## Conclusions on BAT from the Waste Incineration BAT Reference Document

## READ ME:

The European IPPC Bureau provides a draft of 'Conclusions on BAT from the Waste Incineration BAT Reference Document', Waste incineration plant must follow this BAT when it is finalised.

In this case, you are required to identify the Conclusions on BAT relevant to your installation. Please use the 'Scope' box to describe the relevant activities/processes that come within the scope of this BREF and clearly identify the Conclusions on BAT (sections and subsections) that are 'Not Applicable'.

For each applicable BAT, in the following table, state the status; 'Yes' or 'Will be' as appropriate in the 'State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation' box. The use of each of these terms is described below.

Information on compliance in the 'Applicability Assessment' box should include, where applicable, the following:

- (i) Identification of the relevant process/ activity or individual emission points that the BAT requirement applies to at your installation.
- Where BAT is to use one or a combination of listed techniques, specify the technique(s) implemented/proposed at your installation to achieve the BAT; and
- A comment on how the requirements are being met or will be met, e.g., a description of the technology/operational controls/management proposed to meet the requirements.

  erms:

  'Yes' – To be entered where the installation is currently complaint with this BAT

## Use of terms:

- requirement.
- 'Will be' To be entered where a further technique is required to be installed to achieve compliance with the BAT requirement. In this case you must also specify the date by which the installation will comply with the BAT Conclusion requirement.

Dublin Waste to Energy (the Facility) assessment of compliance - Draft Conclusions on BAT from the Waste Incineration BAT Reference Document (extracts)

The full and complete text from the Final Draft BAT reference document for waste incineration (December 2018) is available at the EIPPC Bureau website: <a href="http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/">http://eippcb.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reference/</a>

SCOPE: Dublin Waste to Energy Ltd (hereafter referred to as DWtE) (Licence Register number W0232-01), is applying to the EPA for an increase in the permitted maximum annual quantity of waste that can be accepted at the DWtE facility from 600,000 tonnes per annum (tpa) to 690,000 tpa (an increase of 15%). DWtE are assessing their operations against this Draft BREF. DWtE would like to point out that at this stage as this BREF is only in draft format the conclusions are not legally binding. Once this BREF is finalised and a Commission Implementing Decision (CID) on BAT Conclusions for Waste Incineration is published DWtE will assess their operations against this CID.

| Conclusions on BAT  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|---|---|-------------------|
|   | Gescribe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
| Pitte   | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
| A Rection Parks   |   | for               |
| Skingth of  |   | implementation    |
| 5.1.1 Environmental management systems  |   |                   |
| BAT 1.  | Applicable  | Yes               |
| In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to elaborate and implement an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features:  i. commitment, leadership, and accountability of the management, including senior management, to the implementation of an effective EMS;  ii. an analysis that includes the determination of the organisation's context, the identification of the needs and expectations of interested parties, the identification of characteristics of the installation that are associated with | <ul> <li>DWtE has implemented an EMS as per Condition 2.3 of the site's IE Licence. This EMS meets the requirements of BAT 1. Highlights of the site EMS include:</li> <li>An environmental policy approved and endorsed by site leadership;</li> <li>A communications strategy to deal with the needs and expectations of interested parties as well as raising and investigating non-conformances;</li> <li>A methodology for establishing and monitoring objectives and targets, aspects and impacts, Key</li> </ul> |                   |

| Conclusions or   | on BAT  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether                    |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|
|  |   | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or                |
|  |   | to your installation)   | state schedule                   |
|  |   |   | for                              |
|  |   |   | implementation                   |
| iii. development improvemer iv. establishing significant extended with applica v. planning, and (including continuity the environment human resont vii. ensuring the may affect providing information in the improvement in the environment human resont viii. ensuring the may affect providing information in the improviding information in the improviding entry in the improviding entry in the improviding entry in the improviding entry in the improvided entry in the improviolet entry in the improviolet entry in the improvided entry in t | sks for the environment (or human health) as well as of the legal requirements relating to the environment; ent of an environmental policy that includes the continuous ent of the environmental performance of the installation; and objectives and performance indicators in relation to environmental aspects, including safeguarding compliance cable legal requirements; and implementing the necessary procedures and actions corrective and preventive actions where needed), to achieve numental objectives and avoid environmental risks; tion of structures, roles and responsibilities in relation to ental aspects and objectives and provision of the financial and cources needed; the necessary competence and awareness of staff whose work the environmental performance of the installation (e.g. by information and training); and external communication; the employee involvement in good environmental management are and maintaining a management manual and written as to control activities with significant environmental impact as evant records; appearational planning and process control; tation of appropriate maintenance programmes; and preparedness and response protocols, including the | <ul> <li>Accident Prevention Policy (APP) as per the site IE Licence;</li> <li>A change request procedure which considers the environmental impact of proposed site changes;</li> <li>A review and audit programme.</li> <li>Specifically with respect to benchmarking (Conclusion on BAT 1xvi) an Energy Efficiency Audit of the Facility was conducted in April 2018. A benchmarking exercise was undertaken during this audit. The DWtE facility was assessed against similar European facilities in terms of its energy performance. The result of this benchmarking was that DWtE was rated above the other waste to energy facilities in terms of electrical</li> </ul> | пприетиептаціоп                  |
| xi. effective ope<br>xii. implementa<br>xiii. emergency  | perational planning and process control;<br>tation of appropriate maintenance programmes;   | of its energy performance. The benchmarking was that DWtE was   | e result of this rated above the |

| Con    | clusions on BAT   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|--------|---|--|-------------------|
|        |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|        |   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|        |   | ,  | for               |
|        |   |  | implementation    |
|        | emergency situations;   |  | •                 |
| xiv.   | when (re)designing a (new) installation or a part thereof, consider its environmental impacts throughout its life, which includes construction, |  |                   |
|        | maintenance, operation and decommissioning;   |  |                   |
| XV.    | implementation of a monitoring and measurement programme. If needed, information can be found in the Reference Report on Monitoring             | Nee.   |                   |
|        | of Emissions to Air and Water from IED Installations;   | se office and other tree.  |                   |
| xvi.   | application of sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis;  | off, tall  |                   |
| xvii.  | periodic independent (as far as practicable), internal auditing and   | in the state of th |                   |
|        | periodic, independent external auditing in order to assess the  |  |                   |
|        | environmental performance and to determine whether or not the EMS   |  |                   |
|        | conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained;  |  |                   |
| yviii  | evaluation of causes for nonconformities, implementation of corrective  |  |                   |
| AVIII. | actions in response to nonconformities, review of the effectiveness of  |  |                   |
|        | corrective actions, and determination of whether similar nonconformities  |  |                   |
|        | exist or could potentially occur;   |  |                   |
| xix.   | periodic review, by senior management, of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness;                                   |  |                   |
| XX.    | following and taking into account the development of cleaner techniques.  |  |                   |
| Speci  | fically, for incineration plants and, where relevant, bottom ash treatment  | Specifically for incineration plants:  |                   |
|        | s, BAT is also to incorporate the following features in the EMS:  | <ul> <li>xxi – DWtE meet the requirements of BAT 9 as</li> </ul>   |                   |
| xxi.   | for incineration plants, waste stream management (see BAT 9);   | discussed later in this document;  |                   |
| xxii.  | for bottom ash treatment plants, output quality management (see BAT   | <ul> <li>xxii – not applicable, DWtE does not treat bottom</li> </ul>  |                   |

| Conclusions on  | BAT  | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not  | State whether it is in place or |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|
|   |  | to your installation)  | state schedule                  |
|   |  |  | for                             |
|   |  |  | implementation                  |
| a. minimise the b. optimise the from the residence ensure the part of the following states of the from the residence ensure the part of the following states of the following | proper disposal of residues; ion plants, other than normal operating conditions plan (see BAT 18); on plants, accident management plan (see Section 5.2.4); sh treatment plants, diffuse dust emissions management ement plan where an odour nuisance at sensitive receptors and/or has been substantiated (see Section 5.2.4); ement plan (see also BAT 37) where a noise muisance at eptors is expected and/or has been substantiated (see | <ul> <li>ash on site;</li> <li>xxiii – DWtE has a residues management plan in place which meets this BAT requirement (see BAT 7 &amp; BAT 24 later in this document);</li> <li>xxiv – please see discussion of BAT 18 below;</li> <li>xxv – DWtE have a documented Accident Prevention Policy (APP) in place;</li> <li>xxv - please see discussion of BAT 23 below;</li> <li>xxvi – a negative air system is installed at the DWtE facility. The air from the bottom ash conveyor hoods and bunker area is drawn into the combustion chamber via the secondary air system therefore eliminating dust emissions from the plant. All ash loading occurs indoors.</li> <li>xxvii – A negative air system is installed at the DWtE facility. The air from the tipping floor and the waste bunker areas is drawn into the combustion chamber via the primary air system therefore eliminating the odours from the plant. All waste storage takes place indoors;</li> <li>xxviii – not applicable as noise is not a nuisance at the DWtE facility. Nevertheless, DWtE comply with the requirements of their IE Licence and EPA guidance document NG4 with respect to noise and in particular with Schedule C.6.2 on Ambient Noise</li> </ul> |                                 |

| Conclusions on BAT  5.1.2. Monitoring  | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation)  Monitoring.   | State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation |
|--|--|---|
| BAT 2.  BAT is to determine either the gross electrical efficiency, the gross energy efficiency, or the boiler efficiency of the incineration plant as a whole or of all the relevant parts of the incineration plant  Consent of Conse | Under the terms of their IE Licence (W0232-01 Section 7.3), the Facility was required to complete an energy audit within one year of the date of commencement of waste acceptance, and every year thereafter. An energy audit was conducted on the 20 <sup>th</sup> of April 2018 and an energy efficiency of 71.5% has been calculated which is above the 65% efficiency required by the IE Licence. During this Audit, and as required by The European Commission final draft 'Reference Document on the Best Available Techniques (BAT) for Waste Incineration' dated December 2018 benchmarking was undertaken of DWtE against similar European facilities in terms of its energy performance. The result of this benchmarking was that DWtE was rated above the other facilities in terms of electrical efficiency. The DWtE facility had a 35% higher measured export efficiency compared to the best other facility researched. | Yes   |
| BAT 3.   | Applicable   | Yes   |

| Conclusions on BAT                           |  |   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
|  |  |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or  |
|  |  |   | to your installation)  | state schedule   |
|  |  |   |  | for  |
|  |  |   |  | implementation   |
| BAT is to monitor key powater                | rocess parameters relevant foi   | emissions to air and                      | The Facility has two separate waste treatment lines and therefore two separate stacks for emission to atmosphere. These emission points are monitored and controlled in accordance with Schedules B and C of the   | ·  |
| Stream/Location                              | Parameter (s)  | Monitoring                                | Facility IE licence. The flue gas is monitored   |  |
| Flue-gas from the incineration of waste      | Flow, oxygen content, temperature, pressure, vapour content  | _   | continuously for flow, oxygen content, temperature, pressure and vapour content. Temperature is continuously monitored in both combustion chambers   |  |
| Combustion chamber                           | Temperature  | <br>Continuous                            | to make sure the temperature remains above 850°C.  |  |
| Waste water from wet FGC                     | Flow, pH, temperature  | measurement must                          |  |  |
| Waste water from bottom ash treatment plants | Flow, pH, conductivity   | - inspection Profes                       | The Facility has two stage wet scrubbers but there is no discharge of process wastewater from the Facility. Process wastewater is collected for recycling in the flue  |  |
|  |  | For or o | gas treatment system or used for cooling of the bottom ash outlet.   |  |
| BAT 4.                                       |  | <del>23</del>                             | Applicable   | If required by a CID   |
| below and in accordance                      | lled emissions to air with at lea<br>with EN standards. If EN stand<br>nal or other international stan<br>uivalent scientific quality. | ards are not available,                   | In order to reduce the stack emissions to the level specified by the EPA in the DWtE IE Licence, flue gas from the combustion process is treated by a comprehensive Flue Gas Cleaning (FCG) system. At first, NOx is reduced by ammonia injection (Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction or SNCR), then the flue gas enters the semi dry reactor where activated carbon and lime are added to the flue gas to bind dioxins and other components to the fly ash then the fly ash is removed | DWtE will review<br>with the EPA<br>monitoring for<br>Ammonia,<br>Benzo(a)pyrene and<br>Dioxin like PCBs |

| Conclusio               | ns on BAT  |  |   |                                  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|-------------------------|--|--|---|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|
|                         |  |  |   |                                  | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|                         |  |  |   |                                  | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|                         |  |  |   |                                  |   | for               |
|                         |  |  |   |                                  |   | implementation    |
| Substance/<br>Parameter | Process  | Standard(s) (1)                        | Minimum<br>monitoring<br>frequency <sup>(2)</sup> | Monitoring<br>associated<br>with | from the flue gas by a baghouse fabric filter and finally a two-stage wet scrubber is used for reduction of HCI, SO <sub>2</sub> , HF and Hg emissions.       |                   |
| NO <sub>X</sub>         | Incineration of waste  | Generic EN standards                   | Continuous  | BAT 29                           | Currently the facility measures parameters as required  |                   |
| NH <sub>3</sub>         | Incineration of<br>waste when SNCR<br>and/or SCR is used   | Generic EN<br>standards                | Continuous  | BAT 29                           | by the site is Licence. All measured parameters are monitored according to EN standards. The following notes in respect of application of BAT4 monitoring are |                   |
| N <sub>2</sub> O        | Incineration of waste in fluidised bed furnace Incineration of waste when SNCR is operated with urea | EN 21258 <sup>(3)</sup>                | Once every year                                   | BAT 29  For inspection purper to | sei out   |                   |
| CO                      | Incineration of waste  | Generic EN standards                   | Continuous  | SBAT 29                          | ammonium hydroxide are delivered via a spray system. This minimises ammonia 'slip'  |                   |
| SO <sub>2</sub>         | Incineration of waste  | Generic EN standards                   | Continuous  | BAT 27                           | (whereby over-dosing can result in excess ammonia resulting from the NOx reduction  |                   |
| HCI                     | Incineration of waste  | Generic EN standards                   | Continuous  | BAT 27                           | process often associated with catalytic reduction systems). Furthermore, wet  |                   |
| HF                      | Incineration of waste  | Generic EN standards                   | Continuous <sup>(4)</sup>                         | BAT 27                           | scrubbing follows from the SNCR process and operates at pH neutral, removing ammonia that   |                   |
| Dust                    | Bottom ash<br>treatment  | EN 13284-1                             | Once every year                                   | BAT 26                           | may be present in the flue gas. DWtE therefore proposes that it is not required to monitor for  |                   |
|                         | Incineration of waste  | Generic EN standards<br>and EN 13284-2 | Continuous  | BAT 25                           | <ul><li>ammonia in the flue gases.</li><li>Bottom ash is not treated on site, hence annual testing for dust related to bottom ash</li></ul>                   |                   |

| Conclusion   | ns on BAT                            |   |   |            | Appli  | cability Assessment  | State whether     |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|------------|--|--|-------------------|
|  |                                      |   |   |            | (desc  | ribe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|  |                                      |   |   |            | to yo  | ur installation)   | state schedule    |
|  |                                      |   |   |            |  |  | for               |
|  |                                      |   |   |            |  |  | implementation    |
| Metals and<br>metalloids<br>except<br>mercury (As,<br>Cd, Co, Cr, Cu,<br>Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb,<br>TI, V) | Incineration of waste                | EN 14385  | Once every six months   | BAT 25     | 3.   | However, hydrochloric acid (HCl) flue gas emissions are low and stable, hence under footnote (4) to BAT 4, the alternative minimum frequency of once every 6 months is complied  |                   |
| Hg   | Incineration of waste                | Generic EN standards<br>and EN 14884  | Continuous <sup>(5</sup> )  | BAT 31     | esony 4,01   | With.  Hg (mercury): in accordance with footnote (5) to BAT4, wastes received at the Facility are  |                   |
| TVOC   | Incineration of waste                | Generic EN<br>standards   | Continuous  |            | Mill   | 0 0  |                   |
| PBDD/F   | Incineration of waste <sup>(6)</sup> | No EN standard<br>available   | Once every 6 months   | BAT 30 COM |  | undertaken by DWtE on waste sources. The mercury content in residues from the thermal recovery process is measured regularly and is present only at trace levels. Hg in the flue gas is measured quarterly at the Facility and within the minimum 6 month period set out in footnote (5) to BAT4.  5. PBDD/F: is not required to be monitored under the terms of the current IE licence. Furthermore, in line with footnote (6) to BAT4, brominated fire retardants are not incinerated on site and bromine is not injected into the |                   |
| PCDD/F   | Incineration of waste                | EN 1948-1, EN 1948-<br>2, EN 1948-3   | Once every 6<br>months for shorted<br>term samplings              | &BAT 30    |  |  |                   |
|  |                                      | No EN standard<br>available for long-<br>term sampling, EN<br>1948-2, EN 1948-3 | Once every month for long-term sampling <sup>(7)</sup>            | BAT 30     | 5.   |  |                   |
| Dioxin-like PCBs   | Incineration of waste                | EN 1941, EN 1948-2,<br>EN 1948-4  | Once every 6<br>months for short-<br>term sampling <sup>(8)</sup> | BAT 30     |  |  |                   |
|  |                                      | No EN standard<br>available for long-<br>term sampling, EN<br>1948-2, EN 1948-4 | Once every month for long-term sampling (7)(8)                    | BAT 30     | <ol> <li>TECORA - Dioxin Emission Continuous Stakes place as required by the site IE Lice</li> </ol> | incineration system.  TECORA - Dioxin Emission Continuous Sampling takes place as required by the site IE Licence.  Benzo[a]pyrene - is not required to be   |                   |

| Conclusion  | ns on BAT   |   |   |   | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------------------|
|   |   |   |   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|   |   |   |   |   | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|   |   |   |   |   |   | for               |
|   |   |   |   |   |   | implementation    |
| Benzo[a]pyre<br>ne  | Incineration of waste   | No EN standard available  | Once every year   | BAT 30  | monitored under the terms of the current IE licence.  |                   |
| and EN 14181 footnotes.  (2) For periodic   | EN standards for monitoring, the mo   | ous measurements are E<br>periodic measurement<br>onitoring frequency doe   | ts are given in the   | table or in the   | T USE.  |                   |
| <ul><li>(3) If continuous measurements</li><li>(4) The continuous minimum frequencies</li></ul> | ous monitoring of Napply.  Lous measurement of unity of once every                              | forming an emission me  | neric EN standards<br>d by periodic meas<br>d emission levels a                           | urements with a re proven to be   | es offy. any other use.   |                   |
| (5) For plants i<br>streams of was<br>replaced by lor<br>check before p                         | ncinerating wastes w<br>te of a controlled cor<br>ng-term sampling (no<br>ublication if an EN s | available for the period<br>with a proven low and<br>mposition), the continu-<br>EN standard is available<br>tandard has become are<br>every six months. In the | stable mercury con<br>ous monitoring of e<br>ble for long-term sa<br>vailable]) or period | ntent (e.g. mono-<br>emissions may be<br>impling of Hg [to<br>ic measurements |   |                   |
| EN 13211.<br>(6) The monitoretardants or to   | oring only applies to<br>plants using BAT 31 o  | o the incineration of v<br>d with continuous inject<br>the emission levels are p  | waste containing b<br>ion of bromine.   | rominated flame   |   |                   |
| (8) The monitor than 0.01 ng Wi   |   | here the emissions of c   | dioxin-like PCBs are  | proven to be less   |   |                   |
| BAT 5.  |   |   |   |   | Applicable  | Yes               |
|   | , , ,   | onitor channelled<br>than normal opera  |   |   | Monitoring of two points source emissions are undertaken through the use of SICK UK (MCS 100) |                   |
|   |   | out by direct emiss   | O .   | •   | certified continuous emissions monitoring systems   |                   |

| Γ                         |                      |  |                                 |                       |  | <u></u>           |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------|
| Conclusio                 | ns on BAT            |  |                                 |                       | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|                           |                      |  |                                 |                       | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|                           |                      |  |                                 |                       | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|                           |                      |  |                                 |                       | ,  | for               |
|                           |                      |  |                                 |                       |  | implementation    |
| pollutants tha            | t are monitore       | d continuously) or by mo                         | onitoring of surrogate          | parameters if         | (CEMS). The Facility has MCS 100 for each line and one   |                   |
|                           |                      | valent or better scien                           |                                 |                       | for redundancy, if the main monitoring equipment   |                   |
|                           |                      | luring start-up and sh                           |                                 |                       | malfunctions, the system can switch to the back-up   |                   |
|                           |                      | sions of PCDD/F, are e<br>e years, carried out o |                                 |                       | monitor. The CEMS allows identification of OTNOCs,   |                   |
| operations.)              | ng. every time       | o yours, curriou out t                           | during planned start            | ap/silataoviii        | and monitoring of emissions during OTNOCs.   |                   |
|                           |                      |  |                                 |                       | Het II.  |                   |
| BAT 6.                    |                      |  |                                 |                       | Not applicable  Not applicable  Not applicable  and the facility.  | Not applicable    |
| BAT is to mo              | onitor emissio       | ns to water from FG                              | C and/or bottom asl             | h treatment           | No bottom ash treatment is undertaken at the facility.   |                   |
|                           |                      | y given below and in a                           |                                 | $\sim$                | A THE STATE OF THE |                   |
| EN standards              | s are not avail      | able, BAT is to use ISC                          | ), national or other ir         | nternational          | - The Facility has a secondary stage wet scrubber for  |                   |
| standards th              | at ensure the        | provision of data of a                           | n equivalent scientifi          | ic quality.           | reduction of HCI, SO <sub>2</sub> and HF emissions. However, there   |                   |
|                           |                      |  | <                               | iot yits              | is no discharge of process wastewater from the Facility.   |                   |
| Substance/                | Process              | Standard(s)                                      |                                 | Monitoring associated | All process waste waters (e.g. boiler blow down, boiler  |                   |
| Parameter                 |                      |  | monitoring frequency            | with                  | water treatment reject water, scrubber water) are collected for recycling in the Flue Gas Treatment System   |                   |
| Total organic             | FGC                  | EN 1484  | Once every month                |                       | or used for cooling of the bottom ash outlet.  |                   |
| carbon (TOC)              | Bottom ash           |  | Once every month <sup>(1)</sup> | _                     |  |                   |
|                           | treatment            |  | ende every menu.                |                       |  |                   |
| Total                     | FGC                  | EN 872   | Once every day <sup>(2)</sup>   | _                     |  |                   |
| suspended<br>solids (TSS) | Bottom ash treatment |  | Once every month <sup>(1)</sup> | BAT 34                |  |                   |
| As                        | FGC                  | Various EN standards                             | Once every month                | -                     |  |                   |
| Cd                        | FGC                  | available (e.g. EN ISO                           | ,                               |                       |  |                   |
| <u></u>                   |                      |  |                                 |                       |  |                   |

| Conclusio          | ns on BA1               | Γ   |                                 |              | Applicability Assessment                   | State whether     |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------|--|-------------------|
|                    |                         |   |                                 |              | (describe how the technique applies or not | it is in place or |
|                    |                         |   |                                 |              | to your installation)                      | state schedule    |
|                    |                         |   |                                 |              |  | for               |
|                    |                         |   |                                 |              |  | implementation    |
| Cr                 | FGC                     | 11885, EN ISO 15586 or EN<br>ISO 17294-2)                                   |                                 |              |  |                   |
| Cu                 | FGC                     | _   |                                 |              |  |                   |
| Mo                 | FGC                     | _   |                                 |              | ~e.  |                   |
| Ni                 | FGC                     | _   |                                 |              | ngerius                                    |                   |
| Pb                 | FGC                     | _   | Once every month                | •            | ally ally or                               |                   |
|                    | Bottom ash treatment    | _   | Once every month <sup>(1)</sup> | Outly        | es ally ally other hee.                    |                   |
| Sb                 | FGC                     | _   |                                 | Dection Pire |  |                   |
| TI                 | FGC                     | _   | Ŷ                               | or in Strict |  |                   |
| Zn                 | FGC                     | _   | Once every month                |              |  |                   |
| Hg                 | FGC                     | Various EN standards<br>available (e.g.<br>EN ISO 12846 or<br>EN ISO 17852) | Cov                             | BAT 34       |  |                   |
| NH <sub>4</sub> -N | Bottom ash<br>treatment | Various EN standards<br>available (e.g. EN ISO<br>11732, EN ISO 14911)      |                                 | •            |  |                   |
| Chloride (Cl')     | Bottom ash<br>treatment | Various EN standards<br>available<br>(e.g. EN ISO 103041, EN<br>ISO 15682)  | Once every month <sup>(1)</sup> |              |  |                   |

| Conclusions                               | on BAT   |   | Applicability Assessment     | State whether  |                   |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| 0011010310113                             | OHDIN  |   |                              | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|   |  |   |                              | • • •  | •                 |
|   |  |   |                              | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|   |  |   |                              |  | for               |
|   |  |   |                              |  | implementation    |
| ` ' '                                     | Bottom ash EN ISO 10304-<br>reatment                                 | 1   |                              |  |                   |
| PCDD/F F                                  | GC No EN standard  | d Once every month <sup>(1)</sup>                                 |                              |  |                   |
|   | Bottom ash<br>reatment   | Once every 6 months   | BAT 34                       | 'Age.  |                   |
| (1) The monitoring to be sufficiently st  |  | once every six months if the emissions                            | are proven                   | Res Office and Office  |                   |
| (2) The daily 24-ho<br>by daily spot samp |  | osite sampling measurements may be                                | 27. Le                       | ited for any other use.  |                   |
| BAT 7.                                    |  |   | of its pection of the second | Applicable   | Yes               |
| at the incinera                           |  | urnt substances in slags and be<br>east the frequency given be    | ttom ashes                   | Monitoring of Total Organic Carbon (TOC) in the incinerator residues is carried out as per schedule C.4.1 of IE licence W0232-01. DWtE, as part of this IE Licence |                   |
| Parameter                                 | Standard(s)  | Minimum monitoring Monito frequency associa                       | ring<br>ited with            | review application, is requesting a change in the monitoring frequency of Schedule C.4.1 to match this   |                   |
| Loss on ignition <sup>(1)</sup>           | EN 14899, and<br>either EN 15169 or<br>EN 15935                      |   | AT 14                        | BAT requirement i.e. once every three months. At present the DWtE facility undertakes such monitoring per consignment. Currently, the monitoring                   |                   |
| Total organic carbo                       | on <sup>(1)(2)</sup> EN 14899, and<br>either EN 13137 or<br>EN 15936 | •   |                              | requirement per consignment is proving to be unnecessarily expensive and logistically complicated.   |                   |
|   | . •  | nic carbon is monitored.<br>ng to DIN 19539) may be subtracted fr | om the                       | The applied standard at DWtE is EN 15936.  |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT   |  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|--|--|---|-------------------|
|  |  | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|  |  | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|  |  |   | for               |
|  |  |   | implementation    |
|  | _  |   | ·                 |
| BAT 8.   |  | Not applicable  | Not applicable    |
| the POP content in the ou waste water) after the cor                 | zardous waste containing POPs, BAT is to determine itput streams (e.g. slags and bottom ashes, flue-gas, mmissioning of the incineration plant and after each tly affect the POP content in the output streams.  | DWtE does not accept hazardous waste. DWtE has been planned and designed for the acceptance of residual household, commercial and non-hazardous industrial waste. |                   |
| 5.1.3 General enviror  | nmental and combustion performance of the combustion performance o |   |                   |
| BAT 9.   | Fortification constitution   | Applicable  | Yes               |
| plant, as part of the waste  | verall environmental performance of the incineration stream management plan (see BAT, 1), BAT is to use ) to (d) given below, and, where relevant, also  | DWtE has a list of wastes that can be accepted at the DWtE facility, these are listed in Section 4.3 of the EDEN IE Licence review application form.              |                   |
| teeriniques (e) and (i).   |  | DWtE has a documented waste acceptance procedure  |                   |
| Technique  | Description  | (Attachment-4-3-5 of this IE Licence Review Application) which details which wastes the facility can  |                   |
| a) Determination of the types<br>of waste that can be<br>incinerated | Based on the characteristics of the incineration plant, identification of the types of waste which can be incinerated in terms of, for example, the physical state the chemical characteristics, the hazardous properties, and the acceptable ranges of calorific value, humidity, ash content and size.   | accept and how these are accepted on site.  DWtE takes part in annual REPAK studies which incorporates detailed waste characterisation of wastes                  |                   |
| b) Set-up and  | These procedures aim to ensure the technical (and legal)   | arriving on site (including incorporation of hand-picking in the assessment).   |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT   |  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|--|--|---|-------------------|
| CONCIUSIONS ON DAT   |  | (describe how the technique applies or not  |                   |
|  |  | ,   | it is in place or |
|  |  | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|  |  |   | for               |
|  |  |   | implementation    |
| implementation of waste characterisation and pre-acceptance procedures | suitability of waste treatment operations for a particular waste prior to the arrival of the waste at the plant. They include procedures to collect information about the waste input and may include waste sampling and characterisation to achieve sufficient knowledge of the waste composition. Waste pre-acceptance procedures are risk-based considering, for example, the hazardous properties of the waste, the risks posed by the waste in terms of process safety, occupational safety and environmental impact, as well as the information provided by the previous waste holder(s).  | DWtE assesses and approves customers in advance of waste delivery trucks arriving at the site.  When waste vehicles arrive on site, information that is unique to that particular waste load such as the vehicle registration number, weight, producer/collector information, carrier, origin of the waste, and EWC code and all other requirements as per the IE Licence   |                   |
| c) Set-up and implementation of waste acceptance procedures            | Acceptance procedures aim to confirm the characteristics of the waste, as identified at the pre-acceptance stage. These procedures define the elements to be verified upon the delivery of the waste at the plant as well as the waste acceptance and rejection criteria. They may include waste sampling, inspection and analysis. Waste acceptance procedures are risk-based considering, for example, the hazardous properties of the waste, the risks posed by the waste in terms of process safety, occupational safety and environmental impact, as well as the information provided by the previous waste holder(s). The elements to be monitored for each type of waste are detailed in BAT 11 | software system. This information is also be stored on a Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tag, which is attached to all regular customer vehicles. The vehicle then proceeds onto the weighbridge (there are three weighbridges at the DWtE facility, two incoming and one outgoing) where the RFID tag is read automatically by the RFID tag reader. The driver will input only certain information into the Data Acquisition Terminal (DAT). The required information from the driver is as follows: |                   |
| d) Set-up and implementation a waste tracking system and inventory     | A waste tracking system and inventory aims to track the location and quantity of waste in the plant. It holds all the information generated during waste pre-acceptance procedures (e.g. date of arrival at the plant and unique reference number of the waste, information on the previous waste holder(s), pre-acceptance and acceptance analysis results, nature and quantity of waste held on site including all identified hazards), acceptance, storage, treatment and/or transfer off site. The waste tracking system is risk-based   | <ol> <li>Driver Name;</li> <li>Customer Origin; and</li> <li>EWC Code of Waste</li> <li>The weighing of the vehicle is initiated once the items</li> <li>above are keyed in by the driver onto the DAT.</li> <li>Once the vehicle has been weighed (gross weight) and</li> </ol>  |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT  |   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|---|---|--|-------------------|
|   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|   |   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|   |   |  | for               |
|   |   |  | implementation    |
|   | considering, for example, the hazardous properties of the waste, the risks posed by the waste in terms of process safety, occupational safety and environmental impact, as well as the information provided by the previous waste holder(s). The waste tracking system includes clear labelling of wastes that are stored in places other than the waste bunker or sludge storage tank (e.g. in containers, drums, bales or other forms of packaging) such that they can be identified at all times.  | recorded on the weighbridge software system, a traffic light at the end of the weighbridge signals green and the barrier will raise, which indicates that the driver can proceed towards the tipping area of the Waste Reception Hall.  DWtErsonly accepts non-hazardous household, commercial industrial waste, therefore, no waste |                   |
| e) Waste segregation  | Wastes are kept separated depending on their properties in order to enable easier and environmentally safer storage and incineration. Waste segregation relies on the physical separation of different wastes and on procedures that identify when and where wastes are stored.   | commercial industrial waste, therefore, no waste segregation is required. However, if during routine waste inspection, waste is deemed to be unacceptable there is a quarantine procedure which must be adhered to whereby the unacceptable waste is loaded into the   |                   |
| f) Verification of<br>waste compatibility prior to<br>mixing or blending of waste | Compatibility is ensured by a set of verification measures and tests in order to detect any unwanted and/or potentially dangerous chemical reactions between wastes (e.g. polymerisation, gas evolution, exothermal reaction, decomposition) upon mixing or blending. The compatibility tests are risk-based considering, for example, the hazardous properties of the waste, the risks posed by the waste in terms of process safety, occupational safety and environmental impact, as well as the information provided by the previous waste holder(s). | quarantine bay area, appropriately stored and clearly labelled and returned to the waste generator.  The Tipping Floor Manager randomly selects as a minimum one load a day for inspection from each operator. Once a load is selected, the load is tipped onto the tipping hall floor for inspection.                               |                   |
| BAT 10.   |   | Not Applicable   | Not Applicable    |
| ·   | nent an output quality management system (see BAT e overall environmental performance of the bottom   | Bottom ash is not treated at the Facility.   |                   |

| 0                             | DAT  | A!!!!! A  | Ct - t l tl                         |
|-------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| Conclusions                   | S ON BAT   | Applicability Assessment                            | State whether                       |
|                               |  | (describe how the technique applies or not          | it is in place or                   |
|                               |  | to your installation)                               | state schedule                      |
|                               |  |   | for                                 |
|                               |  |   | implementation                      |
| Description                   |  |   |                                     |
|                               | ality management system is set up and implemented so as to   |   |                                     |
|                               | e output of the bottom ash treatment is in line with expectations,   |   |                                     |
| using existing                | EN standards where available. This management system also  |   |                                     |
| •                             | formance of the bottom ash treatment to be monitored and   | and other non                                       |                                     |
| optimised.                    |  | 1. A office   |                                     |
| DATAA                         |  | Sally all,  |                                     |
| BAT 11                        |  | Applicable for municipal solid waste and other non- | Yes                                 |
|                               | prove the overall environmental performance of the incineration  | shazardous waste.                                   | Two radioactivity detectors will be |
|                               | o monitor the waste deliveries as part of the waste acceptance   | See discussion under BAT 9 above.                   | installed on both                   |
| '                             | e BAT 9 c) including, depending on the risk posed by the coming ments given below.                         | See discussion diluci DAT 7 above.                  | incoming                            |
| waste, the elei               | ments given below.  Monitoring   |   | weighbridges in May                 |
| YAY                           | W. W. C.   |   | 2019.                               |
| Waste type                    | . 💇  |   |                                     |
| Municipal solid               | Radioactivity detection  |   |                                     |
| waste and other non-hazardous | Weighing of the waste deliveries   |   |                                     |
| waste                         | <ul><li>Visual inspection</li><li>Periodic sampling of individual deliveries and analysis of key</li></ul> |   |                                     |
|                               | properties/substances (e.g. calorific value, content of halogens and                                       |   |                                     |
|                               | metals/metalloids). For municipal solid waste, this involves separate                                      |   |                                     |
|                               | unloading  |   |                                     |
| Sewage sludge                 | Weighing of the waste deliveries (or measuring the flow if the sewage sludge is delivered via pipeline)    |   |                                     |
|                               | Visual inspection, as far as technically possible  |   |                                     |
|                               | Periodic sampling and analysis of key properties/substances (e.g.  |   |                                     |

| calorific value, content of water, ash and mercury)  | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation) | State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation |
|--|---|---|
| <ul> <li>Radioactivity detection</li> <li>Weighing of the waste deliveries</li> <li>Visual inspection, as far as technically possible</li> <li>Control and comparison of individual waste deliveries with the declaration of the waste producer</li> <li>Sampling of the content of:         <ul> <li>all bulk tankers and trailers</li> <li>packed waste (e.g. in drums, intermediate bulk containers (IBCs) or in the smaller packaging)</li> </ul> </li> <li>and analysis of:         <ul> <li>combustion parameters (including calorific value and flashpoint)</li> <li>waste compatibility, to detect possible hazardous reactions upon blending or mixing wastes, prior to storage (BAT 94)</li> <li>key substances including POPs, halogens and sulphur, metals/metalloids</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Tokes only any other use.   |   |
| Clinical waste  Radioactivity detection  Weighing of the waste deliveries  Visual inspection of the packaging integrity  | ·<br>-  |   |
| BAT 12.  | Applicable  | Yes   |
| In order to reduce the environmental risks associated with the reception   | The waste bunker is made of reinforced concrete and                                       |   |

| Conclusions on E   | BAT  | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|--|--|--|-------------------|
|  |  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|  |  | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|  |  | ,  | for               |
|  |  |  | implementation    |
| handling and storag below:  Technique  Impermeable surfaces with an adequate drainage infrastructure  Adequate waste storage | Description  Depending on the risks posed by the waste in terms of soil or water contamination, the surface of the waste reception, handling and storage areas is made impermeable to the liquids concerned and fitted with an adequate drainage infrastructure (see BAT32). The integrity of this surface is periodically verified as far as technically possible.  Measures are taken to avoid accumulation of waster such as:   | has sealed surfaces. The bunker is fully enclosed by walls and a roof. The bunker is maintained under negative air pressure so that odour or dust will not be emitted to the outside from the stored waste, any liquids are absorbed by the waste in the bunker.  The bunker has sufficient capacity to store one week's normal throughput of waste. In the event of an emergency shut down, waste deliveries are controlled so that no wastes are delivered to the plant. This is managed by communicating with waste suppliers, etc to control deliveries. |                   |
| adequate waste storage capacity  | <ul> <li>the maximum waste storage capacity is clearly established and not exceeded, taking into account the characteristics of the wastes (e.g. regarding the risk of fire) and the treatment capacity;</li> <li>the quantity of waste stored is regularly monitored against the maximum allowed storage capacity;</li> <li>for wastes that are not mixed during storage (e.g. clinical waste, packed waste), the maximum residence time is clearly established.</li> </ul> |  |                   |
| BAT 13.  |  | Not Applicable   | Not Applicable    |

| Conclusions on BA   | T   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|---|---|--|-------------------|
|   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|   |   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|   |   |  | for               |
|   |   |  | implementation    |
|   | e environmental risk associated with the storage and<br>te, BAT is to use a combination of the techniques given   | No hazardous clinical waste is accepted at the Facility  |                   |
| Technique   | Description   | ي.   |                   |
| Automated or semi-<br>automated waste handling            | Clinical wastes are unloaded from the truck to the storage area using an automated or manual system depending on the risk posed by this operation. From the storage area the clinical wastes are fed into the furnace by an automated feeding system. | es ally ally alternee.   |                   |
| Incineration of non-reusable sealed containers, if used   | Clinical waste is delivered in sealed and robust combustible containers that are never opened throughout storage and handling operations. If needles and sharps are disposed of in them, the containers are puncture-proof as well.                   |  |                   |
| Cleaning and disinfection of reusable containers, if used | Reusable waste containers are cleaned in a designated cleaning area and disinfected in a Facility specifically designed for disinfection. Any leftovers from the cleaning operations are incinerated  |  |                   |
| BAT 14.   |   | Applicable   | Yes               |
| of waste, to reduce the ashes, and to reduce er           | e overall environmental performance of the incineration<br>ne content of unburnt substances in slags and bottom<br>missions to air from the incineration of waste, BAT is to<br>abination of the techniques given below.                              | Waste is blended and mixed by the bunker cranes  The operation of the furnace and ash discharge system is continuously monitored, using a variety of techniques (for example advanced automated control, |                   |

| Cor   | nclusions on B                           | AT  |  |   |  |
|-------|--|---|--|---|--|
|       |  |   |  |   |  |
|       |  |   |  |   |  |
|       | Technique                                | Description   | A  | Applicability   |  |
| a)    | Waste blending and mixing                | Waste blending and m prior to incinera includes for example following operations:  • bunker crane mixing  • using a feed equalisation system; blending of compa liquid and pasty wastes some cases, solid wastes shredded prior to mixing | the consideration characteristic waste, odor that are prosubstances).  Not applications different types. | required due to so<br>ons or wics (e.g. infectious cli<br>rous wastes, or wo<br>one to releasing wo | afety<br>vaste<br>inical<br>astes<br>latire<br>sired<br>ween |
| b)    | Advanced control system                  | See Section 5.2.1   | Generally ap   | pplicable   |  |
| c)    | Optimisation of the incineration process | See Section 5.2.1   |  | n of the design is not<br>o existing furnaces   | t  |
| subs  | tances in slags and                      | ciated environmenta<br>bottom ashes from the  | e incineration of  | f waste   | bur  |
|       | meter                                    | <u> </u>  | nit  | BAT-AEPL  |  |
| FOC ( | content in slags and bo                  | ottom ashes (1) D   | ry wt-%  | 1–3 (2)   |  |

|        | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation)   | State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation |
|--------|---|---|
| -<br>t | cctv cameras, parameter monitoring including substantial redundancy in monitoring systems and a 24/7 personnel resourced control room))  The DCS system and the Combustion Control Systems (CCS+) are used to monitor the performance of the boiler inorder to:  Optimise furnace and boiler geometry so as to improve combustion performance;  Optimise combustion air injection so as to improve combustion performance;  The waste feed rate, the supply of primary and secondary combustion air and the grate speed are controlled by an advanced combustion control system which measures flow rate, flue gas oxygen and combustion temperature in order to obtain the best possible operational conditions and maximise steam production.  The TOC content in slags and bottom ashes is analysed at present weekly as per current license requirements and current results are less than 1%.  DWtE continues to optimise all aspects of plant performance and has a dedicated Process Performance Engineer assigned in this regard, using established performance improvement techniques such as 6-Sigma. |   |

| Conclusions on BAT  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|---|---|-------------------|
|   | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|   | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|   |   | for               |
|   |   | implementation    |
| Loss on ignition of slags and bottom ashes <sup>(1)</sup> Dry wt-% 1–5 <sup>(2)</sup>   |   |                   |
| <ul><li>(1) Either the BAT-AEPL for TOC content or the BAT-AEPL for the loss on ignition applies.</li><li>(2) The lower end of the BAT-AEPL range can be achieved when using fluidised bed furnaces or rotary kilns operated in slagging mode.</li></ul>  |   |                   |
|   |   |                   |
| BAT 15.   | Applicable  | Yes               |
| In order to improve the overall environmental performance of the incineration plant and to reduce emissions to air, BAT is to set up and implement procedures for the adjustment of the plant's settings, e.g. through the advanced control system (see description in Section 5.2.1), as and when needed and practicable, based on the characterisation and control of the waste (see BAT 11). | consists of an SNCR system for NOx reduction, a carbon injection system for heavy metal reduction, a semi-dry flue gas scrubber with reagent feed section, a fabric filter baghouse, a flue gas cooler for energy recovery, a two stage wet scrubber, an induced draft fan, a stack, and associated ductwork. |                   |
|   | 1) Boiler Temperature   |                   |
|   | The waste is combusted at a minimum temperature of 850°C for 2 secs to ensure there are no dioxins formed as a result of the combustion process.  |                   |
|   | 2) Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction System An aqueous ammonia solution is injected at four levels into the flue gas in the radiation zone of the boiler, using compressed air as a carrier medium, to minimize   |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT              | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|
|                                 | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|                                 | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|                                 |   | for               |
|                                 |   | implementation    |
|                                 | NOx emissions. The system is designed to meet the emission limits for NOx indicated in the IED.                 |                   |
|                                 | 3) Semi-Dry Scrubber  |                   |
|                                 | Acid gases are neutralized using lime in a semi-dry scrubber reactor. The system utilises hydrated lime as      |                   |
|                                 | a reagent prepared from quicklime. Activated carbon is also injected for heavy metal control.                   |                   |
| Consent of copyright outlet the | 4) Filter Bag-house   |                   |
| arinispectowith                 | Emissions of particulate matter are controlled primarily through the use of a filter baghouse. This system      |                   |
| A COLUMN                        | employs over 5,000 filter bags though which the flue  |                   |
| nsent o'                        | gas must pass. Baghouses - one (1) pulse jet type per boiler unit, contain 12 isolatable modules (arranged in 2 |                   |
| Cor                             |   |                   |
|                                 | own hopper. The number of modules ensure that taking a compartment out for cleaning and having                  |                   |
|                                 | another compartment out for maintenance will not  |                   |
|                                 | compromise performance.   |                   |
|                                 | Baghouses have been designed for variations of  |                   |
|                                 | temperature and pressure due to failure of other  |                   |
|                                 | components in the system (such as scrubber malfunction, loss of an ID or CA fan or the malfunction              |                   |
|                                 | of a flue gas damper).  |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT              | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------------|
|                                 | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|                                 | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|                                 |  | for               |
|                                 |  | implementation    |
|                                 |  |                   |
|                                 | 5) Wet-Scrubber  |                   |
| Consent of copyright outpet for | Before flue gas finally exits through the stack water is used in a wet scrubber to reduce temperature and remove any residual HCl. In addition a sodium hydroxide solution is used to remove residual SO <sub>2</sub> . The wet scrubber consists of a co-current quenching flow section (Quench stage) and a co-concurrent flow absorption column (Packed bed stage) which is fed via a common sump. Wet scrubber effluent is re-circulated within the scrubber system. |                   |
| Consent of cop?                 | Continuous Emission Monitoring and Stack Testing<br>Throughout the air pollution treatment process the<br>emissions are continuously monitored using a real time<br>continuous monitoring system (CEMS). Each stack has<br>its own CEMS and in addition a redundant CEMS is  |                   |
|                                 | continuously on stand-by in the event of one of the live systems going down. These systems are calibrated weekly and certified on an annual basis. (Annual   |                   |
|                                 | Surveillance Testing-AST)  |                   |
|                                 | DWtE completed a very rigorous and extended  |                   |
|                                 | performance evaluation period where the incineration system was extensively tested to well beyond normal   |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT  | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|---|--|-------------------|
|   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|   | ,  | for               |
|   |  | implementation    |
|   | capacity and maintaining emissions to well within license requirements.  |                   |
|   | Independent stack testing of the parameters is carried out on a quarterly basis to ensure compliance with all    |                   |
| BAT 16.   | the ELVs.  | Yes               |
| DAT TO.   | Applicative  | 162               |
| In order to improve the overall environmental performance of the incineration                       | The incineration process is operated continuously to   |                   |
| plant and to reduce emissions to air, BAT is to set up and implement                                | avoid shutdown and start-up operations.  |                   |
| operational procedures (e.g. organisation of the supply chain, continuous                           |  |                   |
| rather than batch operation) to limit as far as practicable shutdown and start-<br>up operations.   | The Facility is equipped with the latest technique such as e.g. Inconel cladding and online cleaning in order to |                   |
| up operations.  | obtain/achieve maintenance intervals in excess of the  |                   |
| up operations.  Consent of control of limit as far as practicable shutdown and start-up operations. | standard 12-month maintenance interval thus reducing   |                   |
| Conserv   | the number of start-ups and shutdowns over the   |                   |
|   | lifetime of the Facility.  |                   |
|   | The Facility has two parallel independent incineration   |                   |
|   | lines, if one line is in maintenance, the second line can  |                   |
|   | run to avoid a shutdown.   |                   |
|   |  |                   |
|   | Both boilers in the DWTE facility have two auxiliary   |                   |
|   | burners each. Each burner is fitted with a retractable   |                   |
|   | lance and an electronic ignition system. The burners switch on automatically when the temperature of the         |                   |
|   | combustion gas after the last injection of air falls below   |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT  | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|---|--|-------------------|
|   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|   |  | for               |
|   |  | implementation    |
| Consent of copyright contracts  | 875°C thus ensuring that the temperature remains above 850°C at all times. The burners are also used at start-up and shut-down in order to ensure that the temperatures are maintained at all times during these operations and as long as unburned waste is in the combustion chamber. The auxiliary burners are fed using gas oil that complies with the Article 2(2) of Council Directive 1999/32/EC.  The DWTE facility has an automated system that prevents feeding of waste in the event of the following situations:  1) Until the minimum temperature of 850°C is reached as per part 2 of Article 50 in Annex VI of the IED;  2) Whenever the temperature specified in part 2 of Article 50 in Annex VI of the IED is not maintained; and  3) Plant fail-safes and other safety interlocks already submitted to the Agency can prevent waste feed. |                   |
| BAT 17.   | Applicable   | Yes               |
| In order to reduce emissions to air and, where relevant, to water from the    | A large percentage of the total capital investment in the  |                   |
| incineration plant, BAT is to ensure that the FGC system and the waste water  | DWtE facility was spent on the state-of-the-art FGC  |                   |
| treatment plant are appropriately designed (e.g. considering the maximum flow | system. The design of the FGC system utilises a  |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|--|--|-------------------|
|  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|  | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|  | ,  | for               |
|  |  | implementation    |
| rate and pollutant concentrations) energted within their design range, and   | combination of a semi-dry and a two -stage wet system  | Implementation    |
| rate and pollutant concentrations), operated within their design range, and maintained so as to ensure optimal availability.   | thus utilising the benefits of each system while   |                   |
| maintained 30 as to ensure optimal availability.   | reducing the disadvantages. Maximum flow rate and  |                   |
|  | pollutant concentrations were taken into account when  |                   |
|  | designing of FGC system.   |                   |
|  | I Have   |                   |
|  | Wastewater from the scrubbers is used in the semi-dry  |                   |
|  | stages of the FGC system Therefore there is no   |                   |
|  | wastewater discharged from the FGC system.   |                   |
| THE PARTY OF THE P | and the same of th |                   |
| BAT 18.  | Applicable   | Yes               |
| In order to reduce the frequency of the occurrence of OTNOC and reduce   | DWtE has designed and implement a strict preventative  |                   |
| emissions to air and, where relevant, to water from the incineration plant   | maintenance regime which meets the requirement of  |                   |
| during OTNOC, BAT is to set up and implement a risk-based OTNOC  | BAT 18. The design of the facility ensures that the  |                   |
| management plan as part of the environmental management system (see BAT  | frequency of an OTNOC occurrence is minimised as   |                   |
| 1) that includes all of the following elements:  | discussed in BAT 14 to 17 above.   |                   |
| a. identification of potential OTNOC (e.g. failure of equipment critical to  |  |                   |
| the protection of the environment ('critical equipment')), of their root   | In the event of a breakdown DWTE can reduce or close   |                   |
| causes and of their potential consequences, and regular review and   | down operations as soon as practicable until normal  |                   |
| update of the list of identified OTNOC following the periodic  | operations can be restored. DWtE has in place a  |                   |
| assessment below;  | specific protocol to follow in the event of such an  |                   |
| b. appropriate design of critical equipment (e.g. compartmentalisation of  | occurrence. Attachment 4-11-4 of the IE Licence review   |                   |
| the bag filter, techniques to heat up the flue-gas and obviate the need to bypass the bag filter during start-up and shutdown, etc.);  | application discusses this protocol in detail.   |                   |
|  | The following definitions apply at DWtE:   |                   |
| c. set-up and implementation of a preventive maintenance plan for critical equipment (see BAT 1 xii);  | <ul> <li>Abnormal operations: Any technical stoppage,</li> </ul>   |                   |
| Gritical equipment (See Dr. 1 All),  | The Antion that operations. Any technical stoppage,  |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|--|--|-------------------|
|  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|  | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|  | ,  | for               |
|  |  | implementation    |
| in Spection Pinto  | disturbance, or failures of the purification devices or the measurement devices, during which the concentration in the discharges to the air may exceed the prescribed emission limit values.  • Breakdown: Any malfunction or technical stoppage, disturbance or failure of the incineration plant or equipment.  The monitoring data is continuously recorded therefore during an occurrence of OTNOC, the emission data is still logged.  |                   |
| 5.1.4 Energy Efficiency  |  |                   |
| BAT 19.  | Applicable   | Yes               |
| In order to increase the resource efficiency of the incineration plant, BAT is to use a heat recovery boiler.  "The energy contained in the flue-gas is recovered in a heat recovery boiler producing hot water and/or steam, which may be exported, used internally, and/or used to produce electricity." | The facility is designed to optimise heat recovery and power output. The facility is also designed to accommodate future district heating and when a district heating system comes into operation this can be implemented with minor modifications to the equipment. Electricity is generated on-site from the thermal energy produced by the combustion of waste. The two lines supply steam to one complete turbine/generator set with high-voltage system that is connected to the electrical grid. A small portion of this |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT   | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation)  | State whether it is in place or state schedule |
|--|--|--|
|  | to your installation)  | for  |
|  |  | implementation                                 |
| Consent of Copyright Control of Copyright Control of Copyright Cop | The Dublin District Heating system (DDHS) is currently being developed and is expected to be operation by c.2021. The DWTE facility will be the baseload for the DDHS which on its own will supply a heat source for over 50,000 homes. Once this is operational the DWTE facility is anticipated to have a net energy efficiency of over 88%. |  |
| BAT 20.  | Applicable Sewage sludge is not dried at the DWtE facility.  | In place                                       |
| In order to increase the energy efficiency of the incineration plant, BAT is to use  | Johnago sidago is not anou at the Divite racility.   |  |

| Cor  | nclusions or                         | n BAT   |   | Applicability Assessment  | State whether   |
|------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|      |                                      |   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or   |
|      |                                      |   |   | to your installation)   | state schedule  |
|      |                                      |   |   |   | for   |
|      |                                      |   |   |   | implementation  |
| an a | ppropriate cor                       | mbination of the techniques given be  | low.  | The flue gas is recirculated, the supply of primary and   | -   |
|      | Technique                            | Description   | Applicability   | secondary combustion air and the grate speed are  |   |
| a)   | Drying of sewage sludge              | After mechanical dewatering, sewage sludge is further dried, using for example low-grade heat, before it is fed to the furnace.  The extent to which sludge can be dried depends on the furnace feeding system  | constraints associated with<br>the availability of low-<br>grade heat   | controlled by an advanced combustion control system which measures flow rate, flue gas oxygen and combustion temperature in order to obtain the best possible operational conditions and maximise steam production.   |   |
| b)   | Reduction of<br>the flue-gas<br>flow | <ul> <li>The flue-gas flow is reduced through, e.g.:</li> <li>improving the primary and secondary combustion air distribution;</li> <li>flue-gas recirculation (see Section 5.2.2)</li> <li>A smaller flue-gas flow reduces the energy demand of the plant (e.g. for induced draft fans).</li> </ul>                          | recirculation may be limited due to technical constraints (e.g. pollutant load in the fue-gas, incineration conditions) | The boilers are equipped with water-cooled panel walls in the grate furnaces and the secondary combustion chamber. The boiler is further equipped with adequate internal/external insulation. Flue gas is recirculated. Incineration ash is discharged into a water bath. When the bottom ash emerges from the combustion chamber |   |
| c)   | Minimisation of<br>heat losses       | <ul> <li>Heat losses are minimised through, e.g.:</li> <li>use of integral furnace-boilers, allowing for heat to also be recovered from the furnace sides;</li> <li>thermal insulation of furnaces and boilers;</li> <li>flue-gas recirculation (see Section 5.2.2);</li> <li>recovery of heat from the cooling of</li> </ul> |   | not applicable to rotary<br>kilns or to other furnaces<br>dedicated to the high-<br>temperature incineration  | into a water bath, steam is generated and the resulting steam is extracted back into the boilers.  Heat recovery from plant unit operations (e.g., the baghouse and from the turbines via turbine bleeds) is deployed to pre-heat feed water and/or primary combustion air.  Boilers were designed taking into account flue gas |
|      |                                      | slags and bottom ashes (see BAT 20 i).  |   | velocity and distribution, water/steam circulation and convection bundles.  |   |

| Cor | nclusions or                                       | n BAT   |   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|-----|--|---|---|--|-------------------|
|     |  |   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|     |  |   |   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|     |  |   |   | ,  | for               |
|     |  |   |   |  | implementation    |
| d)  | Optimisation of<br>the boiler<br>design            | The heat transfer in the boiler is improved by optimising, for example, the:  • flue-gas velocity and distribution;  • water/steam circulation;  • convection bundles;  • on-line and off-line boiler cleaning systems in order to minimise the fouling of the convection bundles.  | Applicable to new plants and to major retrofits of existing plants  | The boiler is cleaned using a combination of online and offline boiler cleaning techniques to reduce dust residence and accumulation in the boiler. The applied techniques are:  - Mechanical rapping (online)  - High or low – pressure water praying (online)  - Periodic manual cleaning (offline)  | ·                 |
| e)  | Low-<br>temperature<br>flue-gas heat<br>exchangers | Special corrosion-resistant heat exchangers are used to recover additional energy from the flue-gas at the boiler exit, after an ESP, or after a dry sorbent injection system   | Applicable within the constraints of the operating temperature profile of the FGC system.  In the case of existing plants, the applicability may be limited by a lack of space.   | throughout the facility.  The optimum steam parameters for the Facility are set at approximately 80 bar/450°C, therefore part of the   |                   |
| f)  | High steam<br>conditions                           | The higher the steam conditions (temperature and pressure), the higher the electricity conversion efficiency allowed by the steam cycle.  Working at high steam conditions (e.g. above 45 bar, 400 °C) requires the use of special steel alloys or refractory cladding to protect the boiler sections that are exposed to the highest temperatures. | Applicable to new plants and to major retrofits of existing plants, where the plant is mainly oriented towards the generation of electricity.  The applicability may be limited by:  the stickiness of the fly ashes;  the corrosiveness of the flue-gas. | boiler is protected against corrosion by means of nickel/chromium alloy cladding.  Part of the reasoning behind the selection of the site on the Poolbeg Peninsula was its close proximity to a potential future district-heating network in the Dublin Docklands Area. The Facility was constructed with built-in provisions for the supply of district heating to the city of Dublin should a future district heating scheme come into place (refer also BAT19)  The system does not condense the flue gas, however, |                   |

| Conclusions or                | n BAT   |  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|-------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|
|                               |   |  | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|                               |   |  | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|                               |   |  |   | for               |
|                               |   |  |   | implementation    |
| g) Cogeneration               | Cogeneration of heat and electricity where the heat (mainly from the steam that leaves the turbine) is used for producing hot water/steam to be used in industrial processes/activities or in a district heating/cooling network  | constraints associated with<br>the local heat and power<br>demand and/or availability<br>of networks.  | the heat from flue gas is used in the boilers to generate steam and that steam is utilised for electricity generation.  |                   |
| h) Flue-gas<br>condenser      | A heat exchanger where the water vapour contained in the flue-gas condenses, transferring the latent heat to water at a sufficiently low temperature (e.g. return flow of a district heating network). The flue-gas condenser also provides cobenefits by reducing emissions to air (e.g. of dust and acid gases). The use of heat pumps can increase the amount of energy recovered from flue-gas condensation | Applicable within the constraints associated with the demand for low-temperature heat, e.g. by the availability of a district heating network with a sufficiently low returns temperature. | Bottom ash is cooled down by water, that process generates steam and this steam is fed back to the combustion chamber via the secondary air system.   |                   |
| i) Dry bottom ash<br>handling | Dry, hot bottom ash falls from the grate onto a transport system and is cooled down by ambient air. Useful energy is recovered by using the cooling air for combustion  | Only applicable to grate   | The energy audit dated April 2018 concluded that the gross electrical efficiency of the Facility is 33%. At present DWtE calculate gross electrical efficiency of 33.7%. Once the DDHS is operational the DWTE facility is anticipated to have a net energy efficiency of over 88%. |                   |
| BAT-associated en             | ergy efficiency levels (BAT-AEELs) for<br>BAT-AEEL (%)  | rincineration  |   |                   |
|                               | Municipal solid waste, other non-   | ardous waste Sewage  |   |                   |

| Conclusion   | s on BAT   |   |  |  | Applicability Assessment                                     | State whether     |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|-------------------|
|  |  |   |  |  | (describe how the technique applies or not                   | it is in place or |
|  |  |   |  |  | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|  |  |   |  |  |  | for               |
|  |  |   |  |  |  | implementation    |
| Plant  |  | nd hazardous wood<br>aste   | other than<br>hazardous wood<br>waste <sup>(1)</sup> | sludge                                   |  |                   |
|  | Gross electrical efficiency (%) <sup>(2) (3)</sup>   | Gross energy<br>efficiency (%) <sup>(4)</sup>   | Boiler effici  | ency                                     | <i>o.</i> •  |                   |
| New plant  | 25–35  | . 72–91 <sup>(5)</sup>  | 60-80  | 60-70 <sup>(6)</sup>                     | antoges only, any other use.                                 |                   |
| Existing plant   | 20 - 35  |   |  |  | Orly and   |                   |
| (4) The BAT-AEE producing only h the steam leaving (5) A gross energ 100 %) can be acl (6) For the incine water content of | Lonly applies where a head of the BAT-AEEL range its for gross energy effect or producing electrical electrical examples. Its for gross energy effect or producing electrical the turbine.  By efficiency exceeding the turbine affue-gas ration of sewage sludge as feet. | ficiency only apply icity using a back-pr he higher end of the condenser is used. the boiler efficiency | to plants or parts essure turbine and                | s of plants<br>I hear with<br>even above | S.   |                   |
| 5.1.5 Emiss  | sion to air  |   |  |  |  |                   |
| 5.1.5.1 Diffus   | se emissions   |   |  |  |  |                   |
| BAT 21.  |  |   |  |  | Applicable   | Yes               |
| In order to p  | revent or reduce d   | iffuse emissions  | from the incin                                       | eration plar                             | nt, All waste storage takes place indoors. The single access |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|--|--|-------------------|
|  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|  | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|  | ,  | for               |
|  |  | implementation    |
| including odour emissions, BAT is to:  | door can also be closed when required.   |                   |
| a. store solid and bulk pasty wastes that are odorous and/or prone to  | '  |                   |
| releasing volatile substances in enclosed buildings under controlled sub   | The Facility operates under the containment principle  |                   |
| atmospheric pressure and use the extracted air as combustion air for   | thereby minimising any potential fugitive emissions. All   |                   |
| incineration or send it to another suitable abatement system in the  | operations take place inside the building. The tipping   |                   |
| case of a risk of explosion;   | floor areas and waste bunker areas are under negative  |                   |
| b. store liquid wastes in tanks under appropriate controlled pressure and  | air system to prevent dust escaping from the Facility.   |                   |
| duct the tank vents to the combustion air feed or to another suitable  | Instead these emissions drawn into the primary air feed to the furnace where the combustion of waste takes |                   |
| abatement system; c. control the risk of odour during complete shutdown periods when periods where perio | place. No fugitive dust emissions are emitted from the   |                   |
| incineration capacity is available, e.g. by:   | Facility.  |                   |
| sending the vented or extracted air to an alternative abatement  | Tuomty.  |                   |
| system, e.g. a wet scrubber, a fixed adsorption bed;   | During normal maintenance only one of the two  |                   |
| <ul> <li>minimising the amount of waste in storage, e.g. by interrupting,</li> </ul>   | combustion lines will be shut down, leaving the other  |                   |
| reducing or transferring waste deliveries, as a part of waste  | line fully operational to prevent any dust and odour   |                   |
| stream management (see BAT 9);   | emission by creating the sufficient negative pressure in   |                   |
| <ul><li>storing waste in properly sealed bales.</li></ul>  | the reception hall and waste bunker.   |                   |
| BAT 22.  | Not applicable   | Yes               |
|  |  |                   |
| In order to prevent diffuse emissions of volatile compounds from the handling  | The Facility does not accept any gaseous or liquid   |                   |
| of gaseous and liquid wastes that are odorous and/or prone to releasing volatile substances at incineration plants, BAT is to feed them to the furnace by  | wastes.  |                   |
| direct feeding.  |  |                   |
| BAT 23.  | Applicable   | Yes               |
|  |  |                   |
| In order to prevent or reduce diffuse dust emissions to air from the treatment   | The Facility has developed an odour and dust   |                   |

| Conclusions on                           | BAT  |   | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------|
|  |  |   | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|  |  |   | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|  |  |   | _   | for               |
|  |  |   |   | implementation    |
| of slags and bottom                      | ashes, BAT is to include in the env  | vironmental management  | abatement procedure which identifies potential diffuse  | •                 |
|  | he following diffuse dust emission:  |   | dust mission sources and the techniques and actions to  |                   |
| a. identification<br>using EN 154        | n of the most relevant diffuse du<br>145);   | st emission sources (e.g.   | prevent or reduce such potential diffuse emissions.   |                   |
|  | nd implementation of appropriate<br>r reduce diffuse emissions over a ç  |   | net liee.   |                   |
| BAT 24.                                  |  |   | Not Applicable  | Not Applicable    |
| of slags and bottom techniques given bel |  | riate combination of the form   | Residues are not treated on site, they are transported offsite in sealed containers for treatment. However, the Facility uses following techniques to prevent diffuse dust emissions to air:  |                   |
| Technique                                | Description  | Applicability   | - All operations take place within the confines of the  |                   |
| a) Enclose and cover equipment           | Enclose/encapsulate potentially dusty operations (such as grinding, screening) and/or cover conveyors and elevators. Enclosure can also be accomplished by installing all of the equipment in a closed building. | Installing the equipment in a closed building may not be applicable to mobile treatment devices | structure based on the containment principle.  - Dust curtains are provided at each of the dischargers onto the conveyor and at the end of the conveyor into the incinerator bottom ash (IBA) bunker.  - IBA is stored in a separate IBA bunker with sealed   |                   |
| b) Limit height of<br>discharge          | Match the discharge height to the varying height of the heap, automatically if possible (e.g. conveyor belts with adjustable heights)  | Generally applicable  | surfaces. The IBA bunker is constructed of reinforced water proof concrete.  - The IBA bunker is under negative pressure with the air being drawn into boilers as secondary air  - Roof vents provide a natural draft releasing warmed air entering from openings at the lower elevation of the IBA loading area. |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT   |   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether  |
|--|---|--|--|
|  |   | (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation)   | it is in place or<br>state schedule<br>for<br>implementation |
| against prevailing with covers winds screening, v as well as                             | k storage areas or stockpiles Generally applicable s or wind barriers such as walling or vertical greenery, s correctly orienting the n relation to the prevailing  | - The fly ash collected in the hoppers is continuously discharged via a screw conveyor especially developed for refuse incineration plants. The flue gas treatment residues are stored in two silos. The silos are equipped with High Efficiency Particulate Abatement (HEPA) filters to prevent fugitive emissions of flue gas cleaning |  |
| sources of a<br>humidificati<br>dust agglom<br>Diffuse dust<br>reduced b<br>humidificati | or spray systems at the main Generally applicable diffuse dust emissions. The sion of dust particles aids meration and settling. It emissions at stockpiles are by ensuring appropriate sion of the charging and points, or of the stockpiles                             | residues - to add of the fly ash is via the "elephant's trunk"   |  |
| content ashes is equipment a) under so enable trea with an aba                           | nent of slags and bottom Only applicable to dry-<br>carried out in enclosed discharged and other low-<br>or buildings (see technique moisture bottom ashes<br>subatmospheric pressure to<br>atment of the extracted air<br>atement technique (see BAT<br>nelled emissions | becomes a moist product that prevents any significant dust. Even then, one of the current DWtE improvement projects is to enclose the vibrating conveyor that carries the IBA post-cooling in the water bath to reduce potential for fugitive dust emissions from the conveyor.  Waste is not stockpiled outside the waste bunker. The   |  |
| subatmospheric ashes is pressure equipment a) under so enable trea with an aba           | nent of slags and bottom Only applicable to dry-<br>carried out in enclosed discharged and other low-<br>or buildings (see technique moisture bottom ashes<br>subatmospheric pressure to<br>atment of the extracted air<br>atement technique (see BAT<br>nelled emissions | waste bunker is located inside the building, negative pressure is controlled in that area in order to reduce odour and diffuse dust emissions.  - All operations take place within the confines of the structure based on the containment principle.   |  |

| Conclusions      | s on BAT  |  | Applicability Assessment   | State whether                          |
|------------------|---|--|--|--|
|                  |   |  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or                      |
|                  |   |  | to your installation)  | state schedule                         |
|                  |   |  |  | for                                    |
|                  |   |  |  | implementation                         |
|                  |   |  | - A duct supplying air to the Unit 1 secondary air fan   |  |
|                  |   |  | draws air from just above the IBA discharger.  |  |
|                  |   |  | - Roof vents provide a natural draft releasing warmed air entering from openings at the lower elevation of the       |  |
|                  |   |  | FGC/IBA Storage area.  |  |
|                  |   |  | 150.0  |  |
|                  | nelled emissions  |  | dollar   |  |
| 5.1.5.2.1 Emis   | ssions of dust, metals and meta                                     | lloids   | es of to tary office t   |  |
| BAT 25.          |   | July P   | Applicable   | Yes                                    |
| In order to rea  | duce channelled emissions to air                                    | of dust motals and motallaids  |  | If required by a CID                   |
|                  | nuce charmened emissions to all<br>peration of waste, BAT is to use | 256 X S  | The flue gas cleaning process comprises an active  | If required by a CID  DWtE will review |
| techniques give  |   | one of a combined of the   | carbon and semi-dry lime scrubbing process followed  |  |
| , ,              |   | N. O. C.   | by particle removal in a fabric filter followed by a two-  | AELs in BAT 25.                        |
| Technique        | Description   | Applicability Consent  | stage wet scrubbing process.   |  |
| a) Bag filter    | See Section 5.2.2   | Generally applicable to new plants.                                      | The heat recovery boilers are designed to minimise   |  |
| , , ,            |   | Applicable to existing plants within                                     | dioxin formation. Any residual dioxin reformed is  |  |
|                  |   | the constraints associated with the operating temperature profile of the | removed from the flue gas by adding activated carbon   |  |
|                  |   | FGC system.  | to the flue gas prior to the fabric filter, where the dioxin and activated carbon is collected together with fly ash |  |
| b) Electrostatic | See Section 5.2.2   | Generally applicable   | and Air Pollution Control Residues (APCR).   |  |
| precipitator     |   |  | The reduction of NOx from the combustion process   |  |
| c) Dry sorbent   | See Section 5.2.2.  | Generally applicable   | takes place in a selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR)  |  |
| injection        | Not relevant for the reduction of                                   |  | process by injecting ammonium hydroxide solution in  |  |
| -                | dust emissions.   |  | water (NH <sub>4</sub> OH) into the first pass of the boiler, thus   |  |

| Conclusions                              | on BAT   | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|--|--|---|-------------------|
|  |  | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|  |  | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|  |  |   | for               |
|  |  |   | implementation    |
|  | Adsorption of metals by injection of activated carbon or other reagents in combination with a dry sorbent injection system or a semi-wet absorber that is used to reduce acid gas emissions.   | securing compliance with the site IE Licence.  Emissions of particulate matter are controlled primarily through the use of a filter baghouse. This system employs over 5,000 filter bags though which the flue gas must pass. Baghouses - one (1) pulse jet type per  |                   |
| d) Wet scrubber                          | See Section 5.2.2. There may be applicability restrictions due to low water to remove the main dust load but, installed after other abatement techniques, to further reduce the concentrations of dust, metals and metalloids in the flue-gas  | boiler unit, contain 12 isolatable modules (arranged in 2 parallel rows), all operating in parallel and each with its own hopper. The number of modules ensure that taking a compartment out for cleaning and having another compartment out for maintenance does not result in any reduction in particulate filter efficiency.   |                   |
| e) Fixed- or<br>moving-bed<br>adsorption | See Section 5.2.2. The applicability may be limited by the overall pressure drop associated mercury and other metals and with the FGC system configuration. metalloids as well as organic In the case of existing plants, the compounds including PCDD/F, but applicability may be limited by a lack also acts as an effective polishing of space. | Baghouses have been designed for variations of temperature and pressure due to failure of other components in the system (such as scrubber malfunction, loss of an ID or CA fan or the malfunction of a flue gas damper).   |                   |
|  | filter for dust.   | Before flue gas finally exits through the stack, water is used in a wet scrubber to reduce temperature and remove any residual HCl. In addition, a sodium hydroxide solution is used to remove residual SO <sub>2</sub> . The wet scrubber consists of a co-current quenching flow section (Quench stage) and a co-concurrent flow absorption column (Packed bed stage) which is fed via a common sump. All water collected from the wet-scrubber is reused on-site as feed water for the semi- |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT   |            |  | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|--|------------|--|--|-------------------|
|  |            |  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|  |            |  | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|  |            |  | ,  | for               |
|  |            |  |  | implementation    |
|  |            |  | dry scrubber, for boiler water make up and/ or in the quench for the bottom ash discharging from the boilers.  |                   |
| Table 5.1: BAT-associated to air of dust, metals and r                 | •          | T-AELs) for channelled emissions                                   | Current Emission Limit Values (ELV's) assigned by the EPA to the two stack emissions, are as set out in Schedule B.1 of IE Licence W0232-01 and as contained in Technical Amendment A. These ELV's are higher than BAT-AELs, however, the measured data reported |                   |
| Dust   | <2-5 (1)   | Daily average  | by the site is below that of the BAT-AELs.   |                   |
| Cd + Tl  | 0.005-0.02 | Average over the sampling period                                   |  |                   |
| Sb + As + Pb + Cr + Co + Cu+ Mn<br>+ Ni + V                            | 0.01–0.3   | Average over the sampling period                                   |  |                   |
| (1) For existing plants dedicated filter is not applicable, the higher |            | zardous waste and for which a bag<br>ge is 7 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> .  |  |                   |
| BAT 26.  |            |  | Not Applicable   | Not Applicable    |
|  |            | ions to air from the enclosed action of air (see BAT 24 f), BAT is | 1  |                   |

| Con    | clusions on BAT   |   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|--------|---|---|--|-------------------|
|        |   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|        |   |   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|        |   |   |  | for               |
|        |   |   |  | implementation    |
| to tre | eat the extracted air wi  | th a bag filter (see Section 5.2.2).  |  |                   |
|        |   | els (BAT-AELs) for channelled dust emissions to air t of slags and bottom ashes with extraction of air. |  |                   |
| Paran  | neter BAT- <b>AEL</b> (mg/Nm³)  | Averaging period  | es ofth any often ise.   |                   |
| Dust   | 2–5   | Average over the sampling period  | हु विश्व के कि   |                   |
| -      |   |   | Rition .   |                   |
| 5.1.5  | .2.2 Emissions of HCI,  | HF and SO <sub>2</sub>  |  |                   |
| BAT 2  | 27.   | For high  | Applicable   | Yes               |
|        |   | led emissions of HCl, HF and SO <sub>2</sub> to air from the  | Wet scrubber and semi-wet absorbers are used at the  |                   |
|        | eration of waste, BAT below.  | is to use one or a combination of the techniques  | Facility. In the semi-wet absorbers, with prior injection of lime and activated carbon, the emissions of dust HCI,                                       |                   |
|        | Technique   | Applicability   | HF, SO <sub>2</sub> , NOx, heavy metal, dioxins and furans are   |                   |
| a)     | a) Wet scrubber There may be applicability restrictions due to low water availability, e.g. in arid areas |   | reduced. The two-stage wet scrubber system implemented subsequent to the semi-wet system ensures very low emissions to air of HCL, HF, SO <sub>2</sub> , |                   |
| b)     | Semi-wet absorber   | Generally applicable  | NH <sub>3</sub> /NH <sub>4</sub> OH and mercury in gas form (Hg).  |                   |
| c)     | Dry sorbent injection   | Generally applicable  |  |                   |
| d)     | Direct desulphurisation   | Only applicable to fluidised bed furnaces   |  |                   |

| Со         | nclusions or                           | n BAT  |  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether   |
|------------|--|--|--|---|---|
|            |  |  |  | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or   |
|            |  |  |  | to your installation)   | state schedule  |
|            |  |  |  |   | for   |
|            |  |  |  |   | implementation  |
| e)         | Boiler sorbent i                       | njection Generally applicable  |  |   |   |
|            |  |  |  |   |   |
| BA         | Г 28.                                  |  |  | Applicable we.  | Yes   |
| inci<br>am | neration of wount of residu            | channelled peak emissions of HCl, aste while limiting the consumpues generated from dry sorben o use technique (a) or both of the Description  | tion of reagents and the tinjection and semi-wet techniques given below the techniques given given below the techniques given below the techniques given bel | The reagent dosing was optimised and automated by Hitachi at the commissioning phase and verifying phase. Also, an integral part of the optimising process is based on re-agent recirculation to minimise the amount of unreacted reagent in the residues | If required by a CID<br>DWtE will review<br>with the EPA the<br>AELs in BAT 28. |
| a)         | Optimised and automated reagent dosage | The use of continuous HCl and/or SO <sub>2</sub> measurements (and/or of other parameters that may prove useful for this purpose) upstream and/or downstream of the FGC system for the optimisation of the automated reagent dosage            | L-phorally applicable  | Continuous flue gas parameter testing (e.g., HCl and SO <sub>2</sub> ) facilitates optimisation of reagent dosing.  |   |
| b)         | Recirculation of reagents              | The recirculation of a proportion of the collected FGC solids to reduce the amount of unreacted reagent(s) in the residues.  The technique is particularly relevant in the case of FGC techniques operating with a high stoichiometric excess. | Generally applicable to<br>new plants.<br>Applicable to existing<br>plants within the<br>constraints of the size<br>of the bag filter.   |   |   |

| Conclusio  | ns on BAT     |   |   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether   |
|--|---------------|---|---|--|---|
|  |               |   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or   |
|  |               |   |   | to your installation)  | state schedule  |
|  |               |   |   |  | for   |
|  |               |   |   |  | implementation  |
|  |               | nission levels (BAT<br>om the incineratio | r-AELs) for channelled emissions n of waste.                      | Current Emission Limit Values (ELV's) assigned by the EPA to the two stack emissions, are as set out in  | •   |
| Parameter  | BAT-AEL (mg   | /Nm³)                                     | Averaging period  | Schedule B.1 of IE Licence W0232-01 and as contained   |   |
|  | New plants    | Existing plants                           | _   | in Technical Amendment A. These ELV's are higher   |   |
| HCI  | <2 - 6 (1)    | <2 - 8 (1)                                | Daily average   | than BAT-AELs, however, the measured data reported   |   |
| HF   | <1            | <1  | Daily average or average over the sampling period                 | by the site is below that of the BAT-AELs.   |   |
| SO <sub>2</sub>  | 5 – 30        | 5 – 40                                    | Daily average   | Heat and the second sec |   |
|  | ne higher end |   | on be achieved when using a wet be associated with the use of dry |  |   |
|  | issions of NO | x, N2O, CO and N                          | H <sub>3</sub>  | Applicable   | Yes   |
| BAT 29.  In order to reduce channelled NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to air while limiting the emissions of CO and N <sub>2</sub> O from the incineration of waste and the emissions of NH <sub>3</sub> from the use of SNCR and/or SCR, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given below. |               |   |   | In addition to the secondary NOx reduction of the SNCR system, the following primary NOx reducing measures is implemented at the Facility:  - Computer fluid dynamic simulation of the grate and furnace section to optimise the injection of combustion air.  - The use of both primary and secondary air injection systems to reduce the excess air in the primary combustion zone, thus reducing the amount of thermal NOx created.   | If required by a CID<br>DWtE will review<br>with the EPA the<br>AELs in BAT 29. |

| Cor | nclusions on   | BAT   |   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|-----|--|---|---|--|-------------------|
|     |  |   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|     |  |   |   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|     |  |   |   |  | for               |
|     |  |   |   |  | implementation    |
|     | Technique  | Description   | Applicability   | <ul> <li>The recirculation of some of the flue-gas to control oxygen content and therefore add to NOx control;</li> <li>Operation with reduced excess air</li> </ul> |                   |
| a)  | Optimisation of the incineration process                   | See Section 5.2.1   | Generally applicable  | - The use of water – cooled grate bars to enable primary air to be added independent of the cooling need of the grate bars.  |                   |
| b)  | Flue-gas<br>recirculation                                  | See Section 5.2.2   | For existing plants, the applicability may be limited due to technical constraints (e.g. pollutant load in the flue-gas, incineration conditions) | - Optimise reagent injection points of the SNCR system so as to improve the efficiency of NOX abatement whist minimising the generation of                           |                   |
| c)  | Selective non-<br>catalytic<br>reduction (SNCR)            | See Section 5.2.2   | Generally applicable  | nitrous oxide, ammonia and the consumption of reagent.  - A wet scrubber is used after SNCR, thus unreacted  |                   |
| d)  | Selective<br>catalytic<br>reduction (SCR)                  | See Section 5.2.2   | In the case of existing plants, the day a police applicability may be limited by a lack of space  | ammonia is absorbed by the scrubbing liquor. The wastewater from wet scrubber is recirculated to the boiler before the flue-gas treatment system.                    |                   |
| e)  | Catalytic filter<br>bags                                   | See Section 5.2.2   | Only applicable to plants sitted with a bag filter  |  |                   |
| f)  | Optimisation of<br>the SNCR/SCR<br>design and<br>operation | Optimisation of the reagent to NO <sub>X</sub> ratio over the cross-section of the furnace or duct, of the size of the reagent drops and of the temperature window in which the reagent is injected | Only applicable where SNCR and/or SCR is used for the reduction of $NO_\chi$ emissions  |  |                   |

|                 | on BAT                         |   |  | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation)   | State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| O emissions to  | air from the from the of       | scrubber due to low varied gas areas and in th SNCR, namonia is the uor and, d, can be NCR or | ELS) for channelled Moraniste and for channelled NH Averaging period | Correct Emission Limit Values (ELV's) assigned by the ERA to the two stack emissions, are as set out in Schedule B.1 of IE Licence W0232-01 and as contained in Technical Amendment A. These ELV's are higher than BAT-AELs, however, the measured data reported by the site is below that of the BAT-AELs. NH <sub>3</sub> currently is not measured at the Facility (refer to BAT4 text for detail) |   |
|                 |                                |   |  |   |   |
| NO <sub>X</sub> | 50–120 <sup>(1)</sup>          | 50–150 <sup>(1)(2)</sup>  | Daily average  |   |   |
| NO <sub>X</sub> | 50–120 <sup>(1)</sup><br>10–50 | 50–150 <sup>(1)(2)</sup><br>10–50   | Daily average  |   |   |

| Сс  | nclusions or  | n BAT  |  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether   |
|-----|---|--|--|---|---|
|     |   |  |  | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or   |
|     |   |  |  | to your installation)   | state schedule  |
|     |   |  |  |   | for   |
|     |   |  |  |   | implementation  |
|     | (4) For existing pla  | of the BAT-AEL range can be achieved when usi<br>ants fitted with SNCR without wet abatement te<br>L range is 15 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup>  | •  |   |   |
| 5.1 | .5.2.4 Emission   | ns of organic compounds  |  |   |   |
| ВА  | T 30.   |  |  | Applicable  | Yes   |
| PC  | In order to reduce channelled emissions to air of organic compounds including PCDD/F and PCBs from the incineration of waste, BAT is to use techniques (a), (b), (c), (d), and one or a combination of techniques (e) to (i) given below. |  |  | The reduction of overall PCDD/F emissions to centriformmental medial is provided by means of:  - Well-controlled combustion secured by means of computer fluid dynamic simulation at the design stage and an advanced combustion control system to aid the removal of PCDD/F. | If required by a CID<br>DWtE will review<br>with the EPA the<br>AELs in BAT 30. |
|     | Technique   | Description  | Applicability  |   |   |
| a)  | Optimisation of<br>the incineration<br>process  | See Section 5.2.1.  Optimisation of incineration parameters to promote the oxidation of organic compounds including PCDD/F and PCBs present in the waste, and to prevent their and their precursors' (re)formation | Generally applicable   | - Waste types, characteristics are inspected annually. Waste is mixed thoroughly to ensure its homogeneous condition. Waste feed rate is controlled   |   |
| b)  | Control of<br>waste feed  | Knowledge and control of the combustion characteristics of the waste being fed into the furnace, to ensure optimal and, as far as possible, homogeneous and stable incineration conditions                         | Not applicable to clinical<br>waste or to municipal<br>solid waste | - The boiler is cleaned using a combination of online<br>and offline boiler cleaning techniques such as<br>mechanical rapping; high or low -pressure water<br>spaying; and periodic manual cleaning.  |   |
|     |   |  |  | - During normal operation, the temperature in three   |   |

| Co | onclusions or                              | n BAT   |  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|----|--|---|--|---|-------------------|
|    |  |   |  | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|    |  |   |  | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|    |  |   |  |   | for               |
|    |  |   |  |   | implementation    |
| c) | On-line and<br>off-line boiler<br>cleaning | Efficient cleaning of the boiler bundles to reduce the dust residence time and accumulation in the boiler, thus reducing PCDD/F formation in the boiler.  A combination of on-line and off-line boiler cleaning techniques is used.   | Generally applicable   | empty passes of the boiler is above 600°C. When entering the horizontal convection pass, the flue gas is cooled very rapidly due to the large heat convection surfaces. This reduces the dust – laden gas residence time in the temperature zone from 450°C to 200°C, in which zone PCDD/F is likely to |                   |
| d) | Rapid flue-gas<br>cooling                  | Rapid cooling of the flue-gas from temperatures above 400 °C to below 250 °C before dust abatement to prevent the de novo synthesis of PCDD/F.  This is achieved by appropriate design of the boiler and/or with the use of a quench system. The latter option limits the amount of energy that can be recovered from the fluegas and is used in particular in the case of incinerating hazardous wastes with a high halogen content. | Generally applicable  to inspect of conviction of the conviction o |   |                   |
| e) | Dry sorbent<br>injection                   | See Section 5.2.2.  Adsorption by injection of activated carbon or other reagents, generally combined with a bag filter where a reaction layer is created in the filter cake and the solids generated are removed.  | Generally applicable   |   |                   |
| f) | Fixed- or moving-<br>bed adsorption        | See Section 5.2.2.  | The applicability may be limited by the overall pressure drop associated with the FGC system. In the case of existing plants, the applicability may be limited by a lack   |   |                   |

|     |                                     |   |  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|
|     |                                     |   |  | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|     |                                     |   |  | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|     |                                     |   |  |   | for               |
|     |                                     |   |  |   | implementation    |
|     |                                     |   | of space.  |   |                   |
| g)  | SCR                                 | See Section 5.2.2.  Where SCR is used for NO <sub>X</sub> abatement, the adequate catalyst surface of the SCR system also provides for the partial reduction of the emissions of PCDD/F and PCBs.  The technique is generally used in combination with technique (e), (f) or (i).   | In the case of existing<br>plants, the applicability<br>may be limited by a lack<br>of space | es offy. any other use.   |                   |
| h)  | Catalytic filter<br>bags            | See Section 5.2.2   | Only applicable to plants of fitted with a bag filter?                                       | tied to the state of the state |                   |
| i)  | Carbon sorbent<br>in a wet scrubber | PCDD/F and PCBs are adsorbed by carbon sorbent added to the wet scrubber, either in the scrubbing liquor or in the form of impregnated packing elements.  The technique is used for the removal of PCDD/F in general, and also to prevent and/or reduce the re-emission of PCDD/F accumulated in the scrubber (the so-called memory effect) occurring especially during shutdown and start-up periods |  |   |                   |
|     |                                     | ociated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for<br>DD/F and dioxin-like PCBs from the inc  |  |   |                   |
| Par | rameter Unit                        | BAT-AEL Avera   | ging period  |   |                   |
|     |                                     | New plant Existing plant  |  | The EPA licence limit for TOC is 10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> Daily   |                   |
|     |                                     |   |  | Average. DWtE results are in compliance with this limit.  |                   |

| Conclus                                    | sions or              | n BAT  |   |  | Applicability Assessment   | State whether                    |
|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
|  |                       |  |   |  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or                |
|  |                       |  |   |  | to your installation)  | state schedule                   |
|  |                       |  |   |  |  | for                              |
|  |                       |  |   |  |  | implementation                   |
| TVOC                                       | mg/Nm <sup>3</sup>    | 3 - 10   | <3 – 10                                   | Daily average  | FDA Harras Harit for Disain a famous (LTFO) is 0.1   |                                  |
| PCDD/F (1)                                 | ng I-<br>TEQ/Nm       | < 0.01–0.04  | < 0.01–0.06                               | Average over the sampling period   | EPA license limit for Dioxin & furans (I-TEQ) is 0.1 ng/m <sup>3</sup> . DWtE results are in compliance with this limit.   |                                  |
|  |                       | < 0.01–0.06  | < 0.01–0.08                               | Long-term sampling period <sup>(2)</sup>   | The DWtE facility does not treat hazardous waste or wastes with the potential to contain polychlorinated   |                                  |
| PCDD/F + dioxin-like PCBs (1)              | ng WHO<br>TEQ/Nm      |  | < 0.01–0.08                               | Average over the sampling period   | Little Market Company  |                                  |
| . 555 (.)                                  |                       | < 0.01–0.08  | < 0.01–0.1                                | Long-term sampling period (2)  | biphenyls (PCBs).  esolitic and  esolitic an |                                  |
| • •  |                       |  |   | - dioxin-like PCBs applies.<br>en to be sufficiently stables to be   |  |                                  |
| 5.1.5.2.5                                  | Emission              | ns of mercury  |   | Forthigh   |  |                                  |
| BAT 31.                                    |                       |  |   | onsent of  | Applicable   | Yes                              |
| In order                                   | to reduc              | e channelled m   | ercury emission                           | ns to air (including mercury   | The activated carbon injected before the fabric filter   | If required by a CID             |
| emission                                   | peaks) f              | from the incine  | ration of wast                            | e, BAT is to use one or a  | reduces the emission of particle bound Hg. In addition   | DWtE will review                 |
| combination of the techniques given below. |                       |  | n below.                                  |  | the use of low pH in the first stage of the wet scrubber reduces the amount of Hq in the flue gas.   | with the EPA the AELs in BAT 31. |
| Technique                                  | e D                   | escription   |   | Applicability  | reduces the amount of right the flue gas.  | ALLS III BATT OT.                |
| a) Wet<br>scrub<br>pH)                     | bber (low A<br>T<br>b | ee Section 5.2.2. wet scrubber opera he mercury removal e enhanced by addir dsorbents to the scr | rate of the techniq<br>ng reagents and/or | and the state of t |  |                                  |

| Cor | nclusions                          | on BAT  |   | Applicability Assessment                   | State whether     |
|-----|------------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------|
|     |                                    |   |   | (describe how the technique applies or not | it is in place or |
|     |                                    |   |   | to your installation)                      | state schedule    |
|     |                                    |   |   |  | for               |
|     |                                    |   |   |  | implementation    |
|     |                                    | <ul> <li>oxidants such as hydrogen peroxide to<br/>transform elemental mercury to a water-<br/>soluble oxidised form;</li> </ul>  |   |  |                   |
|     |                                    | <ul> <li>sulphur compounds to form stable<br/>complexes or salts with mercury;</li> </ul>   |   |  |                   |
|     |                                    | • carbon sorbent to adsorb mercury, including elemental mercury.  |   | net lise.                                  |                   |
|     |                                    | When designed for a sufficiently high buffer capacity for mercury capture, the technique effectively prevents the occurrence of mercury emission peaks.   | itto  | esoull'and other use.                      |                   |
| b)  | Dry sorbent injection              | See Section 5.2.2.  Adsorption by injection of activated carbon or other reagents, generally combined with a bag filter where a reaction layer is created in the filter cake and the solids generated are removed.  | Generally applicable on the re-   |  |                   |
| c)  | Injection of<br>special,<br>highly | Injection of highly reactive activated carbon doped with sulphur or other reagents to enhance the reactivity with mercury.  | May not be applicable to plants dedicated to the incineration of sewage |  |                   |
|     | reactive<br>activated<br>carbon    | Usually, the injection of this special activated carbon is not continuous but only takes place when a mercury peak is detected. For this purpose, the technique can be used in combination with the continuous monitoring of mercury in the raw flue-gas. | sludge  |  |                   |
| d)  | Boiler<br>bromine<br>addition      | Bromide added to the waste or injected into the furnace is converted at high temperatures to elemental bromine, which oxidises elemental mercury to the water-soluble and highly  | Generally applicable  |  |                   |

| Dublin Waste to Energ | J) |
|-----------------------|----|
|-----------------------|----|

| Conclus   | ions on I  | BAT  |   |   | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation)   | State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| e) Fixed-<br>movin<br>adsorp  | The dow scrusyst Usu conpea can mor See ng-bed Who capital cap | vnstream abatemer abber or an activate em. ally, the injection of tinuous but only tak is detected. For the used in combinationing of mercury Section 5.2.2. en designed for a signal activate and signed for a signal activate and signal activate activate and signal activate activate activate and signal activate ac | of bromide is not kes place when a mercury his purpose, the technique ation with the continuous in the raw flue-gas ufficiently high adsorption be effectively prevents the | The applicability may be limited by the overally pressure drop associated with the FGC system. In the case of existing plants, the applicability may be limited by a lack of space. | es only any other use.  |   |
| Table 5.6: BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for channelled mercury emissions to air from the incineration of waste:  Parameter BAT-AEL (µg/Nm³) (1) Averaging period |  |  |   | ,   |   |   |
|   | New plant  | Existing plant   | <u> </u>  |   |   |   |
| Hg  | < 5–20 <sup>(2)</sup>  | < 5–20 <sup>(2)</sup>  | Daily average over the sa   | ampling period  | The IE license ELV for Hg is 0.05 mg/m³ (or50 µg/m³). DWtE are in compliance with this ELV. |   |
|   | 1-10   | 1-10   | Long-term sampling peri   | od  | ·   |   |

|   |   | I                 |
|---|---|-------------------|
| Conclusions on BAT  | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |
|   | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |
|   | to your installation)   | state schedule    |
|   | , , ,   | for               |
|   |   | implementation    |
|   |   | implementation    |
| (1) Either the BAT-AEL for daily average or average over the sampling period, or the BAT-AEL for long-term sampling period, applies. The BAT-AEL for long-term sampling may apply in the case of plants incinerating waste with a proven low and stable mercury content (e.g. mono-streams of waste of a controlled composition). |   |                   |
| (2) The lower end of the BAT-AEL ranges may be achieved when:   |   |                   |
| <ul> <li>incinerating wastes with a proven low and stable mercury content (e.g. mono-streams of<br/>waste of a controlled composition), or</li> </ul>   | net lise.   |                   |
| using specific techniques to prevent or reduce the occurrence of mercury peak emissions while incinerating non-hazardous waste.   | es offy any offer use.  |                   |
| The higher end of the BAT-AEL ranges may be associated with the use of dry sorbent injection.   |   |                   |
| As an indication, the half-hourly average mercury emission levels will generally be:  • < 15–40 μg/Nm³ for existing plants;  • < 15–35 μg/Nm³ for new plants  |   |                   |
| 5.1.6 Emissions to water  |   |                   |
| BAT 32.   | Applicable  | Yes               |
| In order to prevent the contamination of uncontaminated water, to reduce emissions to water, and to increase resource efficiency, BAT is to segregate waste water streams and to treat them separately, depending on their characteristics.   | All process waste waters (e.g. boiler blow down, boiler water treatment reject water, scrubber water) are collected for recycling in the Flue Gas Treatment System or used for humidification/cooling of the bottom ash outlet. |                   |
| Description   |   |                   |
| Waste water streams (e.g. surface run-off water, cooling water, waste water   |   |                   |
| from flue-gas treatment and from bottom ash treatment, drainage water collected from the waste reception, handling and storage areas (see BAT 12 (a))   | areas etc. is stored in an attenuation tank for re-use in the process. Overflow from the attenuation tank   |                   |

Application ID LA003577

| Conclusions on BAT   |  | Applicability Assessment                                  | State whether     |
|--|--|---|-------------------|
|  |  | (describe how the technique applies or not                | it is in place or |
|  |  | to your installation)                                     | state schedule    |
|  |  | ,   | for               |
|  |  |   | implementation    |
| are segregated to be treated separately based of   |  | discharges to the neighbouring Ringsend Municipal         |                   |
| the combination of treatment techniques requ   |  | Wastewater Treatment Facility (MWwTP). This is done       |                   |
| streams are segregated from waste water streams. When recovering hydrochloric acid and/or gypsun | •  | via a pump system which is manually operated              |                   |
| the waste waters arising from the different stag   |  |   |                   |
| wet scrubbing system are treated separately.   |  | net use   |                   |
| Applicability Generally applicable to new plants.  |  | 74. 24. 94.   |                   |
| Applicable to existing plants within the cons  | straints associated with the                                 | es of the any other use.                                  |                   |
| configuration of the water collection system.  | Dutyo  | kije  |                   |
|  | a spection her to  |   |                   |
|  | For inspection purpo<br>frozinske distributed                |   |                   |
| BAT 33.  | . Consent of o   | Applicable  | Yes               |
| In order to reduce water usage and to prevent  | or reduce the generation of                                  | The Facility has two stage wet scrubbers but there is no  |                   |
| waste water from the incineration plant, BAT is to   | use one or a combination of                                  | discharge of process wastewater from the Facility.        |                   |
| the techniques given below.  |  | Process wastewater is collected for recycling in the flue |                   |
|  |  | gas treatment system or used for                          |                   |
| Technique Description  | Applicability  | humidification/cooling of the bottom ash outlet.          |                   |
| a) Waste-water- Use of FGC techniques that do not free FGC generate waste water (e.g. dry        | May not be applicable to the incineration of hazardous waste | Surface water runoff from building roofs, roads, parking  |                   |
| techniques sorbent injection or  | with a high halogen content                                  | areas etc. is stored in an attenuation tank for re-use in |                   |
| semi-wet absorber, see Section 5.2.2)  |  | the process.  |                   |
| ,  |  |   |                   |

| Conclusions or                             | n BAT   |   | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation)   | State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| b) Injection of<br>waste water<br>from FGC | Waste water from FGC is injected into the hotter parts of the FGC system)   | Only applicable to the incineration of municipal solid waste  |   |   |
| c) Water<br>reuse/recycling                | Residual aqueous streams are reused or recycled.  The degree of reuse/recycling is limited by the quality requirements of the process to which the water is directed. | 3   | es ally any afternse.   |   |
| d) Dry bottom ash<br>handling              | Dry, hot bottom ash falls from the grate onto a transport system and is cooled down by ambient air. No water is used in the process.                                  | Only applicable to grate furnaces, the There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing incineration plants. |   |   |
| BAT 34.                                    |   | asent of a  | Not applicable  | Not applicable  |
| treatment of slags of the techniques       | e emissions to water from FGC<br>and bottom ashes, BAT is to us<br>given below, and to use seco<br>arce in order to avoid dilution.                                   | e an appropriate combination  | No waste water is discharged from the site. FGC, slags and bottom ashes are not treated onsite, however, the incineration process and the FGC system are optimised to reduce organic compounds in the flue gas (refer to BAT 29, 30). |   |
| Technique                                  |   | tants targeted  |   |   |
|  | Primary techniques  |   |   |   |
|  | he incineration Organic compo<br>14) and/or of the FGC ammonia/amr<br>R/SCR, see BAT 29 (f))  | ounds including PCDD/F,<br>nonium   |   |   |

| Conclusions on BAT   |  | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not  | State whether it is in place or |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------|
|  |  | to your installation)  | state schedule                  |
|  |  |  | for                             |
| Constitution   | (1)  |  | implementation                  |
| Preliminary and primary treatment  | y techniques <sup>(1)</sup>  |  |                                 |
| b) Equalisation  | All pollutants   |  |                                 |
| c) Neutralisation  | Acids, alkalis   | <sub>se</sub> .  |                                 |
| d) Physical separation, e.g. screens, sieves, grit separators, primary settlement tank | Gross solids, suspended solids<br>s  | ited for any other use.  |                                 |
| Physico-chemical treatment   |  | e stor   |                                 |
| e) Adsorption on activated carbon  | Organic compounds including PCDD/F, mercury                                | rite di la companya d |                                 |
| f) Precipitation   | Dissolved metals/metalloids, sulphate                                      |  |                                 |
| g) Oxidation   | Sulphide, sulphite, organic compounds                                      |  |                                 |
| h) Ion exchange  | Dissolved metals/metalloids  |  |                                 |
| i) Stripping   | Purgeable pollutants (e.g. control ammonia/ammonium)                       |  |                                 |
| j) Reverse osmosis   | Ammonia/ammonium, metals/metalloids, sulphate, chloride, organic compounds |  |                                 |
| Final solids removal   |  |  |                                 |
| k) Coagulation and flocculation  |  |  |                                 |
| I) Sedimentation   | <ul> <li>Suspended solids, particulate-bound</li> </ul>                    |  |                                 |
| m) Filtration  | metals/metalloids  |  |                                 |

| Conclusions on BAT    |  |                                   |                          |                  |            | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation) | State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------|---|---|
| n) Flotatio           | on   |                                   |                          |                  |            |   |   |
| (1) The desc          | riptions of the tech   | nniques are given in Section 5.2. | 3.                       |                  | _          |   |   |
|                       | Table 5.7: BAT-AELs for direct emissions to a re  Parameter Process  Total suspended solids (TSS) FGC Bottom ash treatment |                                   | Unit BAT-AEL(1)  10 - 30 |                  | autho      | ges of My any other tise.   |   |
|                       | c carbon (TOC)   | FGC Bottom ash treatment          | -                        | 15 – 40 ection   | on Provide |   |   |
| Metals and metalloids | As   | FGC                               | -                        | 0.01 - 0.05 toll |            |   |   |
|                       | Cd   | FGC                               | _                        | 0.005 0.03       | _          |   |   |
|                       | Cr   | FGC                               | mg/l                     | 0.01 – 0.1       |            |   |   |
|                       | Cu   | FGC                               | <u>-</u>                 | 0.03 – 0.15      |            |   |   |
|                       | Hg   | FGC                               | -                        | 0.001 – 0.01     |            |   |   |
|                       | Ni   | FGC                               | -                        | 0.03 – 0.15      |            |   |   |
|                       | Pb   | FGC Bottom ash treatment          | _                        | 0.02 – 0.06      |            |   |   |

| Conclusions on BAT    |                                |                          |  |               |                       | Applicability Assessment | State whether                              |                   |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------|
|                       |                                |                          |  |               |                       |                          | (describe how the technique applies or not | it is in place or |
|                       |                                |                          |  |               |                       |                          | to your installation)                      | state schedule    |
|                       |                                |                          |  |               |                       |                          | ,  | for               |
|                       |                                |                          |  |               |                       |                          |  | implementation    |
|                       | Sb                             |                          | FGC                                    |               | 0.02-0.9              |                          |  |                   |
|                       | TI                             |                          | FGC                                    |               | 0.005 – 0.03          | •                        |  |                   |
|                       | Zn                             |                          | FGC                                    |               | 0.01 – 0.5            |                          | .Ø.*                                       |                   |
| Ammonium-             | -nitroge                       | en (NH <sub>4</sub> -N ) | Bottom ash treatme                     | nt            | 10 – 30               |                          | otheruse                                   |                   |
| Sulphate (SO          | ) <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ) |                          | Bottom ash treatme                     | nt            | 400 – 10000           | •                        | ्र विभि. समि                               |                   |
| PCDD/F                |                                |                          | FGC                                    | ng<br>TEC     | I- 0.01 – 0.05<br>2/I | 1 Put Pe                 | South any other use.                       |                   |
| 1) The average        | ging pe                        | riods are de             | fined in the General co                | onsiderations | inspect               | WIRE                     |  |                   |
|                       |                                |                          | g is in BAT 6.<br>ssions to a receivir | ng water bo   | ody tologym           | •                        |  |                   |
| Parameter             |                                | Process                  |  | Unit          | BAT AEL(1)            |                          |  |                   |
|                       |                                |                          |  |               | (daily average)       | _                        |  |                   |
|                       | As                             | FGC                      |  |               | 0.01 – 0.05           |                          |  |                   |
|                       | Cd                             | FGC                      |  | _             | 0.005 - 0.03          | <u> </u>                 |  |                   |
|                       | Cr                             | FGC                      |  |               | 0.01 – 0.1            |                          |  |                   |
| Matalana              | Cu                             | FGC                      |  |               | 0.03 – 0.15           | <u> </u>                 |  |                   |
| Metals and metalloids | Hg                             | FGC                      | -                                      |               | 0.001 – 0.01          | _                        |  |                   |

| Conclus   | sions   | on BAT  |                                   |                               | Applicability Assessment  | State whether     |  |
|---|---------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
|   |         |   |                                   |                               | (describe how the technique applies or not  | it is in place or |  |
|   |         |   |                                   |                               | to your installation)   | state schedule    |  |
|   |         |   |                                   |                               |   | for               |  |
|   |         |   |                                   |                               |   | implementation    |  |
|   | Ni      | FGC   | mg/l                              | 0.03 – 0.15                   |   |                   |  |
|   | Pb      | FGC Bottom ash treatment  |                                   | 0.02 – 0.06                   |   |                   |  |
|   | Sb      | FGC   | <del>-</del>                      | 0.02–0.9                      | uge.  |                   |  |
|   | TI      | FGC   | -                                 | 0.005 – 0.03                  | es of the any other life.   |                   |  |
|   | Zn      | FGC   |                                   | 0.01 – 0.5                    | E office of the second of the |                   |  |
| PCDD/F  |         | FGC   | ng I-TEQ/I                        | 0.01 – 0.05 putt              |   |                   |  |
| and equipp  | eu appr | ay not apply if the downstream opriately to abate the pollutant llution in the environment. | waste water tr<br>s concerned, pi | rovided this does not lead to |   |                   |  |
| The assoc   | ciated  | monitoring is in BAT 6.   |                                   | Consent                       |   |                   |  |
| 5.1.7 N   | lateri  | al efficiency   |                                   |                               |   |                   |  |
| BAT 35.   |         |   |                                   |                               | Applicable  | Yes               |  |
| In order to increase resource efficiency, BAT is to handle and treat bottom ashes separately from FGC residues. |         |   |                                   |                               | Bottom ash and FGC residues are handled and treated separately.   |                   |  |

Dublin Waste to Energy

| Conclusions on BAT            |  |   | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|-------------------|
| CONCIUSIONS ON DAT            |  |   |  |                   |
|                               |  |   | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|                               |  | 1   | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|                               |  |   |  | for               |
|                               |  |   |  | implementation    |
| BAT 36.                       |  | ١   | Not applicable   | Not applicable    |
| ashes, BAT is to use an appro | e efficiency for the treatment of slags and be opriate combination of the techniques give depending on the hazardous properties of t   | ren below   I<br>f the slags   I<br>t   | Ash is not treated on site, it is exported for recovery. Incinerator Bottom ash (IBA), boiler ash and Air Pollution Control Residues (APCR) are generated during the waste to energy process.  |                   |
| Technique                     | Description Applie   |   | In summary, IBA constitutes the largest percentage of solid waste products resulting from the combustion   |                   |
| a) Screening and sieving      | Oscillating screens, vibrating screens and rotary screens are used for an initial application of the bottom ashes by size before further treatment   | erally cable purposition to the cable cable to the cable | grate, the IBA falls down the bottom ash chute into the water bath of the wet ash extractor. The IBA is cooled in the water bath by evaporation. From the water bath,  |                   |
| b) Crushing                   | Mechanical treatment operations intended to prepare materials for the recovery of metals or for the subsequent use of those materials, e.g. in road and earthworks construction.   | cable t   | the IBA removed by the bottom ash extractor is discharged onto a conveyor to the bottom ash bunker for temporary storage. The IBA consists of non-hazardous and inert materials from the combustion  |                   |
| c) Aeraulic separation        | Aeraulic separation is used to sort the light, unburnt fractions commingled in the bottom ashes by blowing off light fragments.  A vibrating table is used to transport the bottom ashes to a chute, where the material falls through an air stream that blows uncombusted light materials, such as wood, paper or plastic, onto a removal belt or into a container, so that they can be returned to incineration. | cable I   | process such as glass, metal, earth and other fractions. It is stored in a separate bottom ash bunker with sealed surfaces. The bottom ash bunker is located adjacent to the boiler area on the west side of the site. The bottom ash bunker has a capacity of 10,000 tonnes. Included in the bottom ash bunker are grate siftings which comprise fine ash that falls through the grate bars of the furnace. These grate siftings are collected in hoppers under the grate and are transferred by conveyor belt to the bottom ash bunker. IBA is |                   |

Application ID LA003577

| Conclusions on BAT                                |  | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|---|--|--|-------------------|
|   |  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|   |  | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|   |  |  | for               |
|   |  |  | implementation    |
| d) Recovery of ferrous and non-<br>ferrous metals | Different techniques are used, including:         magnetic separation for ferrous metals         eddy current separation for nonferrous metals         induction all-metal separation  | transported in covered trucks to Dublin Port located on the other side of Pigeon House Road for transfer to ship and subsequent delivery to a recovery facility. At present the approved recovery facility in the Netherlands recovers the metal (ferrous and nonferrous) from the IBA. The remaining IBA material is used as aggregate in road building, embankments, road barriers and concrete pads for solar parks. It is intended to carry out this activity, through a 3 <sup>rd</sup> party, in Ireland once the prerequisite licences and approvals are granted.  Air Pollution Control Residues (APCR) containing fly ash, calcium-based salts, lime and activated carbon which is retained in the fabric filters in the air pollution control system is collected in hoppers located beneath the fabric filters. 90% of this material is recirculated back into the air pollution control system to maximise the reuse of the reagents and enhance the performance of the system. The remaining APCR collected in the hoppers is continuously discharged via a screw conveyor to two fully enclosed steel tanks (silos) located west of the flue gas cleaning area. The silos have sealed surfaces and a gross volume of 700m <sup>3</sup> . |                   |
| e) Ageing   | The ageing process stabilises the mineral fraction of the bottom ashes by uptake of atmospheric CO2 (carbonation), draining of excess water and oxidation. Bottom ashes, after the recovery of metals, are stored in the open air or in covered buildings for several weeks, generally on an impermeable floor allowing for drainage and run-off water to be collected for treatment.  The stockpiles may be wetted to optimise the moisture content to favour the leaching of salts and the carbonation process. The wetting of bottom ashes also helps prevent dust emissions. |  |                   |
| f) Washing  | The washing of bottom ashes enables the production of a material for recycling with minimal leachability of soluble substances (e.g. salts)  |  |                   |
|   |  | The silos are equipped with High Efficiency Particulate Abatement (HEPA) filers. The APCR is   |                   |

| Conclusions on BAT         |  |  | Applicability Assessment   | State whether     |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------|
|                            |  |  | (describe how the technique applies or not   | it is in place or |
|                            |  |  | to your installation)  | state schedule    |
|                            |  |  |  | for               |
|                            |  |  |  | implementation    |
|                            |  |  | transported off site in closed containers for recovery which currently takes place in Norway and in a salt mine in Germany.  |                   |
|                            |  |  | Nee.   |                   |
| 5.1.8 Noise                |  |  | Sand icable  |                   |
| BAT 37.                    |  |  | Applicable   | Yes               |
|                            | ent or, where that is not practica<br>e or a combination of the techni |  | The following noise reduction measures are implemented to meet the local noise requirements:  - The main entrance for waste trucks is located close                                |                   |
| Technique                  | Description  | Applicability  | to the ramp to avoid unnecessary truck movement  |                   |
| a) Appropriate location of | Noise levels can be reduced by increasing the distance between         | In the case of existing plants, the relocation of equipment may be | on the Facility leading to increased noise emanating from the Facility.  - The main entrance gate is located in such a position that the building structure of the Facility itself |                   |
| equipment ar<br>buildings  | ů .  | restricted by a lack of space or by excessive costs                |  |                   |

| Conclusions o                                    | n BAT   |   | Applicability Assessment (describe how the technique applies or not to your installation)  | State whether it is in place or state schedule for implementation |
|--|---|---|--|---|
|  | provisions for noise control<br>during maintenance activities   |   |  | Implementation  |
| c) Low-noise equipment                           | This includes low-noise compressors, pumps and fans   | Generally applicable when existing equipment is replaced or new equipment is installed          |  |   |
| d) Noise<br>attenuation                          | Noise propagation can be reduced<br>by inserting obstacles between the<br>emitter and the receiver.<br>Appropriate obstacles include<br>protection walls, embankments<br>and buildings. | In the case of existing plants, the insertion of obstacles may be restricted by a lack of space | se of the first of |   |
| e) Noise-control<br>equipment/<br>infrastructure | This includes:     noise-reducers     equipment insulation     enclosure of noisy equipment     soundproofing of buildings  | In the case of existing plants the retained applicability may be limited by a lack of space     |  |   |