

Comhairle Contae an Chláir

Clare County Council

Central Waste Management Facility, Ballyduffbeg, Inagh, County Clare.

Annual Environmental Report

2016

Prepared by: Environment section, Clare County Council.

1.	TABLE	OF CONTENTS	

1.0		Contents
2.0		Introduction
	2.1	Background
	2.2	Reporting Period
	2.3	Waste Activities Carried Out at the Central Waste Management Facility
3.0		Materials/Waste transported on/off site
	3.1	Quantity of Disposed Waste
	3.2	Waste Received in Civic Amenity Site for Offsite Landfill
	3.3	Details of Waste Received in the Civic Amenity Area for Recycling/Recovery
	3.4	Leachate Quantities
	3.5	Landfill Gas Management January to December 2016
	3.6	Resource and Energy Consumption Summary
4.0		Summary of Monitoring and Emissions
	4.1	General
	4.2	Landfill Gas
	4.3	Landfill Gas Flare
	4.4	Dust
	4.5	Noise
	4.6	Surface Water, Groundwater and Leachate
	4.6.1	Surface Water
	4.6.2	Groundwater
	4.6.3	Leachate
	4.7	Biological and Ecological Monitoring
	4.8	Summary Details re EPA Site Visits/Audits During 2016
5.0		Works programme 2016-2017
	5.1	Report of Development Works for 2016
	5.2	Proposed Development Works 2017
	5.3	Progress to Site Restoration
	5.4	Site Survey and Remaining Void Capacity
6.0		Financial Aspects
	6.1	Annual Budget and Operating Costs
	6.2	Portion of Gate Fees for Environmental Improvement Projects
	6.3	Review of Environmental Liabilities
7.0		Review
	7.1	Nuisance Controls
	7.1.1	Litter Control
	7.1.2	Birds
	7.1.3	Vermin

7.0		Review (cont'd)	
	7.1.4	Fires	33
	7.1.5	Vehicles	33
	7.1.6	Odours	33
	7.1.7	Dust	33
	7.1.8	Visual Intrusion	34
	7.1.9	Noise	34
	7.1.10	Complaints Register	34
	7.2	Landfill Environmental Management Plan	35
	7.3	Programme of Public Information	35
	7.4	Management and Staffing Structure	36
	7.5	Environmental Incidents and Complaints	36
	7.6	Waste Reduction and Recovery	36
	7.7	Report on Biodegradable Waste/Diversion From Landfill	37
	7.8	Report on Progress in Meeting the Requirements of the Landfill Directive	37
	7.9	Statement on the Achievement of the Waste Acceptance and Treatment	38
		Obligations of the Waste Licence	
	7.10	Statement of Compliance with Relevant Updates of the Regional Waste	38
		Management Plan	
	7.11	Updates/Amendments to the Odour Management Plan	38
8.0		Appendices	
	8.1	Licensed Activities at CWMF	
	8.2	Water Balance Calculation	
	8.3	Management Structure	
	8.4	Summary of Incident Reports	
	8.5	Monitoring Point Location Map	
	8.6	List of Site Standard Operating Procedures	
	8.7	Gas Survey Returns for 2016	
	8.8	PRTR Returns for 2016	

2. INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background

The Central Waste Management Facility (CWMF) located at Ballyduff Beg, Inagh, County Clare, was granted a waste licence by the EPA on 13th June 2001 (W0109-01). A revised licence was issued in March 2010 (W0109-02).

Landfilling commenced at the site on the 30th September 2002 and ceased on the 26th November 2011 on completion of filling of the last constructed cell (cell 13). The Annual Environmental Report is prepared in compliance with Condition 2.3 of the licence.

2.2 Reporting Period

This report covers the period January 1st to December 31st 2016.

2.3 Waste Activities carried out at CWMF.

Waste activities at the CWMF are restricted to those outlined in "Part 1, Activities Licensed" of W0109-02. These are reproduced in Appendix 8.1 of this report.

The Civic Amenity (CA) site provides recycling receptacles for the collection and recycling of various recyclable waste streams, the materials accepted are detailed in Table 3.1. The Civic Amenity Site remains in operation.

Small quantities of municipal waste were accepted from householders in the civic amenity site during the year. The waste was removed from site by a third party waste contractor and brought to their facility for further processing for offsite landfill and/or diversion to waste-to-energy facilities.

A composting facility was developed in 2006 to accept and treat green waste from domestic customers only. The green waste is composted in an aerated static pile and the mature compost is used within the site as a soil conditioner. The facility has diverted just under 4,000 tonnes of garden green waste from landfill between 2006 and the end of 2016. This facility remains in operation.

3. MATERIALS/WASTE TRANSPORTED ON/OFF SITE

3.1 Quantity of disposed waste

No waste was accepted for onsite landfill during the year. Small quantities of household waste were accepted for offsite landfill (see Section 3.2).

3.2 Waste Received in the Civic Amenity Site for Offsite Landfill

Residual (landfill) waste from householders and small commercial outlets is loaded into a hopper in the civic amenity site. The waste is moved into a sealed container by means of a static compactor. The container is uncoupled from the compactor and moved off site when full, normally twice per week. A total of 969 tonnes of residual waste was

accepted from householders in 2016, inclusive of mattresses and other bulky waste items and environmental cleanup material.

3.3 Waste Received in the Civic Amenity Site for Recovery

Various receptacles are provided within the CA site for collection of recyclable waste. The waste streams and tonnages received during the year for recycling are shown in Table 3.1 and in figure 3.1. The collection of waste electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) commenced on August 13th 2005. All WEEE is stored on site prior to collection by the nominated contractor.


Green waste composting commenced in January 2006, using aerated static pile technique to process domestic green waste received directly in the CWMF CA site and also green waste brought from Lisdeen, Ennis and Shannon CA sites. Exhaust air from the aerated static pile is drawn through a woodchip biofilter to protect against odours. The compost is turned regularly and compost temperature is recorded. The finished product is tested in accordance with the requirements of W01090-02. Approximately 4,000 tonnes of green waste has been recovered to date. The compost product is used mainly as a soil conditioner within the site.

Hard plastics have been accepted at the facility for recovery since mid 2008.

In early 2009, construction & demolition waste from domestic customers was accepted with the agreement of the Agency. This material was used in the construction of haul roads within the operational landfill. With the closure of the landfill, there was no further recovery outlet for this material within the site and this waste stream is no longer accepted.

TABLE 3.1: QUANTITY (TONNES) OF MATERIALS RECYCLED/RECOVERED IN 2016

Material	Quantity	Material	Quantity	Material	Quantity
Glass Bottles	27.2	Textiles	9.02	Waste cooking oil	0
WEEE	117	Lead acid batteries	1.78	Waste mineral oil	3.95
Food/drink cans	9.06	portable batteries	2.10	Timber	170
Paint, Varnish	18.7	Plastic bottles	20.6	Fluorescent tubes	0.58
Plate Glass	31.6	Hard plastics	56.6	Oil filters	0.54
Tetra Pak	1.74	Metal	146	TOTAL	1324
Paper/ cardboard	107	Green waste	600	TOTAL	1324



■Hard plastics

□Timber

■ Metal

□ Fluorescent tubes

□ Green waste

Oil filters

Figure 3.1: Quantity of Household Material Recycled/Recovered during 2016 (percentage by weight)

3.4 Leachate Quantities/Water Mass Balance.

□Batteries, lead acid

■Waste cooking oil


□Plastic bottles

■Waste mineral_oil

Leachate is pumped from a collection sump at the base of each landfill cell to a storage tank (tank 2) located in the lower CA site. Pumps are controlled via the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system to start and stop at pre-set levels within the cell sumps. Potentially contaminated stormwater from designated areas in the CA site is discharged to a second leachate storage tank (tank 1). Leachate is pumped from these tanks and transported to wastewater treatment plants in Lisdoonvarna, Sixmilebridge and the Limerick Main Drainage waste water treatment plant in Limerick City. The leachate removal contractor for 2016 was Martin Ryan Transport.

A total of 9,643 tonnes of leachate was transported off site during 2016. The quantities moved each month are graphed against monthly rainfall in figure 3.2 below. Estimated effective rainfall is also shown on the graph (calculated using Met Eireann rainfall data for Ennistymon and PE data for Shannon). Although transpiration rates would be zero for unvegetated areas such as concrete and lined side slopes, some reduction in rainfall volumes would be expected due to evaporation during summer months.

Fig. 3.2: Monthly Rainfall and Leachate Levels, 2016:



As expected, leachate levels are closely linked to rainfall, with highest tanker volumes in the first and fourth quarter, when effective rainfall was highest.

Annual leachate and rainfall volumes from 2003 to 2016 are graphed below in figures 3.3 and 3.4. As can be seen from the graphs, up to 2008/2009, leachate volumes increased with the development of new landfill cells. Volumes reduced after 2009 partly due to lower annual rainfall and also due to the implementation of active leachate reduction measures pursued under Objective 3 of the Facility EMS. These measures included i) the installation of rainflaps on all side slopes adjoining the active cell to minimise infiltration of clean rainwater into the waste body; ii) during 2010, kerbing was installed along the civic amenity site lower road to minimise overflow of clean rainwater from the road onto the leachate collection area, a problem which had previously occurred during extreme rainfall events; iii) in October 2011, with the approval of the EPA, modifications were made to the storm drains at the upper level of the civic amenity site, diverting rainfall from this area to the stormwater lagoon. The upper CA site drains previously discharged to the leachate-holding tank. Leachate volumes from the lower CA site were further reduced by diversion of clean runoff away from the vehicle storage area and by reducing its size. Additional modifications continue to be investigated to reduce volumes of civic amenity site runoff discharging to the leachate management system.

Fig: 3.3: Annual Leachate Tonnages (2003 to 2016)





Figure 3.4: Summary of Annual Leachate and Rainfall Volumes, 2006 to 2016 (No. of cells filled or part-filled by end of each year is also shown on graph)



A monthly water balance calculation for the site is attached in Appendix 8.2. This calculation gives a theoretical leachate volume of 7,790m³ (tonnes) for 2016 using total rainfall data for concrete areas and estimated effective rainfall data for capped areas. The actual leachate volume tankered offsite in 2016 was 9,643 tonnes, which is higher than the calculated estimate. Short periods of intense rainfall could in part account for this as they may result in localised runoff to leachate collection areas.

3.5 Landfill Gas Management, January to December 2016

During 2016, a total of 334,818_kg of methane was extracted from thirteen permanently capped cells, five in phase one (cells 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5), four in phase two (cells 6, 7, 8 and 9) and four in phase 3 (cells 10, 11, 12 and 13) and flared in the site enclosed landfill gas flare. All gas extraction has been via capped cells since lining works on the last cell (cell 13) were completed in mid-January 2012. The final gas piping arrangement was in place by August 2012, on completion of earthworks on the cell 13 top slope. Gas is drawn around the site via a ring main system. Five manifolds are in place for measuring gas well levels and controlling suction for cells 1 to 11. The method of measurement and control was changed for cell 12 and 13 wells, with the manifold system replaced by a system of separate control valves at each well.

Because landfill gas rates vary due to differential decomposition of the waste, the level of methane generation in each waste cell fluctuates, decreasing over time as the waste biodegrades. Extraction must be regulated so as to optimise methane concentration and minimise oxygen levels in the landfill gas. This is done by regular gas field monitoring. Monitoring is supported by pressure checks on wells to ensure that all wells are under negative pressure and that there are no blockages in gas lines. Maintaining the integrity of the pipe network and freeing blocked lines is an essential element of effective gas management.

Flare downtime totalled 71 hours during the year, due to various reasons including essential servicing/ maintenance. The service company used is Irish Biotech Systems Ltd (IBSL).

An application was submitted to ESB Networks during 2009 for a grid connection for an engine with the total generating capacity of 1MW. A connection agreement offer was received in 2010, with a cost of close to 1m euro. The high cost of the grid connection and the early closure of the landfill made this proposal economically non-viable.

3.6 Resource and Energy Consumption Summary

Resource and energy consumption figures for plant/equipment used during 2016 are outlined below:

TABLE 3.2: RECORDED DIESEL CONSUMPTION IN 2016

Plant/Machinery	Unit	Quantity
Generator/pumps/miscellaneous (diesel in cans)	litres	300
JCB	litres	980
Tractors	litres	489+736
CCC Site Vehicle (Toyota Pick-up)	litres	640.11
Total amount of Diesel Consumed:	litres	3145.11

Diesel consumption figures are based on Council machinery yard records.

Electricity consumption was 67,850 kWh for the reporting period January to December 2016.

4. SUMMARY OF MONITORING AND EMISSIONS

4.1 General

Section 4.0 presents the results of groundwater, surface water, leachate, noise, dust and flare monitoring for compliance with Condition 9 of Waste Licence W0109-02 for the period January to December 2016. Monitoring was conducted in accordance with Schedule E of the Waste Licence as indicated in Table 4.1 below.

TABLE 4.1: CENTRAL WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY (W0109-02) MONITORING SCHEDULE

Schedule	Monitoring Requirement
E.1	Landfill Gas
E.2	Landfill Gas Flare
E.3	Dust
E.4	Noise
E.5	Surface water, Groundwater and Leachate

Monitoring was carried out at the locations and frequencies specified in each of the above referenced schedules of the Waste Licence unless otherwise noted in this report. Surface water, groundwater, leachate, noise and dust monitoring surveys were conducted by John Rea Environmental (JRE). Conservation Services assessed biological quality of surface waters. Biannual monitoring of flare stack emissions was carried out by Air Scientific subcontracted to JRE. Environmental monitoring locations are shown in Drawing No. 1, attached in Appendix 8.5.

4.2 Landfill Gas Monitoring

Also see Section 3.5 above. Monitoring of waste body wells was carried out on a monthly basis throughout the year, except where gas quality issues indicated that additional checks were required. Perimeter gas wells were monitored on a weekly basis throughout the year. Results were submitted to the Agency in monthly reports via EDEN. As for previous years, methane levels at a number of the perimeter wells were elevated during the year. This is believed to be due to the ongoing decomposition of vegetation left in place prior to construction of the embankments. A number of investigations have been carried out which confirm this assessment, including pumping trials, monitoring of hydrogen sulphide as a marker gas, use of spike bars on the landfill side of the access road, and the installation of additional monitoring wells. Odour Monitoring Ireland (OMI) carried out a comparative assessment of the VOC profile of the landfill gas and of the perimeter well gas in 2007 and again in 2010. Results showed no similarity between waste body and perimeter well VOC type and level, providing further confirmation that the source of the perimeter well gas is unrelated to landfilling activities.

4.3 Landfill Gas Flare

Flare stack emissions were monitored on two occasions during the year. Results were submitted to the Agency in separate reports for each survey. A summary of survey findings is given in table 4.2 below:

TABLE 4.2: FLARE MONITORING RESULTS:

Parameter (units)	10/05/16	15/11/16	Emission Limit
Nitrogen Oxides (NO _x) as NO ₂ (mg/Nm³)	121.5	104.7	150 mg/m ³ for Flare Stacks
Carbon Monoxide (CO) (mg/Nm³)	1.7	5.58	50 mg/m ³ for Flare Stacks
Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂) (mg/Nm³)	223.77	19.9	-
Temperature (⁰ K)	1,251	1,251	-
Total volatile organic carbon (VOC)	4.97		-
Flow Rate (from flare data)	139	173	
TA Luft organics (mg/Nm ³)	1.07	-	20 mg/m³ (at mass flows >0.1kg/hr)
HCl (mg/Nm³)	22.46	-	50 mg/m ³ (at mass flows >0.3kg/hr)
HF (mg/Nm ³)	0.86	-	5 mg/m³ (at mass flows >0.05 kg/hr)

All monitoring results were within emission limit values specified in Schedule F.4 of Waste Licence W0109-02.

4.4 Dust

Three ambient dust-monitoring surveys were carried out during the year. Results are summarised below in table 4.3.

TABLE 4.3: TOTAL DUST MONITORING RESULTS.

Sample location		Limit for Dust Deposition		
location	2 ND June to 7 th July 2016	10 th August to 15 th September 2016	15 th September to 2 nd Nov 2016	(mg/m²/day)
ST1	96.75	176.8	34.9	350
ST2	87.18	111.7	89.3	350
ST6	344.67 (bird waste in collection vessel)	149.9	146.6	350
ST7	226.25 (bird waste in collection vessel)	102.9	66.9	350

As can be seen from table 4.3, all results were below the licence limit of 350mg/m²/day.

 PM_{10} monitoring was carried out at four locations using size selective sampling and gravimetric analysis. Results are shown in table 4.4 below:

TABLE 4.4: PM₁₀ MONITORING RESULTS.

Monitoring Location:	ST1	ST2	ST6	ST7					
Monitoring period:		5 th and 6 th July 2016							
24 hour average PM ₁₀ , ug/m ³	26.13	36.33 5.56 16.98							

All results were within the licence limit of 50 ug/m³.

4.5 Noise

Results of noise monitoring surveys carried out during the year are summarised in Table 4.5. Survey results were submitted to the Agency in the environmental monitoring report for each quarter.

Noise results were quite similar to previous survey results. Noise levels at locations NS4, NS5 and NS6 were in compliance with the 55dB(A) daytime limit. Noise levels at NS1, NS2 and NS3 were above licence limits. The elevated noise levels at NS1, NS2 and NS3 were attributed to passing road traffic, as is reflected by the elevated L_{A10} readings obtained at each monitoring point. Monitoring consultants concluded that site noise did not contribute to the measured noise levels at these locations.

TABLE 4.5: ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE SURVEY RESULTS.

Location		8 th June 2016			12 th July 2016			
ID	L _{Aeq} ,	L _{A90,}	L _{A10,} L _{Aeq,}		L _{A90,}	L _{A10,}		
	30min	30min	30min	30min	30 min	30 min		
	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)		
NS1	57.5	40.4	60.4	58.6	42.9	60.6		
NS2	57.0	43.0	60.5	57.8	44.0	66.6		
NS3	57.5	39.3	61.4	57.5	44.4	61.2		
NS4	40.0	37.0	41.2	44.5	40.6	46.7		
NS5	31.0	36.5	38.0	41.2	38.7	43.7		
NS6	44.0	37.9	43.1	42.1	39.3	44.9		

4.6 Surface Water, Groundwater and Leachate

4.6.1 Surface Water

Surface water monitoring was carried out on a quarterly basis at SW1, SW1a, SW2, SW3, SW4, SW5, SW7, SW8, SW9, SW10, SW11 and SW12 (an eastern boundary drain feeding into Stream 2). Monthly surface water monitoring was carried out at the inlet to the stormwater ponds (SW inlet 1 and SW inlet 2) and outlet from the sand filters for both ponds (SW outlet 1 and SW outlet 2). Access to sample point SW6 is not permitted by the landowner. Samples were

analysed for the parameters specified in Schedule E5 of Waste Licence W0109-02, including monthly total suspended solids monitoring at all locations. Weekly surface water visual inspections were also carried out. Sample locations are shown on the drawing attached in Appendix 8.5.


Results for key parameters (ammonia, BOD and total suspended solids) are discussed in this report. Detailed results of all surface water monitoring carried out during the year have previously been submitted to the Agency in separate reports for each quarter.


Stormwater ponds at the site discharge to boundary streams which flow on to the Inagh river. The Inagh River in the vicinity of the landfill is assigned Good Status under the Water Framework Directive.


Where applicable, surface water monitoring results are compared with the limits for Good Status waters specified in the Surface Water Regulations (SI 272 of 2009).

4.6.1.1. Surface Water BOD:


The BOD results for the inlets and outlets to settlement ponds 1 and 2 are presented in Fig 4.1. BOD results for surface water streams and for the Inagh river are graphed in figures 4.2 to 4.5. The surface water environmental quality standard (EQS) for BOD (95%ile) for Good Status waters is shown on the graphs for comparative purposes.







Page 13 of 59






Figure 4.5: Surface Water BOD Levels

During Q1 of 2016, all monthly samples from the inlet and outlet of the surface water settlement ponds contained a BOD of <2.6mg/l. All other BODs from the remaining surface water sampling locations ranged from 1-2mg/l. All results were below the surface water EQS of 2.6mg/l O2.

During Q2 of 2016, the outlet from Pond 1 and the inlet to Pond 2 each had a BOD of 4mg/l in April. This exceeds the surface water EQS but samples collected at all other surface water locations, including immediately downstream of the ponds were below the EQS. Results for June 2016 were below the surface water EQS for all sample locations except SW3 & SW10 (upstream of site), which marginally exceeded the EQS at 3mg/l.

In July 2016 (Q3) the BOD from the outlet of Pond 1 had a BOD of 3mg/l. SW4 & SW8 each yielded a BOD of 3mg/l also. All results are marginally above the 2009 surface water regulation standard of 2.6mg/l O2. It must be noted that the surface water levels in July were low and so the lower volume in surface waters sampled may have contributed to the slightly elevated BODs at these locations.

All monthly samples taken from the inlets and outlets of the settlement ponds, and all other surface water sampling locations during Q4 were below the 2.6mg/l standard.

4.6.1.2 Surface Water Ammonia:

Monthly analysis is carried out at the inlets to and outlets from the surface water settlement ponds. Quarterly analysis is carried out at all other surface water sampling locations. Surface water ammonia levels in Streams 1, 2 and 3 and in the lnagh river are graphed in figures 4.6 to 4.9.

0.6 Inagh River, SW7 an 0.5 Ammonia N (ppm) 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 AQ1.76 Jul-16 AUB 16 May16 Jun-16 260,00,40 404.06c.70

- SW7


Figure 4.6: Surface Water Ammonia Levels

Inagh River, SW9 and SW10 0.6 0.5 Ammonia N (ppm) 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 0 AUE'16 00,76 141.76 MON. 76 — SW9 —■— SW10 — EQS

Figure 4.7: Surface Water Ammonia Levels

Figure 4.8: Surface Water Ammonia Levels

EOS



In Q1, all quarterly samples collected were less than the 2009 surface water EQS of 0.14mg/l, with the exception of SW5 which yielded a value of 0.41mg/l. However, this monitoring location is upstream of the CWMF site. All surface water samples taken within the site were under the 0.14 mg/l limit.

In Q2, there was a marginal exceedance in June with SW4 yielding an ammonia level of 0.17 mg/l. SW 4 is located east of the CWMF and is also upstream of the site. All surface water samples taken within the site were under the 0.14 mg/l limit.

For Q3 & Q4 all the quarterly surface water samples analysed were within the limit of 0.14 mg/l.

Ammonia levels in stormwater pond inlet and outlet samples are graphed below in figure 4.10:

0.6 0.5 Ammonia N (ppm) 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 Jan-16 Feb-16 Apr-16 Jul-16 Nov-16 Dec-16 Mar-16 May-16 Jun-16 Aug-16 Sep-16 Oct-16 SW inlet (pond 1) -SW Outlet (pond 1) SW inlet (pond 2) SW Outlet (pond 2) SW EQS

Figure 4.10: Ammonia results for inlet and outlet samples, Ponds 1 and 2

As can be seen from the graph, all ammonia results for surface water pond samples were below the EQS for good status waters with the exception of an Outlet 2 sample for June 2016 (0.16mg/l), which marginally exceeded the EQS. All SW Inlet samples were well below the EQS.

4.6.1.3 Surface Water Suspended Solids.

Surface water monitoring locations were visually inspected each week for turbidity, gross solids, colour and surface film. Monthly samples were analysed for suspended solids levels. Results for 2016 are graphed below in figures 4.11 to 4.15. The 25ppm suspended solids limit for Salmonid waters is included in figures 4.11 to 4.14 for comparative purposes only. The site boundary streams and the lnagh river are not designated salmonid waters. The 35ppm licence limit for surface waters discharging from the site is shown on figure 4.15.

Inagh River suspended solids levels were below the 25ppm Salmonid limit for all samples taken during the year. Suspended solids results for streams 1, 2 and 3 are shown in figures 4.13 and 4.14 below.

As can be seen from figure 4.13, suspended solids levels in Stream 1 exceeded the Salmonid limit in April 2016 in a site drain (SW2). All other results for Stream 1 were below 25ppm. Results for Streams 2 and 3 exceeded the 25ppm limit in June (48mg/l) and September (52mg/l).

Suspended solids levels at the outlet for stormwater ponds 1 and 2 are graphed in figure 4.15. The 35ppm licence limit is also shown on the graph. All results were within licence limits for 2016.

| 100 | 90 | 100 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100

Jul-16

- SW7 (u/s) - SW8 (d/s) -

16 16 16 NUB SEP 06

16 16 16 16 16

Oct.16

401, Dec. 10

Figure 4.11: Surface Water Suspended Solids Levels

Figure 4.12: Surface Water Suspended Solids Levels Inagh River, SW9 and SW10




Figure 4.13: Surface Water Suspended Solids Levels Stream 1

0

tep.10 10

| 100 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Figure 4.14: Surface Water Suspended Solids Levels Streams 2 and 3





Figure 4.15: Stormwater Pond Outlet Suspended Solids Levels



4.6.2 Groundwater

Groundwater monitoring was carried out on a monthly basis during the year at BH1a, BH2, BH3, BH4, BH5, BH6As and BH6Ad, BH8, BH9, BH11, BH12s, BH12d, BH13, BH14 and BH15. Four private wells were also monitored. Samples were analysed for the parameters and frequency specified in Schedule E5 of Waste Licence W0109-02. Detailed results of all groundwater monitoring carried out during the year were previously submitted to the Agency in quarterly monitoring reports. Results for key parameters are summarised in this report. Where relevant limits exist, monitoring results are compared with the threshold levels specified in European Communities Environmental Objectives (Groundwater) Regulations, 2010 (S.I. No 9 of 2010), as amended. The threshold levels given in columns 3 and/or 4 of Schedule 5 are used for comparison. These thresholds are annual arithmetic means. For groundwater metals, fluoride, cyanide and sulphate, only one result is available for 2016 as these parameters are monitored annually.

4.6.2.1 Groundwater pH:

Groundwater pH results from January to December 2016 are graphed below in figure 4.16. The original EPA Interim Guidance Value range for pH (6.5 - 9.5) is shown on the graph for comparative purposes. Limits for pH are not specified in the Groundwater Regulations.





Figure 4.16

As can be seen from the graph, pH results were within the upper and lower IGVs for all samples analysed in 2016. There have been periodic elevated pH results obtained intermittently in the area around BH6, caused by localised lime contamination which occurred prior to commencement of landfilling at the site. Lime is not used within the facility and the contamination was attributed at the time to concrete slurry runoff from construction of the belowground chamber for the original BH6 pair of wells. With the approval of the EPA, the original pair of wells was grouted up at the time


and replaced with new wells BH6aS and D. There are occasional instances of elevated pH reported at the replacement wells, due to the slow movement of perched lime-contaminated water through the overburden. When these incidents occur, they are reported to the EPA. There have been 7 instances of elevated pH between the two wells in the past ten years of monthly pH monitoring. This problem is localised and intermittent, and is due to slowly moving lime contaminated runoff. There were no such instances in 2016.


Figure 4.17



4.6.2.2. Groundwater Conductivity:

Groundwater conductivity results for 2016 are graphed in figures 4.18 and 4.19. The threshold value of 1,875us/cm (from S.I. 9, 2010, as amended) is shown on the graphs for comparative purposes (in ms/cm).







As can be seen from the graphs, conductivity levels at all wells were satisfactory for 2016.

4.6.2.3 Groundwater Ammonia

Groundwater ammonia results for 2016 are graphed below in figures 4.20 and 4.21. The groundwater ammonia threshold of 0.175ppm (from S.I.9, 2010) is also shown on the graphs.





As can be seen from the graphs, ammonia results were elevated above the groundwater threshold for BH1A, BH2, BH3, BH6dA, BH8, BH11, BH13 and BH14. Ammonia levels were intermittently elevated above 0.175ppm in BH9 (upgradient) and BH12. Mean results for bedrock wells are shown below in table 4.6. Where data is available, results are compared with ammonia results from a survey carried out in September 2002, prior to commencement of landfilling at the facility:

Table 4.6: Mean ammonia results for bedrock wells.

	BH1A	BH2	вн3	ВН4	BH5	BH6D	вн8	вн9	BH11	BH12D	BH13
Ammonia-N (ppm) (mean 2016)	0.35	0.29	0.60	0.06	0.06	0.37	0.29	0.17	0.19	0.10	0.36
Ammonia N (ppm) for Sept'02 GW survey (prior to landfill opening)	0.60	0.70	1.10	0.70	<0.2	0.40 (BH6)	0.30	-	0.20	0.30	-

As table 4.6 shows, mean results for BH1, BH2, BH3, BH6D, BH8, BH11 and BH13 exceeded the groundwater threshold level of 0.175ppm (from SI 9, 2010). Highest results were observed in BH3 with a mean of 0.60ppm for the year. However, results were similar to or less than ammonia results for samples analysed prior to commencement of landfilling at the facility. The site and lands upgradient were heavily planted with commercial spruce forestry in the 1980's/1990's. The periodic elevated ammonia results are possibly attributable to the use of fertiliser during the original forestry planting in the mid 1980's. Ammonia results for the water supply well located upgradient of the landfill exceeded the 0.3ppm drinking water limit from 2002 so this well has never been used as a source of drinking water for the site.


4.6.2.4 Groundwater Chloride.

Groundwater chloride levels from 2010 to 2016 are graphed in Figures 4.21 and 4.22. The groundwater chloride threshold of 187.5ppm is included in the graphs for comparative purposes.

250 200 Chloride (ppm) 150 100 50 0 Apr-13 Apr-12 Jan-15 Apr-15 Jul-15 Jul-16 Jan-12 Jul-12 Jan-13 Jul-13 Jan-14 Jul-14 Jan-16 BH 1a BH2 вн3 ВН4 **-** BH5 BH6da вн7 BH8 BH11 **BH9** BH12 **BH13**

Figure 4.22: Groundwater Chloride Levels Bedrock Wells, 2012 to 2016

Figure 4.23: Groundwater Chloride Levels
Overburden Wells, 2012 to 2016



As can be seen from the graphs, all groundwater chloride results were significantly below the groundwater threshold level of 187.5ppm.


4.6.2.5 Groundwater volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds


Groundwater samples were analysed for volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds in July 2016. Results were below method detection limits for all samples.


4.6.2.6 Groundwater metals levels:


Groundwater samples were analysed for metals in July 2016. Results are graphed below in figures 4.24a to 4.24f.


Figure 4.24a to 4.24f; Groundwater Metals Levels (2016)














 $Note: \ The \ limits \ shown \ on \ the \ graphs \ are \ the \ groundwater \ threshold \ values \ specified \ in \ columns \ 3 \ / \ 4 \ of \ Schedule \ 5 \ of \ SI \ 9 \ of \ 2010.$

As can be seen from the graphs, sodium, cadmium, chromium, boron and lead levels were all below the relevant groundwater threshold levels. Although all groundwater mercury results were below detection limits, the detection limit was above the threshold of 0.75ppb.

Results for cyanide and sulphate (not shown) were also below the relevant threshold levels for all samples.

4.6.3 Leachate.

Landfill leachate is discharged to an overground leachate storage tank (tank 2) for transport to a designated wastewater treatment facility. An underground storage tank (tank 1) was installed when the facility was initially developed and up to November 2007, all leachate and potentially contaminated runoff was discharged to this tank. The second tank (Tank 2) was constructed above ground in November 2007 as part of the Phase 3 construction project. This tank now receives all landfill leachate from the waste body. Tank 1 receives only runoff from the composting area and from potentially contaminated areas of the civic amenity site.

Results for tanks 1 and 2, for conductivity, BOD, COD and ammonia, are graphed in figures 4.25 to 4.29 of this report. Detailed results were submitted during the year in the quarterly environmental reports for the facility.

4.6.3.1 Leachate Conductivity

Leachate conductivity levels are graphed in figure 4.25. As would be expected with the diversion of higher strength landfill leachate away from the old leachate lagoon (tank 1) in early 2008, conductivity levels in tank 2, which receives only high strength landfill leachate, are significantly higher than in Tank 1. Conductivity levels are within the range expected for landfill leachate. A five-point moving average trendline of Tank 2 conductivity readings is also shown on the graph (in black). Prior to 2016, conductivity results appeared to be reducing over time but this is not the case when 2016 data is included.




Figure 4.25: Leachate Conductivity Levels

4.6.3.2 Leachate BOD and COD

Leachate BOD and COD results for 2016 are graphed below in figures 4.26 and 4.27.






Figure 4.26: Leachate BOD Levels January to December 2016






Results were as normal for thesite, with higher BOD and COD concentrations observed in landfill leachate samples (tank 2) in comparison to civic amenity site runoff samples (tank 1). The leachate results (tank 2) were as expected for landfill leachate.

The leachate BOD: COD ratio is a useful tool for assessing biodegradability. Ideal ratios should be in the region of 0.3 or greater. The BOD: COD ratio for landfill leachate (tank 2) from February 2009 to December 2016 is graphed below in figure 4.28. The ratio remained below 0.3 throughout the monitoring period. As referred to in the 2015 AER, it is possible that elevated ammonia levels in the leachate contribute to the COD reading whereas a nltrification inhibition step is used to suppress the interference of ammonia in BOD measurement.

Figure 4.28: Leachate BOD:COD Ratio January 2009 to December 2016



4.6.3.3 Leachate Ammonia Levels.


Leachate ammonia results from January to December 2016 are graphed below in figure 4.29. As expected, ammonia levels in tank 2 (landfill leachate tank) were significantly higher than in tank 1 (CA site runoff tank). The mean result for tank 1 was 1.1ppm (max: 7.5ppm). Levels in tank 2 were within the expected range for landfill leachate, with a mean of 334ppm ammonia nitrogen and a maximum result of 538ppm.

800
700
600
300
200
Jan-16 Feb-16 Mar-16 Apr-16 May-16 Jun-16 Jul-16 Aug-16 Sep-16 Oct-16 Nov-16 Dec-16

Figure 4.29: Leachate Ammonia Levels January to December 2016

Figure 4.30 is a graph of landfill leachate ammonia levels between 2010 and 2016. As can be seen from the graph, a gradually reducing trend is evident from the graph, show a gradually reducing trend over time, as would be expected as the waste biodegrades.

Figure 4.30: Leachate Ammonia Levels 2011 to 2016




4.6.3.4 Leachate Chloride Levels

Leachate chloride levels are graphed in figure 4.31 for the period January to December 2016. The mean result for tank 2 was 403ppm. Chloride levels in CA site runoff (tank 1) were considerably lower (mean 15.8ppm).

Figure 4.31: Leachate Chloride Levels January 2016 to December 2016 4000 3500 3000 Chloride (ppm) 2500 2000 1500 1000 500 0 Jan-15 May-15 Sep-15 Jan-16 May-16 Sep-16


Leachate chloride levels since the site opened are graphed below in fig 4.32. As explained elsewhere in this report, landfill leachate was discharged to Tank 1 until Tank 2 was commissioned in late 2007. From then, tank 1 received only civic amenity site runoff; all leachate from landfill cells was diverted to Tank 2. As can be seen from the graph, a


reducing trend in leachate choride levels is evident, particularly since landfilling ceased in November 2011.



4.6.3.5 Leachate Metals Levels

leachate metal results are shown below in figures 4.33 a and b. As expected, levels of metals were significantly higher in tank 2, except where results were below laboratory method detection limits.





In summary, levels of leachate parameters (tank 2) were within the ranges expected for municipal waste landfills. Levels of most parameters were significantly higher in tank 2 than in the civic amenity site runoff tank (tank 1). Variations in BOD, COD, conductivity and ammonia results during the year were most likely due to changes in rainfall level. There is evidence of a reducing trend in ammonia and chloride results over time, but this is not apparent with 2016 leachate conductivity results.

4.7 Biological and Ecological Monitoring.

4.7.1 Biological Monitoring:

A biological monitoring survey was carried out in July 2016. The report concluded that that there is no evidence of an impact from the landfill on surface waters.

4.7.2 Ecological Monitoring:

Ecological monitoring surveys were carried out at the CWMF site during 2016. Surveys included habitat and vegetation survey, countryside bird survey and a survey for hen harrier. The report concluded that whilst there are no natural habitats of significant conservation value within the site, the site does provide useful habitat for a range of local wildlife including some species listed as of conservation importance on the national Red lists and/or legally protected.

4.8 EPA Site Visits

The following is a summary of EPA site visits made during 2016:

• EPA inspectors carried out an announced site inspection on the 4th November 2016. No non compliances were received but a number of observations were noted. These included the detection of localised odours around one gas well and a number of leachate risers (see section 7.16), a small tear observed in the weld between one of the leachate recirculation tanks and the Ildpe liner and works needed around pipework connecting gas wells to the flare. The odour issue was due to a temporary reduction in flare blower speed (from the 1st to the 8th November 2016). This was resolved by increasing the blower back to normal; speed. The odour was localised only and was

not detected elsewhere on site or offsite. The small tear at the recirculation tank was repaired and gas connection pipework was replaced as required.

EPA monitoring personnel were on site in April 2016 to conduct groundwater and surface water monitoring.
 Results were similar to those obtained on behalf of Clare County Council by monitoring consultants.

5. WORKS PROGRAMME 2016/2017

5.1 Development Works carried out during 2016

Site development works that were carried out during the reporting period are outlined in Table 5.1.

TABLE 5.1: LIST OF DEVELOPMENT WORKS CARRIED OUT DURING 2016 (NON-EXHAUSTIVE).

Site Development Works	Completion Date
Removal of chipped biomass from forestry felling	April 2016
Replanting of the felled area adjacent to administration building car park. Replanted with indigenous species	May 2016
Installation of new gas valves on extraction lines	June 2016
Limited grassland and scrub management on the capped and undeveloped areas of the site	Ongoing

5.2 Proposed Development Works 2017

Proposed site development works for 2017 are set out in Table 5.2 below (also see section 7.2).

TABLE 5.2: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WORKS FOR 2017

Description of Works	Date	
Investigation of options to reduce CA site leachate levels	Ongoing through 2017	
Forestry management.	Ongoing through 2017	
Works associated with assessment and protection of designated species	Ongoing through 2017	
Further optimising gas management for cells with poor quality landfill gas	Ongoing through 2017	
Commencement of landfill final capping project	Ongoing through 2017	

5.3 Progress to Site Restoration

A site restoration plan was submitted to the Agency in April 2003. The plan covered the following issues related to the restoration of the site, including:

- Final landfill profile
- Final cap construction

- Access roads
- Proposed land use
- · Fencing and security
- Environmental monitoring and pollution

A revised interim closure plan was issued in December 2011 to address the (interim) closure of the landfill in November 2011. Work commenced during 2016 to prepare the final closure plan. Consultants visited the facility in late 2016 and draft design drawings have now been prepared. These will be submitted for Agency approval shortly.

5.4 Site Survey and Remaining Void Space

There is no remaining constructed void space at this facility. There is an unconstructed area which was originally planned as part of the landfill footprint (phase 4 and 5) but which was not excavated.

6. FINANCIAL ASPECTS

6.1 Annual Budget and Operating Costs

The annual budget for the Central Waste Management Facility for 2016 is outlined in Table 6.1 below.

TABLE 6.1: SUMMARY OF FINANCIAL PROVISIONS 2016

Item	2016 budget
CWMF - Landfill operation	300,000
CWMF - Recycling centre operation	356,000
CWMF - Compost operation	91,000
Total	747,000

6.2 Report on the use of a portion of the waste charges and gate fees on appropriate environmental improvement projects.

A Community Fund was in place throughout the landfill operational period. The fund was introduced under the Government Policy Statement on waste management "Changing Our Ways" - local authorities working in partnership with local communities to mitigate the impact of waste management facilities on these communities through appropriate environmental improvement projects. An amount of €1.27 (index linked) per tonne of waste accepted for disposal at the landfill was allocated to the fund.

A formal structure was in place for distribution of the Fund, which was agreed with the Community Liaison and Monitoring Committee (CLMC). A fund adjudication panel was established, consisting of three members of the CLMC and three external members. Up to and including tranche 4, eligible local communities (Inagh, Cloonanaha and Kilnamona) applied to the committee for funding for community projects. Applications were assessed by the committee, with a weighting criteria applied; projects nearest to the facility carried the greatest weighting. The

approach to distribution of the final tranche (5) was changed with the agreement of the committee. Tranche 5 was distributed during 2014 to residences within a 1.5km radius of the centre of the landfill footprint (filled area) for home improvement projects of an environmental/energy saving nature. The final balance of €62,515 was advertised in December 2016, for projects with an environmental focus. Six applications were received and these are currently being assessed.

6.3 Review of Environmental Liabilities.

An environmental liability risk assessment was carried out for the facility during 2009. The report of this assessment was submitted to the EPA during 2009. Clare County Council submitted revised closure costs as part of the interim CRAMP submitted to the Agency in December 2011. Ongoing measures to protect against the risk of environmental damage are outlined in the Site Procedures Manual and in the EMP for the facility (see also section 7.2 below). A final CRAMP for the facility is currently being prepared.

7. REVIEW

7.1 Nuisance Controls.

Controls are in place to minimise nuisance from litter, birds, vermin, fires, vehicles, odours, dust, visual intrusion and noise associated with activities at the site. A complaints register is maintained at the facility, located in the site administration office. No complaints were received during 2016. Nuisance control measures are described below under separate headings for each nuisance type:

7.1.1 Litter Control

Daily litter inspections are still ongoing within the site. Loose material is gathered and disposed of regularly to keep the site tidy. For main roads outside the facility boundary, periodic cleanups are arranged with Area Roads staff. For health and safety reasons, this work must be carried out by a team under the supervision of suitably trained staff.

7.1.2 Birds

Clare County Council employed Falcon Bird Control to control bird activities on site up to completion of earthworks on the last filled cell, in July 2012. Bird control measures are no longer required at the facility.

7.1.3 Vermin

Curtin Pest Control is employed by Clare County Council to control rodents. The company carries out monthly inspections on site and maintains a baiting programme to control rat or mice infestations. Details of the inspections and baiting programme are kept on file at the facility.

7.1.4 Fires

Adequate fire fighting equipment capable of handling small outbreaks of fire is maintained on site. Site staff are trained in the use of the equipment. In the event that a fire breaks out, it will be treated as an emergency and dealt with immediately. The county Fire Brigade and the EPA will be contacted in the event of any fire-related incident.

7.1.5 Vehicles

All roads around the Civic Amenity area, the access roads to the flare and the old stormwater pond are tarred. Access roads around the landfill footprint are stone-coated. Until the completion of earthworks on the last filled cell, these roads were regularly cleaned and scraped with fresh stone applied as required. However as heavy vehicles no longer access internal roads around the landfill area, the haul roads around the landfill footprint are not currently maintained except for regular wetting to suppress dust during periods of prolonged dry weather.

7.1.6 Odours

Waste odours:

The landfill is closed. Small quantities of wet waste are accepted in the civic amenity site, for temporary storage in a closed container prior to removal by a third party contractor. This container is closed and sealed at the end of every work shift and is removed from site twice per week. No odours have been detected by the odour patrol or by site staff since November 2011.

Landfill Gas Odours:

The landfill is closed and capped. Landfill gas odours are controlled by continuous extraction from 70 gas wells located at spaced intervals throughout the waste body. Gas levels are gradually reducing as the waste ages. Potential odours from the main point sources (leachate riser pipes) are controlled by means of specially-designed seals on pipe ends combined with gas extraction from the pipes. Potential odours from gas extraction wells are controlled by connection to the extraction network and the use of outer rings around the wells, filled with wetted bentonite clay. Odour Monitoring Ireland carried out surface VOC profile surveys on the landfill cap on one occasion during 2016 (Agency approval was received in 2014 to reduce the assessment frequency to once per annum). No emissions were detected. Up to August 2014, daily odour patrols were carried out on-site and offsite by a member of site staff accompanied by a Council employee based in the environment section in Ennis. The procedure was modified in August 2014 with the approval of the Agency; daily odour patrols are now carried out within the site only. In the event that odours are detected on site, this triggers a full off site patrol. No odours were detected offsite during 2016. However localised gas odours were detected in the vicinity of leachate riser sheds between the 2nd and the 9th November. This was due to the fact that the flare blower speed had been reduced from 30% to 25% on the 1st November 2016, with a resultant drop in gas field extraction pressure. The blower reduction was one of a number of measures undertaken to improve gas concentrations in the flare inlet. The blower was increased back to 30% on the 8th November and a full field balance was carried out. This resolved the localised odour issue at leachate risers.

A monthly odour report is completed by site staff and retained on file in the administration office. This report provides useful summary information in relation to odour performance and the effectiveness of the various odour control measures employed at the facility.

7.1.7 Dust

Site access roads are water-sprayed in dry weather to suppress dust. Ambient dust monitoring is carried out three times per annum at four boundary locations in accordance with the conditions of the waste licence. All results for 2016 were within the ambient dust limit of 350mg/m² per day. See Section 4.4 for further details.

7.1.8 Visual Intrusion

The principal method of limiting visual intrusion is by the retention of a screening belt of trees around the site. The site entrance and access roads are also landscaped to minimise visual intrusion. The earthen embankments surrounding the landfill area to the east, west and north of the site were raised some years ago and new screening embankments were constructed as part of the phase 3 development works. All embankments were grassed and planted with Scots Pine and Sitka Spruce.

7.1.9 Noise

Two noise surveys are carried out each year at noise sensitive locations adjacent to the facility. Results indicate that noise generated by activities at the site complies with licence limits (see section 4.5 for further information).

7.1.10 Complaints Register

No complaints were received at the facility or via the EPA during 2016. The complaints register is located in the site administration office. The register includes the name of the complainant, the nature of the complaint, the date of the complaint and the actions taken to remedy the complaint. The site manager signs off completed complaint forms. The register is available for inspection by members of the public. See Section 7.5 for further details.

7.2 Landfill Environmental Management Plan

Updates on objectives under the last site environmental management plan (EMP) are provided below. The EMP was updated in 2016 with four new objectives set.

7.2.1. Objective 1: Reduce the tonnage of civic amenity site runoff diverted to leachate tank

Leachate generated on site is tankered to wastewater treatment plants at Lisdoonvarna, Sixmilebridge and Limerick Main Drainage plant for treatment. The most successful measure carried out to minimise leachate volumes when the landfill was operational, was by means of installation of rainflaps on the side slopes of the active cells.

To date, measures to reduce leachate levels generated in the civic amenity site have included:

- Diversion of stormwater from the higher level of the civic amenity site to the stormwater pond from the leachate lagoon.
- Modifications to the abandoned vehicle storage area to divert clean runoff from the adjoining slope away from leachate collection.

Access roads are regularly inspected to ensure free flow of rainwater towards stormwater lagoons and away from leachate collection areas.

7.2.2. Objective 2: Continue forestry management programme for the site

A forestry management plan is in place for the site. Felling was carried out in November and December 2015. The landfill phase 4/5 area was clear-felled. Felling was also carried out beside the 10kv power line close to the southern site boundary, at the request of ESB Networks. Trees in the vicinity of the administration building car park were also felled, for health and safety reasons. As part of the project, the felling contractor removed and chipped all biomass from the clear fell area. This chipped product was brought to Edenderry power plant. Replanting with indigenous species was carried out in the vicinity of the site administration building and car park in Spring 2016. Next phase felling will be carried out in the fourth quarter of 2017. This will be done under a felling licence and the Agency will be notified in advance of all works.

7.2.3 Objective 3: Assessment and protection of designated species on site

As part of the ecological monitoring survey work undertaken on site in 2016, the ecologist was asked to produce a list of measures to enhance species biodiversity. Proposed measures included grassland management, limited scrub removal and introduction of additional wetlands where appropriate. These recommendations are being implemented on an ongoing basis and are also incorporated into the draft final site closure plan.

7.2.4 Objective 4: Improvement of gas management across cells with poor gas quality

Works were undertaken during 2016 to optimise gas extraction from older cells. These works included replacement of 15 63mm diameter butterfly gas valves with 32mm gate valves to allow for a finer level of control. The flare burner was also replaced with a 500m3/hr burner. Gas lines were also replaced on a number of gas wells.

7.3 Programme of Public Information

The following information is held in the site office and in the Environment Section in Aras Contae an Chlair:

- A copy of the waste licence and amendments.
- All correspondence from the Agency relating to the facility.
- All correspondence from Clare County Council to the Agency relating to the facility.
- Copies of quarterly monitoring reports.
- Copies of annual environmental reports (AER).
- Copy of all procedures relating to the facility.
- Incident reporting files.
- Complaints Register.

A community liaison and monitoring committee (CLMC) was established when the facility opened. The purpose of the CLMC was to provide a forum for the local community to raise issues in relation to, and to receive regular updates on, the operation of the facility. The committee consists of members of the local community and local Councillors. While

the landfill was operational, the CLMC met every one to two months in the Inagh National School hall. When the landfill initially closed, the meeting frequency was reduced to quarterly. As the landfill has now been closed since 2011 with no plans for re-opening, the meeting frequency has been reduced to twice yearly. This was agreed with the CLMC in 2014.

In addition to the CLMC committee, the meetings are attended by the environment section's Senior Engineer and Staff Officer. Site management staff are also available to attend if required.

7.4 Management and Staffing Structure

The current management structure is outlined in the chart in Appendix 8.3.

7.5 Environmental Incidents and Complaints

Condition 3 of the waste licence requires that the licensee shall make written records of environmental incidents. When incidents arise, completed incident reports are uploaded to the EPA via EDEN. A list of the incident reports submitted during the reporting period is provided in Appendix 8.4. A summary of the incident numbers and types is provided in table 7.9 below:

TABLE 7.9A: SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS ARISING IN 2016

Nature of Incident	Number of
	Incidents
Transducer failure or erroneous reading	2

TABLE 7.9B: SUMMARY OF INCIDENTS OPEN FROM 2015

Nature of Incident	Number of
	Incidents
SCADA failure (closed during 2016)	1
Perimeter well gas levels (remains open). The elevated gas levels measured in a number of perimeter wells is believed to be caused by decomposition of tree brash which was left in place underneath visual screening embankments. The	1
embankments were constructed using low permeability site clays, so an anaerobic environment has been created here.	

Condition 3 of W0109-02 requires that the licensee shall make written records of all complaints. No facility-related complaints were received during 2016. One complaint was received in relation to maintenance of the N85 road verge.

7.6 Waste Reduction and Recovery

7.6.1 Civic Amenity Centre

In order to maximise waste recoveries in the area, the capacity of the civic amenity centre at the CWMF has been expanded over the years to include additional streams such as plate glass, bulky plastic, metal and timber items and household green waste. The list of materials now accepted at the facility is provided below:

Cardboard and paper Tetrapaks Waste engine oil

Plastic bottles Hard plastic Car batteries

Glass bottles Sheet glass Paint/varnish/pesticides etc

Steel cans Large metal items Waste cooking oil

Aluminium cans Textiles Household and dry cell batteries

Disposable Light bulbs Fluorescent tubes and long-life bulbs WEEE

Garden waste Timber items

7.6.2 Composting Facility

Garden waste composting commenced in January 2006. Members of the public bring clean green waste (e.g. grass cuttings, hedge trimmings, leaves) to the CWMF CA site. Green waste from the CA sites at Lisdeen, Shannon and Ennis and from Clare County Council's gardening and roads sections is brought to the CWMF site for composting. The material is first processed on site to remove litter and other unsuitable items and to separate branches from fines. Branches are chipped using a wood chipper. The chipped product is mixed with the green waste fines and placed in the site augur mix, which loads the material by conveyor onto one of two aerated static piles. Air is continuously drawn through the piles by means of an air blower to provide the oxygen needed to break down the waste. The temperature of the static pile is monitored weekly. Each pile is turned to ensure even decomposition. After approximately twelve weeks, a mature compost product is formed. This product is mainly used within the site as a soil conditioner. Four compost samples are tested each year in accordance with W0109-02. The CWMF has accepted approximately 4,000 tonnes of green waste for composting since 2006. 600 tonnes of green waste was received during 2016.

7.7 Report on Biodegradable Waste Diversion from Landfill

With the interim closure of the landfill in November 2011, the BMW diversion target is not directly relevant to this facility. The Council continues to promote composting by means of the Green Schools programme. Composters are sold at each of the recycling centres. The CWMF CA site accepts green waste from householders for composting on site. The waste enforcement section of Clare County Council continues its enforcement of the Food Waste Regulations to ensure that commercial facilities carry out food waste separation at source.

7.8 Report on progress in meeting the requirements of the Landfill Directive

The landfill is closed. The civic amenity site continues to accept recyclable waste, including household hazardous waste for offsite recycling/recovery and garden waste for onsite recovery. The site is managed in such a way as to maximise

recycling by the public. Customer use of the wet waste container is supervised by site staff to ensure as far as possible that recyclable items are not placed in this container.

7.9 Statement on the achievement of the waste acceptance and treatment obligations of W0109-02.

The landfill is closed. Waste brought to the civic amenity site by domestic customers is monitored by site staff who instruct and advise the public on appropriate disposal/recycling. A leaflet has been printed showing the various streams that can be recycled at the facility. This leaflet is handed out at the pay kiosk by site staff.

7.10 Statement of compliance with relevant updates of the Regional Waste Management Plan.

The Regional Waste Management Plan was updated during 2016. The Central Waste Management Facility remains compliant with the Plan. Although the landfill is closed, the site continues to provide recycling and recovery facilities for the general public for over twenty different waste streams including household chemical waste, waste oil and other hazardous waste.

7.11 Updates/amendments to Odour Management Plan.

The Odour Management Plan (OMP) was last revised during 2014. The most recent plan revision allows for elimination of the requirement for daily offsite odour patrols. These patrols were carried out by a member of site staff accompanied by a member of staff from the Council's environment section. A revised odour patrol regime is now in place, with daily patrols carried out by site staff within the facility boundary. Under the revised OMP, there is provision for offsite patrols to be carried out in the event of problems arising.

8. APPENDICES



APPENDIX 1A: LICENSED WASTE DISPOSAL ACTIVITIES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE THIRD SCHEDULE OF THE WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT, 1996 - 2005

- Class 4. Surface impoundment, including placement of liquid or sludge discards into pits, ponds or lagoons:

 This activity is limited to the storage and management of leachate and stormwater in lined lagoons.
- Class 5. Specially engineered landfill, including placement into lined discrete cells which are capped and isolated from one another and the environment.

 This activity is limited to the disposal of a maximum of 56,500 tonnes of non-hazardous waste, excluding sewage sludge, per annum into engineered lined cells.
- Class 6. Biological treatment not referred to elsewhere in this Schedule which results in final compounds or mixtures which are disposed of by means of any activity referred to in paragraphs 1. to 10. of this Schedule:

 This activity is limited to leachate re-circulation and the disposal of compost that is produced on site.
- Class 7. Physico-chemical treatment not referred to elsewhere in this Schedule (including evaporation, drying and calcination) which results in final compounds or mixtures which are disposed of by means of any activity referred to in paragraphs 1. to 10. of this Schedule (including evaporation, drying and calcination):

 This activity is limited to possible future leachate treatment at the facility in order to reduce the strength and volume of leachate tankered off-site for treatment.
- Class 11. Blending or mixture prior to submission to any activity referred to in a preceding paragraph of this Schedule.

 This activity is limited to the mixing of waste at the Civic Waste Facility prior to being landfilled.
- Class 12. Repackaging prior to submission to any activity referred to in a preceding paragraph of this Schedule.

 This activity is limited to the mixing or compaction of waste and the reloading of waste tipped for inspection into a container prior to landfilling at the facility or disposal off site.
- Class 13. Storage prior to submission to any activity referred to in a preceding paragraph of this Schedule, other than temporary storage, pending collection, on the premises where the waste concerned is produced.

 This activity is limited to the storage of waste at the Civic Waste Facility prior to disposal either off site or at the landfill.

Appendix 1b: Licensed waste recovery activities, in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Waste Management Act, 1996 - 2005.

- Class 2. Recycling or reclamation of organic substances which are not used as solvents (including composting and other biological transformation processes):

 This activity is limited to the composting of waste and the recovery of organic wastes including timber, paper and cardboard at the facility.
- Class 3. Recycling or reclamation of metals and metal compounds:

 This activity is limited to the storage of metals including white goods, batteries and scrap metal at the facility pending further recovery off-site.
- Class 4. Recycling or reclamation of other inorganic materials:

 This activity is limited to the storage and recovery of glass and construction and demolition waste at the facility pending the recovery off-site or in the case of construction and demolition waste its use in landfill restoration and engineering works.
- Class 9. Use of any waste principally as a fuel or other means to generate energy:

 This activity is limited to the possible future use of landfill gas as an energy resource to produce electricity and heat.
- Class 10. The treatment of any waste on land with a consequential benefit for an agricultural activity or ecological system.

 This activity is limited to the use of compost as a soil conditioner at the facility for restoration.
- Class 11. Use of waste obtained from any activity referred to in a preceding paragraph of this Schedule:

 This activity is limited to the use of compost and construction and demolition waste as cover material or in restoration, and the use of construction and demolition waste as building material at the facility.
- Class 13. Storage of waste intended for submission to any activity referred to in a preceding paragraph of this Schedule, other than temporary storage, pending collection, on the premises where such waste is produced:

 This activity is limited to the storage of waste destined for recovery activities.

8.2 Water Balance Calculation

Appendix 8.2: Water Mass Balance Calculation 2016

	Monthly total	Monthly Effective	Lan	dfill Oper	ations		Are	a (m²)			Infiltration (m ³)		Total Leachate (m³)
Month	rainfall Figures (mm) (Ennis- tymon)	rainfall Figures (mm) (Ennis- tymon)**	Active Cell	Temp Cap	Full Cap	Active Area*	Temp Cap	Full Cap*	Concrete*	Active Area @ 100% estimated infiltration	Full Cap @ 10% estimated infiltration, using effective rainfall	Concrete @ 100% infiltration (total rainfall figure used, not PE)	Monthly	Cumulative
January	197.6	181.1	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	1,268	514	1,781	454
February	202.1	180.7	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	1,265	525	1,790	2,244
March	94.8	56.9	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	398	246	645	2,889
April	75.9	15.3	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	0	197	197	3,086
May	56.1	-35.7	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	-250	146	-104	2,982
June	74.6	-16.8	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	0	194	194	3,176
July	97.5	13.7	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	0	254	254	3,430
August	103.7	40.0	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	280	283	563	3,993
September	115.9	71.0	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	0	301	301	4,294
October	69.8	38.8	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	272	181	453	4,747
November	101.7	90.5	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	634	264	898	5,645
December	95.1	81.5	None	0	Cells 1-13	0	0	70,000	2,600	0	571	247	818	6,463
Estimated le	imated leachate volume (m3), using total rainfall for concrete area and effective rainfall for landfill:													7,790


Area was measured and confirmed to be 2400m2

NOTE **: Met Eireann PE data is only available for Shannon. However, total rainfall for Ennistymon is generally more than 40% greater than for Shannon (2013 to 2015 data). To calculate rainfall on landfill area, Ennistymon total was used with the Shannon PE data, to estimate effective rainfall. To calculate total runoff from concrete, the total Ennistymon rainfall data was used as there is no transpiration effect on concrete.

Actual leachate total for 2016= 9,643 tonnes. Additional leachate transported over mass balance calculation = 1,853m3

8.3 Management Structure

Appendix 8.3: Site Management Structure, Central Waste Management Facility




8.4 Summary Details of Incidents for 2016

Central Waste Management Facility, Ballyduff Beg

Incident Report Tracking Sheet 2016

Incident Report Ref	Subject	Date opened	Date closed by EPA
INC1006398	SCADA failure	14/01/2015	24/11/2016
INCI007672	Perimeter well gas levels May 2015	14/05/2015	still open through 2016
INCI009584	Transducer failure in cell 10	02/02/2016	23/05/2016
INCI011027	Negative leachate reading in cell 12, due to transducer error	20/10/2016	20/10/2016

8.5 Monitoring Point Location Map



8.6 List of Site Standard Operating Procedures

Site Procedures List

SOP	Description	Last Revision Date
1	Procedure to be followed in the event of malfunction/non-operation of TOC analyser	02/04/2012
2	Procedure to be followed in the event of activation of the pH alarm	02/04/2012
3	Procedure to be followed in the event of activation of the conductivity alarm	03/04/2012
4	Landfill gas monitoring/training procedure	18/09/2013
5	Exceedence of Trigger Levels for Key Parameters in Groundwater Samples	03/04/2012
6	Communications Programme	03/04/2012
7	Documentation Procedure	03/04/2012
8	Procedure to be followed in the event of landfill gas flare malfunction.	05/11/2015
9	Awareness and Training Procedure	03/04/2012
10	Emergency Response Procedure	05/11/2015
11	Corrective Action Procedure	05/11/2015
12	Complaints Procedure	04/04/2012
13	Waste Acceptance Procedure (relevant to operational landfill only)	29/09/2011
14	Procedure for handling hazardous domestic waste	04/04/2012
15	Handling Procedure for Difficult Wastes and Non-Hazardous Industrial Solid Wastes (relevant to operational landfill only)	02/06/2011
16	Odour Patrol Procedure	03/07/2014
17	Surface Water Visual Inspection Procedure.	04/04/2012
18	Administrative Procedure	05/11/2015
19	Procedure for Handling, storage and deposition of wood ash (relevant to operational landfill only)	02/06/2011
20	Procedure for Leachate Handling	18/09/2013
21	Procedure for Removal of Recyclable Waste from the Civic Amenity Site	23/02/2016
22	Procedure for Application of Landfill Cover (relevant to operational landfill only)	18/01/2011
23	Accident Prevention Policy	03/04/2012
24	Procedure for preparation of BMW returns under condition 3.16 of W0109-02 (relevant to operational landfill only)	03/10/2011
25	Procedure for maintenance of the landfill gas flare	15/07/2013

8.7 Landfill Gas Survey Returns for 2016



A survey of landfill sites to determine the quantity of methane flared and or recovered in utilisation plants for 2016

Please choose from the drop down menu the license number for your site	W0109 🔻
Please choose from the drop down menu the name of the landfill site	Ballyduff Beg ▼
Please enter the number of flares operational at your site in 2016	1
Please enter the number of engines operational at your site in 2016	0
Total methane flared	334,818 kg/year
Total methane utilised in engines	0 kg/year

Please note that the closing date for reciept of completed surveys is 31/03/2017

Introduction


The Office of Environmental Sustainability (OES) of the Environmental Protection Agency acts as the inventory agency in Ireland with responsibility for compiling and reporting national greenhouse gas inventories to the European Commission and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. In addition to meeting international commitments Ireland's national greenhouse gas inventory informs national agencies and Government departments as they face the challenge to curb emissions and meet Ireland's emission reduction targets under the Effort Sharing Decision (No. 406/2009/EC). The national inventory also informs data suppliers, making them aware of the importance of their contributions to the inventory process and a means of identifying areas where input data may be improved.

It is on this basis that the Environmental Protection Agency is asking landfill operators to partake in this survey so that the most uptodate information on methane flaring and recovery in utilisation plants at landfills sites is used in calculating the contribution of the landfill sector to national greenhouse gas emissions

The Environmental Protection Agency wishes to thank you for partaking in this survey. If you have any questions about the survey and how to complete it please view the "Help sheet" worksheet. If however, your query is not answered by viewing the "Help sheet" worksheet please contact:

LFGProject@epa.ie

Once completed please send the completed file as an attachment clearly stating the name and or license number of the landfill site (e.g. W000 Xanadu landfill_2015) to: LFGProject@epa.ie to be filled in by licensee calculated by spreadsheet



Please note: Only fill the "Yearly" table if data is not availabe or cannot be calculated nor estimated on a monthly basis

107	W 100	See III	100				Average							
Yearly	Method	Runtime	Runtime	Downtime	Total runtime	Average Inlet	Inlet Temp	Average Flow	Average CH ₄	Average CO ₂	Average O ₂	Combustion	Total CH ₄	Total CH ₄
	M/C/E	days/year	hrs/day	hrs	hrs/year	Pressure (mbg)	۰C	Rate m ³ /hr	%v/v	%v/v	%v/v	efficiency (%)	m³	kgs
2016					0								0	0

8.8 PRTR Returns for 2016



Guidance to completing the PRTR workbook

PRTR Returns Workbook

REFERENCE YEAR 2016 1. FACILITY IDENTIFICATION Parent Company Name Clare County Council Facility Name Inagh Landfill PRTR Identification Number W0109 Licence Number W0109-02 Classes of Activity No. class name Refer to PRTR class activities below Address 1 Ballyduff Beg Address 2 Inagh Address 3 Address 4 Clare Country Ireland Coordinates of Location -9.14882 52.5393 River Basin District IEGBNISH NACE Code 3821 Main Economic Activity Treatment and disposal of non-hazardous waste AER Returns Contact Name Maeve Ryan AER Returns Contact Email Address mryan@clarecoco.ie AER Returns Contact Position Executive Scientist/Facility Manager AER Returns Contact Telephone Number 065 6846359 **AER Returns Contact Mobile Phone Number** AER Returns Contact Fax Number 065 6836959 Production Volume **Production Volume Units** Number of Installations Number of Operating Hours in Year Number of Employees User Feedback/Comments Variations in LFG parameters most likely due to the fact that TA Luft organics are required to be analysed only once per annum. Results are normally close to detection limits. With these low levels and a low monitoring frequency, variation in results can be expected Web Address www.clarecoco.ie 2. PRTR CLASS ACTIVITIES **Activity Name Activity Number** 5(d) Landfills 5(c) Installations for the disposal of non-hazardous waste 50.1 3. SOLVENTS REGULATIONS (S.I. No. 543 of 2002) Is it applicable? Have you been granted an exemption ? If applicable which activity class applies (as per Schedule 2 of the regulations)? Is the reduction scheme compliance route being 4. WASTE IMPORTED/ACCEPTED ONTO SITE Guidance on waste imported/accepted onto site Do you import/accept waste onto your site for onsite treatment (either recovery or disposal

This question is only applicable if you are an IPPC or Quarry site

activities)?

31/03/2017 11:55

A (Accidental) KG/Year F (Fugitive) KG/Year

SECTION A: SECTOR SPECIFIC PRTR POLLUTANTS

4.1 RELEASES TO AIR

SECTION A: SECTOR SPECIFIC PRIN	RELEASES TO AIR				Please enter all quantitie	e in this section in KCs				
	POLLUTANT POLLUTANT		I.	METHOD	ADD EMISSION POINT	es in this section in Ros	QUANTITY			
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Method Used Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year		
01 02 03 08	Methane (CH4) Carbon monoxide (CO) Carbon dioxide (CO2) Nitrogen oxides (NOx/NO2)	C M C M	OTH EN 15058:2004 OTH EN 14792:2005	Calculated by subtracting methane flared (measured with flare analyser) from methane generated (GASSIM model) flare analyser	0.0 4.97 1286186.0 155.0 0.0	28845.0 4.97 1286186.0 155.0	0.0 0.0 0.0	28845.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		
ADD NEW ROW DELETE ROW *	* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button TANTS RELEASES TO AIR				Please enter all quantiti	es in this section in KGs				
	POLLUTANT		Λ	METHOD	ADD EMISSION POINT		QUANTITY	ITY		
				Method Used						

SECTION C - REMAINING POLITITANT EMISSIONS (As required in your Licence)

SECTION C : REMAINING POLLUTANT E	COTION C: REMAINING POLLOTAINT EMISSIONS (AS required in your Licence)											
	RELEASES TO AIR	Please enter all quantities in this section in KGs										
	POLLUTANT	METHOD			ADD EMISSION POINT		QUANTITY					
			M	ethod Used	Flare stack							
Pollutant No.	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year				
230	TA Luft organic substances class 1	M	EN 13649:2001		1.46	1.46	0.0	0.0				
319	Inorganic acids	M	EN 1911-1 to 3:2003		30.69	30.69	0.0	0.0				
					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
ADD NEW ROW DELETE ROW *	* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button											

Emission Point 1

0.0

0.0

Additional Data Requested from Landfill operators

ADD NEW ROW DELETE ROW *

For the purposes of the National Inventory on Greenhouse Gases, landfill operators are requested to provide summary data on landfill gas (Methane) flared or utilised on their facilities to accompany the figures for total methane generated. Operators should only report their llet methane (CH4) emission to the environment under T(total) KG/yr for Section A: Sector specific PRTR pollutants above. Please complete the table below:

Fluorine and inorganic compounds (as HF)

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

Landfill:	Inagh Landfill						
Please enter summary data on the quantities of methane flared and / or utilised			Meth	nod Used			
				Designation or	Facility Total Capacity		
	T (Total) kg/Year	M/C/E	Method Code	Description	m3 per hour		
Total estimated methane generation (as per							
site model	363663.0	Е	OTH	Gassim Lite	N/A		
Methane flared	334818.0	M	OTH	Flare analyser		(Total Flaring Capacity)	
Methane utilised in engine/s	0.0				0.0	(Total Utilising Capacity)	
Net methane emission (as reported in							
Section A above	28845.0	С	OTH	Total flared subtracted by es	N/A		

5. ONSITE TREATM	. ONSITE TREATMENT & OFF SITE TRANSFERS OF WASTE PRTR#: W0109 Facility Name: Inagh Landfill Filename: W0109_2016(1).xls Return Year: 2016 Please enter all quantities on this sheet in Tonnes											
			Quantity (Tonnes per Year)	all quantities on this sheet in Tonnes	Waste		Method Used		Haz Waste: Name and Licence/Permit No of Next Destination Facility Non Haz Waste: Name and Licence/Permit No of Recover/Disposer	Haz Waste : Address of Next Destination Facility Non Haz Waste: Address of Recover/Disposer	Name and License / Permit No. and Address of Final Recoverer / Disposer (HAZARDOUS WASTE ONLY)	Actual Address of Final Destination i.e. Final Recovery / Disposal Site (HAZARDOUS WASTE ONLY)
Transfer Destination	European Waste Code	Hazardous		Description of Waste	Treatment Operation	M/C/E	Method Used	Location of Treatment				
Within the Country	13 02 08	Yes	3.95	other engine, gear and lubricating oils	R9	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Enva,W0184-01	Clonminan Industrial Estate,Portlaoise,County Laoise,,,Ireland	Enva,W0184-01	Clonminan Industrial Estate,Portlaoise,County Laoise,.,Ireland
Within the Country	15 01 01	No	41.78	paper and cardboard packaging	R3	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Clean Ireland, W0253-01	Cree,Kilrush,County Clare,.,Ireland Cree,Kilrush,County		
Within the Country	20 01 01	No	65.12	paper and cardboard	R3	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Clean Ireland, W0253-01	Clare,,Ireland Cree.Kilrush.County		
Within the Country	15 01 02	No	20.64	plastic packaging	R3	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Clean Ireland,W0253-01	Clare,.,Ireland		
Within the Country	15 01 04	No	8.06	metallic packaging, steel cans	R4	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Clare Waste,WFP/CE/08/0002/01	Raheen,Tuamgraney,County Clare,.,Ireland		
Within the Country	15 01 04	No	1.0	metallic packaging, aluminium cans	R4	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Mr Binman,W0061-03	Luddenmore, Grange, Kilmall ock, County Limerick, Ireland		Clonminan Industrial
Within the Country	15 01 05	No	1.74	composite packaging	R3	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Clean Ireland, W0253-01	Cree,Kilrush,County Clare,.,Ireland	Enva, W0184-01	Estate,Portlaoise,County Laoise,.,Ireland
Within the Country	15 01 07	No	27.16	glass packaging	R5	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Mr Binman, W0061-03	Luddenmore, Grange, Kilmall ock, County Limerick, Ireland Clonminan Industrial Estate, Portlaoise, County		Clonminan Industrial Estate, Portlaoise, County
Within the Country	16 01 07	Yes	0.54	oil filters	R9	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Enva,W0184-01 Rilta Environmental Ltd,W0192-03,Block 402 Grant's Drive,Greenogue Business Park Rathcoole,County	Laoise,,, reland	Enva, W0184-01 HJ Enthoven & Sons ,BL5598IR, Darley dale Smelter, South Darley, Matlock Derbyshire, DE4 2LP, United	Laoise,,,Ireland
To Other Countries	16 06 01	Yes	1.78	lead batteries	R4	M	Weighed	Abroad	Dublin,ireland Electrical Waste	ireland Jordanstown Drive,Greenogue Industrial	Kingdom	.,.,,,United Kingdom
To Other Countries	16 06 04	No		alkaline batteries (except 16 06 03) landfill leachate other than those mentioned	R4	М	Weighed	Abroad	Management,WFP DS- 09001201 Lisdoonvarna Wastewater	Estate,Rathcoole,County Dublin,Ireland Knocknagulla,Lisdoonvarna,	Recypilas,.,,,,Bilbao,,,Spai n	.,.,Bilbao,.,Spain
Within the Country	19 07 03	No	6052.08		D8	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Treatment plant ,D0077-01 Sixmilebridge WWTP,D0076	County Clare, 0, Ireland		
Within the Country	19 07 03	No	1987.74	in 19 07 02	D8	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	01	Clare,.,.,Ireland		

Maria de la compania	40.07.00		landfill leachate other than those mentioned			141.5	07.2.1.1.1	Limerick Main Drainage	B 21 12 11 11 1		
Within the Country	19 07 03	No	1603.08 in 19 07 02	D8	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	WWTP,D0013-01	Bunlicky,,,Limerick,,,Ireland		
Mithin the Country	20.02.07	No	05 07 buller weets	R4	М	Waighad	Officito in Iroland	Clean Ireland, W0253-01	Cree,Kilrush,County ClareIreland		
Within the Country	20 03 07	INU	25.07 bulky waste	K4	IVI	Weighed	Olisite in Ireland	Tullagower Quarries	Tullagower, Kilrush, County		
Within the Country	20 01 02	No	31.6 glass	R5	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Ltd.004/08/WPT/CL	ClareIreland		
Within the Country	20 01 02	140	31.0 gla33	11.5	IVI	vvoignou	Ollaite III II elaita	Alltex Recyclers Limited 1	Olare,.,irolana		
								Ballycregagh Road			
								Cloughmills Ballymena	1 Ballycregagh Rd		
								BT44 9LB. Licence WMEX	,Cloughmills,Ballymena,BT4		
To Other Countries	20 01 11	No	9.02 textiles	R3	M	Weighed	Abroad	04/12,WMEX 04/12	4 9LB, United Kingdom		
									•	Irish Lamp Recycling	
										Company Ltd,WFP-KE-	
										140072-01,Woodstock	
										Industrial	Woodstock Industrial
			fluorescent tubes and other mercury-						and the second s	Estate, Athy, County	Estate, Athy, County
Within the Country	20 01 21	Yes	0.58 containing waste	R4	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	KMK Metals,W0113/03	211	Kildare,.,Ireland	Kildare,.,Ireland
										European Metal Recycling	
								FloridadiMode		Ltd,.,Bentley Road	
			dia acaded academan academicia					Electrical Waste		South, Darlaston, WS10	
To Other Countries	20 04 22	Yes	discarded equipment containing 13.14 chlorofluorocarbons	R4	М	Weighed	Abroad	Management,WFP DS- 09001201		8LW, West Midlands, United Kingdom	United Kingdom
Within the Country		No	0.0 edible oil and fat	R9	M	Weighed		Frilite.IEWCP-DC-10-1297	Kilcolgan,Galway,.,,Ireland	Killyuulli	.,.,.,Onited Kingdom
vitalin the oddinary	20 01 20	140	o.o calbic oil and lat	110		vvoignou	Choice in include	1 mile, iE 11 of 10 12 of	Clonminan Industrial		
			paint, inks, adhesives and resins other than	1					Estate, Portlaoise, County		
Within the Country	20 01 28	No	18.7 those mentioned in 20 01 27	R1	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Enva,W0184-01	Laoiselreland		
ŕ			discarded electrical and electronic			· ·		The Recycling Village,.,Unit			
			equipment other than those mentioned in					21,Duleek Business			
			20 01 21 and and 20 01 23 containing					Park, Commons, Duleek			
Within the Country	20 01 35	Yes	22.232 hazardous components	R4	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Meath, Ireland	.,.,,,lreland	-,-	.,.,.,lreland
									Jordanstown		
			discarded electrical and electronic					Electrical Waste	Drive, Greenogue Industrial		
-			equipment other than those mentioned in					Management,WFP DS-	Estate,Rathcoole,County		
To Other Countries	20 01 36	No	82.018 20 01 21, 20 01 23 and 20 01 35	R4	M	Weighed	Abroad	09001201	Dublin,Ireland		
Mishin sha Causta	20.04.20	No	170.04 wood other than that mentioned in 20 01 37	יחי	М	Mainhad	Official in Indianal	Clean Ireland.W0253-01	Cree,Kilrush,County ClareIreland		
Within the Country	20 01 30	INU	170.04 wood other than that mentioned in 20 01 37	KO	IVI	Weighed	Olisite in Ireland	Clean heland, wozbb-on	Clare,.,lieland		
									Luddenmore, Grange, Kilmall		
Within the Country	20 01 39	No	56.6 plastics	R3	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Mr Binman.W0061-03	ock,County Limerick,Ireland		
and country							3.0.0		Eastway Recycling		
								United Metals, NWCPO-10-	Park,Ballysimon,Limerick,,		
Within the Country	20 01 40	No	146.44 metals	R4	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	05657-01	Ireland		
									Cree,Kilrush,County		
Within the Country	20 03 01	No	944.24 mixed municipal waste	D1	M	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Clean Ireland,W0253-01	Clare,.,Ireland		