Calibrat Date	tion	18/09	/2017	Proj	ect T	itle						
		General; 2	6 to 27m we	athered weak	Limesto	one						SPT Ro Type	d	2 3/8 F	legular	SPT Ene Ratio	ergy	0.	00		Mio	dleta	on Q	uar	rv Ro	badst	one	
													Crew I	Details				CSC	S No						,			
												Suppor	t Opera	tive	jo	ohn whyte	е			Weathe	r		Vari	able		Project No	44/	'17
												Lead D	riller		step	han pete	rsen			Date			11/12	/2017		Day	Mon	day
												Site cat	egory				Green			Rig type	e		knebe	l hy79		Borel	ole Num	ber
												Project	Engine	er						Inclinat	ion		Orienta	ation		17	MVV5)
												Lead D	riller's s	signature						Sneet			1	of	1	Comp	ieted	Y

AGS



р	eters	en dri	lling s	service	es Ito	.	on beh	nalf of				R	OAD	STO	NE					Rotary	/ Drillir	ng Log						
Depth of			Drille	ar's Strat	um				Sample /	Hole / Te	est Details		_	Drilling	Details					Standard	l Penetra	tion Test		-			Ке	metix
Stratum Top (m)			Dillio	escriptio	n			No	Туре	Insitu test	From (m)	To (m)	Core run time (hhmm)	Total core Recovery (m)	Flush Return %	Flush Colour	Self Weight Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	Seating Pen (mm)	75 mm	150 mm	225 mm	300 mm	Main Pen (mm)	N value	Casing Depth (m)	Water/ flush level (m)
									RO		0.00	31.00	0000		100	grey												Dry
0.00			Fir	m TOPSOI	L																							
0.20		-	irm brown	n raddich ci		V																						
0.20		Г				N I																						
7.30	V	Veak grey	LIMEST	ONE weath	ered w	ith clay inf	fill																					
0 40	C 4	trong grou					. .																					
0.40	31	liong grey	LINEST		locasio	nai ciay in										150												
															20	\$ <u>``</u>												
10.70		Very w	eak LIME	STONE cla	y filled	fracture								23.	andor													
					-						-			- CS \$ 10	.0.													
													J.R.	S. Heo														
11.50			Strong g	grey LIMES	TONE								an Prire	5														
												ecto	WILC.															
	Shift d	letails						Drilling	g Equi	pmen	t Detai	sn oht							G	round	Water	Reco	rd	-		Ва	ckfill (n	n)
Start time (hhmm)	Hole (m)	Water (m)	Casing (m)	Casing (C) Open Hole (RO) Coring (RC)	Dia. (mm)	From (m)	To (m)	Barrel	Liner Type	Core Dia (mm)	م د م	Bit Type		Bit serial No	Flush	Polymer	Time of strike	Depth Struck (m)	Casing (m)	Inflow	5 min	10 min	15 min	20 min	Depth Sealed (m)	Туре	From (m)	To (m)
0805				C RO	140.00 154.00	0.00	8.50 8.50				ent	DTH		115	Air	No	1320	26.00	8.50	Very Slow	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/S			
Finish time	Hole	Water	Casing	RO	120.00	8.50	31.00			Cos	P	DTH			Air	No												
(nnmm)	(m)	(m)	(m)																									
1535	0.00																											
Time from	Duration (hhmm)	Remark	s or detail	s of any ad	ditional	testing in	formation	, Daywo	rks			SPT I.C Numbe	D. r	рс	11	Calibrat Date	tion	18/09	/2017	Proj	ect 7	Title						
												SPT Ro Type	d	2 3/8 F	Regular	SPT En Ratio	ergy	0.0	00		Mia	dlata	n O	luar	rv R	nader	one	
												Drilling	Crew D	Details				CSC	S No		IVII	aleit	ЛС	uan	I Y I N	Jausi	one	
												Suppor	t Opera	tive	jo	ohn whyt	e			Weathe	r		Sho	wers		Project No	44/	/17
												Lead D	riller		step	han pete	ersen			Date			12/12	/2017		Day	Tues	sday
												Site cat	egory				Green			Rig typ	e		knebe	l hy79		Bore	nole Num	nber
												Project	Engine	er						Inclinat	ion		Orienta	ation		17	MW6	5
												Lead D	riller's s	signature	•					Sheet			1	of	1	Comp	leted	Y

AGS



APPENDIX III: ORIGINAL LABORATORY REPORTS

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



ALS Environmental Ltd Unit 11 Silkwood Park Janes Hill Off Albert Drive Wakefield WF5 9TG

T: +44 (0)1924 818100 F: +44 (0)1924 818101 www.alsenvironmental.co.uk

22 March 2018

Test Report: WAK/1518885/2018

Dear Mr O'Sullivan

Analysis of your sample(s) submitted on 09 March 2018 is now complete and we have pleasure in enclosing the appropriate test report(s).

An invoice for the analysis carried out will be sent under separate cover.

Should you have any queries regarding this report(s) or any part of our service, please contact Customer Services on +44 (0)1924 818100 who will be happy to discuss your requirements.

If you would like to arrange any further analysis, please contact Customer Services. To arrange container delivery or sample collection, please call the Couriers Department directly on 024 7685 6562.

Thank you for using ALS Environmental Ltd and we look forward to receiving your next samples.

Yours Sincerely,

quit Signed:

Name: C. Ulph

Title: Micro & Logistics Manager



This communication has been sent to you by ALS Environmental Ltd. Registered in England and Wales. Registration No.02148934. Registered Office: ALS Environmental Limited, Torrington Avenue, Coventry, CV4 9GU.

Mr O'Sullivan ALS Life Sciences (Ireland) Lismard Business Park Timahoe Road Portlaoise Laois



ALS Environmental Ltd was not responsible for sampling unless otherwise stated.

Information on the methods of analysis and performance characteristics are available on request.

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation. The results relate only to the items tested. Tests marked 'Not UKAS Accredited' in this Report/Certificate are not included in the UKAS Accreditation Schedule for our laboratory.

This communication has been sent to you by ALS Environmental Ltd. Registered in England and Wales. Registration No. 02148934. Registered Office: ALS Environmental Limited, Torrington Avenue, Coventry, CV4 9GU.

(c) ALS Environmental Ltd 2018. All rights reserved. We, ALS Environmental Ltd, are the owner of all copyright in this report. You must not copy, reproduce, amend or adapt this report, its contents or any format in which it is delivered without our prior written agreement. If you copy, reproduce, amend, or adapt this report in any way without our agreement you will be liable for any damage or loss to us. In the event of a dispute the copy of the report held by us shall be the reference copy.





Report Number: WAK/1518885/2018 Laboratory Number: 16922903

Issue 1 Sample 1 of 6

ALS Life Sciences (Ireland)
ALS Clonmel Waste
3009715 Midleton well 1
Ground Water
08 March 2018
09 March 2018
22 March 2018

Test Description	Result	Units	Analysis Date	Accreditation	Method
Calcium, Filtered as Ca	90.9	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Copper, Filtered as Cu	<0.009	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Iron, Filtered as Fe	<0.23	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Magnesium, Filtered as Mg	15.5	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Manganese, Filtered as Mn	<0.007	mg/l 🞺	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Nickel, Filtered as Ni	<0.003	mg/l met	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Potassium, Filtered as K	4.39	mg/1	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Sodium, Filtered as Na	88.1	^{ره ک} ړ mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Arsenic, trace filtered as As	<0.0010	tife mg/l	15/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS060

Analyst Comments for 16922903: No Analyst Comment Section for the sector of the sector

Tron Microbiological determinands or ND=Not Detected, For Legionella ND=Not Detected in volume of sample filtered. I/S=Insufficient sample For soil/sludge samples: AR=As received, DW=Dry weight.

Signed:

Name: C. Ulph Date: 22 March 2018





Report Number: WAK/1518885/2018 Laboratory Number: 16922904

Issue 1 Sample 2 of 6

Sample Source:	ALS Life Sciences (Ireland)
Sample Point Description:	ALS Clonmel Waste
Sample Description:	3009718 Midleton mw2
Sample Matrix:	Ground Water
Sample Date/Time:	08 March 2018
Sample Received:	09 March 2018
Analysis Complete:	22 March 2018

Test Description	Result	Units	Analysis Date	Accreditation	Method
Calcium, Filtered as Ca	72.3	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Copper, Filtered as Cu	<0.009	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Iron, Filtered as Fe	<0.23	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Magnesium, Filtered as Mg	2.1	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Manganese, Filtered as Mn	<0.007	mg/l 🞺	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Nickel, Filtered as Ni	<0.003	mg/l met	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Potassium, Filtered as K	2.92	Mmg/1	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Sodium, Filtered as Na	9.60	^ح مر mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Arsenic, trace filtered as As	<0.0010	tife mg/l	15/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS060

Analyst Comments for 16922904: No Analyst Comment Section for the sector of the sector Tron Microbiological determinands or ND=Not Detected, For Legionella ND=Not Detected in volume of sample filtered. I/S=Insufficient sample For soil/sludge samples: AR=As received, DW=Dry weight.

Signed:

Name: C. Ulph Date: 22 March 2018





Report Number: WAK/1518885/2018 Laboratory Number: 16922905

Issue 1 Sample 3 of 6

Sample Source:	ALS Life Sciences (Ireland)
Sample Point Description:	ALS Clonmel Waste
Sample Description:	3009721 Midleton mw3
Sample Matrix:	Ground Water
Sample Date/Time:	08 March 2018
Sample Received:	09 March 2018
Analysis Complete:	22 March 2018

Test Description	Result	Units	Analysis Date	Accredita	tion Method
Calcium, Filtered as Ca	127	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS049
Copper, Filtered as Cu	<0.009	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS049
Iron, Filtered as Fe	<0.23	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS049
Magnesium, Filtered as Mg	4.6	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS049
Manganese, Filtered as Mn	0.009	mg/l 🞺	• 21/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS049
Nickel, Filtered as Ni	<0.003	mg/l met	21/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS049
Potassium, Filtered as K	0.64	mg/1	21/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS049
Sodium, Filtered as Na	10.2	్రా స్పో mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS049
Arsenic, trace filtered as As	<0.0010 June	tife mg/l	15/03/2018	Y Co	v WAS060

Analyst Comments for 16922905: No Analyst Comment Section for the sector of the sector Tron Microbiological determinands or ND=Not Detected, For Legionella ND=Not Detected in volume of sample filtered. I/S=Insufficient sample For soil/sludge samples: AR=As received, DW=Dry weight.

Signed:

Name: C. Ulph Date: 22 March 2018





Report Number: WAK/1518885/2018 Laboratory Number: 16922906

Issue 1 Sample 4 of 6

Sample Source:	ALS Life Sciences (Ireland)
Sample Point Description:	ALS Clonmel Waste
Sample Description:	3009724 Midleton mw4
Sample Matrix:	Ground Water
Sample Date/Time:	08 March 2018
Sample Received:	09 March 2018
Analysis Complete:	22 March 2018

Test Description	Result	Units	Analysis Date	Accreditation	Method
Calcium, Filtered as Ca	75.3	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Copper, Filtered as Cu	<0.009	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Iron, Filtered as Fe	<0.23	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Magnesium, Filtered as Mg	5.8	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Manganese, Filtered as Mn	<0.007	mg/l 🞺	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Nickel, Filtered as Ni	<0.003	mg/l met	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Potassium, Filtered as K	1.43	Mmg/1	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Sodium, Filtered as Na	13.2	^ح مر mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Arsenic, trace filtered as As	<0.0010	tife mg/l	15/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS060

Analyst Comments for 16922906: No Analyst Comment Section for the sector of the sector

Tron Microbiological determinands or ND=Not Detected, For Legionella ND=Not Detected in volume of sample filtered. I/S=Insufficient sample For soil/sludge samples: AR=As received, DW=Dry weight.

Signed:

Name: C. Ulph Date: 22 March 2018

Title: Micro & Logistics Manager

ALS Environmental Ltd Unit 11, Silkwood Park, Janes Hill, Off Albert Drive, Wakefield, WF5 9TG Tel:+44 (0)1924 818100 Fax:+44 (0)1924 818101





Report Number: WAK/1518885/2018 Laboratory Number: 16922907

Issue 1 Sample 5 of 6

Sample Source:	ALS Life Sciences (Ireland)
Sample Point Description:	ALS Clonmel Waste
Sample Description:	3009727 Midleton mw5
Sample Matrix:	Ground Water
Sample Date/Time:	08 March 2018
Sample Received:	09 March 2018
Analysis Complete:	22 March 2018

Test Description	Result	Units	Analysis Date	Accreditation	Method
Calcium, Filtered as Ca	68.1	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Copper, Filtered as Cu	<0.009	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Iron, Filtered as Fe	<0.23	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Magnesium, Filtered as Mg	4.1	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Manganese, Filtered as Mn	0.010	mg/l 🔬	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Nickel, Filtered as Ni	0.005	mg/l met	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Potassium, Filtered as K	2.87	Mmg/1	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Sodium, Filtered as Na	81.1	్రా స్పో mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Arsenic, trace filtered as As	<0.0010	tite mg/l	15/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS060

Analyst Comments for 16922907: No Analyst Comment Section for the sector of the sector Tron Microbiological determinands or ND=Not Detected, For Legionella ND=Not Detected in volume of sample filtered. I/S=Insufficient sample For soil/sludge samples: AR=As received, DW=Dry weight.

Signed:

Name: C. Ulph Date: 22 March 2018





Report Number: WAK/1518885/2018 Laboratory Number: 16922908

Issue 1 Sample 6 of 6

Sample Source:	ALS Life Sciences (Ireland)
Sample Point Description:	ALS Clonmel Waste
Sample Description:	3009730 Midleton mw6
Sample Matrix:	Ground Water
Sample Date/Time:	08 March 2018
Sample Received:	09 March 2018
Analysis Complete:	22 March 2018

Test Description	Result	Units	Analysis Date	Accreditation	n Method
Calcium, Filtered as Ca	104	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Copper, Filtered as Cu	<0.009	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Iron, Filtered as Fe	<0.23	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Magnesium, Filtered as Mg	8.1	mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Manganese, Filtered as Mn	0.015	mg/l 🞺	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Nickel, Filtered as Ni	0.011	mg/l met	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Potassium, Filtered as K	2.79	mg/1	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Sodium, Filtered as Na	50.4	^{ره ک} ړ mg/l	21/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS049
Arsenic, trace filtered as As	<0.0010	tife mg/l	15/03/2018	Y Cov	WAS060

Analyst Comments for 16922908: No Analyst Comment Section for the sector of the sector Tron Microbiological determinands or ND=Not Detected, For Legionella ND=Not Detected in volume of sample filtered. I/S=Insufficient sample For soil/sludge samples: AR=As received, DW=Dry weight.

Signed:

Name: C. Ulph Date: 22 March 2018



ANALYST COMMENTS FOR REPORT WAK/1518885/2018 Issue 1 This issue replaces all previous issues

Date of Issue: 22 March 2018

Sample No	Analysis Comments	
16922903		
16922904		
16922905		
16922906		
16922907		
16922908		
man Martika	Name: C. Ulph	Date: 22 March 2018
Signed:	Title: Miero 9 Legistics Man	
	The. Micro & Logistics Man	lager
	Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.	



DETERMINAND COMMENTS FOR REPORT WAK/1518885/2018

ISSUE 1

Date of Issue: 22 March 2018

This issue replaces all previous issues

Description	Determinand	Commen	its		
mith	Na	me: C.	Ulph	Date:	22 March 2018
gay	Titl	e: Mie	cro & Logistics Mana	ger	
		Description Determinand Na Titl	Description Determinand Commer Name: C. Title: Mi	Description Determinand Comments Name: C. Ulph Title: Micro & Logistics Mana	Description Determinand Comments Name: C. Ulph Date: Title: Micro & Logistics Manager







Report No:	HYDR-414080318
Document No:	EF0011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	Hydro Environ	mental Services	Date Received	08/03/2018
	22 Lower Main	Street	Date Reported	21/03/2018
Dungarvan Co. Waterford			Order Number	N/A
For the Attention of:		Hydro Environmental Services		
Sample Reception		6 sample(s) received in good condition.		
Comments		N/A pitopitic		
Note:		A # next to the result indicates that there was insufficient sample to ca	rry out testing as pe	er SOP .
		Olisett		

Report Authorised by:

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager

Conditions:

1. Results in this report relate only to the items tested

2. Reports may not be reproduced except in full without the approval of ALS Life Sciences Ltd

3. All queries regarding this report should be addressed to the Technical Manager at the above address

4. A * next to a method reference signifies that ALS Life Sciences Ltd is NOT INAB accredited for this method

5. Results reported as CFU/cm² are calculated based on information supplied by customer regarding area swabbed

6. CFU indicates Colony Forming Units, MPN indicates Most Probable Number

7. SUBCON* indicates analysis subcontracted to approved subcontractors who do not hold accreditation for this test

8. SUBCON^ indicates analysis subcontracted to approved subcontractors who hold accreditation for this test





Report No:

HYDR-414080318

Document No: E

EF0011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

		Dat	e Received	08/03/2018	
		Dat	e Reported	21/03/2018	
		Ord	ler Number	N/A	
Sample TypeWatClient IDMidDate Tested09/0ALS ID300	ter Ileton Well 1 03/2018 09714				
Test Supported Solida		Result		<u>Unit</u>	Method
Phosphorus		<0 <0.10		mg/LP	P202 P207
Hardness		296	r	ng/l CaCO3	P213
Alkalinity Total		220	r	ng/l CaCO3	P214
pH		7.5		Units (10)	P233
Turbidity		1.21		NTU	P239
Sulphate		44.5	. న	mg/ SO4	P243
Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree		458	ectile a	m ^e mg / I	P269*
Dissolved Solids @ 260) degree	<1	inspit o	mg / I	P269*
Ammonia		<0.02	FOLDING	mg/I NH3-N	P281
Ammonium		<0.03	CON.	mg/I NH4	P281
Nitrate		3.3	at or	mg/I NO3N	P281
Nitrate		14.6	•ن	mg/INO3	P281
Nitrito					F201
Orthonhosnhate		<0.05 <0.02		ma/LP	F201 P281
Chloride		185 1		ma/I Cl	P281
Conductivity @ 20°C		966		µs/cm	P284

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager





Report No:

HYDR-414080318

Document No: E

EF0011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

		Date Rec	eived 08/03/2018	
		Date Rep	orted 21/03/2018	
		Order Nu	imber N/A	
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 2 09/03/2018 3009717			
Test Suspended Solids Phosphorus Hardness Alkalinity Total pH Turbidity Sulphate Dissolved Solids (Dissolved Solids (Ammonia Ammonium Nitrate Nitrate Nitrate Nitrite) 180 degree) 260 degree	Result 63 <0.10	Unit mg / I mg/I P mg/I CaCO3 mg/I NO3 mg/I NO2 mg/I NO2	Method P202 P213 P214 P233 P239 P243 P269* P269* P269* P281 P281 P281 P281 P281 P281
Orthophosphate Chloride Conductivity @ 20	°C	<0.02 21.7 393	mg/l Ρ mg/l CL μs/cm	P281 P281 P284

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager





Report No:

HYDR-414080318

Document No: E

EF0011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

		Date Rec	eived 08/03/2018	
		Date Rep	orted 21/03/2018	
		Order Nu	mber N/A	
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 3 09/03/2018 3009720			
<u>Test</u> Suspended Solids		Result	Unit ma / l	Method
Phosphorus		0.48	mg/I P	R207
Hardness		344	mg/I CaCO3	P213
Alkalinity Total		298	mg/l CaCos and	P214
pН		7.3	Units	P233
Turbidity		92.40	NTUE	P239
Sulphate		23.8	mgA SO4	P243
Dissolved Solids @	180 degree	342	ectientermg / I	P269*
Dissolved Solids @	260 degree	<1	m^{3} mg / l	P269*
Ammonia		0.02	mg/I NH3-N	P281
Ammonium		<0.03	mg/I NH4	P281
Nitrate		9.5	mg/I NO3N	P281
Nitrate		41.9 41.9	mg/I NO3	P281
Nitrite		<0.00	mg/I NO2N	P281
Nitrite		< 0.05	mg/I NO2	P281
Ortnopnosphate		0.12	mg/i P	P281
		26.1	mg/I CL	P201
Conductivity @ 20		634	µs/cm	P284

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager





Report No:

HYDR-414080318

Document No: EF0011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

		Date Rec	eived 08/03/2018	
		Date Rep	orted 21/03/2018	
		Order Nu	mber N/A	
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 4 09/03/2018 3009723			
Test Supported Solida		Result	<u>Unit</u>	Method
Phosphorus		13 50	mg/LP	P202
Hardness		220	mg/l CaCO3	P213
Alkalinity Total		184	mg/l CaCO3	P214
pH		7.5	Units	P233
Turbidity 84		8459	NTUC	P239*
Sulphate 32.		32.5	mg/SO4	P243
Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 184		184	ectile whether / I	P269*
Dissolved Solids @	260 degree	<1 .	ns / l	P269*
Ammonia		0.04 _v ó	mg/I NH3-N	P281
Ammonium		ۇرى 0.05	Mg/I NH4	P281
Nitrate		8.1	mg/I NO3N	P281
Nitrate		35.5 ¹⁵⁰¹	mg/I NO3	P281
Nitrite		<0.0	mg/I NO2N	P281
Nitrite		< 0.05	mg/I NO2	P281
Orthophosphate		0.06	mg/I P	P281
	° ^	21.4	mg/I CL	P201
		407	µs/cm	F'204

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager





Report No:

HYDR-414080318

Document No:

EF0011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Date Received Date Reported 08/03/2018 21/03/2018 Date Reported 21/03/2018 Order Number N/A Sample Type Client ID Midleton MW 5 09/03/2018 ALS ID Sample Type Water Test Suspended Solids Midleton MW 5 09/03/2018 ALS ID Result Unit Method Number					
Date Reported 21/03/2018 Order Number N/A Sample Type Water Client ID Midleton MW 5 Date Tested 09/03/2018 ALS ID 3009726 Test Result Unit Method Suspended Solids 0.84 mg/l P 202 Phosphorus 0.84 mg/l P 203 Hardness 176 mg/l CaC03 P 213 Alkalinity Total 333 mg/l CaC03 P 213 Jurbidity 2574 mg/l SO4 P 243 Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 mg/l NO4 P 243 Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree 318 mg/l NO3N P 281 Ammonia 0.03 mg/l NO3N P 281 Nitrate 3.1 mg/l NO3N P 281 Nitrate 3.1 mg/l NO3 P 281 Nitrate 3.5 mg/l NO2 P 281 Nitrite <0.02 mg/l NO2 P 281 Othophosphate <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Date Rec</td><td>eived 08/03/2018</td><td></td></th<>			Date Rec	eived 08/03/2018	
Order Number N/A Sample Type Water Client ID Midleton MW 5 Date Tested 09/03/2018 ALS ID 3009726 Test Result Unit Method Suspended Solids 1892 mg / I P202° Phosphorus 0.84 mg/l P P207 Hardness 176 mg/l CaC03 P213 Alkalinity Total 333 mg/l CaC03 P214 pH 7.7 Units of P233 P233 Turbidity 2574 MTUS P239* P233 Sulphate 71.1 Might SO4 P243 Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 mg/l N24 P269* Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree 1 mg/l NH3-N P281 Ammonia 0.03 mg/l NH4 P281 Nitrate 3.1 mg/l NO3N P281 Nitrate 13.5 mg/l NO2N P281 Nitrite <0.02			Date Rep	orted 21/03/2018	
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS IDWater Midleton MW 5 09/03/2018 ALS IDWiter Midleton MW 5 3009726Test Fest Suspended SolidsResult 1892Unit mg / IMethod P202Phosphorus0.84mg/ PP202Phosphorus0.84mg/ CaC03P213Alkalinity Total333mg/ CaC03P213Alkalinity Total7.7Uaits for P233P233Turbidity2574MTUPP239*Sulphate71.1MTUPP239*Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree318mg/ IP269*Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree<1			Order Nu	mber N/A	
Test Result Unit Method Suspended Solids 1892 mg / I P202 Phosphorus 0.84 mg/ I P202 Hardness 176 mg/ I CaCO3 P213 Alkalinity Total 333 mg/ I CaCO3 P214 pH 7.7 Units, Method P233 Turbidity 2574 MTW P239* Sulphate 71.1 mg/ I SO4 P243 Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 mg/ I P269* Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree <1	Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 5 09/03/2018 3009726			
Suspended Solids 1892 mg / I P202* Phosphorus 0.84 mg/l P P207 Hardness 176 mg/l CaCO3 0P213 Alkalinity Total 333 mg/l CaCO3 0P214 pH 7.7 Units, for P233 Turbidity 2574 NTUP P239* Sulphate 71.1 mg/l SO4 P243 Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 provide for the mg/l P269* Ammonia 0.03 provide for the mg/l P269* Ammonia 0.04 mg/l NH3-N P281 Nitrate 3.1 mg/l NO3N P281 Nitrate 13.5 mg/l NO3N P281 Nitrate <0.01	<u>Test</u>		Result	Unit	Method
Phosphorus 0.84 mg/l P p207 Hardness 176 mg/l CaCO3 P213 Alkalinity Total 333 mg/l CaCO3 P214 pH 7.7 Upits for P233 Turbidity 2574 MTUP P239* Sulphate 71.1 mg/l NO4 P269* Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 mg/l NH3-N P269* Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree <1	Suspended Solids		1892	mg / I	P202
Hardness 176 mg/l CaCO3 P213 Alkalinity Total 333 mg/l CaCO3 P214 pH 7.7 Ugits 16 P233 Turbidity 2574 100 Tbf P239* Sulphate 71.1 mg/l SO4 P243 Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 11 P269* Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree <1	Phosphorus		0.84	mg/I P	H207
Alkalinity rotal 333 mg/l Cabbs to P214 pH 7.7 Units, till P233 Turbidity 2574 P239* Sulphate 71.1 P269* Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 pdf of mg/l P269* Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree <1	Haroness		1/0	mg/I CaCO3	P213
prin 7.7 00003 Cr F233 Turbidity 2574 P239* Sulphate 71.1 mg/l SO4 P243 Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 pc/orm/mg/l SO4 P269* Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree <1			333 7 7		PZ14
Sulphate 71.1 mg/l SO4 P243 Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 provide mg/l P269* Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree <1	pH Turbidity		1.1	Oldas V	P233 D220*
Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 318 poticipantic mg / l P269* Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree <1	Sulphate 7		71 1	Pige SO4	P243
Dissolved Solids @ 260 degree <1	Dissolved Solids @ 180 degree 31		318	diometing / L	P269*
Ammonia 0.03 Fot it will mg/l NH3-N P281 Ammonium 0.04 mg/l NH4 P281 Nitrate 3.1 mg/l NO3N P281 Nitrate 13.5 mg/l NO3 P281 Nitrate 0.01 of mg/l NO3 P281 Nitrate 0.05 mg/l NO2N P281 Nitrite <0.01 of mg/l NO2	Dissolved Solids @	0 260 degree	<1	mg/l	P269*
Ammonium 0.04 cont mg/l NH4 P281 Nitrate 3.1 mg/l NO3N P281 Nitrate 13.5 mg/l NO3 P281 Nitrate 0.01 mg/l NO2N P281 Nitrite <0.01	Ammonia	<u>g</u> _ 00 d 0 g. 00	0.03	ma/I NH3-N	P281
Nitrate 3.1 of C mg/l NO3N P281 Nitrate 13.5 mg/l NO3 P281 Nitrite <0.01	Ammonium		0.04	mg/I NH4	P281
Nitrate 13.5 mg/l NO3 P281 Nitrite <0.0	Nitrate		3.1 🔥	mg/I NO3N	P281
Nitrite <0.0 tors mg/l NO2N P281 Nitrite <0.05	Nitrate		13.5 sent	mg/I NO3	P281
Nitrite <0.05 mg/l NO2 P281 Orthophosphate <0.02	Nitrite		<0.01000	mg/I NO2N	P281
Orthophosphate <0.02 mg/l P P281 Chloride 104.9 mg/l CL P281 Conductivity @ 20°C 747 µs/cm P284	Nitrite		<0.05	mg/I NO2	P281
Chloride 104.9 mg/l CL P281 Conductivity @ 20°C 747 µs/cm P284	Orthophosphate		<0.02	mg/l P	P281
Conductivity @ 20°C 747 µs/cm P284	Chloride		104.9	mg/l CL	P281
• -	Conductivity @ 20	°C	747	μs/cm	P284

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager





Report No:

HYDR-414080318

Document No:

EF0011

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

		Date Rece	eived 08/03/2018	
		Date Repo	orted 21/03/2018	
		Order Nu	mber N/A	
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 6 09/03/2018 3009729			
<u>Test</u> Suspended Solids		<u>Result</u> 7954	Unit ma / l	Method
Phosphorus		4.10	ma/l P	P207
Hardness		246	mg/I CaCO3	P213
Alkalinity Total		300	mg/I CaCos and	P214
pН		7.4	Units	P233
Turbidity 7		7126	NTUE	P239*
Sulphate 43		43.2	mgA SO4	P243
Dissolved Solids @	① 180 degree	382	ectre vine mg / I	P269*
Dissolved Solids @	260 degree	<1	nspho mg/l	P269*
Ammonia		0.02 60	mg/I NH3-N	P281
Ammonium		<0.03	mg/I NH4	P281
Nitrate		6.4	mg/I NO3N	P281
Nitrate		28.2 et	mg/I NO3	P281
NITITE		0.010	mg/I NO2N	P281
Nitrite		<0.05	mg/I NO2	P281
Chlorido		<0.02	mg/i P	P201
	۹C	09.0 700		F201 P284
		130	μ3/6Π	1 204

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager

Report Authorised by:

EPA Export 25-04-2020:04:26:13





Report No:HYDR-415080318Document No:EF0011

SUPPLEMENTARY CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client	Hydro Environ	mental Services	Date Received	08/03/2018
22 Lower Main Dungaryan		Sileel		10/03/2018
	Co. Waterford		Order Number	N/A
		A Vee.		
For the Attention of:		Hydro Environmental Services		
Sample Reception		6 sample(s) received in good condition.		
Comments		N/A iton pure cuite		
Note:		A # next to the result indicates that there was insufficient sample to carr	ry out testing as pe	er SOP .
		- OR ^{DENT}		

Report Authorised by:

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager

Conditions:

1. Results in this report relate only to the items tested

2. Reports may not be reproduced except in full without the approval of ALS Life Sciences Ltd

3. All queries regarding this report should be addressed to the Technical Manager at the above address

4. A * next to a method reference signifies that ALS Life Sciences Ltd is NOT INAB accredited for this method

5. Results reported as CFU/cm² are calculated based on information supplied by customer regarding area swabbed

6. CFU indicates Colony Forming Units, MPN indicates Most Probable Number

7. SUBCON* indicates analysis subcontracted to approved subcontractors who do not hold accreditation for this test

8. SUBCON^ indicates analysis subcontracted to approved subcontractors who hold accreditation for this test

9. This supplementary certificate replaces the previous certificate which must be destroyed

Page 1 of 3





Report No:

HYDR-415080318 EF0011

Document No:

SUPPLEMENTARY CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

		Date Received	08/03/2018	
		Date Reported	10/03/2018	
		Order Number	N/A	
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton Well 1 08/03/2018 3009716			
<u>Test</u> Enterococci Coliform bacteria Escherichia coli		<u>Result</u> 0 0 0	Unit CFU/100ml CFU/100ml CFU/100ml	<u>Method</u> SP 971 Based on ISO 7899-2 (2000) SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009 SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 2 08/03/2018 3009719	estination of the second se	on puposes of for	
<u>Test</u> Enterococci Coliform bacteria Escherichia coli		Result For in the first of the	<u>Unit</u> CFU/100ml CFU/100ml CFU/100ml	<u>Method</u> SP 071 Based on ISO 7899-2 (2000) SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009 SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 3 08/03/2018 3009722	C ⁴		
<u>Test</u> Enterococci Coliform bacteria Escherichia coli		Result 0 0 0	<u>Unit</u> CFU/100ml CFU/100ml CFU/100ml	<u>Method</u> SP 071 Based on ISO 7899-2 (2000) SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009 SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 4 08/03/2018 3009725			
<u>Test</u> Enterococci Coliform bacteria Escherichia coli		Result 0 0 0	<u>Unit</u> CFU/100ml CFU/100ml CFU/100ml	<u>Method</u> SP 071 Based on ISO 7899-2 (2000) SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009 SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009

Report Authorised by:

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager





Report No:

HYDR-415080318

Document No: EF0011

SUPPLEMENTARY CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

			Date Received Date Reported Order Number	08/03/2018 10/03/2018 N/A	
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 5 08/03/2018 3009728				
<u>Test</u> Enterococci Coliform bacteria Escherichia coli		<u>Resu</u> 0 0 0	<u>ılt</u>	Unit CFU/100ml CFU/100ml CFU/100ml	Method SP 071 Based on ISO 7899-2 (2000) SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009 SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009
Sample Type Client ID Date Tested ALS ID	Water Midleton MW 6 08/03/2018 3009731		estination of the second se	on pupposes of for a	
<u>Test</u> Enterococci Coliform bacteria Escherichia coli		<u>Resu</u> 0 0 0	the Forting to the second	<u>Unit</u> CFU/100ml CFU/100ml CFU/100ml	<u>Method</u> SP 071 Based on ISO 7899-2 (2000) SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009 SP 140 MODW Part 4,B 2009

Roseman Thomas

Rosemary Thomas Environmental Chemistry Manager

Report Authorised by:

EPA Export 25-04-2020:04:26:13
APPENDIX IV: OIL INTERCEPTOR DESIGN

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

Notes: Full Retention Class 1 EN 858 Ortner FR-NS-40-CC Volume 16,000 lit This drawing is ©. All rights reserved. Note: Observe all safety regulations in regard to excavation and lifting requirements. Never leave opening uncovered or unattended at any time. Note: Specify any specific requirements 5200mm prior to ordering. All civil works by customer. Note: Do not scale from this drawing. Only for illustration purposes. Tank Type: 2CFull Retention Interceptor Vent (To customer Tank Size: 4900mm x 2340mm equirements)

C2

104

C1



2340mm

Inlet →

315mm OD

A good firm, rock free, perfectly level base is required. Soil conditions must be checked by the site engineer. See installation recommendations for guidance.

Height: 2350mm Volume: 16000 liters Weight: 4500kg (Each, Ex. Lid) (Tank Dim: ± 20mm. Weight: ± 30Kg.) 40 l/s nominal flow @ 65mm/hr rain intensity 2,222m sq. coverage 5,000 lit. silt capacity 2,000 oil capacity 4,000 lit. emergency oil retention.

Outlet →

O.D. 315mm

Accidental damage caused by incorrect lifting is the responsibility of the client.

Lifting limitations: Max Chain Angle < 60°



 Date:
 Mar 2014

 Drg. No.:
 D08 (NTS)

 Drawn By:
 MC

APPENDIX V: SOAKAWAY DESIGN CALCULATION SHEET

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

ProjectRoadstone Ltd, Midleton, Co. CorkAppendix VBRE365 Soakaway Design

Site specific info: Gr Storm specific info: C Required input in Re Result in Blue	een Orange d				
				%FREE V= 0.3	
A50= 31.1		Site Area = 2351	m*2		
				Effective Depth = 1.5	m
V = 23.9	m*3	f= 7.50E-05	m/s	150.	
• • • • • •	*0			$M^{e^{1}}$ Width = 3	m
O = 201.40	m*3	Storm Duration = 86400	S	AN' 2019	
I = 225.2	m*3	Rainfall = 95.8	mm	L = 17.72	m
S = I - O = 23.8	m*3		ecti	on Quire real	
S = V 0.1			Forinstit	0	
T50 = 1.4254 hours		For a valid design the time for the soakway to half empty from full should be less than 24hours			
DESIGN OK		Const			

The soakpit has adequate dimensions when the free volume provided (V) equals the storage required (S) (using the goal seek command set C26 to value of 0.1 by cahngng L21)

DESIGN OK