Annual Environmental Report 2015

| Agglomeration Name: | Glaslough |
|----------------------|-----------|
| Licence Register No. | D0347-01 |





Contents

| Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2015 AER | 3 |
|---|---------------|
| 1.1 Summary Report on 2015 | 3 |
| Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary | 4 |
| 2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring | 4 |
| 2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration | 5 |
| 2.3. Ambient Monitoring Summary | 6 |
| 2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the UWWTD | 6 |
| 2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - report for previous year | 6 |
| Section 3. Operational Reports Summary | 7 |
| 3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report | 7 |
| 3.2 Treatment Capacity Report | 7 |
| 3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report | 7 |
| 3.4 Complaints Summary | 8 |
| 3.5 Reported Incidents Summary | 9 |
| 3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP | 11 |
| Section 4. Infrastructure Assessments and Programme of Improvements | 12 |
| 4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report | 12 |
| 4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement | ent programme |
| requirements. | 13 |
| Section 5. Licence Specific Reports | 16 |
| Section 6. Certification and Sign Off | 17 |
| Section 7. Appendix | 18 |
| Appendix 7.1 Annual Statement of Measures | 19 |
| Appendix 7.2 Ambient Monitoring Results | 20 |



Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2015 AER

1.1 Summary Report on 2015

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for **D0347-01**, **Glaslough**, in County **Monaghan**, in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration.

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant with a Design PE of1750. The treatment process includes the following:-

- Primary Treatment (Sludge Settlement Pond)
- Secondary Treatment (Wetland Treatment System)
- Nutrient Removal (Wetland Treatment System)

The final effluent from the Primary Discharge Point was non-compliant with the Emission Limit Values in 2015.

The following parameters exceeded the emission limit values in 2015:-

- Ortho P (mg/l)
- Ammonia NH3 (mg/l)

Okgs sludge as dry solids was removed from the wastewater treatment plant in 2015 .

There were no major capital or operational changes undertaken in 2015.

An Annual Statement of Measures is included in Appendix 7.1



Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

| 2.1.1 Monthly Influent Monitoring | BOD (mg / I) | COD (mg / I) | SS (mg / I) | TP (mg / I) | TN (mg / I) | Hydraulic Loading (m3/d) | Organic Loading (PE/Day) | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Number of Samples | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | | | |
| Annual Max. | 1104 | 1920 | 3223 | 7.4 | 56.8 | 842.4 | 1,914 | |
| Annual Mean | 316.62 | 647.70 | 398.42 | 3.79 | 31.91 | 137 | 681 | |

Table 2.1 Influent Monitoring Summary

Significance of results

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2

The annual maximum hydraulic loading is less than the peak Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2.

The annual mean organic loading is less than the Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2.

The annual maximum organic loading is greater than the Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2.



2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

Table 2.2 - Effluent Monitoring

| 2.2.1 Effluent Monitoring Summary | BOD (mg/l) | COD (mg/l) | TSS (mg/l) | Ortho P (mg/l) | Ammonia NH3 (mg/l) | рН |
|--|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| WWDL ELV (Schedule A) where applicable | 10 | 75 | 15 | 0.5 | 1 | 6 to 8 |
| ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included | 20 | 150 | 37.5 | 0.6 | 2 | No allowable failures |
| Number of sample results | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Number of sample results above WWDL ELV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 0 |
| Number of sample results above ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| Annual Mean (for parameters where a mean ELV applies) | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail) | Pass | Pass | Pass | Fail | Fail | Pass |

Significance of results

The WWTP was non- compliant with the ELV's for Ortho P and Ammonia. With condition 2 interpretation, there were 4 non-compliant samples for ammonia and 6 non-compliant samples for orthophosphate. The non-compliance for Ammonia is suspected to be due to vegetation decay in the wetland system. The impact on receiving waters is assessed further in Section 2.3.



2.3. Ambient Monitoring Summary

Table 2.3. Ambient Monitoring Report Summary Table

| Ambient | | | Receiving | g Waters D | esignation | (Y/N | WFD Status | Does assessment of the ambient |
|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Monitoring | | EDA Egaturo | Bathing | Drinking | FWPM | Shellfish | 1 | monitoring results indicate that the |
| Point from | Irish Grid | Coding Tool | Water | Water | | | | discharge is impacting on water quality? |
| WWDL (or as | Reference | codo | | | | | | |
| agreed with | | coue | | | | | | |
| EPA) | | | | | | | | |
| Upstream | 272001E | RS03M010670 | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Poor | |
| monitoring | 342192N | | | | | | | |
| point | | | | | | | | |
| Downstream | 272357E | RS03M010680 | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Poor | Yes for Ammonia |
| monitoring | 342273N | | | | | | | |
| point | | | | | | | | |

The results for the upstream and downstream monitoring are included in Appendix 7. 2 Ambient Monitoring Results.

Significance of results

The WWTP was non-compliant with the ELVs set in the wastewater discharge licence as detailed in Section 2.2

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant doesn't have an observable negative impact on the water quality.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant doesn't have an observable negative impact on the Water Framework Directive status.

2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the UWWTD

The electronic submission of data was completed on 15/01/2016

2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - report for previous year

A PRTR is not required as the agglomeration is less than 2000 p.e..



Section 3. Operational Reports Summary

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

| | cBOD (kg/yr) | COD (kg/yr) | SS (kg/yr) | Total P (kg/yr) | Total N (kg/yr) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Influent mass loading (kg/year) | 14,908 | 30,497 | 18,760 | 178 | 1,503 |
| Effluent mass emission (kg/year) | 168 | 2,876 | 358 | 150 | 594 |
| % Efficiency (% reduction of | 99% | 91% | 98% | 16% | 60% |
| influent load) | | | | | |

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report

Table 3.2 - Treatment Capacity Report Summary

| Hydraulic Capacity – Design / As Constructed (dry weather flow) (m3/year) | 153,300 |
|---|---------|
| Hydraulic Capacity – Design / As Constructed (peak flow) (m3/year) | 459,900 |
| Hydraulic Capacity – Current loading (m3/year) | 50,178 |
| Hydraulic Capacity – Remaining (m3/year) | 409,722 |
| Organic Capacity - Design / As Constructed (PE) | 1,850 |
| Organic Capacity - Current loading (PE) | 681 |
| Organic Capacity – Remaining (PE) | 1,169 |
| Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes / No) | No |

3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

In this section Irish Water is required to report on the amount of urban waste water generated within the agglomeration. It does not include any waste water collected and created in a private system and discharged to water under a Section 4 Licence issued under the Water Pollution Acts 1977 (as amended).



Table 3.3 - Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

| | % of total load |
|--|------------------|
| | generated in the |
| | agglomeration |
| Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network | 100% |
| Load collected in the agglomerations that enters treatment plant | 100% |
| Load collected in the sewer network but discharges without treatment | 0% |

Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network is the total load generated and collected in the municipal network within the boundary of the agglomeration.

Load collected in the agglomerations that enters treatment plant is that portion of the previous figure which enters the waste water treatment plant.

Load collected but discharged without treatment is that portion of the first figure which is discharged without treatment.

The data in Table 3.3 is estimated based on the influent monitoring results and on the absence of storm water overflows within the agglomeration.

3.4 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Table 3.4 - Complaints Summary Table

| Number | Date & Time | Nature of Complaint | Cause of Complaint | Actions taken to resolve issue | Closed (Y/N) |
|--------|-------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| None | | | | | |



3.5 Reported Incidents Summary

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

Table 3.5.1 - Summary of Incidents

| 3.5.1 Incident Type (e.g. Non- compliance, Emission, spillage, pollution incident) | Incident Description | Cause | No. of Incident s | Corrective Action | Authorities Contacted. Note 1 | Reported to EPA (Yes/No) | Closed (Yes/No) |
|---|--|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Emission | Breach of ELV - ammonia & ortho phosphate | Vegetation decay in Wetland system suspected | 1 | None | IFI | Yes | Yes |
| Emission | Breach of ELV - ammonia & ortho phosphate | Vegetation decay in Wetland system suspected | 1 | None | IFI | Yes | Yes |
| Emission | Breach of ELV - ammonia & ortho phosphate | Unknown | 1 | Lowering of pond level and some replanting in Wetland Treatment System | No | Yes | Yes |
| Emission | Breach of ELV - ammonia & ortho phosphate | Suspected vegetation decay in ponds | 1 | Effluent recirculation through ponds, | No | Yes | Yes |

Note 1: For shellfish waters notify the Marine Institute (MI) Sea Fisheries Protection Authority (SFPA) Food Safety Authority (FSAI) and An Bord Iascaigh Mhara (BIM). This should also include any other authorities that should be contacted arising from the findings of any Licence Specific Reports also e.g. Drinking Water Abstraction Impact Risk Assessment, Fresh Water Pearl Mussel Impact Assessments etc.



Table 3.5.2 - Summary of Overall Incidents

| Number of Incidents in 2015 | 4 |
|--|-----|
| Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2015 | 4 |
| Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above | N/A |



3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

Other inputs to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in Table 3.6 below.

Table 3.6 - Other Inputs

| Input Type | m3/year | PE/year | % of load to WWTP | Included in Influent Monitoring (Y/N)? ³ | Is there a leachate/slud ge acceptance procedure for the WWTP? (Y/N) | Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N) |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| Domestic /Septic | 0 | 0 | | N/A | | |
| Tank Sludge | | | | | | |
| Industrial / | 0 | 0 | | N/A | | |
| Commercial Sludge | | | | | | |
| Landfill Leachate | 0 | 0 | | N/A | | |
| (delivered by tanker) | | | | | | |
| Landfill Leachate | 0 | 0 | | N/A | | |
| (delivered by sewer | | | | | | |
| network) | | | | | | |
| Other (specify) | 0 | 0 | | N/A | | |
| N1 1 | | | | | | |

Notes:

1. Other Inputs include; septic tank sludge, industrial /commercial sludge, landfill leachate and any other sludge that is collected and added to the treatment plant.

2. <u>Sludge that is added to a dedicated sludge reception facility at a waste water treatment plant not include d in Table 3.6.</u> Only include sludge which is added to the waste water treatment process stream. Enter zero where there are no inputs.

3. If any inputs were introduced **prior** to influent monitoring point and therefore already reported in S.2.1 *Influent Monitoring Summary*, then clarify this to avoid duplication and over-reporting of PE.



Section 4. Infrastructure Assessments and Programme of Improvements

4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report

There are no SWO's on the network.

Table 4.1.1 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

| WWDL | Irish Grid | Included in | Significance | Compliance | No. of times | Total | Total | Estimated / |
|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-------------|
| Name / | Ref. | Schedule A4 | of the | with | activated in | volume | volume | Measured |
| Code for | | of the | overflow | DoEHLG | 2015 (No. of | discharged | discharged | data |
| Storm Water | | WWDL | (High/Med/ | criteria | events) | in 2015 (m3) | in 2015 | |
| Overflow | | | Low) | | | | (P.E.) | |
| None | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table 4.1.2 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

| How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3/yr)? | N/A |
|---|-----|
| How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (p.e.)? | N/A |
| What % of the total volume of sewage generated in the agglomeration was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in 2013? | N/A |
| Is each SWO identified as non-compliant with DoEHLG Guidance included in the Programme of Improvements? | N/A |
| The SWO assessment includes the requirements of relevant WWDL Schedules (Yes/No) | N/A |
| Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedules A/C under Condition 1 ? | N/A |



4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

The Improvement Programme is included in Appendix 7.3

The Improvement Programme report included in Appendix 7.3 addresses the **Specified Improvement Programmes** as detailed in Schedules A3 and C of the WWDL. It also details other improvements identified through assessments required under the licence

| Specified | Licence | Licence | Date | Status of | % | Licensee | Comments |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|-----------|--------------|------------|----------|
| Improvement | Schedule | Completion | Expired | Works | Construction | Timeframe | |
| Programmes | | Date | - | | Work | for | |
| | | | | | Completed | Completing | |
| | | | | | - | the Work | |
| None | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table 4.2.1 - Specified Improvement Programme Summary

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

| Table 4.2.2 - | Improvement Programme Summary | |
|---------------|-------------------------------|--|
|---------------|-------------------------------|--|

| Improvement Identifier / | Improvement Description | Improvement Source | Progress (% | Expected Completion | Comments |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------|
| Name | • | | complete) | Date | |
| To improve | Implement a return | WWTP assessment | 100% | | |
| Ortho P and | of activated | (Condition 5.2). | | | |
| Ammonia | wastewater to the | | | | |
| results | head of the works, | | | | |
| | from cell pond 3 to | | | | |
| | pumping station at | | | | |
| | head of works | | | | |
| | ACTION: Open | | | | |
| | existing valve | | | | |
| | between cell 3 and | | | | |
| | PS to return flows | | | | |
| Flooding from | Flood control | WWTP assessment | | | |
| storm water | measure along river | (Condition 5.2). | | | |
| around pump | bank the storm | | | | |
| station and | water outflow pipe | | | | |



| [| | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-----|--|
| compound | into river, which | | | |
| area | collects surface | | | |
| | water from around | | | |
| | the control building | | | |
| | and pumping | | | |
| | station, needs to | | | |
| | have rubber check | | | |
| | valve fitted | | | |
| High inflows | CCTV of network | WWTP assessment | | The improvement programme will be reviewed by |
| into the WWTP | and establish | (Condition 5.2). | | Irish Water to assess the works required to comply |
| during storm | where excess storm | (001101010101010) | | with the licence condition on a prioritised |
| conditions/neri | water ingression to | | | |
| ods of heavy | collection network | | | |
| rainfall | concetion network | | | |
| Tannan | | Sewer Integrity | | |
| | | Tool (Condition | | |
| | | | | |
| | | J.2). | | |
| | | discharges | | |
| | | uischarges | | |
| | | assessment | | |
| | | (Condition 5.2). | 221 | |
| | Process Control | Improved | 0% | An investigation should be carried out to |
| | | Operational Control | | determine if preferential flow paths have formed |
| | | | | in some of the ponds. The development of |
| | | | | preferential flow paths should be avoided on each |
| | | | | pond. |
| | Process Control | Improved | 0% | It is recommended to determine the exact |
| | | Operational Control | | retention time in each pond |
| | Process Control | Improved | 0% | It is recommended that flow through the ponds |
| | | Operational Control | | should be a steady surface flow |
| | Process Control | Improved | 0% | The higher water levels in the ponds may be |
| | | Operational Control | | contributing to quick rapid flows through the |
| | | | | ponds. It is recommended that the levels in the |
| | | | | ponds should be decreased to increase the |
| | | | | retention time and to prevent quick rapid flows |



| | | | through the ponds. |
|-----------------|----------------------------|----|--|
| Process Control | Improved | 0% | There is a possibility that during the winter period |
| | Operational Control | | when the deciduous plants die back they may |
| | | | release ammonia back into the liquid. It is |
| | | | recommended that an investigation be carried out |
| | | | to determine if this is the case. |

Table 4.2.3 - Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment Tool Summary

| The Improvement Programme should include an assessment of the integrity of the existing wastewater works for the following: | Risk Assessment Rating (High, Medium, Low) | Risk Assessment Score | Comment |
|--|---|--------------------------|---|
| Hydraulic Risk Assessment Score | Unknown | Unknown | SNIT has not been completed but will be submitted following submission of 2015 AER. |
| Environmental Risk Assessment Score | Unknown | Unknown | SNIT has not been completed but will be submitted following submission of 2015 AER. |
| Structural Risk Assessment Score | Unknown | Unknown | SNIT has not been completed but will be submitted following submission of 2015 AER. |
| Operation & Maintenance Risk Assessment Score | Unknown | Unknown | SNIT has not been completed but will be submitted following submission of 2015 AER. |
| Overall Risk Score for the agglomeration | Unknown | Unknown | SNIT has not been completed but will be submitted following submission of 2015 AER. |



Section 5. Licence Specific Reports

| Licence Specific Report | Required in this AER or outstanding from previous AER | Included in this AER / Remains outstanding | Reference to previous AER containing report or relevant section of this AER |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Priority Substances Assessment | No | No | N/A |
| Drinking Water Abstraction | No | No | N/A |
| Point Risk Assessment | | | |
| Habitats Impact Assessment | No | No | N/A |
| Shellfish Impact Assessment | No | No | N/A |
| Pearl Mussel Report | No | No | N/A |
| Toxicity/Leachate Management | No | No | N/A |
| Toxicity of Final Effluent Report | No | No | N/A |

Licence Specific Reports Summary Table



Section 6. Certification and Sign Off

| Table 0.1 - Summary of ALK contents | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Does the AER include an executive summary? | Yes |
| Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water Works | Yes |
| (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL requirements | |
| and or Environmental Quality Standards)? | |
| Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a technical amendment / | Yes |
| review of the licence? | |
| List reason e.g. additional SWO identified | Request for increase |
| | of ELV for ortho |
| | phosphate to 3mg/l |
| | and for Ammonia to |
| | 10mg/l from the 1 st of |
| | November to the 15 th |
| | of March each year. |
| Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modifications to the existing | No |
| WWDL? Refer to Condition 1.7 (changes to works/discharges) & Condition 4 | |
| (changes to monitoring location, frequency etc.) | |
| List reason e.g. failure to complete specified works within dates specified in the | N/A |
| licence, changes to monitoring requirements | |
| Have these processes commenced? (i.e. Request for Technical Amendment / | No |
| Licence Review / Change Request) | |
| Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an | No |
| appendix to this AER? | |
| List outstanding reports | Sewer Network |
| | Integrity Tool |

Declaration by Irish Water

The AER contains the following:

- Introduction and background to 2015 AER.
- Monitoring Reports Summary.
- Operational Reports Summary.
- Infrastructural Assessment and Programme of Improvements.
- Licence specific reports
- Certification and Sign Off
- Appendices

I certify that the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed:

J- Aal

Date: 03/03/2016

Gerry Galvin Chief Technical Advisor



Section 7. Appendix

In the appendix include all the detailed or site specific reports that are relevant to the AER. Reports omitted from previous AERs should also be appended here.

- Appendix 7.1 Annual Statement of Measures
- Appendix 7.2 Ambient monitoring summary
- Appendix 7.3 Specified Improvement Programme
 - a) Specified Improvement Programme
 - b) Programme of Improvements



Appendix 7.1 Annual Statement of Measures

| Description of issue | Risk | Mitigation Measure to be | Date for |
|-------------------------|--------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | taken | Completion/Comment |
| | | | |
| Failure to meet ELV for | Medium | Implement a return of | Project complete |
| Ammonia and Ortho P | | activated wastewater to the | |
| | | head of the works, from cell | |
| | | pond 3 to pumping station | |
| | | at head of works | |
| | | ACTION: Open existing valve | |
| | | between cell 3 and PS to | |
| | | return flows | |
| Flooding from storm | Medium | Flood control measure along | |
| water around pump | | river bank the storm water | |
| station and compound | | outflow pipe into river, | |
| area | | which collects surface water | |
| | | from around the control | |
| | | building and pumping | |
| | | station, needs to have | |
| | | rubber check valve fitted | |
| High inflows into the | Medium | CCTV of network and | The improvement |
| WWTP during storm | | establish where excess | programme will be |
| conditions/periods of | | storm water ingression to | reviewed by Irish |
| heavy rainfall | | collection network | Water to assess the |
| | | | works required to |
| | | | comply with the |
| | | | licence condition on a |
| | | | prioritised |
| Failure to meet ELV for | Medium | Upgrade of ICW | Complete September |
| Ammonia and Ortho P | | | 2015 |
| | | | |



Appendix 7.2 Ambient Monitoring Results

| Upstream Monitoring Results | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Sampling Location | Sample Date | Sample Type | Dissolved Oxygen mg/l | Тетр о С | Ammon ia N mg/l | BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonace ous) mg/l | Ortho Phosphat e mg/l | pH units | Total Nitrogen N mg/l |
| Glaslough WWTP | 07/01/2015 | Grah | 11 02 | 81 | 0.043 | <i>c</i> 1 | <0.000 | Q | 1 2 |
| Glaslough W/W/TP | 07/01/2013 | Grab | 11.02 | 0.4 | 0.043 | <1 | <0.003 | 0 | 1.2 |
| Upstream | 03/02/2015 | Grab | | | 0.069 | <1 | 0.028 | 8 | 1.6 |
| Glaslough WWTP Upstream | 14/04/2015 | Grab | 11.1 | 10.3 | 0.051 | < 1 | 0.015 | 8.2 | 1.2 |
| Glaslough WWTP Upstream | 19/05/2015 | Grab | 9.86 | 12 | 0.066 | < 1 | 0.021 | 8.2 | < 1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Upstream | 08/07/2015 | Grab | 8.8 | 15.1 | 0.077 | 1 | 0.026 | 8.3 | 1.1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Upstream | 02/09/2015 | Grab | 10.09 | 13.3 | 0.065 | 1 | 0.057 | 8.4 | < 1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Upstream | 13/10/2015 | Grab | 9.4 | 9.3 | 0.044 | 1 | 0.023 | 8.3 | < 1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Upstream | 03/11/2015 | Grab | 13.38 | 11.5 | 0.048 | < 1 | 0.049 | 8.2 | < 1 |
| Average | | | 10.52 | 11.41 | 0.058 | 1 | 0.029 | 8.2 | 1.13 |

| Downstream Monitoring Results | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Sample Location | Sample Date | Sample Method | Dissolved Oxygen mg/l | Temp oC | Ammon ia N mg/l | BOD, 5 days with Inhibition (Carbonace ous) mg/l | Ortho- Phosphate P mg/l | pH units | Total Nitrogen N mg/l |
| Glaslough WWTP | 07/01/2015 | Grah | 11 1 | 87 | 0.15 | <i>c</i> 1 | 0.018 | Q | 1 1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Downstream | 03/02/2015 | Grab | 11.1 | 0.2 | 0.065 | <1 | 0.032 | 8 | 1.7 |
| Glaslough WWTP Downstream | 14/04/2015 | Grab | 11.2 | 10.3 | 0.14 | < 1 | 0.028 | 8.2 | 1.2 |
| Glaslough WWTP Downstream | 19/05/2015 | Grab | 9.91 | 12 | 0.067 | < 1 | 0.019 | 8.2 | <1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Downstream | 08/07/2015 | Grab | 8.86 | 15.1 | 0.083 | 1 | 0.013 | 8.2 | < 1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Downstream | 02/09/2015 | Grab | 10.22 | 12.8 | 0.061 | 1 | 0.059 | 8.4 | < 1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Downstream | 13/10/2015 | Grab | 9.5 | 9.3 | 0.049 | 1 | 0.024 | 8.3 | < 1 |
| Glaslough WWTP Downstream | 03/11/2015 | Grab | 13.93 | 10.5 | 0.046 | < 1 | 0.049 | 8.2 | < 1 |
| Average | | | 10.67 | 11.17 | 0.083 | 1 | 0.03 | 8.19 | 1.125 |



Appendix 7.3 Specified Improvement Programmes

Appendix 7.3 – Specified Improvement Programme

a) Specified Improvement Programme

<u>Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme</u> <u>requirements</u>

Under the terms of Condition 5 of the licence, the licensee shall submit to the Agency a programme of infrastructural improvements to maximize the effectiveness and efficiency of the waste water works.

Condition 5.1 relates to Infrastructural Improvements:

"The licensee shall, as a part of the **second AER** (required under Condition 6.11), prepare and submit to the Agency a programme of infrastructural improvements to maximise the effectiveness and efficiency of the waste water works in order to:

a) achieve improvements in the quality of all discharges from the works;

b) meet the emission limit values specified in Schedule A; Discharges, of this licence;

c) give effect to Regulation 2 of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007);

d) reduce total phosphorous loadings in the discharge to the maximum practicable extent;

e) reduce Total Phosphorus loadings in the discharge to the maximum practicable extent; *f)* meet the obligations of Condition 1.7".

Submission

No Major infrastructural improvements pending, but some optimisation improvements specified. There are no other specified improvement works under schedule C, C2 or C3 of the discharge licence.

b) Programme of Improvements

Improvement programme Summary Table

Table 4.2.2 - Improvement Programme Summary

| Improvement | Improvement | Improvement | Progress | Expected | Comments |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| Identifier / Name | Description | Source | (% | Completion | |
| | | | complete) | Date | |
| To improve Ortho | Implement a | WWTP | 100% | | |
| P and Ammonia | return of | assessment | | | |
| results | activated | (Condition | | | |
| | wastewater | 5.2). | | | |
| | to the head | | | | |
| | of the works, | | | | |
| | from cell | | | | |
| | pond 3 to | | | | |
| | pumping | | | | |
| | station at | | | | |
| | head of | | | | |



| | works ACTION: Open existing valve between cell 3 and PS to return flows | | | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|-----|--------------------------------|
| Flooding from | Flood control | WWTP | | |
| storm water | measure | assessment | | |
| around pump | along river | (Condition | | |
| compound area | storm water | 5.2). | | |
| | outflow pipe | | | |
| | into river, | | | |
| | which | | | |
| | collects | | | |
| | surface water | | | |
| | from around | | | |
| | the control | | | |
| | building and | | | |
| | station | | | |
| | needs to | | | |
| | have rubber | | | |
| | check valve | | | |
| | fitted | | | |
| High inflows into | CCTV of | WWTP | | The improvement programme |
| the WWTP during | network and | assessment | | will be reviewed by Irish |
| storm | establish | (Condition | | Water to assess the works |
| conditions/periods | where excess | 5.2). | | licence condition on a |
| of fiedvy failfian | ingression to | | | nrioritised |
| | collection | | | phontised |
| | network | | | |
| | Process | Improved | 0% | An investigation should be |
| | Control | Operational | | carried out to determine if |
| | | Control | | preferential flow paths have |
| | | | | formed in some of the ponds. |
| | | | | The development of |
| | | | | be avoided on each pond |
| | Process | Improved | 0% | It is recommended to |
| | Control | Operational | 070 | determine the exact retention |
| | | Control | | time in each pond |
| | Process | Improved | 0% | It is recommended that flow |
| | Control | Operational | | through the ponds should be |
| | | Control | | a steady surface flow |
| | Process | Improved | 0% | The higher water levels in the |



| Control | Operational Control | | ponds may be contributing to quick rapid flows through the ponds. It is recommended that the levels in the ponds should be decreased to increase the retention time and to prevent quick rapid flows through the ponds |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|----|--|
| Process Control | Improved Operational Control | 0% | There is a possibility that during the winter period when the deciduous plants die back they may release ammonia back into the liquid. It is recommended that an investigation be carried out to determine if this is the case. |