

Annual Environmental Report 2014

Agglomeration Name:	Ringsend Revision 1
Licence Register No.	D0034-01





Preface

This 2014 Annual Environmental Report is made up of 5 parts dealing specifically with each functional area within the Ringsend agglomeration and a final part containing the Certification and Sign-Off:

- Part 1 Dublin City Functional Area
- Part 2 South Dublin County Council
- Part 3 Fingal County Functional Area
- Part 4 Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Functional Area
- Part 5 Meath County Functional Area
- Part 6 Certification and Sign Off



PART 1



Annual Environmental Report 2014

Agglomeration Name:	Ringsend
Licence Register No.	D0034-01

PART 1





Table of Contents

Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER 1.1 Summary report on 2014	1 1
111 Cammary report on 201	_
Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary	2
2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring	2
2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration	3
2.3 Ambient monitoring summary	5
2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the UWWT Directive	11
2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - report for previous year	11
Section 3. Operational Reports Summary	12
3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report	12
3.2 Treatment Capacity Report	12
3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report	13
3.4 Complaints Summary	13
3.5 Reported Incidents Summary	14
3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP	17
Section 4. Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements	18
4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report	18
4.2 Report on the improvement programme requirements	29
Section 5. Licence Specific Reports	34
5.1 Priority Substances Assessment	36
5.2 Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	36
5.3 Shellfish Impact Assessemnt Report	36
5.4 Toxicity/Leachate Management	40
5.5 Toxicity of the Final Effluent	40
5.6 Pearl Mussel Measures Report	41
5.7 Habitats Impact Assessment Report	41
Section 6. Appendix	39



Section 1 Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER

1.1 Summary report on 2014

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0034-01, Ringsend Agglomeration, in County Dublin in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Specified assessments are included as an appendix to the AER as follows:

- Priority Substances Assessment (Appendix 6.6)
- Toxicity / Leachate Management Report (Appendix 6.7)
- Final Effluent Toxicity Assessment (Appendix 6.8)

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant with a mean Design PE of 1.64 million. The treatment process includes the following:-

- preliminary treatment (including screening / grit removal), FOG removal
- primary treatment
- sludge treatment
- secondary treatment SBR
- tertiary treatment UV treatment during the bathing season.

The final effluent from the Primary Discharge Point was compliant with the following Emission Limit Values in 2014 –pH, Toxicity and E.Coli (during the Bathing Season).

The following parameters exceeded the emission limit values in 2014:-

- COD
- cBOD
- Suspended solids
- Total nitrogen
- Total phosphorus

15,779 tonnes sludge (as tonnes dry solids) were removed from the wastewater treatment plant in 2014.

14,236 tonnes were removed as Biofert and 1,543 tonnes as Biocake (dewatered sludge cake) (Source: CAW). Sludge was transferred to Quinn's, Baltinglass, Co. Wicklow.

There were no major capital or operational changes undertaken in 2014.

An Annual Statement of Measures 2015 is included in Appendix 6.1.



Section 2 Monitoring Reports Summary

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

Table 2.1 - Influent Monitoring Summary

	BOD (mg/l)	COD (mg/l)	SS (mg/l)	TP (mg/l P)	TN (mg/l N)	Hydraulic Loading (m³/d)	Organic Loading (PE/day)
Number							
of	145	248	248	101	101		
Samples							
Annual							
Max.	510	1,203	686	9.73	56.2	1,439,445	4,041,555
(Daily)							
Max							
Weekly							
Average							3,098,410
(UWW)							
Annual	232*	525	247	5.32	34.09	460,788	1,777,994*
Mean *	232	323	247	3.32	34.03	400,766	1,///,334
Annual							
Mean	253						1 920 024
(UWW)							1,830,924

^{*}Derived from IW Flow Weighted Average Organic Load Calculation Instructions for 2014

Significance of results

The annual mean hydraulic loading is less than the Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2.

The annual maximum organic loading is greater than the Treatment Plant Capacity as detailed further in Section 3.2.



2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

Table 2.2 - Effluent Monitoring Summary (SW1 Dublin)

Table 2.2 - Effluent Monitoring Summary (SW1 Dublin)

	BOD	COD	TSS	Total P	Total N	рН	Toxicity	Comment
	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)		(TU)	
WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	25	125	35	1	10	6-9	5	24-Hour Composite Samples
ELV with Condition 2	50	250	88	1.2	12.0	-	-	
Interpretation included								
Number of sample results	145	248	248	101	101	365* 248	1	*On-line
Number of sample results	14**	11**	56**	101	86	0	0	**failures attributed to storm
above WWDL ELV	[16]	[12]	[58]					conditions alerted by Met Eireann are outlined below
Number of sample results	7	3	11	101	68	-	N/A	
above ELV with Condition 2								
Interpretation included								
Annual Mean (for	17	73	31	3.44	14.83	N/A	N/A	
parameters where a mean								
ELV applies)								
Max. No. of ELV	12	18	18	9	9	18		
Exceedances (B.3)								
Overall Compliance	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail***	Fail	Pass	Pass	***No Total P Removal on-site
(Pass/Fail)								

Significance of results

The WWTP was compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence for pH and Toxicity. Non-compliances for COD/TSS in a sample taken on 04/02/14 (834003), for BOD in a sample taken on 13/02/14 (837104), for TSS in a sample taken on 05/10/14 (921664) and for BOD and TSS in a sample taken on 13/11/14 were due to storm conditions alerted by Met Eireann (orange/red wind conditions and orange rainfall conditions) on these dates. The impact on receiving waters is assessed further in Section 2.3.



Table 2.2 continued - Effluent Monitoring Summary

	DIN (mg/I N)	Ammonia (mg/I N)	Ortho- Phosphate (mg/I P)	OFG (mg/l)	E.Coli *** (MPN/100 ml)	Enterococci*** (CFU/100 ml)	Colour Visual Inspection ****	Comment
WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	-	-	-	-	100,000	-	-	24-Hour Composite Samples
ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included	-	-	-	-	150,000	-	-	***15/05/14 to 18/09/14 E.Coli and Enterococci reported for discrete post UV samples taken
Number of sample results	245	245	245	102	69	50	246	**** Hazen Units- Laboratory Test
Number of sample results above WWDL ELV	-	-	-	-	1 (25/08/14)	-	-	
Number of sample results above ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	
Annual Mean (for parameters where a mean ELV applies)	12.56	8.95	2.45	5.09	N/A	N/A	47	
Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)	-	-	-	-	Pass	-	-	

The WWTP was compliant with the ELV set for E.Coli (during the Bathing Season) in the wastewater discharge licence. There was 1 sample non-compliant with the ELV (25/08/14). The impact on receiving waters is assessed further in Section 2.3.



2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

Table 2.3 - Ambient Monitoring Report Summary

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Current EQS Status	Does assessment of the ambient monitoring results indicate that the discharge is impacting on water quality?
<u>Upstream monitoring points;</u> Lower Liffey, Chapelizod Bridge	Many		Moderate	No
Mixing Zone monitoring points; Liffey Estuary Upper Liffey Estuary Lower Tolka Estuary	Many		Moderate Good Moderate	Yes (See Summary below)
Downstream monitoring points; Dublin Bay	Many		Good	No

The results for the upstream, mixing zone and downstream monitoring are included in Appendix 6.2.

Significance of results

The WWTP was compliant and non-compliant with the ELV's set in the wastewater discharge licence as detailed in Section 2.2.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant does not have an observable negative impact on the water quality in the River Liffey upstream of the Islandbridge Weir. The EPA Ecological Status was reported as Moderate for the 2010-2012 period.

The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant has a negative impact on the receiving water quality within the near field of SW1. The EPA Ecological Status in the Liffey Estuary Upper and Lower was reported as Moderate and Good respectively for the 2010-2012 period.

The EPA Ecological Status in the Tolka Estuary was reported as Moderate for the 2010-2012 period. The discharge from the wastewater treatment plant has no observable impact on the receiving coastal water quality in Dublin Bay. The EPA Ecological Status in Dublin Bay was reported as Good for the 2010-2012 period.



Summary of Results

Licence D0034-01 requires monitoring and assessment of the impacts of the Ringsend effluent discharge on receiving water quality at agreed sampling locations as follows:

- 1. 9 Ambient Surface Waters (ASW2 ASW10) covering sampling points in the lower Liffey Estuary in the near field of the discharge (ASW2 to ASW5), and points on the River Liffey and River Tolka (ASW6 to ASW10)
- 2. 11 additional monitoring points on the Liffey and Tolka Estuaries (Surface and Depth)
- 3. 9 monitoring locations in Dublin Bay (Surface and Depth)
- 4. 8 shoreline locations, 3 of which are EC designated bathing waters (Dollymount Bathing Zone, Sandymount and Merrion Strands).

See map of monitoring locations agreed with the EPA in Appendix 6.2(1). See monitoring data for 2014 in Appendix 6.2 (2), (3), (4) and (5).

The Liffey Estuary from Islandbridge Weir to the Poolbeg Lighthouse including the River Tolka Basin and the South Bull Lagoon is designated as a "sensitive area" by Part 2 of Schedule 3 of the Urban Wastewater Regulations, SI 254 of 2001. The European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009 (SI 272 of 2009), sets physico-chemical standards for High and Good status in transitional and coastal water bodies to be complied with <u>outside the allocated mixing zone of a licensed discharge</u>.

The Rivers Liffey and Tolka and their estuaries are classified under the Water Framework Directive as Transitional Water Bodies. The outer Estuary / Dublin Bay is classified as a Coastal Water Body.

The parameter suite set in the marine monitoring section of the licence was tested in all samples (Temperature / Dissolved Oxygen / BOD / Salinity / Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen / Total Oxidised Nitrogen / Molybdate Reactive Phosphate / Ammonia / Silica / Chlorophyll).

Tidal Conditions during the 6 monthly estuarine surveys are tabulated below:

Survey No. and Month	Date	High Tide	Height (m OD)	Low Tide Time	Height (m OD)	Tidal Status during Survey
2014		Time				
1. April	24/04/14	07.56	3.63	14.02	0.87	High to Mid-Ebb
2. May	15/05/14	12.42	3.99	05.55	0.52	Mid Flow to High
3. June	11/06/14	10.57	3.81	04.09	0.95	Mid Flow to High
4. July	24/07/14	11.05	3.64	04.22	1.18	Mid Flow to High
5. August	21/08/14	09.48	3.47	03.05	1.36	High to Mid-Ebb
6. September	11/09/14	13.48	4.16	07.07	0.15	Mid Flow to High



1. Marine Monitoring Summary – ASW2 to ASW10

A total of 6 surveys were carried out in the Liffey and Tolka Estuaries during 2014 at the designated locations in the licence, tabulated below:

EPA Map Code	Licence Code	Sampling Point
	Code	Liffey Estuary Lower
	ASW2	25 metres North of Poolbeg Wall
	ASW3	50 metres North of Poolbeg Wall
	ASW4	75 metres North of Poolbeg Wall
	ASW5	100 metres North of Poolbeg Wall
		Liffey
DB000	ASW6	Liffey City, Downstream Islandbridge Weir
DB010	ASW7	Liffey City, Heuston Station, Upstream of Camac Outfall
	ASW8	Liffey City, Winetavern Street Bridge
		Liffey Estuary Lower
DB210	ASW9	Liffey (Surface), Downstream of East Link Toll Bridge
		Tolka
DB310	ASW10	Tolka, Downstream of Annesley Bridge

A summary of transitional water quality compliance with SI 272 of 2009 for the above locations is presented below and complete water quality data is presented in Appendix 6.2 (2).

This shows compliance with Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen (lower) and Dissolved Oxygen (upper) at all locations on all survey dates except for ASW5 where the Dissolved Oxygen was slightly supersaturated (122% Sat.) on 24/07/14 at depth and at ASW10 where the Dissolved Oxygen was slightly supersaturated (129%) on 11/09/14.

All BOD values were compliant except for 1 location at ASW6 where the mean BOD was 2.3 mg/l due to a BOD concentration of 7 mg/l on 24/07/14.

Three exceedances of median Molybdate Reactive Phosphate (MRP) standards occurred in the near field of the Ringsend discharge at ASW2, ASW3, ASW4, all at the surface. One slight exceedance of the standard (freshwater) occurred at the upstream boundary point ASW6, the Liffey downstream of Islandbridge Weir. The non-compliant MRP results were as follows:

Location	MRP 2014 Median Result	SI 272 Standard	Comment
		40 μg/l as P at 35 PSU	
		60 μg/l as P 0-17 PSU	
ASW2 (Surface)	146 μg/l as P		Close to SW1 Outfall
ASW3 (Surface)	326 μg/l as P		Close to SW1 Outfall
ASW4 (Surface)	101 μg/l as P		Close to SW1 Outfall



Location	MRP 2014 Median Result	SI 272 Standard	Comment
ASW6 (Surface)	37 μg/l as P (mean)	35 μg/l as P River Water	Upstream pollution

2. Marine Monitoring – Transitional Water Monitoring – Points Agreed with EPA – DB020 to DB420

A total of 6 surveys were carried out in the Liffey and Tolka Estuaries during 2014, at 11 locations agreed with the EPA, as tabulated below:

EPA Map	Sampling Point
Code	
	Liffey Estuary Lower
DB 120	Dodder / Grand Canal Basin
DB 210	East Link Toll Bridge
DB 220	RO RO Ramp No.5 (Old Treatment Works Outfall)
DB 410	Ringsend Cascade
DB 420	Poolbeg Lighthouse
	Liffey Estuary Upper
DB 020	Matt Talbot Bridge
	Tolka Estuary
DB 320	East Point Business Park Bridge
DB 330	Castle Avenue
DB 340	Clontarf Boat Club
DB 350	South Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge
	Tolka
DB 300	Upstream of Drumcondra Bridge

A summary of transitional water quality compliance with S.I 272 of 2009 for the above locations is presented below and complete water quality data is presented in Appendix 6.2(3).

This shows full compliance with BOD, Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen (upper and lower) and median Molybdate Reactive Phosphorus at all locations, on all survey dates except those detailed below.

An exceedance of the BOD standard occurred at DB 320 (Surface) – the Tolka at East Point Business Park Bridge, with BOD concentrations of 5 mg/l on 24/07/14 and 7 mg/l on 21/08/14.

Dissolved Oxygen (upper) exceedances (slight) occurred in the Tolka Estuary at DB 330 – Castle Avenue (Surface) - 123% saturation on 24/07/14, at DB 350 – South Lagoon at Wooden Bridge (Surface and Depth) – 128% and 124% saturation respectively on 24/07/14 and at DB 300 - Tolka upstream of Drumcondra Bridge (Surface) – 124% saturation on 24/04/14.



2 Molybdate Reactive Phosphate (MRP) (median) exceedances occurred as follows:

Location	MRP 2014 Median Result	SI 272 Standard	Comment
	Liffey Estuary Lower		
DB 410 (Surface)	203 μg/l P	< 40 μg/l P	SW1 Discharge Impact
	Tolka		
DB 350 (Surface)	48 μg/l P	< 40 μg/l P	River / SW1 Impacts

Median Chlorophyll concentrations met the High-Good boundary concentration at 5 locations, met the Good-Moderate boundary concentration at 11 locations and failed to meet the Good – Moderate boundary concentrations at 5 locations. These are tabulated below:

Location	Chlorophyll-a Median Result	SI 272 Standard Good-Moderate	Comment
	Wicdian Nesale	Boundary	
	Liffey Estuary Lower	5 mg/m ³	
DB 120 (Depth)	5.8 mg/m ³		River / SW1 Impacts
DB 210 (Depth)	6.1 mg/m ³		River / SW1 Impacts
	Liffey Estuary Upper		
DB 020 (Depth)	5.7		River / SW1 Impacts
	Tolka Estuary		
DB 320 (Depth)	6.4		River / SW1 Impacts
DB 330 (Depth)	5.2		River / SW1 Impacts

Concentrations of DIN and Silica are included in Appendix 6.2.3 for all samples taken. No standards exist for Transitional Water Bodies in S.I 272 of 2009.

3. Marine Monitoring - Coastal Water Monitoring - Dublin Bay - Points Agreed with EPA

A total of 4 surveys were carried out at 9 locations in Dublin Bay during 2014. These locations - 6 coastal waters and 3 Irish Sea locations (*), agreed with the EPA, are tabulated below:

ЕРА Мар	Sampling Point
Code	
	Dublin Bay
DB 610	Off Bailey Lighthouse, Howth
DB 430	1 km. NE Poolbeg Lighthouse
DB 450	South Bull Buoy, 1 km. SE Poolbeg Lighthouse
DB 510*	2.5 km. ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse
DB 540*	2.5 km. SSE Poolbeg Lighthouse
DB 550	No.4 Buoy, 2.5 km. E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse
DB 560	Drumleck Point, Howth, 5 km. ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse
DB 570*	5 km. ESE Poolbeg Lighthouse



DB 580	Dun Laoghaire, 5 km. E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse
--------	--

These locations were sampled at surface (S) and depth (D) only when the Salinity varied on the recommendation of the EPA. Composite samples were taken at all other times.

A summary of coastal water quality compliance with S.I 272 of 2009 for the above locations is presented below and complete water quality data is presented in Appendix 6.2(4).

Monitoring shows full compliance with Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen (lower), Dissolved Oxygen (upper) and Chlorophyll-a at all 9 locations on all survey dates. A slight exceedance of Dissolved Oxygen (upper) occurred at DB 430, 1 km NE of Poolbeg Lighthouse (Surface). The value was 122% saturation on 23/07/14.

Median Chlorophyll values met the High – Good boundary condition at DB 510 and DB 570 and the other 7 locations met the Good – Moderate boundary condition.

The Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen (DIN) standard for HIGH status (170 μ g/l N) was met by median and mean concentrations measured at all 9 locations during 2014.

The Silica concentrations measured were all <0.40 mg/l (as SiO2).

There were no impacts on coastal and Irish Sea water quality during surveys carried out in 2014.

4. Shoreline Monitoring – 2014 Bathing Season

Bathing Water is currently regulated by the Bathing Water Quality Regulations, 2008 (SI No.79 of 2008) and Bathing Water Quality (Amendment) Regulations 2011 (SI No. 351 of 2011).

Shoreline sampling was carried out at 8 locations during the 2014 bathing season:

- ASW 11 Dollymount North,
- ASW 12 Dollymount Bathing Zone*
- ASW 13 Dollymount South
- ASW 14 Bull Wall Wood Causeway
- ASW 15 Poolbeg Outfall (Main)
- ASW 16 Half Moon Club Southside
- ASW 17 Sandymount Strand*
- ASW 18 Merrion Strand*

A summary of bathing water quality compliance with S.I No. 79 of 2008 for the above locations, three of which are designated* is presented below and complete water quality data is presented in Appendix 6.2 (4).

In summary:

Bathing water status was determined by the EPA in 2014 following the 3 year "transitional" period from 2011 to 2013.



Designated bathing waters at Dollymount (Bathing Zone) and Sandymount Strand were allocated **GOOD** status by the EPA in 2014. Merrion Strand was allocated **SUFFICIENT** status in 2014.

The remaining 5 locations are not designated bathing waters.

Monitoring between 27/05/14 and 15/09/14 indicated microbiological impacts as highlighted in Appendix 6.2 (5) and summarised below:

Site Location	ASW 11	ASW 13	ASW 14	ASW 15*	ASW 16
No. of E.Coli results	25	22	22	22	20
Samples complying with GOOD and SUFFICIENT	24	22	18		19
No. of Enterococci results	25	22	22	21	20
Samples complying with GOOD	22	22	18		18
Samples complying with SUFFICIENT	22	22	18		18

^{*}Poolbeg Outfall <u>is not a bathing area</u> and is monitored to check the impact of the Ringsend discharge plume.

2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive The electronic submission of data was completed in January 2015.

2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - 2014

The printed PRTR is included in Appendix 6.3.



Section 3 Operational Reports Summary

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

A summary presentation of the efficiency of the treatment process including information for all the parameters specified in the licence is included below:

Table 3.1 - Treatment Efficiency Report Summary

	cBOD *(t/yr)	COD *(t/yr)	SS *(t/yr)	Total P *(t/yr)	Total N *(t/yr)	Comment
Influent mass loading (kg/year)	38,938	82,024	40,674	822	5,291	Loadings expressed in tonnes/year
Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	3,108	12,628	5,844	546	2,429	Emissions in tonnes/year
% Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)	92.02%	84.61%	85.63%	33.59%	54.11%	

^{*}Flow weighted loadings

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report

Table 3.2 - Treatment Capacity Report Summary

Hydraulic Capacity – Design / As Constructed (m³/year) Peak	171,550,000
Hydraulic Capacity – Design / As Constructed (m³/year) DWF	350,049,600
Hydraulic Capacity – Current loading (m³/year)	166,059,305
Hydraulic Capacity – Remaining (m³/year)	183,990,295*
Organic Capacity - Design / As Constructed (PE)	1.64 million (mean)
Organic Capacity - Current loading (PE)	1.77 million (mean)
Organic Capacity – Remaining (PE)	None
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes / No)	Yes**

^{*} Flows in excess of peak flows cause hydraulic overload and operational problems at the WWTP

^{**} Plans are being progressed to expand the current hydraulic and organic capacity at Ringsend.



3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

In this section Irish Water is required to report on the amount of urban waste water generated within the agglomeration. It does not include any waste water collected and treated in a private system and discharged to water under a Section 4 Licence issued under the Water Pollution Acts 1977 (as amended):

Table 3.3 - Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

, ,	% of p.e. load generated in the agglomeration
Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network	100%
Load collected in the agglomeration that enters treatment plant	Unknown
Load collected in the sewer network but discharged without treatment	Unknown

The data in Table 3.3 above is based on influent monitoring as detailed in Section 2.1 above.

3.4 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Complaints relating to the performance of the WWTP or network in Dublin City Council's functional area in 2014 are summarised in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4 - Complaints Summary Table:

WONUM	REPORT_DATE	Nature of	Cause of	Actions Taken	CURRENT_STATUS
		Complaint	Complaint		
20695115	01-May-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke Choke released		RCLOSE
20720228	02-May-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
20759879	07-May-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
20765895	09-May-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
20839793	21-May-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
20860059	23-May-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
20862177	26-May-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
20930295	30-May-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
21067438	13-Jun-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
21379618	17-Jul-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
21390176	18-Jul-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
21496173	05-Aug-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
21582544	26-Aug-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
21729484	10-Sep-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
21937385	09-Oct-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
22007368	20-Oct-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
22064744	24-Oct-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
22146948	06-Nov-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
22205856	13-Nov-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
22205857	13-Nov-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE



WONUM	REPORT_DATE	Nature of	Cause of	Actions Taken	CURRENT_STATUS
		Complaint	Complaint		
22384578	08-Dec-14	Sewage overflow	Odour	Sewer cleaned	RCLOSE
22435818	16-Dec-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
22504242	22-Dec-14	Sewage overflow	Sewer choke	Choke released	RCLOSE
002675	12-Nov-2014	Sewage overflow	Private drain	No action taken	Closed
			choke		

3.5 Reported Incidents Summary

Reported incidents in the agglomeration in 2014 are summarised in Table 3.5.1



Table 3.5.1 - Summary of Incidents

Incident	Date	Inc Description	Cause	Corrective	Authorities	Reported	Closed	Location
Number				Action	Contacted	to E.P.A.		
1004213	08/05/2014	Blocked Sewer	Blockage	Blockage Cleared	N	Υ	Υ	Woodview Ave
1004333	01/01/2014	Uncontrolled release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1004334	08/01/2014	ELV Exceedance	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1004336	09/01/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1004337	18/01/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1004338	29/01/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1004358	25/05/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	N	Υ	Υ	Chapelizod
1004737	14/07/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	N	Υ	Υ	Arran Quay
1005390	27/09/2014	Blocked sewer	Blockage	Blockage Cleared	N	Υ	Υ	Chapelizod
1005574	06/10/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006294	07/11/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006295	08/11/2014	Uncontrolled release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006296	11/11/2014	Uncontrolled release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006297	12/11/2014	Uncontrolled release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006298	13/11/2014	Uncontrolled release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006299	14/11/2014	Uncontrolled release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006300	15/11/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006301	16/11/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1006302	21/11/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	Υ	RWWTW
1004405	03/02/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004406	04/02/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004408	04/02/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004409	07/02/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004410	12/02/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004411	14/02/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004413	20/03/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004414	20/03/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W .Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004415	02/04/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004416	19/05/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW



1005141	02/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1005142	05/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1005143	10/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1005573	03/10/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1005865	28/10/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.E. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1005866	28/10/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1005871	06/1102014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1005872	11/11/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1005877	14/11/2014	Uncontrolled Release	P.S. Failed	Pumps Repaired	Υ	Υ	N	Kilbride P.S.
1006303	22/11/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1006304	11/12/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1006305	16/12/2014	Breach of ELV	Rainfall	T.W. Upgrade	N	Υ	N	RWWTW
1004814	18/07/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	N	Υ	N	Aylesbury P.S.
1004958	05/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Aylesbury P.S.
1004959	06/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Sewer in Elm Park
1004960	10/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Aylesbury P.S.
1004961	11/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Sewer in Elm Park
1004962	05/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Sutton P.S.
1004963	05/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Kilbarrack P.S.
1004964	05/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Ballymun P.S.
1004966	05/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Mellows Rd P.S.
1004977	10/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Dollymount
1004978	11/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Kilbarrack P.S.
1004979	11/08/2014	Uncontrolled Release	Rainfall	Not Applicable	Υ	Υ	N	Ballymun P.S.



Table 3.5.2 - Summary of Overall Incidents

Number of Incidents in 2014	53
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2014	53
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in Table 3.6 below.

Table 3.6 - Other Inputs

Table 3.0 - Other inputs					
Input type	m³/year	PE/year	% of load	Is there a	Is there a
			to WWTP	leachate/sludge	dedicated
				acceptance	leachate/sludge
				procedure for	acceptance
				the WWTP?	facility for the
				(Y/N)	WWTP? (Y/N)
Domestic /Septic Tank	0	N/A	Negligible	Υ	Υ
Sludge					
Industrial / Commercial	20,846	N/A	Negligible	Υ	Υ
Sludge					
Landfill Leachate	30,242	N/A	Negligible	Υ	Υ
(delivered by tanker)					
Landfill Leachate	0	N/A	Negligible	Υ	Υ
(delivered by sewer					
network)					
Other (specify)	0	N/A	Negligible	Υ	Υ

Notes:

- 1. Other Inputs include; septic tank sludge, industrial /commercial sludge, landfill leachate and any other sludge that is collected and added to the treatment plant.
- 2. <u>Sludge that is added to a dedicated sludge reception facility at a waste water treatment plant not included in Table 3.6</u>. Only include sludge which is added to the waste water treatment process stream. Enter zero where there are no inputs



Section 4. Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements

4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report

The Storm Water Overflow Summary Report, Tables 4.1 and 4.2 are shown below. Actual data for columns 5 (no. time activated), 6 (total volume discharged in m³) and 7 (total volume discharged in PE) in Table 4.1 are not available for Dublin City Council. This data have been estimated by combining information contained within the Greater Dublin Strategic Drainage Study (GDSDS) with an analysis of discharge from a single storm water overflow during a major storm event. The GDSDS was never intended to provide the level of detail required to complete Table 4.1 and is, therefore, unreliable.

Accurate data for Table 4.1 can only be obtained through a comprehensive study of individual storm water overflows. The study should determine the following:

- Frequency of overflow
- Volume discharge in each overflow episode
- An assessment of the quality of the effluent discharged



Table 4.1 Storm water overflow identification and assessment summary table

SWO Code	Grid Refere	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Assessment Criteria				No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted			STC25 Ref
CSO186DCC	317881	232507	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	521299	1338000.77	E	SO17328507
CSO88DCC	317683	234884	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	394401	1012295.9	E	SO17346807
CSO180DCC	318106.6	232850	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	325145	834538.833	Е	SO18321802
CSO171DCC	317550	232447	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	284186	729410.733	Е	SO17325401
CSO36DCC	317234	234294	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	237469	609503.767	Е	SO17342203
CSO176DCC	317639	232519	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	196982	505587.133	E	SO17326503
CSO168DCC	318139	233413.1	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	194860	500140.667	Е	SO18331407
CSO156DCC	322127.4	237600.7	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	163715	420201.833	E	SO22371604
CSO49DCC	313699	234415	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	156055	400541.167	Е	SO13346404
CSO84DCC	315139	234124	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	126886	325674.067	Е	SO15341109
CSO47DCC	315278	234216	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	106797	274112.3	E	SO15342204
CSO51DCC	315102	233451	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	105743	271407.033	Е	SO15331433
CSO69DCC	310913	233836	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	96482	247637.133	Е	SO10339801
CSO184DCC	317824	232486	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	96123	246715.7	E	SO17328405
CSO34DCC	316933	235409	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	86542	222124.467	Е	SO16359411
CSO1DCC	314772	234232	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	77274	198336.6	E	SO14347206
CSO118DCC	316968	236195	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	76157	195469.633	E	SO16369104
CSO48DCC	315133	234184	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	61269	157257.1	E	SO15341117
CSO33DCC	317191	234633	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	55865	143386.833	E	SO17341601
CSO103DCC	310784.4	232217.7	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	53954	138481.933	Е	SO10327207
CSO128DCC	321115.9	237636.4	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	52398	134488.2	E	SO21371602
CSO188DCC	314450.6	230169.9	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	51707	132714.633	Е	SO14304105
CSO72DCC	312285.9	233530.1	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	1	48727	125065.967	Е	SO12332506
CSO102DCC	310740.7	232269.8	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	N/A	47762	122589.133	E	SO10327205
CSO89DCC	317775	234427	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	40352	103570.133	E	SO17347411



CSO14DCC	316849	234337	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	37777	96960.9667	E	SO16348302
SWO Code	Grid Refere	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Asso	essment Crite	ria		No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted	. ,		STC25 Ref
CSO153DCC	313415	238521	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	34201	87782.5667	E	SO13383501
CSO164DCC	323610.5	238743.9	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	30268	77687.8667	Е	SO23386705
CSO173DCC	317827	231358	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	0	23522	60373.1333	Е	SO17318310
CSO80DCC	314205	234270	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	22269	57157.1	Е	SO14342204
CSO5DCC	317054	235998	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	21414	54962.6	Е	SO17350908
CSO11DCC	316107	234398	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	20870	53566.3333	Е	SO16341310
CSO73DCC	317455	235389	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	20351	52234.2333	Е	SO17354303
CSO2DCC	314663	234263	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	19504	50060.2667	Е	SO14346214
CSO181DCC	315892	232164	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	18722	48053.1333	E	SO15328193
CSO152DCC	321003.5	236216.9	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	18664	47904.2667	Е	SO2130202
CSO169DCC	317909.4	232497.3	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	17822	45743.1333	E	SO17329403
CSO112DCC	315347	237184.2	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	17082	43843.8	E	SO15373102
CSO134DCC	318903.1	237248.1	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	16565	42516.8333	E	SO18378205
CSO66DCC	313731	234212	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	15862	40712.4667	E	SO13347206
CSO83DCC	313953	234344	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	15850	40681.6667	E	SO13349307
CSO81DCC	317303	235416	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	15783	40509.7	E	SO17353415
CSO142DCC	323128.6	238498.6	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	14623	37532.3667	Е	SO23381414
CSO177DCC	314416.2	231520.7	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	13220	33931.3333	E	SO14314503
CSO62DCC	317394	234266	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	13208	33900.5333	Е	SO17343203
CSO93DCC	319319	231456	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	13075	33559.1667	E	SO19313502
CSO94DCC	310380.3	232486.3	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	12894	33094.6	E	SO10323401
CSO7DCC	314962	233226	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	12300	31570	E	SO14339210
CSO15DCC	312958	234298	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	9437	24221.6333	E	SO12349203
CSO125DCC	318032	236337	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	8827	22655.9667	E	SO18360302
CSO147DCC	322791	238174.1	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	8765	22496.8333	Е	SO22387104
CSO190DCC	317176	230639	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	8315	21341.8333	Е	SO17301604
CSO65DCC	313820	234224	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	8095	20777.1667	E	SO13348206
CSO60DCC	315398	233788	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	7698	19758.2	Е	SO15333701



CSO119DCC	317476	236267	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	7618	19552.8667	E	SO17364203
SWO Code	Grid Refere	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Asso	essment Crite	ria		No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted	, ,		STC25 Ref
CSO87DCC	316865	234654	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	7568	19424.5333	E	SO16348607
CSO35DCC	316885	233670	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	6895	17697.1667	Е	SO16338601
CSO10DCC	313533	233809	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	6890	17684.3333	E	SO13335804
CSO182DCC	314819.9	232376.7	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	5838	14984.2	E	SO14328311
CSO71DCC	310510	234079	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	5315	13641.8333	E	SO10345001
CSO32DCC	317182	234623	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	5313	13636.7	E	SO17341607
CSO26DCC	312632.1	233616.3	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	5241	13451.9	Е	SO12336604
CSO140DCC	322305.9	241250.1	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	5047	12953.9667	E	SO22413204
CSO107DCC	318741	232076	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	4946	12694.7333	E	SO18327003
CSO46DCC	315717	234317	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	4911	12604.9	Е	SO15347306
CSO29DCC	315417	234244	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	4680	12012	E	SO15344205
CSO23DCC	316108	234474	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	4	3561	9139.9	E	SO16341406
CSO141DCC	321150.1	238284.2	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	3345	8585.5	E	SO21381202
CSO146DCC	315371.4	237860.2	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	6	3287	8436.63333	E	SO15373801
CSO76DCC	311756.9	233212.2	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	3272	8398.13333	E	SO11337206
CSO161DCC	315285	239290	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	3182	8167.13333	E	SO15394203
CSO45DCC	315551	234270	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	2909	7466.43333	E	SO15345206
CSO30DCC	312009.9	233526.7	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	1	2863	7348.36667	E	SO12330604
CSO19DCC	316857	236017	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	2733	7014.7	E	SO16368009
CSO97DCC	319373	230608	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	2566	6586.06667	E	SO19303601
CSO178DCC	314413.1	231520.5	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	2447	6280.63333	E	SO14314501
CSO25DCC	314580	234294	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	2263	5808.36667	E	SO14345210
CSO28DCC	313210	233631	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	4	2117	5433.63333	E	SO13332616
CSO50DCC	315113.2	233446	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	6	2088	5359.2	Е	SO15331414
CSO167DCC	317890	231357	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	6	1990	5107.66667	Е	SO17318301
CSO22DCC	311515.7	232829.5	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	N/A	1972	5061.46667	Е	SO11325805
CSO27DCC	315533	234142	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	1792	4599.46667	Е	SO15345113
CSO124DCC	317564	236640	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	1486	3814.06667	E	SO17365601



CSO21DCC	315487	234037	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	1477	3790.96667	E	SO15344004
SWO Code	Grid Referer	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Asse	essment Criter	ia		No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted			STC25 Ref
CSO187DCC	316306	230383	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	6	1415	3631.83333	E	SO16303302
CSO150DCC	321216.2	238351.5	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	1185	3041.5	E	SO21382304
CSO136DCC	318558.7	237698.9	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	1145	2938.83333	E	SO18375603
CSO170DCC	317699	231474	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	1092	2802.8	E	SO17316403
CSO82DCC	317299.2	235411.1	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	1060	2720.66667	E	SO17353415
CSO4DCC	317065	235991	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	1052	2700.13333	E	SO17350906
CSO114DCC	315933.2	237458.9	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	1007	2584.63333	E	SO15379403
CSO120DCC	317288	237032	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	966	2479.4	E	SO17372001
CSO18DCC	316852	236022	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	4	945	2425.5	E	SO16368001
CSO189DCC	316956	230477	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	N/A	898	2304.86667	E	
CSO131DCC	320166.1	237863.4	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	889	2281.76667	E	SO20371802
CSO75DCC	312544.7	233667.4	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	12	865	2220.16667	E	SO12335605
CSO63DCC	314704	234412	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	4	848	2176.53333	E	SO14347406
CSO8DCC	316161	236672	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	1	704	1806.93333	E	SO16361609
CSO175DCC	317743	231303	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	6	697	1788.96667	E	SO17317302
CSO74DCC	312533.3	233579.4	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	651	1670.9	E	SO12335506
CSO151DCC	313201	236289	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	NA	549	1409.1	E	SO13362202
CSO70DCC	310244.3	234243.1	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	4	521	1337.23333	E	SO10342201
CSO139DCC	313685	238438	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	53	427	1095.96667	E	SO13386401
CSO101DCC	319921	230594	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	6	369	947.1	E	SO19309504
CSO90DCC	311588.6	231730.6	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	247	633.966667	E	SO11315707
CSO98DCC	319373	230608	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	236	605.733333	E	SO19303603
CSO126DCC	319927	235869	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	223	572.366667	E	SO19359806
CSO68DCC	310354.6	234122	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	2	190	487.666667	E	SO10343105
CSO78DCC	314686	234201	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	2	153	392.7	E	SO14346205
CSO197DCC	316297	237050	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	120	308	E	SO16372001
CSO24DCC	314430	234315	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	2	119	305.433333	E	SO14344316
CSO43DCC	313387	233674	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	97	248.966667	E	SO13333602



CSO6DCC	314959	233223	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	82	210.466667	Е	SO14339210
SWO Code	Grid Referer	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Asse	ssment Criter	ria		No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted			STC25 Ref
CSO130DCC	316652	238118	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	72	184.8	E	SO16383101
CSO31DCC	315899	236808.9	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	64	164.266667	E	SO15368802
CSO135DCC	313840.1	237483.7	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	4	47	120.633333	E	SO13378401
CSO61DCC	315322	233808	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	4	41	105.233333	E	SO15333801
CSO129DCC	314692.1	238454	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	52	37	94.9666667	E	SO14386402
CSO20DCC	313539.2	233798.2	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	1	30	77	E	SO13335709
CSO38DCC	312689.5	234345.9	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	Unknown	7	17.9666667	E	SO12346305
CSO13DCC	314893	234204	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	1	6	15.4	E	SO14348209
CSO9DCC	316043	236686	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	2	6	15.4	E	SO16360601
CSO157DCC	313270	238784	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	24	5	12.8333333	Е	SO13382701
CSO100DCC	313420.7	232720.8	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO13324701
CSO104DCC	313402.8	232802.7	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO13324801
CSO105DCC	317843	233804	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO17338807
CSO106DCC	319384	231534	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	24	0	0	E	SO19313502
CSO109DCC	317414	238590	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO17384504
CSO122DCC	319420	239940	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO19394906
CSO12DCC	316024	234360	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO16340308
CSO132DCC	312746	239249	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	Е	?
CSO133DCC	313170	238854	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	4	0	0	E	SO13381805
CSO143DCC	314316	238253	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO14383203
CSO144DCC	320761.3	238396.4	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	6	0	0	E	SO20387301
CSO149DCC	313240.1	238953.6	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	4	0	0	E	SO13381805
CSO154DCC	322129.6	239548.4	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO22391501
CSO155DCC	321528.6	237973.6	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO21375901
CSO158DCC	323132	241110	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	?
CSO160DCC	313720.8	237668.9	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO13377607
CSO162DCC	321555	235735.1	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO21355703
CSO163DCC	314105.8	237565	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO14371501



CSO165DCC	320130	235782.3	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO20351704
SWO Code	Grid Refere	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Asse	essment Crite	ria		No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m ³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted			STC25 Ref
CSO166DCC	317562.2	230766.8	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO17305702
CSO16DCC	312966	234298	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	0	0	0	E	SO12349202
CSO174DCC	317852	231363	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	0	0	0	Е	SO17318310
CSO179DCC	318131.7	233429.2	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	Е	SO18331410
CSO17DCC	312966	234298	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO12349202
CSO183DCC	316789.6	230086.1	Y	No**	No**	No**	No	N/A	0	0	E	Located in South Dublin County Council
CSO185DCC	316609.1	232018	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO16325007
CSO195DCC	314827.7	229636.6	Y	No**	No**	No**	No	N/A	0	0	E	Located in South Dublin County Council
CSO196DCC	314816.5	229635.4	Y	No**	No**	No**	No	N/A	0	0	E	Located in South Dublin County Council
CSO37DCC	312015.3	233664.8	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO12330604
CSO3DCC	315862	234379	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO15348308
CSO40DCC	309727.8	234677.8	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO09347603
CSO41DCC	314987	234131	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO14349101
CSO42DCC	315977.8	236911.8	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	E	SO15369902
CSO44DCC	316904	236073	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO16369001
CSO52DCC	317843	233804	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO17338807
CSO53DCC	309604.2	234375.7	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	4	0	0	Е	SO09346312
CSO54DCC	312990	233670	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO12339609
CSO55DCC	312990	233670	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO12339609
CSO56DCC	313022	233676	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO13330605
CSO57DCC	313022	233676	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	E	SO13330605
CSO58DCC	313064	233680	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO13330604



CSO59DCC	314244	234324	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO14342308
SWO Code	Grid Refere	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Asse	essment Criter	ria		No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m ³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted			STC25 Ref
CSO64DCC	314700	234516	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	Е	SO14347510
CSO67DCC	310350.4	234127.5	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO10343107
CSO77DCC	314492	234246	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO14344202
CSO79DCC	314322	234267	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO14343207
CSO85DCC	315136	234112	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO15341103
CSO91DCC	311397.9	230549.3	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	Е	??
CSO92DCC	313440	232440.5	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	Е	SO13324405
CSO95DCC	318879.5	233947.3	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	Е	SO18338911
CSO96DCC	313724.7	232628.4	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	1	0	0	Е	SO13327607
CSO99DCC	313291	229848	Υ	No**	No**	No**	No	Unknown	0	0	Е	SO13292801
Not Applicable	318105.2	232849.3	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	52	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO18321802
Not Applicable	317325.5	233388.9	Y	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO17333303
Not Applicable	318249	230834	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO18302806
Not Applicable	317785	231204	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO17317203
Not Applicable	311914.5	236281.4	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO11369201
Not Applicable	315273	237272	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO15372202
Not Applicable	318892	237253.9	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO18378205
Not Applicable	319050.8	237218.1	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO19370201
Not Applicable	319029	237382	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO19370306
Not Applicable	321437.3	236402.3	Y	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO21364410
Not Applicable	319242.3	235931.2	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO19352902
Not Applicable	321196.1	236118.1	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO21361101
Not Applicable	319347.5	237236.5	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO19373202



SWO Code	Grid Refere	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	T A ii				No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m ³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted			STC25 Ref
Not Applicable	316236.7	236868.9	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO16362801
Not Applicable	317481.9	236222.9	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO17364201
Not Applicable	317526.8	236396.9	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO17365302
Not Applicable	317370.9	235907.4	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO17353903
Not Applicable	317858.2	236890.9	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO17368804
Not Applicable	315674.1	237839.1	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO15376802
Not Applicable	320456.8	237749.2	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO20374701
Not Applicable	313857.4	233350.5	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO13338304
Not Applicable	322654	239351	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO22396301
Not Applicable	323086.7	239136	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO23390101
Not Applicable	313217	233706	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO13332705
Not Applicable	313840.1	237483.8	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO13378401
Not Applicable	310277.9	234429.5	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO10342403
Not Applicable	313909	233340	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO13339307
Not Applicable	319443.8	237358.5	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO19374302
Not Applicable	312628	235825	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO12356809
Not Applicable	312810	235654	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	52	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO12358608
Not Applicable	312535.8	235893.8	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO12355807
Not Applicable	317075	235588	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO17350508
Not Applicable	314608.7	237773.1	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO14376708



SWO Code	Grid Refere	nce	Included in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Asse	ssment Criter	ia		No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
PT_CD	EASTING	NORTHING		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Volume Emitted			STC25 Ref
Not Applicable	312837.3	239706.2	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO12398709
Not Applicable	317274.9	236972.1	Y	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO17362907
Not Applicable	319687	233798	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO19336701
Not Applicable	317083.4	240679	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	N/A	Unknown	Unknown	E	In Fingal Co Co
Not Applicable	311497.2	233703.2	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Е	SO11334707
Not Applicable	320742.6	236300	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO20367301
Not Applicable	317338.7	236667.9	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO17363605
Not Applicable	317840	236426	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO17368401
Not Applicable	317235	235455	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO17352412
Not Applicable	320291.7	236508.8	Υ	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	E	SO20362507
SW1Dublin	321073	233814	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
SW2Dublin	320332	233800	Υ	Yes*	Yes*	Yes*	No	15	892,730	2291340.33	E	
				nature and spills during enough rain occasions th	cts are of a te only occur wh a a large or pro fall event. Or nese CSOs spil nese question	en the CSO blonged In the I, then the		This value is an estimate for the for the annual number of spills taken from the GDSDS	This value is an estimate for the Annual Spill Volume taken from the GDSDS Predicted CSO Spill Performance	This data is highly unreliable as the GDSDS was a strategic level study NOT carried out at sufficient detail for these results to be		



SWO Code	Grid Reference	in S.4 of WWDL	DECLG Assessment Criteria	No. of Times Activated in 2013	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (m ³)	Total Volume Discharged in 2013 (PE)	Measured/Esti mated	
				More detailed studies required for accurate results.	More detailed studies required to verify what is essentially results from a very strategic level model	accurate. A detailed study, including flow monitors and sampling equipment is required in order to obtain accurate data.		
			**'No' on the basis of the modelled results. Confirmation of results required by more detailed study.					



Table 4.1.2 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3/yr)?	Data not available
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (p.e.)?	Data not available
What % of the total volume of sewage generated in the agglomeration was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in 2014?	Data not available
Is each SWO identified as non-compliant with DoEHLG Guidance included in	Yes
the Programme of Improvements?	See Section 4.2
The SWO assessment includes the requirements of Schedule A3	Yes, where applicable
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3	No.
and A4 under Condition 1.7?	

4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

Condition 5 of the licence requires the licensee to prepare and implement an improvement programme to maximise the effectiveness and efficiency of the work as specified in Condition 5.1.

Table 4.2.1 - Specified Improvement Programme Summary

Specified Improvement Programmes	Licence Schedule (A or C)	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired?	Status of Works	Comments	Licensee Timeframe for Completing Works
Improvement						
Programme for Primary Discharge						
Upgrade waste water treatment plant and ancillary works in accordance with Condition 5.5	C.1	22 nd December 2015	No	Part- commenced	The Project consists of three main elements as follows: 'Surgical Insertion' Works Commenced on site in 2013. Odour Upgrades and Bio-Cake Outloading facility due for completion by March 2015. 1st Stage Power Supply upgrade complete. Additional works at design/execution stage. Secondary	Element 2 Secondary Treatment Extension – completion mid- 2019. Overall completion – 2021.





Specified Improvement Programmes	Licence Schedule (A or C)	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired?	Status of Works	Comments	Licensee Timeframe for Completing Works
					Treatment Extension Consultants appointed in September 2014 and design ongoing. Revised design proposals necessitated abandonment of existing OJEU competition. New OJEU Notice for DB Construction Contract anticipated in Q2/3 2015. Long Sea Outfall Tunnel No progress this period. Priority given to expediting WwTW upgrades & expansion.	
					expansion. Land/power supply negotiations with ESB ongoing. Note: A proving exercise to demonstrate that a nutrient removal technology will work successfully in the Ringsend wastewater environment will commence at Ringsend WWTP in March 2015	
Upgrade storm water storage tank at WWTP as necessary	C.1	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	and will run for a 6 month period until September 2015. There are no current plans to upgrade the storm water storage tanks at the Works. This position being reviewed as part of WwTW Detailed	Not applicable



Specified Improvement Programmes	Licence Schedule (A or C)	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired?	Status of Works	Comments	Licensee Timeframe for Completing Works
City Centre Sewerage Scheme (CCSS)	C.3	None specified	Not applicable	In progress	N/A	Consultant appointed in June 2013 to construct hydraulic model. 2 No. CCTV contracts completed. Hydraulic model construction and verification currently underway
North Docklands Sewerage Scheme	C4	None specified	Not applicable	Work on Site	75%	See Section 4.2.1.
Rathmines and Pembroke (R&P) Scheme now renamed as 'Rathmines — Pembroke and Grand Canal Tunnel Drainage Area Study'	C.3	None specified	Not applicable	Transferred to Irish Water	N/A	This project was transferred to Irish Water in January 2014. The project went to tender in December 2014 to engage a project consultant. Management of this project has been transferred to Irish Water

Table 4.2.2 – Improvement Programme Summary Table

Improvement	Improvement Source	Progress (% Complete)	Expected
Description			Completion Date
WWTP Upgrade	WWTP (Condition 5.2)	At development stage	Subject funding
City Centre Sewerage Scheme	SWO Assessment (Conditions 4 and 5.2) Improved Operational Control Incident Reduction	Circa 50%	Mid 2017
North Docklands Sewerage Scheme	SWO Assessment (Conditions 4 and 5.2) Improved Operational Control Incident Reduction	> 75%	Mid 2016
Rathmines and Pembroke Drainage Scheme (and Grand Canal)	SWO Assessment (Conditions 4 and 5.2) Improved Operational Control Incident Reduction	At early development stage	Subject funding



North Docklands Sewerage Scheme

Background & Progress

The Docklands Drainage Area Plan (DAP) produced in 2006 identified that there was a need to upgrade the existing water and drainage facilities in the North Docklands Area. The Docklands DAP identified that a new pumping station at Spencer Dock with Rising Mains to convey flows across to Ringsend Wastewater Treatment Works was the only viable solution to meet future drainage demand of new development in the north docklands area. The scheme was originally conceived as Serviced Land Initiative Scheme (SLI) rolling over into the WSIP 2007 - 2009. The remaining contracts still outstanding were extended into the DECLG WSIP 2010 – 2013. Following a series of design and scope changes the delivery strategy devised for the North Docklands Sewerage Scheme (NDSS) (previously referred to as the Spencer Dock Sewerage Scheme) was to split the overall scheme into four contracts. The title of each element (listed hereunder) reflects the current position:

- Contract 1 Spencer Dock Pumping Station (SDPS) Remaining works titled NDSS Contract 4C
- Contract 2 Dublin Docklands Rising Mains Sewerage Scheme completed
- Contract 3 Liffey Services Installation Contract (LSIC) completed
- Contract 4 Network Upgrade -Split into two contracts 4A Under construction and 4B Tender Documents complete

When all Docklands Contracts are completed the necessary drainage infrastructure will be in place to adequately deal with the current and future sustainable development of the North Docklands Area. Furthermore it will reduce CSO spills and flooding risk in the north docklands. The engineering solution has been designed to complement drainage infrastructural requirements envisaged by the new Dublin City Council Strategic Development Zone (SDZ) Plan and CIE Master Development Plan.

Contract 1 - Spencer Dock Pumping Station (SDPS)

An integral component of the overall Spencer Dock Sewerage Scheme, a main pumping station has been constructed in the North Lotts Area of Spencer Dock, Dublin 1 on behalf of Dublin City Council by means of a development agreement between Dublin City Council and original Developers SDCC (No.9) Ltd.

The overall objective of the pumping station is to transfer existing and future combined flows from within the Spencer Dock catchment to the existing Ringsend Wastewater Treatment Plant for treatment and disposal. The Spencer Dock Pumping Station is located at the junction of Sheriff Street Upper and New Wapping Street. To date, the main pumping station substructure and mechanical & electrical fit-out has been completed. However a number outstanding works (listed hereunder) that were included in the original scope of works to be delivered have not been constructed to date. Effectively this has prevented the completion of the commissioning phase of the Spencer Dock Pumping Station. Funding was secured by Irish Water and following a tender process, contracts were awarded in Q3 2014 for the completion of the outstanding works to Ward & Burke Construction Ltd and CMP Ltd (SISK).

1. The Sherriff Street 1200 mm diameter Incoming Sewer

Completion January 2015

2. New Wapping Street Twin Rising Mains and Overflow Sewer to connect to Contract 2.

Expected completion date April 2015



3. Mayor Street incoming Sewer (including Mayor Street Rising Main Rehabilitation works).

Expected completion date April 2015

4. Final commissioning of Mechanical and Electrical Plant and handover of the pumping station.

Expected completion date June 2015

North Docklands Sewerage Scheme - Contract 4A

The works consists of the upgrade of existing sewerage infrastructure within the Spencer Dock area of the North Docklands, transferring flows from existing sewers on Church Road, East Road and Sherriff Street, via a new 530 metre long 1200 mm diameter arterial tunnelled sewer network to the new Spencer Dock Pumping Station constructed as contract 1 of the overall scheme. The Contractor, Ward and Burke Construction Ltd. were formally appointed by Dublin City Council in July 2013 to undertake the construction contract. Construction is underway since September 2013 with an anticipate 16 month program. RPS Group consulting engineers are appointed as project supervisor for construction and handover stages.

North Docklands Sewerage Scheme - Contract 4B

Completion of Contract 4B is the last remaining element providing the necessary drainage infrastructure to facilitate new development and upgrade existing sewerage infrastructure to transfer flows to the pumping station from Sheriff Street Upper and Castleforbes Road. It will involve 740 metres of combined gravity sewer using trenchless construction methods (433 metres of 1,200 mm diameter, and 307 metres of 600 mm diameter using micro tunnelling) including 8 drive and reception pits. Approximately 48 metres of combined gravity sewers and ancillary manholes using open cut methods with connections to existing manholes and extensive accommodation works involving diversion of existing utilities at all shaft and open cut manhole locations is included. The Project transferred to Irish Water in January 2014. Further Optioneering was required following difficulty securing Wayleave agreement through 3rd party lands. The design has been completed by Nicholas O'Dwyer Consulting Engineers with the intention for going to tender for Gate 3 Construction in Q1 2015.

New flaps fitted to storm water overflows on Quay wall

The GDSDS identified that there was significant infiltration in the Ringsend Agglomeration. In 2009 an assessment was carried out using operational data to quantify the amount of additional water that needed to be pumped and treated as a result of this infiltration. This assessment gave a figure of 400 l/s between high-tide and low tide night time flows. New flaps were fitted to the quay wall of the river Liffey at the end of 2013 / beginning of 2014. A similar assessment showed that these flows had reduced by 25% as a result of these works.

Table 4.2.3 – Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment Tool Summary

As reported last year, as part of the City Centre Sewerage Scheme, a modified Sewer Integrity Tool was developed and piloted. The pilot indicated the extreme complexity in applying the Sewer Integrity Tool to an agglomeration with more than 900 km of foul and combined sewer and that the full application of the Sewer Integrity Tool to each of the catchments within the agglomeration would require considerable time, funding and resources. There has been no further progress on this issue in 2014



Section 5. Licence Specific Reports

Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required in 2014 AER or outstanding from previous AER	Included in 2014 AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Priority Substances Assessment	Yes	Yes	Full report in Appendix 6.6
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	No	No	N/A
Habitats Impact Assessment	No	No	N/A
Shellfish Impact Assessment	No	No	N/A
Pearl Mussel Report	No	No	N/A
Toxicity/Leachate Management	Yes	Yes	See Appendix 6.7
Toxicity of Final Effluent Report	Yes	Yes	See Appendix 6.8



Licence Specific Reports Summary of Findings

Licence Specific	Recommendations	Summary of Recommendations in Report
Report	in Report	No ELV's are set in the Licence for Priority Pollutants in SW1 effluent discharge.
Priority Substances Assessment	No	Minor exceedances in standards for receiving water quality occur in the effluent discharge. These are complied with following dilution. See report in Appendix 6.6.
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	N/A	N/A
Habitats Impact Assessment	N/A	N/A
Shellfish Impact Assessment	N/A	N/A
Pearl Mussel Report	N/A	N/A
Toxicity/Leachate Management	No	Very small volumes of leachate discharge annually by tanker – a total of 30,242 m³ in 2014. This is equivalent to 6.56% of <u>a single day's daily mean influent</u> and equivalent to <u>0.018% of the annual total influent flow</u> .
Toxicity of Final Effluent Report	No	Aquatic Toxicity complies well with Effluent ELV of 5 TU.



5.1 Priority Substances Assessment

The Priority Substances Assessment report is included in Appendix 6.6. A summary of the findings of this report is included below.

Table 5.1 - Priority Substance Assessment Summary

	Licensee self- assessment checks to determine whether all relevant information is included in the Assessment.						
Does the assessment use the Desk Top Study Method or Screening Analysis to determine if the discharge contains the parameters in Appendix 1 of the EPA guidance	Screening Analysis						
Does the assessment include a review of Trade inputs to the works?	No Licences are issued or reviewed to restrict priority substances						
Does the assessment include a review of other inputs to the works?	Yes. 4 Main Influent Lines screened by testing						
Does the report include an assessment of the significance of the results where a listed material is present in the discharge? (e.g. impact on the relevant EQS standard for the receiving water)	Yes						
Does the assessment identify that priority substances may be impacting the receiving water?	No						
Does the Improvement Programme for the agglomeration include the elimination / reduction of all priority substances identified as having an impact on receiving water quality?	No						

5.2 Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment.

No Assessment required by the Licence.

5.3 Shellfish Impact Assessment Report.

No Assessment required by the Licence.



5.4 Toxicity / Leachate Management

The Toxicity / Leachate Management Assessment report is included in Appendix 6.7. A summary of the findings of this report is included below.

Table 5.4 - Toxicity / Leachate Management Report Summary

Is a Toxicity / Leachate Management Report required in the 2014 AER (or outstanding from previous AER)	Yes
What % of the total influent for the year is leachate?	0.018% of annual load (volume)
Does leachate addition exceed 4% (volume) of the influent load at any time?	No
Maximum leachate loading rate (based on 100 m³)	0.022% of daily load (volume)
Does the leachate study identify any constituents of the material that present an environmental risk?	No
List leachate constituent identified and impact (insert a row for each constituent)	N/A
Has the WWTP suitability to treat the leachate been assessed?	Yes
What are the results of the assessment	Suitable
Has the study identified the max and operational loadings (mass, volume and rate of addition) for leachate to the WWTP?	N/A
Is there a monitoring programme for the priority substances identified above?	Yes
Have trigger and action levels for the concentration of identified leachate constituents been established to prevent impact on the receiving water?	Yes
Does the Improvement Programme for the agglomeration include any procedural and/or infrastructural works to reduce the impacts of leachate acceptance on the operation of the wwtp?	No



5.5 Toxicity of the Final Effluent Report

The Toxicity / Leachate Management Assessment report is included in Appendix 6.8. A summary of the findings of this report is included below.

Table 5.5 - Toxicity of the Final Effluent Assessment Summary

Is a Toxicity report required? (Condition 4)	Yes
Has the study been carried out against 4 species in 3 trophic levels?	No (2)
Does the report identify that the discharge is toxic to any of the species in the study?	No
List species impacted	N/A
Does the Improvement Programme for the agglomeration include any procedural and/or	
infrastructural works to reduce the toxicity of the final discharge?	No

A copy of the detailed assessment is included in Appendix 6.8 to the AER.

Toxicity test results show effluent aquatic toxicity complies well with the licence limit of 5 TU.

5.6 Pearl Mussel Measures Report

No Assessment required by the Licence.

5.7 Habitats Impact Assessment Report

No Assessment required by the Licence.



Section 6. Appendix

Appendix 6.1	Annual Statements of Measures
Appendix 6.2	Ambient Monitoring Summary
Appendix 6.3	PRTR Summary Sheets
Appendix 6.4	Specified Improvement Programme
Appendix 6.5	Sewer Integrity Tool Output
Appendix 6.6	Priority Substances Assessment
Appendix 6.7	Toxicity Leachate Manageemnt Report
Appendix 6.8	Final Effluent Toxicity Assessment



Appendix 6.1

Annual Statement of Measures



Statement	of Measures
Statement	or ivieasures

Risk I.D.	Risk Score	Mitigation measure to be taken	Outcome	Action	Date for completion	Owner/Contact Person	
1	12	Measure the most common contaminant - hydrocarbons on-line	Early warning given of hydrocarbons present in incoming sewage, preventing major contaminant reaching secondary treatment	Hydrocarbons monitor to be installed in common inlet channel/screen house	2015	Plant Operator (CAW) Plant OM Manager	
4	12	Upgrade of on-site communications network	No communication issues	Upgrade of on-site communications network as a part of the plant extension project	2015	Irish Water	
5	12	Increased plant capacity and process resistancy against severe weather - mainly low temperature and heavy wind conditions	Treatment Process resistant to severe weather impact - low temperature and heavy winds	Plant's secondary treatment extension, covers or wind deflectors to be installed on current secondary treatment tanks (SBR's)	2015	Irish Water	
10	16	Biological nutrient removal to take place at WwTW.	No eutrophication of sensitive water bodies.	Long Sea Outfall Tunnel: Priority given to expediting WwTW upgrades & expansion.	31.12.2015	Irish Water	
23	6	Increase sodium hydroxide storage tank capacity to be able to receive full balk load delivery to eliminate chemicals handling in IBCs	No high volume delivery chemicals to be handled in IBCs	A new sodium hydroxide storage with sufficient capacity to be installed for the Dryer OCU	2015	Plant Operator (CAW) Plant OM Manager	
24	6	Closed bins to be to be used only for waste handling (screenings), the waste to be disposed through a designated place	No odour emissions from screenings handling	Closed bins to be purchased , designated point for waste (screenings) disposal to be designed and installed	Action completed.	Plant Operator (CAW) Plant OM Manager	
26	4	Product not to be transported in trailers even with a minor cover damage.	No spillages on site or during transport	All trailers in use to be re-checked before filled, staff training	Training programme in place	Plant Operator (CAW) Plant OM Manager	



Statement	t of Measures					
Risk I.D.	Risk Score	Mitigation measure to be taken	Outcome	Action	Date for completion	Owner/Contact Person
28	4	Biocake loading bay to be enclosed, product not to be transported in trailers even with a minor cover damage	No spillages on site or during transport	Biocake loading bay to be designed and constructed, all trailers in use to be re-checked before filled, staff training	April 2015	Plant Operator (CAW) Plant OM Manager/Irish water



Appendix 6.2

Ambient Monitoring Summary

- 7.2.1 Dublin Ambient Sampling Points Map
- Table 7.2.2 Marine Monitoring Water Quality Data: ASW2 ASW10
- Table 7.2.3 Transitional Monitoring Water Quality Data: Points Agreed by the EPA
- Table 7.2.4 Coastal Monitoring Dublin Bay Water Quality Data:
 Points Agreed by the EPA
- Table 7.2.5 Coastal Monitoring Bathing Water Quality Data: ASW11 ASW18





7.2.1 Map showing locations of sampling points.



APPENDIX 6.2 (2) Marine Monitoring ASW2 to ASW10 7.2 (2) Report for Samples Taken During the Period: 01/01/2014 - 31/12/2014

	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/I N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/l SRP as P	PSU	mg/I as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(130842) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 08:19	22	1	8.3	134	103	1.5	20	27.1	0.2	11.7	112
(130842) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 11:43	379	1	1.9	508	103	0.7	175	31.1	1.28	14.7	129
(130842) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 10:43	483	1	1.3	616	103	0.05	125	25.2	1.82	16.2	133
(130842) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	24/07/2014 11:42	6142	1	2.3	7202	112	1	880	28.2	1.67	20.6	1060
(130842) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	27	1	3.1	50	107	0.7	9	29.8	1.39	17.4	23
(130842) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 12:18	325	1	2.1	407	106	0.4	167	31.4	1.55	17.4	82
												1



	Comple	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Sample Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/I N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(130843) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 08:21	1757	1	7.1	1814	98	1.2	2.5	32.3	0.2	10.5	57
(130843) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 11:45	2.5	1	2.5	13	101	1.2	2.5	33.6	0.2	11.7	13
(130843) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 10:44	19	1	1.1	32	104	0.05	2.5	31.8	0.2	14.1	13
(130843) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 11:44	23	1	5.7	47	110	1.2	34	33.1	0.2	18	24
(130843) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	5	1	5.1	5	106	1.2	2.5	31.5	0.2	15.8	5
(130843) Liffey Estuary Lower, 25m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 12:19	25	1	3.3	40	102	0.6	11	33.3	0.2	16	15
(130844) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of	24/04/2014 08:27	41	2	3.2	692	96	1	723	23.4	2.32	12.4	651



	Campla	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Sample Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/I N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample												
(130844) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 12:07	186	1	3.2	279	102	1	226	23.8	1.81	13.7	93
(130844) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 10:54	499	1	0.5	691	103	0.05	177	29.1	0.68	14.2	192
(130844) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	24/07/2014 11:50	3896	1	2.1	5206	116	0.6	970	27.3	1.94	21.7	1310
(130844) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	38	1	5.5	60	108	0.9	22	30.5	0.46	16.2	22
(130844) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 12:26	1600	1	1.9	1884	105	0.4	425	26.3	2.38	19.6	284
(130845) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 08:39	49	1	6.1	92	98	1.4	2.5	32.4	0.2	10.3	43



	Campla	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Sample Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/I N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/l SRP as P	PSU	mg/I as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(130845) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 12:08	2.5	1	3.1	14	100	0.6	2.5	33.6	0.2	11.7	14
(130845) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 10:55	2.5	1	2.7	7.5	108	0.05	2.5	33	0.2	13.2	5
(130845) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 11:51	19	1	6.9	37	109	0.05	27	33.4	0.2	17.1	18
(130845) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	59	1	3.6	87	105	0.7	34	32.6	0.2	15	28
(130845) Liffey Estuary Lower, 50m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 12:28	13	1	1.9	13	101	0.5	6	33.3	0.2	16	5
(130846) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface	24/04/2014 08:46	5	3	3.9	320	94	1.2	258	22	0.9	12.4	310
Sample (130846) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of	15/05/2014 12:14	130	1	1.7	180	102	0.9	115	30.1	1.36	13.4	50



	Campla	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Sample Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample												
(130846) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 11:02	17	1	3.1	42	103	0.05	2.5	28.5	0.63	14.4	25
(130846) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	24/07/2014 12:03	110	1	13.6	236	118	0.05	100	31.7	0.2	19.1	126
(130846) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	12	1	7.5	24	106	0.8	7	31.9	0.2	15.4	12
(130846) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 12:32	188	1	3.3	242	104	0.2	101	32.3	0.61	16.6	54
(130847) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 08:47	29	1	4.9	65	98	0.9	2.5	32.3	0.2	10.6	36
(130847) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 12:14	2.5	1	3	12	102	0.8	2.5	33.7	0.2	11.6	12



	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/l SRP as P	PSU	mg/I as SiO2	ů	μg/I as N
(130847) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 11:05	2.5	1	4	10	106	0.05	2.5	32.7	0.2	13.3	10
(130847) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 12:04	11	1	2.7	11	108	0.5	17	33.4	0.2	17	5
(130847) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	25	1	6.7	39	104	1.5	11	32.9	0.2	15.1	14
(130847) Liffey Estuary Lower, 75m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 12:33	11	1	2.5	43	101	0.05	2.5	33.3	0.2	15.9	32
(130848) Liffey Estuary												
Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 08:51	11	1	6.7	70	103	1	2.5	30.1	0.2	11.2	59
(130848) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 12:22	9	1	2.8	40	104	0.8	5	31.6	0.2	12.6	31
(130848) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of	11/06/2014 11:07	457	1	2.9	474	105	0.05	2.5	30	0.2	14.1	17



	Campla	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Sample Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/I N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/l as N
Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample												
(130848) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	24/07/2014 12:16	7	1	7.3	121	119	2.3	37	31.4	0.2	20	114
(130848) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	9	1	6	24	107	1.5	6	31.8	0.2	15.3	15
(130848) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 12:40	32	1	2.3	45	104	0.3	12	32.8	0.2	16.3	13
(130849) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 08:52	80	1	7.3	114	102	1	2.5	31.7	0.2	10.9	34
(130849) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 12:23	2.5	1	3.1	12	102	0.8	2.5	33	0.2	11.7	12
(130849) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 11:08	5	1	2.8	5	107	0.05	67	32.7	0.2	13.4	5



	Samuela	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Sample Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(130849) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 12:17	6	1	6	19	122	1.7	29	33.1	0.2	17.9	13
(130849) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	22	1	4.5	63	102	1.3	11	32.8	0.2	15.2	41
(130849) Liffey Estuary Lower, 100m North of Poolbeg Wall - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 12:42	10	1	3.1	10	103	0.6	2.5	33.3	0.2	16	5
(40063) Liffey City D/S Islandbdg Weir	24/04/2014 08:00	22	1	8	1018	98	5.1	2.5	0	0.48	12.2	996
(40063) Liffey City D/S Islandbdg Weir	15/05/2014 08:20	47	2	30.4	2127	101	16.9	7	0	2.88	13.6	2080
(40063) Liffey City D/S Islandbdg Weir	11/06/2014 08:30	40	2	14.2	1120	95	3.9	16	0.2	4.45	16.6	1080
(40063) Liffey City D/S Islandbdg Weir	24/07/2014 08:50	13	7	7.3	1093	103	4.9	5	0	0.2	20.7	1080
(40063) Liffey City D/S Islandbdg Weir	21/08/2014 08:30	40	1	1.8	512	97	1.5	33	0	4.23	14.5	472
(40063) Liffey City D/S Islandbdg Weir	11/09/2014 09:00	43	1	3.2	1473	98	3.2	158	0.1	3.57	15.2	1430
(40067) Liffey City Heuston	24/04/2014	12	1	4.1	948	95	2.9	2.5	0.1	1.53	12.1	936



	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/I N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/l SRP as P	PSU	mg/I as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
Stn u/s Camac	08:10											
(40067) Liffey City Heuston Stn u/s Camac	15/05/2014 08:30	31	1	11.9	2051	98	0.05	6	0.2	3.26	13.5	2020
(40067) Liffey City Heuston Stn u/s Camac	11/06/2014 08:40	37	1	3.9	1602	93	0.05	21	0	4.21	15.8	1570
(40067) Liffey City Heuston Stn u/s Camac	24/07/2014 09:10	12	1	5.6	734	97	2.9	18	1.5	0.2	20.1	722
(40067) Liffey City Heuston Stn u/s Camac	21/08/2014 08:40	14	1	1.5	561	95	1.3	12	0.4	4.29	13.9	547
(40067) Liffey City Heuston Stn u/s Camac	11/09/2014 09:20	45	1	2.7	1175	97	1.6	19	0.8	3.64	15.2	1130
(40072) Liffey City Winetav St Bridge	24/04/2014 08:20	6	1	4.1	1016	96	2.4	2.5	0.8	0.99	12.4	1010
(40072) Liffey City Winetav St Bridge	15/05/2014 08:50	17	1	14.3	1877	97	10.7	10	2.7	2.89	13.4	1860
(40072) Liffey City Winetav St Bridge	11/06/2014 09:10	26	2	2.9	920	87	1.7	20	3	4.44	15.6	894
(40072) Liffey City Winetav St Bridge	24/07/2014 09:25	7	1	4.9	106	98	2.6	14	14	0.2	19.6	99
(40072) Liffey City Winetav St Bridge	21/08/2014 08:50	15	1	1.3	462	94	1.2	12	5.8	3.69	14.6	447
(40072) Liffey City Winetav St Bridge	11/09/2014 09:35	66	1	2.3	1116	97	1.7	64	6.2	3.07	15.3	1050
(40457) Liffey (S) D/S Toll Bridge	24/04/2014 09:10	2.5	1	3	246	95	2.2	5	4	1.58	11	246



	Comple	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Sample Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	ug/I N	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/l SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(40457) Liffey (S) D/S Toll Bridge	15/05/2014 09:20	48	1	3.5	607	96	3.7	14	12	2.36	13	559
(40457) Liffey (S) D/S Toll Bridge	11/06/2014 09:40	88	1	2.1	311	94	0.05	17	20	2.12	15.4	223
(40457) Liffey (S) D/S Toll Bridge	24/07/2014 09:40	10	1	6.7	425	100	2	29	14.6	0.2	19.6	415
(40457) Liffey (S) D/S Toll Bridge	21/08/2014 09:00	11	1	1.3	243	96	0.7	14	6.7	2.49	14.6	232
(40457) Liffey (S) D/S Toll Bridge	11/09/2014 09:55	27	1	1.7	251	98	1.5	13	10.9	2.39	15.5	224
(45082) Tolka River D/S Annesley Bridge	24/04/2014 09:30	2.5	1	5.9	604	113	3.4	2.5	0.2	0.75	11.1	604
(45082) Tolka River D/S Annesley Bridge	15/05/2014 09:50	66	1	7.9	1646	109	5.1	25	0.1	4.16	13.3	1580
(45082) Tolka River D/S Annesley Bridge	11/06/2014 10:15	58	1	1.6	1098	100	0.05	31	0.2	6.73	14.9	1040
(45082) Tolka River D/S Annesley Bridge	24/07/2014 10:00	85	3	17.3	460	93	5.5	73	0.9	0.2	19.1	375
(45082) Tolka River D/S Annesley Bridge	21/08/2014 09:50	31	1	2.8	121	96	2.9	9	0.3	4.53	13.2	90
(45082) Tolka River D/S Annesley Bridge	11/09/2014 10:25	19	2	12.8	1029	129	3.4	11	0.4	4.02	15.1	1010



APPENDIX 6.2 (3) DB120-420 2014
Report for Samples Taken During the Period: 01/01/2014 - 31/12/2014
Table 7.2 (3)

Compline Deint Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°	μg/l as N
(130800) Liffey Estuary	24/04/2014											
Lower, Dodder Grand Canal	09:45	33	1	5.1	773	97	2.3	11	9.62	0.71	11.9	740
Basin- Surface Sample												
(130800) Liffey Estuary	15/05/2014											
Lower, Dodder Grand Canal	10:57	23	1	10.3	67	98	3	12	21.34	0.66	13	44
Basin- Surface Sample												
(130800) Liffey Estuary	11/06/2014											
Lower, Dodder Grand Canal	12:15	30	1	1.9	384	95	0.05	16	11.85	4.7	15.3	354
Basin- Surface Sample	12.13											
(130800) Liffey Estuary	24/07/2014											
Lower, Dodder Grand Canal	09:56	39	1	5.2	1012	92	2.1	74	14.9	1.61	19.4	973
Basin- Surface Sample	05.50											
(130800) Liffey Estuary	21/08/2014											
Lower, Dodder Grand Canal	10:00	16	1	2.8	73	91	1.7	7	20.9	1.97	15.3	57
Basin- Surface Sample	10.00											
(130800) Liffey Estuary	11/09/2014											
Lower, Dodder Grand Canal	10:50	130	1	0.9	172	96	1.6	43	5.76	3.89	15.7	42
Basin- Surface Sample	10.30											
(130801) Liffey Estuary	24/04/2044											
Lower, Dodder Grand Canal	24/04/2014	73	1	6.8	105	83	1.2	10	30.9	0.2	10.9	32
Basin- Depth Sample	09:47											
(130801) Liffey Estuary	15/05/2014	10	1	4.7	24	00	1.6		24.0	0.2	11.6	1.6
Lower, Dodder Grand Canal	10:59	18	1	4.7	34	89	1.6	6	31.9	0.2	11.6	16



Sampling Point Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°	μg/I as N
Basin- Depth Sample												
(130801) Liffey Estuary Lower, Dodder Grand Canal Basin- Depth Sample	11/06/2014 12:18	14	1	3.9	25	86	0.05	2.5	31.3	0.46	14.3	11
(130801) Liffey Estuary Lower, Dodder Grand Canal Basin- Depth Sample	24/07/2014 09:58	79	1	9.5	155	101	2.9	54	31.9	0.2	18.2	76
(130801) Liffey Estuary Lower, Dodder Grand Canal Basin- Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	33	1	2.8	48	86	1	6	31.8	0.43	15.8	15
(130801) Liffey Estuary Lower, Dodder Grand Canal Basin- Depth Sample	11/09/2014 10:52	35	1	8.4	67	102	2.3	12	31.9	0.2	16.3	32
(130810) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 09:35	22	1	1.6	762	93	1.3	6	6.07	0.2	12.1	740
(130810) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 11:07	12	1	2.7	104	96	1.6	8	14.31	1.64	12.5	92
(130810) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 12:04	28	1	1.7	117	101	0.05	15	11.63	2.71	14.4	89
(130810) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Surface Sample	24/07/2014 10:05	18	2	9.7	1048	105	2.7	48	16.3	1.41	19.5	1030
(130810) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge	21/08/2014 10:00	19	1	1.6	41	94	0.8	16	17.3	2.55	14.9	22



Sampling Point Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°	μg/I as N
- Surface Sample												
(130810) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 10:59	48	1	1.1	431	94	1.2	24	14	2.08	16.3	383
(130811) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 09:37	74	1	8.1	141	96	1.2	12	31	0.2	10.9	67
(130811) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 11:11	31	1	5.7	60	94	5.5	13	27	0.2	11.5	29
(130811) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 12:06	31	1	4	47	97	0.05	20	32	0.2	14.1	16
(130811) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 10:07	60	1	6.4	127	87	1.8	44	32.9	0.2	17.6	67
(130811) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	23	1	3.5	45	98	3.4	16	32	0.41	15.6	22
(130811) Liffey Estuary Lower, East Link Toll Bridge - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 11:01	34	1	6.4	51	101	2	10	32.9	0.2	16.3	17
(130820) Liffey Estuary Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5 (Old TW Outfall) - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 09:14	17	1	2.5	129	104	3.5	5	28.6	0.2	11.5	112



Samuling Daint Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/l as N
(130820) Liffey Estuary	15/05/2014											
Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5 (Old TW Outfall) - Surface	11:29	5	1	3.3	30	101	0.8	2.5	31.1	0.2	12.8	25
Sample												
(130820) Liffey Estuary												
Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5	11/06/2014	51	1	0.05	103	103	0.1	8	28.5	1.2	14.6	52
(Old TW Outfall) - Surface Sample	11:51											
(130820) Liffey Estuary												
Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5	24/07/2014	19	1	10.1	249	117	2.4	44	29.7	0.2	19.1	230
(Old TW Outfall) - Surface	10:20	19	1	10.1	249	11/	2.4	44	29.7	0.2	19.1	230
Sample (420020) Liffer Fature												
(130820) Liffey Estuary Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5	21/08/2014											
(Old TW Outfall) - Surface	10:00	41	1	3.6	131	103	0.9	13	31.6	0.77	15.4	90
Sample												
(130820) Liffey Estuary												
Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5	11/09/2014	33	1	2.4	54	114	0.9	15	32.5	0.2	20.2	21
(Old TW Outfall) - Surface Sample	12:53											
Jampie												
(130821) Liffey Estuary												
Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5	24/04/2014	209	1	7.1	234	97	1.3	2.5	32.4	0.2	10.5	25
(Old TW Outfall) - Depth	09:17	203	1	/.⊥	43 4	3/	1.3	2.3	32.4	0.2	10.3	23
Sample (420024) Liffer Fature												
(130821) Liffey Estuary Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5	15/05/2014	15	1	4.8	28	101	1.5	5	33.6	0.2	11.5	13
(Old TW Outfall) - Depth	11:31	13	T	7.0	20	101	1.5	,	33.0	0.2	11.5	13



Sampling Point Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
Sample												
(130821) Liffey Estuary Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5 (Old TW Outfall) - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 11:53	2.5	1	0.05	12	108	0.9	2.5	32.6	0.2	13.4	12
(130821) Liffey Estuary Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5 (Old TW Outfall) - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 10:21	8	1	3.7	23	110	2	24	33.3	0.2	17.9	15
(130821) Liffey Estuary Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5 (Old TW Outfall) - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	74	1	2.8	92	105	2.4	20	32.4	0.2	15.3	18
(130821) Liffey Estuary Lower, RO RO Ramp No. 5 (Old TW Outfall) - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 12:54	14	1	2.7	14	100	0.6	2.5	33.2	0.71	16.1	<10
(130830) Liffey Estuary Lower, Ringsend Cascade - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 09:03	28	2	5.3	311	97	1.3	180	24.8	0.63	12.3	283
(130830) Liffey Estuary Lower, Ringsend Cascade - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 12:33	466	1	2.7	601	102	0.7	225	31.4	2.2	12	135
(130830) Liffey Estuary Lower, Ringsend Cascade - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 11:36	327	1	5.6	482	103	0.05	107	25.4	1.36	15.5	155
(130830) Liffey Estuary	24/07/2014	12582	1	2.1	13582	109	0.3	904	27	1.65	22.2	1000



Sampling Point Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	11:28											
Surface Sample												
(130830) Liffey Estuary	21/08/2014											
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	10:00	9	1	4.8	40	106	0.8	5	32.3	0.2	15.3	31
Surface Sample	10.00											
(130830) Liffey Estuary	11/09/2014											
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	12:10	1450	1	1.2	1625	105	0.1	357	24.6	2.54	19.6	175
Surface Sample	12:10											
(130831) Liffey Estuary	24/24/2244											
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	24/04/2014	45	1	6.9	243	97	1.3	2.5	32.4	0.2	10.5	198
Depth Sample	09:04											
(130831) Liffey Estuary	45 /05 /204 4											
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	15/05/2014	2.5	1	2.5	10	102	0.7	2.5	33.7	0.2	11.5	10
Depth Sample	12:34											
(130831) Liffey Estuary	11/06/2014											
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	11/06/2014	35	1	0.05	70	108	0.9	11	32.5	0.2	13.4	35
Depth Sample	11:43											
(130831) Liffey Estuary	24/07/2014											
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	24/07/2014	13	1	2.4	13	110	0.6	17	33.4	0.2	17.1	<10
Depth Sample	11:31											
(130831) Liffey Estuary	21/08/2014											
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	10:00	15	1	6.3	25	105	1.4	5	33	0.2	15.2	10
Depth Sample	10:00											
(130831) Liffey Estuary	11/09/2014											
Lower, Ringsend Cascade -	12:11	10	1	2.3	10	101	0.4	2.5	33.3	0.2	16	<10
Depth Sample	12.11											



Sampling Point Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°	μg/l as N
(130840) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 08:04	31	1	7.5	91	100	1.4	12	30.6	0.2	11.1	60
(130840) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 11:55	2.5	1	2.4	12	103	1.1	2.5	33.8	0.2	11.6	12
(130840) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 09:50	147	1	2.5	217	101	0.05	47	28.5	0.74	14.7	70
(130840) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	24/07/2014 11:10	79	1	7.2	161	115	1.7	69	32.4	0.2	18.5	82
(130840) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	47	1	6.4	469	108	5.6	36	32.9	0.2	15.1	422
(130840) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 11:58	12	1	0.9	12	102	0.3	2.5	33.3	0.2	16.1	<10
(130841) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 08:05	36	1		64	98		2.5	32.5	0.2	10.3	28
(130841) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 11:58	2.5	1	2.1	16	101	1	2.5	33.8	0.2	11.2	16
(130841) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 09:00	2.5	1	5.6	7.5	109	0.05	2.5	33	0.2	13	<10



Sampling Point Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/I as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(130841) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 11:13	2.5	1	2.1	7.5	109	0.4	12	33.6	0.2	16.8	<10
(130841) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	84	1	4.8	122	108	1	40	33	0.2	15.2	38
(130841) Liffey Estuary Lower, Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 12:00	7	1	1.7	26	100	0.2	2.5	33.4	0.2	15.8	19
(130870) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 10:02	23	1	6.1	1733	89	1.1	10	6.04	0.58	12.7	1710
(130870) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 10:44	2.5	1	3.2	68	91	1.6	7	12.89	0.98	14.8	68
(130870) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 08:52	43	1	1.3	260	87	0.05	18	14.5	3.41	15.1	217
(130870) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Surface Sample	24/07/2014 09:39	31	1	6.1	1301	95	2	51	13.4	1.7	19.7	1270
(130870) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	35	1	0.9	82	95	1.2	29	21.5	3.68	15.3	47
(130870) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 10:36	156	1	3.7	1196	92	1.8	89	15	2.69	16.1	1040



Compline Deint Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(130871) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 10:04	71	1	4	295	88	2.3	44	30.9	0.2	10.7	224
(130871) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 10:46	55	1	23	71	71	0.05	20	31.8	0.2	11.8	16
(130871) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 08:58	254	1	1.7	282		0.05	89	31.6	1.15	13.8	28
(130871) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 09:42	57	1	13.2	244	87	6	47	32.3	0.2	17.7	187
(130871) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	66	1	3.2	85	78	1.4	13	31.8	0.42	16	19
(130871) Liffey Estuary Upper, Liffey at Matt Talbot Bridge - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 10:38	57	1	7.3	235	96	2.1	16	32.1	0.2	16.2	178
(130900) Tolka Estuary at East Point Business Park Bridge - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 10:20	36	1	8.7	672	82	4.8	22	4	0.59	11.3	636
(130900) Tolka Estuary at East Point Business Park Bridge - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 10:20	2515	1	5.8	3555	98	6.5	29	3.2	4.14	13.5	1040
(130900) Tolka Estuary at East Point Business Park	11/06/2014 10:10	141	1	2.6	539	90	0.05	74	19.4	2.8	15.7	398



Sampling Point Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Fourt Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/l as N
Bridge - Surface Sample												
(130900) Tolka Estuary at	24/07/2014											
East Point Business Park	10:40	195	5	4.4	275	92	3.2	68	9.7	0.2	20	80
Bridge - Surface Sample	10.40											
(130900) Tolka Estuary at	21/08/2014											
East Point Business Park	09:40	24	7	3.8	551	95	1.3	6	26.5	1.34	14.3	527
Bridge - Surface Sample	03110											
(130901) Tolka Estuary at	24/04/2014											
East Point Business Park	10:30	42	1	1.1	310	81	2.2	24	6.4	0.96	11.2	268
Bridge - Depth Sample	20.00											
(130901) Tolka Estuary at	15/05/2014											
East Point Business Park	10:30	871	1	8.4	1638	93	7.4	38	2.3	4.07	13.6	1010
Bridge - Depth Sample												
(130901) Tolka Estuary at	11/06/2014	444	4	4.2	275	00	0.05	42	22.2	2.40	4 F. C	164
East Point Business Park	10:00	111	1	4.3	275	90	0.05	42	22.3	2.19	15.6	164
Bridge - Depth Sample												
(130901) Tolka Estuary at East Point Business Park	24/07/2014	347	3	11.1	509	90	5.1	133	14.7	0.2	20.2	162
Bridge - Depth Sample	10:30	347	3	11.1	509	90	5.1	155	14.7	0.2	20.2	102
(130901) Tolka Estuary at												
East Point Business Park	21/08/2014	34	1	4.1	142	96	1.2	39	30	0.77	14.5	108
Bridge - Depth Sample	09:30	J .	_		± .~		_ ··-	33		0.,,	1	100
(130901) Tolka Estuary at												
East Point Business Park	11/09/2014	349	2	26	1086	121	10.9	55	3.6	3.26	15.5	737
Bridge - Depth Sample	10:10											
(130910) Tolka Estuary,	24/04/2014	18	1	1.9	209	93	0.9	47	24.2	0.2	11.3	191



Compline Deint Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	ပ္	μg/l as N
Castle Ave Surface Sample	07:35											
(130910) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Surface Sample	15/05/2014 12:48	49	1	2.5	88	103	0.9	21	30.6	0.2	13.4	39
(130910) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Surface Sample	11/06/2014 10:10	57	1	2.4	90	91	0.05	25	25.6	1.25	15.2	33
(130910) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Surface Sample	24/07/2014 10:42	129	1	17.6	324	123	3.7	140	30	0.2	20.3	195
(130910) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	2.5	1	4.8	12	100	1.2	5	29.8	0.5	14.7	12
(130910) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Surface Sample	11/09/2014 11:22	97	1	3.2	136	103	0.7	51	31.1	0.45	16.9	39
(130911) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Depth Sample	24/04/2014 07:37	15	1	5.5	66	92	2	14	29.9	0.2	11.4	51
(130911) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Depth Sample	15/05/2014 12:49	18	1	4.8	42	101	1.3	10	31.8	0.2	12.3	24
(130911) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Depth Sample	11/06/2014 10:12	55	1	3.9	86	99	0.05	16	30.5	0.49	14.6	31
(130911) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Depth Sample	24/07/2014 10:43	77	1	14.9	229	118	3.5	118	30.3	0.2	20.2	152
(130911) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	13	1	6.3	41	104	1.5	9	30.8	0.47	15.2	28



Compline Deint Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(130911) Tolka Estuary, Castle Ave Depth Sample	11/09/2014 11:23	108	1	4.5	152	104	1.3	71	32	0.2	16.6	44
(130920) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 07:21	69	1	3.5	246	97	1.7	32	27.2	0.2	11	177
(130920) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 13:02	41	1	0.3	59	105	0.05	11	32.9	0.2	12.7	18
(130920) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 10:21	44	1	2.5	68	104	0.05	15	30	0.47	14.3	24
(130920) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Surface Sample	24/07/2014 10:32	20	1	6.4	67	116	1.3	45	32.6	0.2	18.6	47
(130920) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	82	1	5.1	182	106	1.3	39	32.3	0.2	15.2	100
(130920) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 11:34	72	1	3.5	106	104	1	47	32.4	0.2	16.5	34
(130921) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 07:23	5	1	5.7	44	99	1.1	2.5	32.2	0.2	10.9	34
(130921) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 13:03	2.5	1	4.8	12	103	1.3	2.5	32.8	0.2	11.8	12



Compling Deint Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/I as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/I as N
(130921) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 10:23	2.5	1	3.5	7.5	108	0.05	2.5	32.6	0.2	13.6	<10
(130921) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 10:33	27	1	6.9	62	115	1.3	39	32.9	0.2	18.3	35
(130921) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Depth Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	96	1	4.9	154	106	1	52	32.5	0.2	15.2	58
(130921) Tolka Estuary, Clontarf Boat Club - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 11:35	77	1	2.5	99	104	1.1	36	32.8	0.2	16.3	22
(130930) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Surface Sample	24/04/2014 07:52	79	1	2.4	341	95	1.3	85	23.1	0.2	11.3	262
(130930) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Surface Sample	15/05/2014 13:11	52	1	2.3	85	107	0.9	24	30.7	0.2	13.4	33
(130930) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Surface Sample	11/06/2014 10:30	74	1	2.4	119	103	0.05	25	28.5	0.67	14.8	45
(130930) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Surface	24/07/2014 10:55	82	1	13.5	200	128	3.1	106	31.1	0.2	19.8	118



Sampling Daint Description	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	ပ္	μg/l as N
Sample												
(130930) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Surface Sample	21/08/2014 10:00	137	1	5.7	188	102	1.6	70	29.1	0.57	14.9	51
(130930) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Surface Sample	11/09/2014 11:43	13	1	2.3	13	106	0.3	5	33.3	0.2	16.2	<10
(130931) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Depth Sample	24/04/2014 07:52	59	1	4.8	315	100	1.6	47	29.2	0.2	11.3	256
(130931) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Depth Sample	15/05/2014 13:13	29	1	3.2	48	110	1.3	13	31.4	0.2	12.9	19
(130931) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Depth Sample	11/06/2014 10:32	22	1	3.6	38	107	0.05	7	30.5	0.42	14.7	16
(130931) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Depth Sample	24/07/2014 10:57	16	1	11.3	67	124	2.4	54	32.5	0.2	19	51
(130931) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall	21/08/2014 10:00	5	1	5.5	5	105	1.2	2.5	30.6	0.5	15.3	<10



Compling Point Personintian	Sample	Ammonia	B.O.D. Saline	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Silica	Temp	TON
Sampling Point Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	mg/m³	μg/l	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	μg/l as N
Wooden Bridge - Depth Sample												
(130931) Tolka Estuary, S. Lagoon at Bull Wall Wooden Bridge - Depth Sample	11/09/2014 11:44	24	1	2.7	47	107	0.2	11	33.3	0.2	16.2	23
(45076) Tolka River U/S Drumcondra Bridge	24/04/2014 09:50	36	1	6.6	647	124	4.9	21	0.1	1.26	11.6	611
(45076) Tolka River U/S Drumcondra Bridge	15/05/2014 10:00	96	1	4.6	1666	102	5.7	22	0.1	4.23	13.1	1570
(45076) Tolka River U/S Drumcondra Bridge	11/06/2014 10:30	61	1	1.5	1060	104	0.05	31	0	6.97	15	999
(45076) Tolka River U/S Drumcondra Bridge	24/07/2014 10:15	59	2	2	609	101	2.1	54	0.1	0.2	18.7	550
(45076) Tolka River U/S Drumcondra Bridge	21/08/2014 10:10	30	1	1.2	777	114	1.1	12	0.1	4.94	13.6	747
(45076) Tolka River U/S Drumcondra Bridge	11/09/2014 10:40	22	1	2.7	1022	119	0.9	11	0.1	4.2	15	1000



APPENDIX 6.2(4) Dublin Bay Monitoring - 2014 (4)

Report for Samples Taken During the Period: 01/01/2014 - 31/12/2014

Sampling Point	Sample	Ammoni a	B.O.D. Saline	Bottom Oxygen	Bottom Temp	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Oxygen at 0 m depth	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Salinity (mean)	Silica	Surface Temp	Temp	TON
Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	% Sat.	°C	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	°C	μg/l as N
(130602) Irish Sea Dublin, Bailey - Composite Sample	01/05/201 4 10:46	23	1		10.8	5.2	72			1.2	5		32.3	0.2	11		49
(130602) Irish Sea Dublin, Bailey	23/07/201 4 12:26	2.5	1	107.5	16.1	2.3	7.5		108.3	0.7	10		33.8	0.2	16.5		5
(130602) Irish Sea Dublin, Bailey	20/08/201 4 11:20	2.5	1	97.3	14.9	5.3	7.5		101.4	1.6	2.5		33	0.2	14.8		5
(130602) Irish Sea Dublin, Bailey	10/09/201 4 11:32	2.5	1	102.5	15.6	3.5	7.5		106.8	1.4	2.5		33.7	0.2	15.7		5
(130700) Dublin Bay,1km NE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	23/07/201 4 13:02	20	1			8	65	122		1.7	78	32.8		0.2		19.8	45
(130702) Dublin Bay,1km NE Poolbeg Lighthouse	20/08/201 4 12:15	30	1			5.6	53	108		2.1	17	31.3		0.2		15	23
(130701) Dublin Bay,1km NE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	23/07/201 4 13:03	2.5	1			4	7.5	117		1	19	33.5		0.2		18	5
(130702) Dublin Bay,1km NE Poolbeg Lighthouse	20/08/201 4 12:16	5	1			3.7	5	105		2.5	2.5	32.9		0.2		15.4	5
(130702) Dublin Bay,1km NE	01/05/201 4 10:06	23	1		10.9	2	72			4.2	19		32.2	0.2	11.1		49



Sampling Point	Sample	Ammoni a	B.O.D. Saline	Bottom Oxygen	Bottom Temp	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Oxygen at 0 m depth	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Salinity (mean)	Silica	Surface Temp	Temp	TON
Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	% Sat.	°C	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	°C	μg/I as N
Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample																	
(130702) Dublin Bay,1km NE Poolbeg Lighthouse	10/09/201 4 12:23	9	1	105.6	15.8	2.4	9		107.2	0.9	2.5		33.7	0.2	16.3		5
(130710) Dublin Bay, South Bull Bouy, 1km SE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	20/08/201 4 10:30	75	1			7.2	101	104		1.5	32	31.4		0.2		15.3	26
(130711) Dublin Bay, South Bull Bouy, 1km SE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	20/08/201 4 10:31	14	1			3.8	24	104		1.1	5	32.9		0.2		15.3	10
(130712) Dublin Bay, South Bull Bouy, 1km SE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	01/05/201 4 11:37	5	1		10.8	1.3	27			3.8	2.5		32.4	0.2	11.1		17
(130722) Dublin Bay, 2.5km ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse	23/07/201 4 11:32	5	1	109.7	16.5	1.6	5		111.8	0.5	10		33.8	0.2	16.9		5
(130722) Dublin	10/09/201	8	1	104.2	15.6	2.8	8		106.6	0.8	5		33.7	0.2	15.7		5



Sampling Point	Sample	Ammoni a	B.O.D. Saline	Bottom Oxygen	Bottom Temp	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Oxygen at 0 m depth	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Salinity (mean)	Silica	Surface Temp	Temp	TON
Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	% Sat.	°C	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	°C	μg/I as N
Bay, 2.5km ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse	4 10:44																
(130720) Dublin Bay, 2.5km ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	01/05/201 4 10:20	39	1			0.8	68			1	2.5	32.1		<0.40		11.2	29
(130721) Dublin Bay, 2.5km ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	01/05/201 4 10:22	31	1			2.4	52			0.9	2.5	32.4		<0.40		10.7	21
(130722) Dublin Bay, 2.5km ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	23/07/201 4 12:53	7	1	110.2	16.6	2	7		116.7	1	12		33.7	<0.40	17.7		5
	20/08/201 4 11:54	2.5	1	100.7	15.3	3.1	7.5		107.5	4.9	2.5		32.8	<0.40	15		5
	10/09/201 4 12:10	19	1	104.6	15.7	3.5	19		108.3	1	7		33.7	<0.40	16.1		5
(130730) Dublin Bay, 2.5km SSE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	20/08/201 4 10:44	5	1			9.3	5	101		1.8	2.5	32.7		<0.40		15.3	5
(130731) Dublin Bay, 2.5km SSE Poolbeg	20/08/201 4 10:45	6	1			4.8	6	101		0.6	6	33		<0.40		15.4	5



Sampling Point	Sample	Ammoni a	B.O.D. Saline	Bottom Oxygen	Bottom Temp	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Oxygen at 0 m depth	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Salinity (mean)	Silica	Surface Temp	Temp	TON
Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	% Sat.	°C	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	°C	μg/I as N
Lighthouse - Depth Sample																	
(130732) Dublin Bay, 2.5km SSE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	01/05/201 4 11:46	20	1		10.7	4.4	39			1.8	2.5		32.4	<0.40	11.1		19
(130732) Dublin Bay, 2.5km SSE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	23/07/201 4 11:45	2.5	1	108.5	16.2	1.5	7.5		111.4	1.1	9		33.7	<0.40	16.7		5
(130732) Dublin Bay, 2.5km SSE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	10/09/201 4 10:57	11	1	102.3	15.7	1.1	11		104.7	2.1	2.5		33.7	<0.40	15.7		5
(130742) Dublin Bay, No. 4 Bouy, 2.5km E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	01/05/201 4 11:29	19	1		10.8	2.8	45			1.1	2.5		32.3	<0.40	11.2		26
(130742) Dublin Bay, No. 4 Bouy, 2.5km E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse	23/07/201 4 11:21	2.5	1	108.5	16.2	1.5	7.5			0.5	10		33.8	<0.40	16.8		5



Sampling Point	Sample	Ammoni a	B.O.D. Saline	Bottom Oxygen	Bottom Temp	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Oxygen at 0 m depth	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Salinity (mean)	Silica	Surface Temp	Temp	TON
Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	% Sat.	°C	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/l SRP as P	PSU	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	°C	μg/I as N
(130742) Dublin Bay, No. 4 Bouy, 2.5km E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse	20/08/201 4 10:15	2.5	1	102.3	15.4	3.9	7.5		102.7	0.8	2.5		33	<0.40	15.3		5
(130742) Dublin Bay, No. 4 Bouy, 2.5km E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse	10/09/201 4 10:32	14	1	104.1	15.6	2.5	14		105.8	0.7	5		33.7	<0.40	15.7		5
(130750) Dublin Bay, Drumleck Point, 5km ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Surface Sample	01/05/201 4 10:33	5	1			3.3	49			2.3	10	31.8		<0.40		11.6	39
(130751) Dublin Bay, Drumleck Point, 5km ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Depth Sample	01/05/201 4 10:35	5	1			3.7	64			0.8	2.5	32.3		<0.40		10.8	54
(130752) Dublin Bay, Drumleck Point, 5km ENE Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	23/07/201 4 12:39	5	1	109.9	16.4	1.9	5		110.1	0.8	10		33.8	<0.40	16.9		5
(130752) Dublin Bay, Drumleck Point, 5km ENE Poolbeg	20/08/201 4 11:41	2.5	1	101.4	15	4.3	7.5		105.2	1.1	2.5		32.8	<0.40	15		5



Sample	а	B.O.D. Saline	Bottom Oxygen	Bottom Temp	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Oxygen at 0 m depth	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Salinity (mean)	Silica	Surface Temp	Temp	TON
Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	% Sat.	°C	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	°C	μg/l as N
10/09/201 4 11:52	13	1	103.3	15.7	4	25		112.3	0.9	7		33.6	<0.40	15.9		12
01/05/201 4 11:05	17	1		10.6	4	44			1.7	2.5		32.4	<0.40	10.8		27
23/07/201 4 12:01	2.5	1	108.9	16.4	1.5	7.5		111.9	2	10		33.7	<0.40	16.8		5
20/08/201 4 11:00	6	1	100.2	15.1	5.3	6		99.6	1.1	2.5		32.9	<0.40	15.1		5
10/09/201 4 11:11	48	1	102.2	15.6	2.7	61		104.7	1	13		33.7	<0.40	15.6		13
	4 11:52 01/05/201 4 11:05 23/07/201 4 12:01 20/08/201 4 11:00	10/09/201 4 11:52 13 01/05/201 4 11:05 17 23/07/201 4 12:01 2.5 20/08/201 4 11:00 6	10/09/201 4 11:52	10/09/201 4 11:52	10/09/201 4 11:52	10/09/201	10/09/201	10/09/201	10/09/201	10/09/201	μg/l as N mg/l % Sat. °C mg/m³ N Sat. % Sat. mg/m³ SRP as P SRP as P N Sat. mg/m³ sat. mg/m³	Mg/l as N mg/l % Sat. °C mg/m³ N Sat. % Sat. mg/m3 SRP as p PSU	μg/l as N mg/l % Sat. °C mg/m³ N Sat. % Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU	μg/l as N mg/l % Sat. °C mg/m³ N Sat. % Sat. mg/m³ SRP as p PSU PSU as sio2	μg/l as N mg/l % Sat. °C mg/m³ N Sat. % Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. Sat. Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N SIO2 N Sat. Sat. Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU as SIO2 N Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU Sat. mg/m³ SRP as PSU PSU Sat. mg/m³ sat. mg/m³ sat. mg/m³ sat. mg/m³ sat. mg/m³ mg/m³ sat. mg/m³ sat.	March Marc



Sampling Point	Sample	Ammoni a	B.O.D. Saline	Bottom Oxygen	Bottom Temp	Chlorophyll a	DIN	DO	Oxygen at 0 m depth	Pheophytin a	P (React)	Salinity	Salinity (mean)	Silica	Surface Temp	Temp	TON
Description	Date	μg/l as N	mg/l	% Sat.	°C	mg/m³	ug/l N	% Sat.	% Sat.	mg/m3	μg/I SRP as P	PSU	PSU	mg/l as SiO2	°C	°C	μg/l as N
(130772) Dublin Bay, Dún Laoghaire, 5km E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	01/05/201 4 11:18	5	1		10.6	1.9	44			3.6	2.5		32.4	<0.40	11		34
(130772) Dublin Bay, Dún Laoghaire, 5km E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	23/07/201 4 11:03	6	1	106.4	16	1.6	6		110.5	0.8	9		33.8	<0.40	16.5		5
(130772) Dublin Bay, Dún Laoghaire, 5km E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	20/08/201 4 09:57	5	1	100.4	15.5	3.6	5		100.1	0.5	2.5		33	<0.40	15.4		5
(130772) Dublin Bay, Dún Laoghaire, 5km E of S Poolbeg Lighthouse - Composite Sample	10/09/201 4 10:17	18	1	100.7	15.6	1.5	18		103.5	1.1	2.5		33.8	<0.40	15.6		5



Appendix 6.2 (5) Bathing Water 2014

Report for Samples Taken During the Period: 01/01/2014 - 31/12/2014

Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
(40520) Dollymount North	27/05/2014 11:25	282	8		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	30.1	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	03/06/2014 16:00	10		330	Absent	Absent	8.5	Absent	33.3	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	09/06/2014 09:00	30	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	32	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	16/06/2014 14:10	20	12		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.7	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	23/06/2014 09:20	<10	<1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.8	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	29/06/2014 13:20	<10	<1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.5	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	30/06/2014 14:10	10	25		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.9	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	01/07/2014 14:15	<10	20		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	34.5	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	07/07/2014 07:05	20	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.3	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	14/07/2014 12:25	249		360	Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	21/07/2014 07:25	20	5		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	27/07/2014 12:20	<10	1		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	34.5	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	28/07/2014 12:50	168		135	Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.6	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
(40520) Dollymount North	06/08/2014 07:15	364	36		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	31.3	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	08/08/2014 10:40	158	67		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.9	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	11/08/2014 11:45	987		380	Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.6	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	13/08/2014 14:40	96	38		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	18/08/2014 06:00	185	74		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	25/08/2014 11:10	52	20		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	31/08/2014 15:10	41	46		Absent	Absent	8.6	Absent	33.3	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	01/09/2014 14:30	10	4		Absent	Absent	8.7	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	03/09/2014 06:10	<10	1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.4	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	08/09/2014 11:00	20	11		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	33.5	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	14/09/2014 15:15	<10	4		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
(40520) Dollymount North	15/09/2014 12:55	10	<1		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
		_									
(40526) Dollymount Bathing Zone	27/05/2014 11:15	146	63		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	30.4	Absent	Normal
(40526) Dollymount Bathing Zone	03/06/2014 16:10	20	10		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	33.9	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
(40526) Dollymount	09/06/2014	63		610	Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	31	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	09:15	03		010	Absent	Absent	0.5	Ausent	31	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40526) Dollymount	16/06/2014	<10	7		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	34.6	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	14:20	\10	,		Absent	Absent	0.5	Absent	34.0	Absent	Normai
(40526) Dollymount	23/06/2014	10	3		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.8	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	09:30	10	3		Absent	Absent	0.2	Absent	34.0	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40526) Dollymount	29/06/2014	20	23		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.6	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	13:30	20	23		Absent	Absent	0.2	Absent	34.0	Absent	Normai
(40526) Dollymount	01/07/2014	<10	9		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	34.7	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	14:25	\10	3		Absent	Absent	0.4	Absent	34.7	Absent	Normai
(40526) Dollymount	07/07/2014	10	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.2	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	07:15	10	2		Absent	Abscrit	0.2	Absent	34.2	Abscrit	Normai
(40526) Dollymount	14/07/2014	20	77		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	12:35	20	,,		Absent	Abscrit	0.2	Absent	J [†]	Abscrit	Normal
(40526) Dollymount	21/07/2014	41	<1		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	07:40	71	\1		Abscrit	Abscrit	0.1	Abscrit	33.0	Abscrit	Normai
(40526) Dollymount	27/07/2014	20	1		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	34.7	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	12:35	20	_		71030110	Absent	0.5	71050110	3 1.7	71050110	110111101
(40526) Dollymount	28/07/2014	84	56		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.6	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	13:15				71000110	71000110	U	7.036116	3 110	7.030110	
(40526) Dollymount	06/08/2014	1789		300	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	30.6	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	07:25	_,			7 1.000111	7.1000110	0	7.1000.11		7.000.110	
(40526) Dollymount	11/08/2014	857		450	Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	33.7	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	11:55	-3.		- 30							
(40526) Dollymount	13/08/2014	448		127	Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	14:55										
(40526) Dollymount	18/08/2014	75	27		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
Bathing Zone	06:20										
(40526) Dollymount	25/08/2014	148	54		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	32.8	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
Bathing Zone	11:20										
(40526) Dollymount Bathing Zone	31/08/2014 15:20	10	<1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.2	Absent	Normal
(40526) Dollymount Bathing Zone	03/09/2014 06:20	<10	3		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	33.5	Absent	Normal
(40526) Dollymount Bathing Zone	08/09/2014 11:10	10	5		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	33.3	Absent	Normal
(40526) Dollymount Bathing Zone	14/09/2014 15:25	10	10		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	27/05/2014 11:47	185	16		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	30.6	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	03/06/2014 16:20	<10	3		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	33.7	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	09/06/2014 09:45	63	2		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	31.1	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	16/06/2014 14:30	52	13		Absent	Absent	8.8	Absent	34.8	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	23/06/2014 09:45	31	11		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	35.1	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	29/06/2014 13:45	<10	5		Absent	Absent	8.7	Absent	33.2	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	01/07/2014 14:45	110	5		Absent	Absent	8.8	Absent	35	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	07/07/2014 07:40	10	7		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	31.4	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	14/07/2014 12:45	97	18		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount	21/07/2014	10	2		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
South	08:10										
(40530) Dollymount South	27/07/2014 13:05	10	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.6	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	28/07/2014 13:45	31	13		Absent	Absent	8.5	Absent	34.7	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	06/08/2014 08:00	364	46		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	32.5	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	08/08/2014 11:20	132	18		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.3	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	11/08/2014 12:30	97	63		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.2	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	13/08/2014 15:30	132	79		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	34.3	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	18/08/2014 06:35	31	8		Absent	Absent	8	Absent	32.1	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	25/08/2014 11:40	228	26		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	32.3	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	31/08/2014 15:40	<10	<1		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.4	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	03/09/2014 06:50	31	10		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.1	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	08/09/2014 11:55	10	13		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.2	Absent	Normal
(40530) Dollymount South	14/09/2014 15:50	30	9		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
(40535) Bull Wall Wood Causeway	27/05/2014 11:35	960		320	Present	Absent	8.1	Absent	30.5	Absent	Abnormal
(40535) Bull Wall	03/06/2014	63	14		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	28.4	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
Wood Causeway	16:25										
(40535) Bull Wall	09/06/2014	292	34		∧ b a a m t	^ baan±	0.1	Λ la a a a t	27	Absort	Nome
Wood Causeway	09:35	292	34		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	27	Absent	Normal
(40535) Bull Wall	16/06/2014	10	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.2	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	14:40	10	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.2	Absent	Normal
(40535) Bull Wall	23/06/2014	75	40		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.4	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	10:00	75	40		Absent	Absent	0.2	Absent	33.4	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40535) Bull Wall	29/06/2014	75	2		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	34.6	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	14:00	75	2		Absent	Absent	6.5	Absent	34.0	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40535) Bull Wall	01/07/2014	31	3		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	14:55	31	3		Absent	Absent	0.5	Absent	33.0	Absent	Normai
(40535) Bull Wall	07/07/2014	262	42		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.5	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	07:30	202	72		Abscrit	Abscrit	0.2	Abscrit	34.3	Absent	Normal
(40535) Bull Wall	14/07/2014	231		140	Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	32.7	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	12:50	231		140	71030110	71030110	0.2	71030110	32.7	71030110	Normai
(40535) Bull Wall	21/07/2014	146	45		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	31.6	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	08:00	110			71050110	71030110	0.1	71030110	31.0	71036116	Homman
(40535) Bull Wall	27/07/2014	31	2		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	33	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	12:55	31	_		71050110	71030110	0.1	71030110	33	71036116	Homman
(40535) Bull Wall	28/07/2014	<10	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	13:35	120	_		71000110	7.000110	0.2	71000110		71000110	
(40535) Bull Wall	06/08/2014	2247		730	Absent	Absent	7.9	Absent	25.4	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	07:40			, 55						7.000	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
(40535) Bull Wall	08/08/2014	259	51		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	31.9	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	11:10						ļ - · <u>-</u>				
(40535) Bull Wall	11/08/2014	1989		260	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	31	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	12:20										
(40535) Bull Wall	13/08/2014	63	13		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.2	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	15:20										



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		•
(40535) Bull Wall	18/08/2014	201	24		Abcont	Absont	0	Abcont	33.8	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	06:45	201	24		Absent	Absent	8	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
(40535) Bull Wall	25/08/2014	471		127	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	11:50	4/1		127	Absent	Absent	0.1	Absent	55	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40535) Bull Wall	31/08/2014	10	1		Absent	Absent	8.4	Absent	32	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	15:50	10	1		Absent	Absent	0.4	Absent	32	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40535) Bull Wall	03/09/2014	538		280	Absent	Absent	8	Absent	31.6	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	06:40	336		280	Absent	Absent	0	Absent	31.0	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40535) Bull Wall	08/09/2014	62	54		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	32.7	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	11:40	02	54		Ausent	Absent	0.2	Ausent	32.7	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40535) Bull Wall	14/09/2014	20	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
Wood Causeway	15:40	20	J		Absent	Absent	0.2	Absent	33.0	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40538) Poolbeg	27/05/2014										
Outfall Main	11:10	24196		2000	Absent	Absent	7.4	Absent	22.2	Absent	Normal
Discharge	11.10										
(40538) Poolbeg	03/06/2014										
Outfall Main	14:50	5475		1320	Absent	Absent	7.8	Absent	25.7	Absent	Normal
Discharge	14.50										
(40538) Poolbeg	09/06/2014										
Outfall Main	09:30	187	39		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	30.4	Absent	Normal
Discharge	03.30										
(40538) Poolbeg	16/06/2014										
Outfall Main	14:10	<10	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	35.1	Absent	Normal
Discharge	17.10										
(40538) Poolbeg	18/06/2014										
Outfall Main	15:00	364	71		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	32.1	Absent	Normal
Discharge											
(40538) Poolbeg	23/06/2014	4884		<1	Absent	Absent	7.9	Absent	26	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
Outfall Main	09:30										
Discharge											
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	29/06/2014 12:30	565	84		Absent	Absent	8	Absent	27.9	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	01/07/2014 13:20	2187		550	Absent	Absent	7.7	Absent	21.7	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	07/07/2014 06:55	1421		420	Absent	Absent	7.9	Absent	28.8	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	14/07/2014 13:05	2046		560	Absent	Absent	8	Absent	30.7	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	21/07/2014 06:30	1081		370	Absent	Absent	7.8	Absent	27.2	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	27/07/2014 12:30	776		104	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	30	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	28/07/2014 12:00	1935		360	Absent	Absent	7.9	Absent	26.7	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	06/08/2014 07:30	12033		1450	Absent	Absent	7.6	Absent	23.5	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	11/08/2014 11:10	2603		690	Absent	Absent	8	Absent	27.5	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	18/08/2014 06:00	565		210	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	30.8	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	20/08/2014 12:30	9208		1030	Absent	Absent	7.8	Absent	26.2	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	25/08/2014 10:45	379		133	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	32.9	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	31/08/2014 15:00	75	24		Absent	Absent	8	Absent	32.4	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	03/09/2014 05:45	3076			Absent	Absent	7.9	Absent	23.1	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	08/09/2014 10:00	3873		610	Absent	Absent	7.9	Absent	29	Absent	Normal
(40538) Poolbeg Outfall Main Discharge	14/09/2014 15:10	759		160	Absent	Absent	8	Absent	30.7	Absent	Normal
-											
(40540) Half Moon Club S-Side Wall	27/05/2014 11:40	213	32		Absent	Absent	8	Absent	32.4	Absent	Normal
(40540) Half Moon Club S-Side Wall	03/06/2014 15:10	10	2		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
(40540) Half Moon Club S-Side Wall	09/06/2014 09:45	30	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	32.9	Absent	Normal
(40540) Half Moon	16/06/2014	63		134	Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	34.8	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		-
Club S-Side Wall	14:20										
(40540) Half Moon	23/06/2014	20	3		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.3	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	09:50	20	3		Absent	Absent	0.2	Absent	33.3	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40540) Half Moon	29/06/2014	<10	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.5	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	12:50	<10	2		Absent	Absent	0.2	Absent	55.5	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40540) Half Moon	01/07/2014	<10	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	13:45	\10	2		Absent	Auseni	0.2	Absent	55	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40540) Half Moon	07/07/2014	10	<1		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	07:25	10	/1		Absent	Absent	0.1	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normai
(40540) Half Moon	14/07/2014	256		290	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.7	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	13:10	230		230	Absent	Absent	0.1	Absent	33.7	Absent	Normai
(40540) Half Moon	21/07/2014	<10	<1		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	06:50	110	\1		Abscrit	Abscrit	0.1	Abscrit	34	Absent	Normal
(40540) Half Moon	27/07/2014	<10	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.5	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	13:00	110			71030110	71030110	0.2	71030110	34.5	71030110	Normai
(40540) Half Moon	28/07/2014	<10	3		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	12:30	110			71030110	71030110	0.2	71030110	54.1	71030110	Norman
(40540) Half Moon	06/08/2014	31	4		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.2	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	07:05	31			71050110	71050110	0.1	71050110	33.2	71030110	Normai
(40540) Half Moon	11/08/2014	160	55		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	32.2	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	11:30	100			71000110	71000110	0.1	71000110	<u> </u>	71000110	- recrimen
(40540) Half Moon	18/08/2014	2400		430	Absent	Absent	8	Absent	34	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	06:30									7.000	
(40540) Half Moon	25/08/2014	228	30		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	11:05		- 50			. 1000111	0.1		J	, 1000110	
(40540) Half Moon	31/08/2014	10	1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	15:20				. 1.000111	. 1000111	Ŭ. <u>-</u>	. 1.000111	<u> </u>	, 1,550	
(40540) Half Moon	03/09/2014	31	8		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34.8	Absent	Normal
Club S-Side Wall	06:30	<u> </u>	,		, 1000110	, 1230110	0.1	, 1000110	3 1.0	,	



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		•
(40540) Half Moon Club S-Side Wall	08/09/2014 10:30	97	4		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.3	Absent	Normal
(40540) Half Moon Club S-Side Wall	14/09/2014 15:20	20	<1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	32.8	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	27/05/2014 12:00	31	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	31.5	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	03/06/2014 15:30	<10	<1		Absent	Absent	8.3	Absent	33.5	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	09/06/2014 10:00	10	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.3	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	16/06/2014 14:40	10	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	35.1	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	23/06/2014 10:10	10	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.2	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	29/06/2014 13:30	10	7		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	01/07/2014 14:25	10	1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	07/07/2014 07:40	98	23		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.3	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	14/07/2014 13:30	216		440	Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	21/07/2014 07:20	98	24		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34.3	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	27/07/2014 13:30	<10	1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.9	Absent	Normal
(40545) Sandymount	28/07/2014 13:00	75	14		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
(40545)	06/08/2014	703		122	Abcont	Abcont	8.1	Abcont	29.5	Absent	Normal
Sandymount	07:45	703		122	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	29.5	Absent	Normal
(40545)	08/08/2014	487		103	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	32.5	Absent	Normal
Sandymount	10:00	407		105	Absent	Absent	0.1	Absent	52.5	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40545)	11/08/2014	20	13		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	32.7	Absent	Normal
Sandymount	12:00	20	15		Absent	Absent	0.2	Absent	32.7	Absent	Normai
(40545)	18/08/2014	3282		200	Absent	Absent	8	Absent	34	Absent	Normal
Sandymount	07:00	3282		200	Absent	Absent	0	Absent	54	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40545)	25/08/2014	657		145	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	31.5	Absent	Normal
Sandymount	11:30	037		145	Absent	Absent	0.1	Absent	51.5	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40545)	31/08/2014	20	<1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.7	Absent	Normal
Sandymount	16:00	20	<1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.7	Absent	Normai
(40545)	03/09/2014	414	74		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
Sandymount	06:55	414	74		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34.4	Absent	Normal
(40545)	08/09/2014	63	24		Absont	۸ ۵ ۵ ۵ ۵ ۵	8.2	Absont	24.2	Absort	Nome
Sandymount	10:50	03	21		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.2	Absent	Normal
(40545)	14/09/2014	10	2		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.1	Absent	Normal
Sandymount	16:00	10	2		Absent	Absent	0.1	Absent	33.1	Absent	NOTITIAL
(40550) Merrion	03/06/2014	0.5	_		A l	A I I	0.2	A I I	22.0	A l	
Strand	15:50	85	5		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.8	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion	09/06/2014	24			A la a a sa t	A la a a .a t	0.1	A la a a sa t	22.5	A la a a sa t	Name
Strand	10:30	31	6		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.5	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion	16/06/2014	-10	C		Abcost	Absort	8.2	Abcout	35	Absorat	Normal
Strand	14:50	<10	8		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	35	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion	23/06/2014	-10	1		Abcont	Absont	0.2	Abcont	24.0	Absont	Normal
Strand	10:30	<10	1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.9	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion	29/06/2014	<10	2		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	33.6	Absent	Normal
Strand	14:00	<10			Ausent	Ausent	0.2	Ausent	33.0	Ausent	NOTITIAL



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
(40550) Merrion Strand	01/07/2014 14:50	<10	1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	35.2	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	07/07/2014 08:00	175	19		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.3	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	14/07/2014 13:40	185		145	Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	21/07/2014 08:00	74	25		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.2	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	27/07/2014 14:20	52	7		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.5	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	28/07/2014 13:30	74	10		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.1	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	06/08/2014 08:10	6131		1480	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	26.2	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	08/08/2014 14:00	1553		380	Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	30.1	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	11/08/2014 12:30	52	21		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	32.5	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	18/08/2014 07:30	2359		330	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	25/08/2014 11:45	933		125	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	32.5	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	31/08/2014 16:10	41	10		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.5	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	03/09/2014 07:20	1145		122	Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	34.6	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion Strand	08/09/2014 11:15	98	40		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	34.6	Absent	Normal
(40550) Merrion	14/09/2014	63	67		Absent	Absent	8.1	Absent	33.1	Absent	Normal



Sampling Point	Sample	E. coli	Enterococci	Enterococci (Confirmed)	Floating Materials	Mineral Oil	рН	Phenols	Salinity	Surfactants	Visual Inspection
Description	Date	MPN/100ml	CFU/100ml	CFU/100ml	(visual)	(visual)	рН	Olfactory	PSU		
Strand	16:30										
(40552) Merrion Strand (2)	27/05/2014 12:20	<10	1		Absent	Absent	8.2	Absent	31.7	Absent	Normal



PRTR Summary Sheets



Guidance to completing the PRTR workbook

AER Returns Workbook

Environmental Protection Agency	AER RELUTIIS VVOIKDOOK Version 1.1.18
REFERENCE YEAR	
HEI EITERVE I EFFI	per i
1. FACILITY IDENTIFICATION	
Parent Company Name	Irish Water
	Ringsend Waste Water Treatment Plant
PRTR Identification Number	
Licence Number	D0034-01
Classes of Activity	
	class name
	Refer to PRTR class activities below
Address 1	
Address 2	
Address 3	
Address 4	
	Dublin
Country	
	-6.19681343949 53.3390944464
River Basin District	
NACE Code	
Main Economic Activity	
AER Returns Contact Name	
AER Returns Contact Email Address	nhorgan@water.ie
	Environmental Compliance Specialist
AER Returns Contact Telephone Number	
AER Returns Contact Mobile Phone Number	
AER Returns Contact Fax Number	
Production Volume	0.0
Production Volume Units	
Number of Installations	
Number of Operating Hours in Year	
Number of Employees	
User Feedback/Comments	Total Annual flow (m3/annum): EP1 - 148,413,944m3 (2013), 165,638,393m3 (2014) up 12%. Fugitive - 892,730m3 (2013),
	2,549,327m3 (2014) up 186%. These flow figures, coupled with increases (or decreases) in the mean concentration figures, can
	explain the relative increases (or decreases) in the Total Mass Emissions for most parameters between 2013 and 2014.
Web Address	
Web Address	
2. PRTR CLASS ACTIVITIES	
Activity Number	Activity Name
5(f)	Urban waste-water treatment plants
5(1)	orban water a damon, pane
3. SOLVENTS REGULATIONS (S.I. No. 543 of 20	02)
Is it applicable?	r ⁱ
Have you been granted an exemption ?	
If applicable which activity class applies (as per	
Schedule 2 of the regulations) ?	
Is the reduction scheme compliance route being	
used ?	
4. WASTE IMPORTED/ACCEPTED ONTO SITE	Guidance on waste imported/accepted onto site
Do you import/accept waste onto your site for on-	
site treatment (either recovery or disposal	
antivities)	



4.1 RELEASES TO AIR | PRTR# : D0034 | Facility Name : Ringsend Waste Water Treatment Plant | Filename : D0034_2014.xism | Return Year : 2014 | 23/02/2015 07:26

SECTION A: SECTOR SPECIFIC PRTR POLLUTANTS

SECTION A: SECTOR SPECIFIC PRIN POLLO	RELEASES TO AIR				Please enter all quantities i	n this section in KGs		
	POLLUTANT		1	METHOD			QUANTITY	
				Method Used				
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year
01	Methane (CH4)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	35.0
	westalle (OTH)	-	LOTHWATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	55.0	0.0	30.0
02	Carbon monoxide (CO)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	10460.0	17322.0	0.0	6862.0
				EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
03	Carbon dioxide (CO2)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	3082662.0	38811580.0	0.0	35728918.0
OE .	Nitrous oxide (N2O)	_	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	277.0	0.0	277.0
U5 P	Nitrous oxide (N2O)	_	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	211.0	0.0	211.0
07	Non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	0.0	2166.0	0.0	2166.0
				EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
08	Nitrogen oxides (NOx/NO2)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	31989.0	52976.0	0.0	20987.0
		_		EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
11 8	Sulphur oxides (SOx/SO2)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	0.0 0.0	2048.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	2048.0
					0.0	0.0	0.0	
					0.0	0.0	0.0	
					0.0	0.0	0.0	
					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
					0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

SECTION B: REMAINING PRTR POLLUTANTS

	RELEASES TO AIR				Please enter all quantities in this section in KGs			
	POLLUTANT			METHOD	QUANTITY			
			Method Used					
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year
					0.0		0.0	0.0

^{*} Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

SECTION C: REMAINING POLLUTANT EMISSIONS (As required in your Licence)

	RELEASES TO AIR	Please enter all quantities in this section in KGs								
	POLLUTANT		QUANTITY							
		Method Used								
Pollutant No.	Name	M/C/E Method Code Designation or Description	Emission Point 1 T (Total) KG/Year A (Accidental) KG/Year F (Fugitive) KG/Year							
			00 00 00 00							

^{*} Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

Additional Data Requested from Landfill operators

flared or utilised on their facilities to accompany the fig	use cases, landmi operators are requested to provide summary data on landmi gas (Metnane) juries for total methane generated. Operators should only report file! Net methane (CH4) sction A: Sector specific PRTR pollutants above. Please complete the table below:					
Landfill:	Ringsend Waste Water Treatment Plant					
Please enter summary data on the quantities of methane flared and / or utilised			Meti	hod Used		
	T (Total) kg/Year	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Facility Total Capacity m3 per hour	
Total estimated methane generation (as per site model)	0.0				N/A	
Methane flared						(Total Flaring Capacity)
Methane utilised in engine/s					0.0	(Total Utilising Capacity)
Net methane emission (as reported in Section A					A1/A	



4.2 RELEASES TO WATERS Link to previous years emissions data | PRTR#: D0034 | Facility Name : Ringsend Waste Water Treatment Plant | Flename : D0034_2014.xism | Return Year : 2014 | 23/02/2015 07:30

SECTION A : SECTOR SPECIFIC PRTI	RELEASES TO WATERS	Data on a	ambient monitoring o	5	e submitted under AER / PRTR Reporting as this			
	POLLUTANT			Method Used			QUANTITY	
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year
34	1,2-dichloroethane (EDC)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
25	Alachlor	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
26	Aldrin	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
61	Anthracene	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.459	0.464	0.0	0.005
17	Arsenic and compounds (as As)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	367.165	370.607	0.0	3.442
27	Atrazine	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	1.732	1.761	0.0	0.029
62	Benzene	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	2.786	3.209	0.0	0.423
91	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.331	0.336	0.0	0.005
63	Brominated diphenylethers (PBDE)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	Cadmium and compounds (as Cd)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	8.558	9.259	0.0	0.701
28	Chlordane	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
29	Chlordecone	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
30	Chlorfenvinphos	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
79	Chlorides (as CI)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	145430509.054	148017226.183	3 0.0	2586717.129
31	Chloro-alkanes, C10-C13	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	34.788	35.323	0.0	0.535
32	Chlorpyrifos	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.011	0.011	0.0	0.0
19	Chromium and compounds (as Cr)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	51.072	51.327	0.0	0.255
20	Copper and compounds (as Cu)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	2023.549	2037.953	0.0	14.404
82	Cyanides (as total CN)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	485.622	492.76	0.0	7.138
33	DDT	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
70	Di-(2-ethyl hexyl) phthalate (DEHP)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	151.936	159.482	0.0	7.546
35	Dichloromethane (DCM)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	7.529	7.835		
36	Dieldrin	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version		0.0		
37	Diuron	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	4.367	4.367		
38	Endosulphan	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
39	Endrin	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
65	Ethyl benzene	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
88	Fluoranthene	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version		0.42		
83	Fluorides (as total F)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
40	Halogenated organic compounds (as AOX)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version		401.439		
41	Heptachlor	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
90	Hexabromobiphenyl	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
42	Hexachlorobenzene (HCB)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0			
43	Hexachlorobutadiene (HCBD)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0			
89	Isodrin	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0



67	Isoproturon	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	1.242	1.28	0.0	0.038
23	Lead and compounds (as Pb)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	503.44	530.973	0.0	27.533
45	Lindane	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.075	0.078	0.0	0.003
21	Mercury and compounds (as Hg)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	0.0	0.255	0.0	0.255
46	Mirex	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
68	Naphthalene	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.663	1.031	0.0	0.368
22	Nickel and compounds (as Ni)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	705.218	714.396	0.0	9.178
64	Nonylphenol and Nonylphenol ethoxylates (NP/NPEs)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	13.74	16.516	0.0	2.776
87	Octylphenois and Octylphenoi ethoxylates	Е	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
69	Organotin compounds (as total Sn)	Е	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
48	Pentachlorobenzene	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
49	Pentachlorophenol (PCP)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
71	Phenols (as total C)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	150.695	356.849	0.0	206.154
50	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
72	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	2.054	2.602	0.0	0.548
51	Simazine	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	2.334	2.369	0.0	0.035
52	Tetrachloroethylene (PER)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	9.788	9.788	0.0	0.0
53	Tetrachloromethane (TCM)	E	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
73	Toluene	Е	ESTIMATE	EPA UWWTP Tool Version 5.0	81.701	117.195	0.0	35.494
12	Total nitrogen	М	ОТН	Digestion & Colorimetry Potassium Dichromate	2457079.922	2543981.381	0.0	86901.459
76	Total organic carbon (TOC) (as total C or COD/3) Total phosphorus	M	ОТН ОТН	Method Digestion & Colorimetry	4026006.78 570458.625	4472246.077 584013.397	0.0 0.0	446239.297 13554.772
50		-		EPA UWWTP Tool Version				
pa .	Toxaphene	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
74	Tributyltin and compounds	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
54	Trichlorobenzenes (TCBs)(all isomers)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
57	Trichloroethylene	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
77	Trifluralin	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
75	Triphenyltin and compounds	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
60	Vinyl chloride	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
78	Xylenes	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	19.199	23.247	0.0	4.048
24	Zinc and compounds (as Zn)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	8176.513	8487.276	0.0	310.763

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

SECTION B : REMAINING PRTR POLLUTANTS

	RELEASES TO WATERS				Please enter all quantities	in this section in KG	s	
	POLLUTANT						QUANTITY	
				Method Used				
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year
					0.0	0.0) 00	0.0

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button



SECTION C	: REMAINING POLLUTANT E	MISSIONS (as required in your Licence)							
		RELEASES TO WATERS POLLUTANT				Please enter all quantities	s in this section in Ko	QUANTITY	
					Method Used				
	Pollutant No.	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description EPA UWWTP Tool Version		T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year
370		Selenium	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	786.782	2 788.48	2 0.0	1.7
205		Antimony (as Sb)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	25.599	26.82	3 0.0	1.224
368		Molybdenum	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	251.218	3 254.78	7 0.0	3.569
358		Tin	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	501.056	501.05	5 0.0	0.0
373		Barium	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	3805.542	2 3896.38	3 0.0	90.841
374		Boron	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	48614.868	8 49444.24	9 0.0	829.381
356		Cobalt	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	29.112	2 29.92	3 0.0	0.816
386		Vanadium	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	451.74	1 464.99	3 0.0	13.257
388		Dichlobenil	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.711	0.71	7 0.0	0.006
383		Linuron	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
385		Mecoprop Total	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	17.731	1 18.034	0.0	0.303
380		2,4 Dichlorophenol (2,4 D)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	8.451	1 8.542	2 0.0	0.091
384		MCPA	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	14.682	2 14.70	7 0.0	0.025
382		Glyphosate	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	253.878	3 254.88	2 0.0	1.004
389		Benzo[a]pyrene	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.33	0.330	3 0.0	0.005
390		Benzo[b]fluoranthene	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.33	0.330	0.0	0.005
391		Benzo[k]fluoranthene	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.33	0.330	0.0	0.005
392		Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.365	5 0.37	7 0.0	0.005
393		Carbon tetrachloride	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
394		2,6-Dichlorobenzamide	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	13.326	3 13.479	0.0	0.153
395		Dicofol	Е	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
396		Hexabromocyclodecane (HBCD)	E	ESTIMATE	5.0 EPA UWWTP Tool Version	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
397		PFOS	E	ESTIMATE	5.0	0.08			0.0
238		Ammonia (as N)	M	OTH	Colorimetric Analysis	1481966.70			61280.722
303		BOD	М	ОТН	5 Day BOD Test Potassium Dichromate	2778087.12			645107.197
306 362		COD Kjeldahl Nitrogen	M	OTH OTH	Method Digestion & Colorimetry	12078185.97 1836929.77			1338715.341 86376.297
327		Nitrate (as N)	M	OTH	Colorimetric Analysis	543790.84			507.316
372		Nitrite (as N)	M	OTH	Colorimetric Analysis	54660.67			155.509
332 240		Ortho-phosphate (as PO4) Suspended Solids	M M	OTH OTH	Colorimetric Analysis Gravimetric Analysis	1246760.18 5159635.94			18691.666 655967.33
240		ouspended donas	IVI	OIR	Gravimetric Arialysis	0109030.94	2 3613003.27	2.0.0	000907.33



4.3 RELEASES TO WASTEWATER OR SEWER

Link to previous years emissions data

| PRTR# : D0034 | Facility Name : Ringsend Waste Water Treatment Plant | Filename : D0034_2014

23/02/2015 07:3

SECTION A: PRTR POLLUTANTS

OFFSITE TRA	NSFER OF POLLUTANTS DESTINED FOR WASTE-V	Please enter all quantities in this section in KGs							
P	DLLUTANT		METH	OD	QUANTITY				
			Method Used						
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	M/C/E Method Code Designation or Description		Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year	
					0.0	(0.0	0.0	

^{*} Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

SECTION B : REMAINING POLLUTANT EMISSIONS (as required in your Licence)

OFFSITE TRA	SFER OF POLLUTANTS DESTINED FOR WASTE-V	Please enter all quantities	in this section in KGs						
P	POLLUTANT			D	QUANTITY				
				hod Used					
Pollutant No.	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year	
					0.0	0	.0 0.0	0.0	

^{*} Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

4.4 RELEASES TO LAND

Link to previous years emissions data

| PRTR# : D0034 | Facility Name : Ringsend Waste Water Treatment Plant | Filename : D0034_2014.xism | Return Year : 2014 |

23/02/2015 07:48

SECTION A: PRTR POLLUTANTS

	RELEASES TO LAND				Please enter all quantities		
PO	POLLUTANT		METH	IOD		QUANTITY	
			M	ethod Used			
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year
					0.0)	0.0

^{*} Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

SECTION B : REMAINING POLLUTANT EMISSIONS (as required in your Licence)

	RELEASES TO LAND				Please enter all quantities	5	
	POLLUTANT		MET	THOD		QUANTITY	
			Method Used				
Pollutant No.	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year
					0.0		0.0

^{*} Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button



S. GHORLE THE STREET	ENT & OFFSITE TRAN			quantities on this sheet in Tonnes								230200191074
	European Waste		Quantity (Tonnes per Year)		Waste Treatment	3) 32 - i	Method Used	Location of	Har Waster Norme and Licence Permit No of Next Destination Facility Norm and Licence Permit No of Next Normal No of Perceiver Disposer	Her Waste: Address of Next Destination Facility Non-Har Waste: Address of Recover Disposer	Name and License / Permit No. and Address of First Recoverer / Disposer (HAZARDOUS WASTE CINLY)	Actual Address of Final Destination i.e. Final Recovery (Disposal Site (HAZARDOUS WASTE ONLY)
Transfer Destination		Hazardous		Description of Waste		M/C/E	Method Used	Treatment				
Within the Country	19 05 01	No	753.0 s	creenings	D5	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Greenstar Ltd,Permit No. CPD 735/5	Unit 41,Cookstown Industrial Estate,Tallaght,Dublin 24,Ireland		
Within the Country	19 05 02	No		vaste from desanding	D5	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Greenstar Ltd,Permit No. CPD 735/5 Peadar Byrne	Unit 41,Cookstown Industrial Estate,Tallaght,Dublin 24,Ireland P.Byrne		
Within the Country	19 08 05	No	21407.0 w	ludges from treatment of urban waste vater	R10	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Haulage,Licence No. 990s70099508	Haulage,Baltinglass,Wicklow ,Co. Wicklow,Ireland		



Specified Improvement Programme

See Section 4 of main report.



Sewer Integrity Tool Output

See Section 4 of main report.



Priority Substances Assessment

Table 7.6.1: Screening of Effluent

Table 7.6.2: Impact on Receiving Waters

Table 7.6.3: Screening of Influent

Table 7.6.4: Screening of Influent Lines to Ringsend WWTP



Ringsend Influent and Effluent Priority Substances Screening, 2014.

To comply with condition **4.11.1** of Licence D0034-01, 2 sub-samples of the Ringsend composite influent and effluent were analysed during 2014 for a comprehensive suite of parameters from the :

- PRTR test suite
- EPA's 54 parameter test suite (Appendix 1, EPA Guidance on the Screening for Priority Substances for Waste Water Discharge Licences) which was issued on 17/01/11.

Summary of Effluent Screening Results:

Effluent Sample Reference 941042 taken 27/11/14.

See Table 7.6.1. Many of the parameters tested for the PRTR suite in this effluent sample were reported as below the detection limit.

Parameters from the EPA's Guidance document detected in this effluent sample included low (<u>sub-microgram per litre</u>) concentrations of the herbicides Mecoprop and Diazinon, microgram per litre concentrations of the metals Arsenic, Zinc, Mercury, Molybdenum, Cobalt, Tin, Barium, Nickel, Selenium, Chromium, Copper and Lead (see highlighted parameters in **Table 7.6.1**).

The PAH's Acenaphthene and Pyrene survived the treatment process at sub-microgram per litre concentrations.

Low concentrations of Trichloromethane and Toluene were also detected.

Results for general parameters and additional tests were in the normal range for effluent sewage.

Table 7.6.1.

EPA Appendix 1 – Ringsend Effluent Sample 941042 - 2014 Screening.

EPA Parameters Screened for in Waste Water Discharges

No.	Compound	Result	Group of Compounds
1.	Benzene	< 1.0 µg/l	VOC's
2.	Carbon Tetrachloride	< 1.0 µg/l	
3	1,2-Dichloroethane	< 1.0 µg/l	
4	Dichloromethane	< 1.0 µg/l	
5	Tetrachloroethylene	< 1.0 µg/l	
6	Trichloroethylene	< 1.0 µg/l	
7	Trichlorobenzenes (sum)	< 1.0 ng/l	
8	Trichloromethane	1.3 μg/l	
9	Xylenes (all isomers)	< 0.30 μg/l	
10	Ethyl Benzene	< 0.10 μg/l	
11	Toluene	0.18 μ g/l	
12	Naphthalene	< 0.01 μg/l	PAH's
13	Fluoranthene	< 0.01 μg/l	
14	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	< 0.01 μg/l	
15	Benzo(ghi)perylene	< 0.01 µg/l	



16	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	< 0.01 μg/l	
17	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	< 0.01 μg/l	
18	Benzo(a)pyrene	< 0.01 μg/l	
	Acenaphthene	0.019 μg/l	
	Pyrene	0.020 μg/l	
		0.039 μg/l	Total PAH's
19	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	< 5.0 μg/l	Plasticiser
20	Isodrin	< 6 ng/l	Pesticides
21	Dieldrin	< 5 ng/l	
22	Diuron	< 0.05 μg/l	
23	Isoproturon	< 0.05 μg/l	
24	Atrazine	< 0.02 μg/l	
25	Simazine	< 0.02 μg/l	
26	Glyphosate	< 2.00 μg/l	
27	Mecoprop	0.14 μg/l	
28	2,4-D	< 0.05 μg/l	
29	МСРА	< 0.05 μg/l	
30	Linuron	< 0.05 μg/l	
31	Dichlobenil	< 4 ng/l	
32	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide	N/A*	
	Diazinon	0.008 μg/l	
33	PCB's (Sum of 7)	< 37 ng/l	PCB's
34	Phenols	< 1.0 μg/l	Phenols
34	FIICHOIS	< 1.0 μg/1	Fileliois
35	Lead (Total as Pb)	6 μg/l	Metals
36	Arsenic (Total as As))	3.1 μg/l	
37	Copper (Total as Cu)	13.0 μg/l	
38	Zinc (Total as Zn)	57 μg/l	
39	Cadmium (Total as Cd)	< 0.60 μg/l	
40	Mercury (Total as Hg)	0.4 μg/l	Metals continued
41	Chromium (Total as Cr)	6 μg/l	
42	Selenium (Total as Se)	9.2 μg/l	
43	Antimony (Total as Sb)	< 1.2 μg/l	
44	Molybdenum (Total as Mo)	4.10 μg/l	
45	Tin (Total as Sn)	9.80 μg/l	
46	Barium (Total as Ba)	17.5 μg/l	
47	Boron (Total as B)	<0.20 mg/l	
48	Cobalt (Total as Co)	2.00 μg/l	
49	Vanadium (Total as V)	< 4.00 μg/l	
50	Nickel (Total as Ni)	8.3 μg/l	
51	Fluoride (as F)	0.5 mg/l	General
52	Chloride (as Cl)	314 mg/l	
53	TOC (as C)	-	



54	Cyanide (Total as CN)	< 9 μg/l	
55	Conductivity	1499 μS/cm (20 degrees	Additional Tests
		C)	(Sample 941038)
56	Hardness (mg/I CaCO3)	N/A	
57	рН	7.7	

Assessment of the Significance of the Discharge SW1 on Receiving Water Quality.

A summary of effluent screening results is presented below with a limited assessment of the significance of the discharge on receiving water. Note that the effluent results are at the licensed point of discharge (SW1) and that a mixing zone boundary has not been defined in WWDL D0034-01.

Effluent from SW1 receives a significant dilution within the undefined near field mixing zone before receiving water standards are applicable.

Chromium (Total), Copper and Zinc were the only metals screened in the effluent sample that exceeded the EQS's set for the receiving waters. Diazinon was close to the annual average (AA) EQS. A minimum dilution factor of 2-3 in the near field mixing zone allows for compliance with the EQS's for specific pollutants which are set as an annual average (AA).

This assessment does not indicate a significant impact from the specific pollutants listed on the receiving waters outside the near field of the SW1 discharge point.

Table 7.6.2 Assessment of the Significance of the Discharge SW1 on Receiving Water Environmental Quality Standards for Specific Pollutants (Table 10, S.I 272 of 2009).

Specific Pollutant	AA-EQS	Effluent
Parameter	(μ g/I)	941042
		27/11/14
		SW1
Arsenic	20	3.1
Chromium VI	0.6	6*
Copper	5	13.0
Cyanide	10	< 9
Diazinon	0.01	0.008
Dimethoate	0.8	< 0.020
Fluoride	1,500	500
Glyphosate	-	< 2.00
Linuron	0.7	< 0.05
Mancozeb	2	-
Monochlorobenzene	25	< 1.0
Phenols	8	< 1.0
Toluene	10	0.18
Xylenes	10	< 0.30
Zinc	40	57.0

^{*=} Total Chromium which is > Chromium VI



Ringsend Influent Screening, 2014

To comply with condition **4.11.2 of Licence D0034-01**, a sub-sample of the Ringsend composite influent was analysed during 2014 (on the same date -27/11/14 - as the effluent sample reported above) for agglomeration regulation purposes. Investigation of the sources of any dangerous substances detected in monitoring of the influent was carried out by monitoring the 4 incoming lines to the plant on the same date (27/11/14). Samples were tested for:

- PRTR test suite
- EPA's 54 parameter test suite (Appendix 1, EPA Guidance on the Screening for Priority

Substances for Waste Water Discharge Licences) issued on 17/01/11.

Summary of Influent Screening Results:

2014 - Influent Sample Reference 941041 of 27/11/14.

See **Table 7.6.3.** Many of the parameters tested for the PRTR suite in this influent sample were reported as below the detection limit.

Parameters from the EPA's Guidance document detected in this influent sample included low (microgram and sub-microgram per litre) levels of Xylenes, Ethyl Benzene and Toluene, 2 of the 7 PAH's listed (Naphthalene and Fluoranthene) and a further 4 unlisted PAH's (Acenaphthene, Fluorene, Phenanthrene and Pyrene). Mecoprop, Phenols (14.5 ug/l) and the metals Arsenic, Copper, Zinc, Mercury, Selenium, Barium, Cobalt and Nickel were detected (see highlighted parameters in **Table 7.6.3**).

Results for general parameters and additional tests were in the normal range for influent sewage.

Table 7.6.3

EPA Appendix 1 – Ringsend Influent Sample 941041 – 2014 PRTR Screening.

EPA Parameters Screened for in Waste Water Discharges

No.	Compound	Result	Group of Compounds
1.	Benzene	< 0.10 µg/l	VOC's
2.	Carbon Tetrachloride	< 4.0 μg/l	
3	1,2-Dichloroethane	< 4.0 μg/l	
4	Dichloromethane	< 4.0 μg/l	
5	Tetrachloroethylene	< 4.0 μg/l	
6	Trichloroethylene	< 4.0 μg/l	
7	Trichlorobenzenes (sum)	< 2.0 μg/l	
8	Trichloromethane	< 4.0 μg/l	
9	Xylenes (all isomers)	0.73 μg/l	
10	Ethyl Benzene	0.14 μg/l	
11	Toluene	2.69 μg/l	
12	Naphthalene	0.706 μg/l	PAH's
13	Fluoranthene	0.196 μ g/l	
14	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	< 0.10 μg/l	
15	Benzo(ghi)perylene	< 0.10 μg/l	
16	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	< 0.10 μg/l	



17	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	< 0.10 μg/l	
18	Benzo(a)pyrene	< 0.10 μg/l	
	Acenaphthene	0.215 μg/l	
	Fluorene	0.282 μg/l	
	Phenanthrene	0.525 μg/l	
	Pyrene	0.186 μg/l	
		2.110 μg/l	Total PAH's*
19	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	< 10.0 μg/l	Plasticiser
20	Isodrin	< 13 ng/l	Pesticides
21	Dieldrin	< 12 ng/l	
22	Diuron	< 0.50 μg/l	
23	Isoproturon	< 0.50 μg/l	
24	Atrazine	< 0.04 μg/l	
25	Simazine	< 0.05 μg/l	
26	Glyphosate	< 5.00 μg/l	
27	Mecoprop	0.20 μg/l	
28	2,4-D	< 0.20 μg/l	
29	МСРА	< 0.20 μg/l	
30	Linuron	< 0.50 μg/l	
31	Dichlobenil	< 9 ng/l	
32	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide	N/A	
33	PCB's (Sum of 7)	< 70 ng/l	PCB's
34	Phenols	14.5 μg/l	Phenols
		- ne par	
35	Lead (Total as Pb)	< 6 µg/l	Metals
36	Arsenic (Total as As)	3.1 μ g/l	
37	Copper (Total as Cu)	17 μg/l	
38	Zinc (Total as Zn)	38 μg/l	
39	Cadmium (Total as Cd)	< 0.6 μg/l	Metals continued
40	Mercury (Total as Hg)	0.3 ug/l	
41	Chromium (Total as Cr)	< 2.00 μg/l	
42	Selenium (Total as Se)	12 μ g/l	
43	Antimony (Total as Sb)	< 1.2 μg /l	
44	Molybdenum (Total as Mo)	< 3.0 μg/l	
45	Tin (Total as Sn))	< 7.0 μg/l	
46	Barium (Total as Ba)	27.10 μg/l	
47	Boron (Total as B)	< 0.20 mg/l	
48	Cobalt (Total as Co)	2.40 μg/l	
49	Vanadium (Total as V)	< 4.00 μg/l	
50	Nickel (Total as Ni)	4.1 μg/l	
F4	51 (1 5)	0.5 "	
51	Fluoride (as F)	0.5 mg/l	General
52	Chloride	373 mg/l	
53	тос	-	



54	Cyanide	< 9	
55	Conductivity	1,671 μS/cm (20 degrees	Additional Tests
		C)	(sample 941037)
56	Hardness (mg/l CaCO3)	N/A	
57	рН	7.4	

Summary of Influent Lines Screening Results:

2014 - Influent Lines - Sample References 941135, 941136, 941137 and 941043 of 27/11/2014.

To isolate the source of parameters detected in the Influent, samples were taken from the 4 main influent feeder lines on 27/11/2014 as follows:

941135: Dun Laoghaire - West Pier

941136: Dodder Valley Sewer - UCD FM-10

941137: North Dublin Drainage System - Sutton Sump

941043: Ringsend - Main Lift Pumping Station

See **Table 7.6.4.** These samples were tested for the PRTR test suite. Many of the parameters in the influent feeder line samples were reported as below the detection limit.

Parameters detected in the 4 feeder lines have been compared with those detected in the influent sample taken on the same date (see **Table 7.6.3** above).

941135: Dun Laoghaire - West Pier

Parameters detected in this sample included Tri-chloromethane (2.2 μ g/l), Toluene (0.18 μ g/l), Phenols (4.4 μ g/l) and metals Arsenic (1.7 μ g/l), Copper (14.0 μ g/l), Zinc (18 μ g/l), Selenium (3.41 μ g/l) and Barium (28.6 μ g/l). See highlighted parameters in **Table 7.6.4.**

941136: Dodder Valley Sewer - UCD FM-10

Parameters detected in this sample included Tri-chloromethane (3.3 ug/l), Xylenes (0.41 μ g/l), Toluene (0.31 μ g/l), Di(ethyl-hexyl)phthalate (23.8 μ g/l), Diazinon (0.005 μ g/l), Phenols (61.2 μ g/l) and metals Copper (17 μ g/l), Zinc (26 μ g/l), Selenium (1.36 μ g/l), Barium (16.2 μ g/l) and Nickel (3.50 μ g/l). See highlighted parameters in Table 7.6.4).

941137: North Dublin Drainage System - Sutton Sump

Parameters detected in this sample included Tri-chloromethane (7 μ g/I), Toluene (0.53 μ g/I) Phenols (30.3 μ g/I) and metals Copper (26 μ g/I), Zinc (92 μ g/I), Selenium (2.33 μ g/I), Barium (36.3 μ g/I) and Nickel (4.5 μ g/I). See highlighted parameters in **Table 7.6.4.**

941043: Ringsend – Main Lift Pumping Station

Parameters detected in this sample included Dichloromethane (15.1 μ g/I), Xylenes (0.41 μ g/I), Ethyl Benzene (0.16 μ g/I), Toluene (1.38 μ g/I), Naphthalene (0.855 μ g/I), Acenaphthene (0.182 μ g/I),



Fluorene (0.181 μ g/l), Phenanthrene (0.236 μ g/l), Di-ethylphthalate (2.4 μ g/l), herbicide Malathion (0.006 μ g/l), Phenols (15.9 μ g/l) and metals Arsenic (1.9 μ g/l), Copper (17 μ g/l), Zinc (25 μ g/l), Barium (23.7 μ g/l), and Nickel (3.9 μ g/l). See highlighted parameters in **Table 7.6.4**

Measures to Reduce Detected Priority Substances

Ongoing reviews of trade effluent licenses and consents will be carried out in the catchments upstream of the 4 influent lines to the Ringsend WWTP to reduce detected priority substances.

Table 7.6.4.

EPA Appendix 1 – Ringsend Influent Inflows - 2014 PRTR Screening.

EPA Parameters Screened for in 4 Waste Water Influent Lines to the Ringsend WWTP

No.	Compound	941135	941136	941137	941043
		Dun Laoire	UCD FM 10	Sutton Sump	Ringsend
		West Pier	(Dodder)		Main Lift
1.	Benzene	<0.10 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l	< 0.5 μg/l
2.	Carbon Tetrachloride	<1.0 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l	< 1.0 μg/l	< 4.0 μg/l
3	1,2-Dichloroethane	<1.0 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l	< 1.0 μg/l	< 1.0 μg/l
4	Dichloromethane	<1.0 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l	<1.0 μg/l	15.1 μg/l
5	Tetrachloroethylene	<1.0 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l	< 1.0 μg/l	< 4.0 μg/l
6	Trichloroethylene	<1.0 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l	< 1.0 μg/l	< 4.0 μg/l
7	Trichlorobenzenes (sum)		< 4.0 ng/l		< 2.0 μg/l
8	Trichloromethane	2.2 μg/l	3.3 μg/l	7.0 μg/l	< 4.0 μg/l
9	Xylenes (all isomers)	<0.30 μg/l	0.41 μg/l	<0.3 μg/l	0.41 μg/l
10	Ethyl Benzene	<0.10 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	0.16 μg/l
11	Toluene	0.18 μ g/l	0.31 μg/l	0.53 μg/l	1.38 μg/l
12	Naphthalene	<0.1 μg/l	<0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	0.855 μg/l
13	Fluoranthene	<0.1 μg/l	<0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l
14	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	<0.1 μg/l	<0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l
15	Benzo(ghi)perylene	<0.1 μg/l	<0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l
16	Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene	<0.1 μg/l	<0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	< 0.10 μg/l
17	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	<0.1 μg/l	<0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	<0.10 μg/l
18	Benzo(a)pyrene	<0.1 μg/l	<0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	<0.10 μg/l
	Acenaphthene				0.182 μg/l
	Fluorene				0.181 μg/l
	Phenanthrene				0.236 μg/l
	Total PAH's				1.454 μg/l
19	Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (DEHP)	<10 μg/l	23.8 μg/l	< 10.0 μg/l	< 10 μg/l
	Di-ethylphthalate				2.4 ug/l
20	Isodrin	<6 ng/l	< 6 ng/l	< 6 ng/l	< 6 ng/l
21	Dieldrin	<5 ng/l	< 5 ng/l	< 5 ng/l	< 5 ng/l
22	Diuron	<0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l
23	Isoproturon	<0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l
24	Atrazine	<0.02 μg/l	< 0.02 μg/l	< 0.02 μg/l	< 0.02 μg/l





25	Simazine	<0.02 μg/l	< 0.02 μg/l	< 0.02 μg/l	< 0.02 μg/l
26	Glyphosate	<5.00 μg/l	< 10.0 μg/l	< 10.00 μg/l	< 10.00 μg/l
27	Mecoprop	<0.16 µg/l	< 0.16 µg/l	< 0.16 µg/l	< 0.16 µg/l
28	2,4-D	<0.20 μg/l	< 0.20 μg/l	< 0.20 μg/l	< 0.20 μg/l
29	МСРА	<0.20 μg/l	< 0.20 μg/l	< 0.20 μg/l	< 0.20 μg/l
30	Linuron	<0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l	< 0.50 μg/l
31	Dichlobenil	< 4 ng/l	< 4 ng/l	< 4 ng/l	< 4 ng/l
32	2,6-Dichlorobenzamide	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Diazinon		0.005 μg/l		
	Malathion				0.006 μg/l
33	PCB's (Sum of 7)	< 33 ng/l	< 33 ng/l	< 33 ng/l	< 33 ng/l
34	Phenols	4.4 μ g/l	61.2 μg/l	30.3 μg/l	15.9 μg/l
35	Lead	<6.00 μg/l	< 6.0 μg/l	< 6.0 μg/l	< 6.0 μg/l
36	Arsenic	1.7 μ g/l	< 1.0 μg/l	< 1.0 µg/l	1.9 <mark>µ</mark> g/l
37	Copper	14.0 μg/l	17 μg/l	26 μg/l	17 μg/l
38	Zinc	18 μ g/l	26 μ g/l	92 μg/l	25 μg/l
39	Cadmium	<0.6 μg/l	< 0.6 μg/l	< 0.6 μg/l	< 0.6 μg/l
40	Mercury	<0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	< 0.1 μg/l	0.2 μg/l
41	Chromium	< 2.0 μg/l	< 2.0 μg/l	< 2.0	< 2.0 μg/l
42	Selenium	3.41 μg/l	1.36 μg/l	2.33 μg/l	< 1.6 μg/l
43	Antimony	<1.2 μg/l	< 1.2 μg/l	< 1.2 μg/l	< 1.2 μg/l
44	Molybdenum	<3.0 μg/l	< 3.0 μg/l	< 3.00 μg/l	<.3.0 μg/l
45	Tin (Total)	<7.00 μg/l	< 7.00 μg/l	<7.00 μg/l	< 7 μg/l
46	Barium	28.6 μg/l	16.20 μg/l	36.30 μg/l	23.70 μg/l
47	Boron	< 0.2 mg/l	< 0.2 mg/l	< 0.2 mg/l	< 0.20 mg/l
48	Cobalt	< 2.00 μg/l	< 2.00 μg/l	< 2.00 μg/l	<2.00 μg/l
49	Vanadium	< 4.00 μg/l	< 4.00 μg/l	< 4.00 μg/l	< 4.00 μg/l
50	Nickel	< 3 μg/l	3.50 μg/l	4.50 μg/l	3.90 μg/l
51	Fluoride	0.4 mg/l	0.4 mg/l	0.5 mg/l	0.5 mg/l
52	Chloride	103 mg/l	50 mg/l	101 mg/l	207 mg/l
53	TOC	-	-	-	-
54	Cyanide	< 9 μg/l	< 9 μg/l	< 9 μg/l	<9 μg/l
55	Conductivity	-	-	-	-
56	Hardness (mg/l CaCO3)	-	-	-	-
57	рН	-	-	-	-



Appendix 6.7

Toxicity Leachate Management Report



APPENDIX 7.7 LEACHATE MONITORING

Report for Samples Taken During the Period: 01/01/2014 - 31/12/2014

Customer Test List Sampling Point

DCC 130_LF_LEC 96001

Sampled Date SAMPLE NR Location

06/01/2014 11:00	825116 Rampere Landfill Baltinglass
09/01/2014 11:30	826435 Rampere Landfill Baltinglass
16/01/2014 11:00	828581 Rampere Landfill Baltinglas Wicklow
22/01/2014 11:30	830299 Rampere Landfill Baltinglas
06/02/2014 10:30	834424 Rampers Landfill CO. Wicklow.
19/02/2014 11:00	839027 Rampere Landfill Baltinglass
27/02/2014 11:00	841936 Rampere Landfill Baltinglass Wicklow
10/03/2014 11:00	845167 Rampere Landfill Baltinglas
11/11/2014 10:12	934944 Rampere Baltinglass
18/11/2014 11:00	937981 Rampere Landfill Baltinglass Wicklow
21/11/2014 10:10	939216 Rampere Landfill
02/12/2014 11:30	943139 Rampere Landfill Baltinglass Wicklow

Report for Samples Taken During the Period: 01/01/2014 - 31/12/2014

Customer Test List Sampling Point

DCC 130_LF_LEC 96001

DCC FCC

Sampled Date SAMPLE NR Location 06/01/2014 11:30 825117 Balleally Landfill Fingal

06/01/2014 11:30	825117	Balleally Landfill Fingal
08/01/2014 11:00	825933	Balleally Landfill Fingal
24/01/2014 11:30	831300	Balleally Landfill Fingal
29/01/2014 09:00	832023	Balleally Landfill
07/02/2014 10:30	834761	Ballally Landfill Fingall Co. C
12/02/2014 09:30	836079	Ballally Landfill
19/02/2014 11:30	839026	Balleally Landfill Fingal
27/02/2014 11:30	841935	Balleally Landfill Fingal
25/03/2014 11:30	849832	Balleally Landfill Fingal
12/05/2014 11:00	865596	Balleally Landfill Fingal
15/05/2014 11:30	867331	Balleally Landfill Fingal

Sampling Point Description (96001) Tanker Waste Disposal at Ringsend Rampere Landfill Baltinglass Wicklow

Al	NH3	Sb	As BOD Ba	Be	COD	Cd	Cd	Ca	CI-	Cr Cr	Co	Conductivity (20°C)	Cu	Cu	Fe	Fe	Pb	Pb	Mg	Mn	Mn	Hg	Mo	Ni	Ni	NO3-	NO2-	pН	P (React)	Se	Na	Sr S	SO42-	SS	TON	U	Zn	Zn
mg/l	mg/l as N	μg/l	μg/l mg/l μg/	l μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	μg/l mg/l	μg/l	μS/cm	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	l mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l as N	mg/l as N	pН	mg/l as P	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l as N	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l
0.055	315.38				425		0.002		468	0.023	3	5610		0.032		3.4		0.01			3.2	0.001		(0.066	0.12	0.015	7.3	1.07		520.7		728	131	0.13			0.145
0.028	176.85		32		383		0.002		736	0.013	3	4950		0.026		2.9		0.01			4	0.001			0.05	0.045	0.0025	7.3	0.015		358.7		1394	108	0.045			0.095
0.087	472.34		45		524		0.002		703	0.035	5	6760		0.054		8.3		0.01			1.7	0.001		(0.072	0.12	0.0025	7.9	0.29				925	70	0.13			0.099
0.236	347.56		30		418		0.002		1027	0.026	5	5550		0.248		19.5		0.01			2	0.001		(0.059	1.45	0.027	7.4	0.09		391.5		1357	106	1.48			0.2
0.064	347.07		27		410		0.002		482	0.002	2	5213		0.35		0.257		0.185			0.028	0.001			1.3	0.22	0.013	7.4	1.1		28.6		838	117	0.23		\Box	0.284
0.006	106.76		13		121		0.002		298	0.005	5	2479		0.028		0.306		0.01			1.5	0.001		(0.023	0.045	0.0025	7.4	0.06		172.3		481	38	0.045			0.053
0.006			25		226		0.002		317	0.006	5	2959		0.006		0.465		0.01			1.8	0.001		(0.027			7.3			278.8		447	66				0.049
	106.25				138				239			2247														0.045	0.0025	7.4	0.12				427	87	0.045			
1.9	458.56	П			584		0.002			0.038	3	6059		0.265		3		0.021			1.2	0.001		(0.097	0.16	0.0025	7.5	2.95					179	0.17			0.507
	304.5	П	25		344							4347														0.12	0.0025	7.4	2.27					28	0.12			$\overline{}$
	322.82		39		348				287			4167														0.1	0.0025	7.5	2.3				55	31	0.1			
0.083	229.74		54		325		0.002		270	0.019)	3365		0.006		1.1		0.01			1.1	0.001		(0.039	0.1	0.0025	7.5	1.89		119.9		133	207	0.11			0.096

Sampling Point Description

(96001) Tanker Waste Disposal at Ringsend Balleally Landfill Fingal

Daniedny Lai	can'y Landrini ringai																																						
Al	NH3	Sb	As	BOD	Ba I	Be COD	Cd	Cd	Ca Cl	– Cr	Cr (Co (Conductivity (20°C)	Cu	Cu	Fe	Fe	Pb	Pb	Mg	Mn	Mn	Hg	Mo	Ni	Ni	NO3-	NO2-	рΗ	P (React)	Se	Na	Sr S	042-	SS	TON	U	Zn	Zn
mg/l	mg/l as N	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l	μg/Ι μ	g/l mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l mg	g/l μg/l	mg/l μ	ıg/I	μS/cm	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l as N	mg/l as N	pН	mg/l as P	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l ι	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l as N	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l
0.026	226.53					335		0.002	42	1	0.011		4510		0.147		0.93		0.01			1.6	0.001			0.033	0.17	0.104	7.6	0.21		373.3		403	26	0.27			0.149
0.308	182.07			12		272		0.002	32	.7	0.018		4040		0.123		1.1		0.01			2	0.001			0.118	0.24	0.087	7.8	0.015		284.8		495	22	0.33			0.105
0.236	127.12					259		0.002	22	.7	0.006		3576		0.269		3.5		0.03			2.8	0.001			0.023	0.28	0.072	7.4	0.015		321.9		608	145	0.35			0.477
	483.96			58		569			57	'7			5605										0.001				0.24	0.066	7.5	1.3				572	133	0.31			\Box
0.014	160.1					227		0.002			0.006		3813		0.087		2.3		0.01			1.3	0.001			0.025	0.12	0.124	7.6	0.31		293.6			39	0.24			0.097
0.022	179.03					229		0.002	38	6	0.002		3741		0.166		0.25	5	0.01			0.563	0.001			0.02	0.13	0.079	7.4	0.015		253.2		722	42	0.21			0.06
0.018	229.08			15		310		0.002	62	1	0.009		4747		0.068		0.213	3	0.01			0.502	0.001			0.035	0.19	0.124	7.6	0.015		377.4		839	26	0.31			0.049
0.006				14		251		0.002	55	8	0.006		4146		0.051		0.14	2	0.01			1.7	0.001			0.025			7.5			206.8		890	32				0.05
0.016	235.55			12		442		0.002	90	14	0.006		4498		0.13		0.37	:	0.01			1.1				0.027	0.15	0.163	7.7	0.07		38.4		887	41	0.31			0.074
	246.54					405							4880														0.39	0.42	7.9	0.38					36	0.81			\neg
	206.03					377			44	8			4564														0.65	0.194	7.8	0.42				435	124	0.84			

UISCE EIREANN : IRISH WATER

Customer Test List Sampling Point

DCC 130_LF_LEC 96001

KCC

Sampled Date SAMPLE NR Location 07/01/2014 11:30 825457 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 826436 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 09/01/2014 11:00 13/01/2014 11:30 827302 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 16/01/2014 11:30 828263 Keridiffstown Landfill 16/01/2014 11:30 828580 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 22/01/2014 11:00 830298 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 28/01/2014 09:00 831757 Neiphon Trading Kerdiffstown 31/01/2014 09:20 832890 Neiphon Trading Ltd. 06/02/2014 10:00 834423 Neiphon Trading Ltd. 12/02/2014 09:00 836078 Kerdiffstown 18/02/2014 11:30 838557 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 20/02/2014 11:30 839529 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 25/02/2014 09:00 841006 Neiphin Trading Kerdiffstown 843333 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 04/03/2014 11:30 06/03/2014 11:30 844164 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 10/03/2014 11:30 845166 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 12/03/2014 11:00 846063 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 18/03/2014 11:30 847448 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 01/04/2014 11:30 852100 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 02/04/2014 11:30 852479 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 03/04/2014 11:30 852990 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 07/04/2014 11:30 854020 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 15/04/2014 11:30 857096 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffistown 23/04/2014 09:30 858874 Elsatrans Ltd. 23/04/2014 11:00 859261 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 30/04/2014 11:30 862053 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 12/05/2014 11:30 865595 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 19/05/2014 11:30 868421 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 21/05/2014 11:30 869587 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 09/06/2014 11:30 876198 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 12/06/2014 11:00 877844 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 17/06/2014 11:30 879335 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 19/06/2014 11:30 880529 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 24/06/2014 11:30 882026 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 23/07/2014 11:30 893847 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 29/07/2014 11:30 896148 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 07/08/2014 09:05 899001 Neiphon Trading Ltd 11/08/2014 11:30 900573 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 12/08/2014 11:30 901122 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 14/08/2014 11:30 902307 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 19/08/2014 11:30 904014 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 20/08/2014 11:00 904576 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 21/08/2014 11:30 905223 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 07/10/2014 11:00 922737 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 08/10/2014 11:30 923237 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 14/10/2014 11:30 925549 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 926321 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 16/10/2014 11:30 927475 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown 20/10/2014 10:00 29/10/2014 11:02 930132 Neiphon Trading Ltd 932752 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 04/11/2014 11:00 06/11/2014 11:30 933844 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 13/11/2014 09:45 935995 Neiphon Trading Ltd 18/11/2014 11:30 937980 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown 21/11/2014 10:00 939215 Neiphon Trading Ltd 25/11/2014 09:00 940514 Neiphin Trading Ltd Kerdiffstown

945363 Neiphin trading Ltd Kerdiffstown

949008 Neiphin Trading Ltd, Kerdiffstown

Sampling Point Description (96001) Tanker Waste Disposal at Ringsend Neiohin Trading Ltd. Kerdiffstown

d /	NH3			OD Ba			DD Cd		Ca			Cr		Conductivity (20°C)			Fe	Fe		Pb	IVI	•		_	Mo Ni	Ni // ma//	NO3-	NO2-	•	P (React)				SO42-		TON	U	Zn Zn
g/l	mg/l as N	μg/I			/I μg						g/l μg/			μS/cm	μg/l					l mg/l		g/l µg/l		_	µg/I µg			mg/l as N		mg/l as P	μg/I					mg/l as N	μg/I	
0.168	245.79			29	+	-	22	0.00	_	_	50	0.05		3250		0.1			2.5	0.0	_			0.001		0.046	0.49	0.109	8.1	0.8		204.4	_	129	55	0.6	₩	0.227
0.111	278.71			20	+		17	0.00		_	75	0.05		3050	<u> </u>	0.		_	2.4	0.0			0.679			0.046	0.28	0.096	8	0.9	\vdash	195.3		145	60	0.38	—	0.118
0.156	241.19			45	+	-	88	0.00)2	-	99	0.05	b	3190		0.4	25	- 2	2.8	0.03	9	_	0.635	0.001		0.05	0.45	0.292	8	0.75	-	190.4			115	0.74	₩	0.921
0.456	252.41 249.98			15	+		81 15	0.00	22		37	0.00		3350 3370		0.1	- 2	+		0.0	_	_	0.000.0	2 001		0.047	0.36	0.071 0.121	7.8	1.01			_	146	19	0.43	₩	0.155
0.156				10	+			0.00	_		57	0.06				0.1	_		3.8	0.0	_	_	0.698			0.047	0.34		8	1.08		222.7		132	22	0.46	₩	
0.252	275.98			18	+	_	23	0.00	_	_	15	0.07		3540		0.1	_	_	5.3	0.0	_	_	0.78			0.05	0.18	0.053	7.9	1.36		223.7	\rightarrow	184	29	0.23	₩	0.134
0.163	247.37			22	+	_	48	0.00	_	_	20	0.05		3237	-	0.1	_	_	2.7	0.03		_	0.568			0.041	0.37	0.146	7.9	1.14		204.5	\rightarrow	137	50	0.52	₩	0.162
0.165	265.23	\vdash		23	+		14	0.00			32	0.06		3325 3080	-	0.6		_	2.9	0.03	_	_	0.599			0.045	0.31	0.062	7.9	1.2	-	209.9	\rightarrow	148	59	0.37	+-	0.376
0.136	219.85	\vdash		20	+		75	_	_		77	0.06	_			0.1	-	_	2.5	0.03			0.61			_	0.27	0.051	8	1.11	-	246.2	\rightarrow	92	18	0.32	+-	0.118
0.407	210.2		_	39	+		72	0.00			14	0.06		3236		0.2			2.8	0.02		_	0.557			0.048	0.17	0.029	8	1.56		269.5	\dashv		122	0.2	₩	0.426
0.117	225.98			5 14	+	_	83	0.00		_	03 04	0.05	_	3036 3169		0.0	_	_	1.9	0.03	_	_	0.537 C			0.039	0.21	0.041	8	1.22		211.4	\dashv	41	8	0.25	₩	0.067 0.111
0.173	251.82				+	_	61	_									_	_	2.1		_	_				0.042	0.16	0.019	8.1	1.37		216.7	\rightarrow	166	12	0.18	₩	
0.139 3.7	265.73	\vdash		16 235	+	_	77	0.00	_	_	02 97	0.06		3360 3280		0.4	_	_	2.4	0.02	_	_	0.574 C	0.001		0.049	0.23	0.041	7.9	3.68	+	224 193.2	_	247 160	31	0.27	+-	0.226
		\vdash		19	+		13	0.00			63	0.06		3549	-	0.2		_	2.4	0.0	_		0.599			0.044		0.041	_		+	1400.1		241	485 81	0.27	+-	0.304
0.179	277.19	1			c 0 .	_	_		_	_	-	0.07	_		126	0.1	_	_		0.0.		22 611	0.606		4 5		0.19		8	1.56	1	$\overline{}$	_				0.24	
0.182	267.81	3	13	108	0 0.3	_	32 0.2 78	0.00	_	_	96 76 84	0.07	12	3288 3355	126	0.1	215		869 869	0.0	_	33 611	0.527		4 5	0.046	0.4	0.124	ŏ	1.16	1		_	170	34	0.52	0.24	198 0.194
0.235	277.52	\vdash	_	14	+	_	78 46	0.00	JZ	_	84 65	0.07	+	3355	 	0.1	+5	U.	009	0.0	+	_	0.52/			0.046	0.47	0.184	ð	1.37	+	3451.3	$-\!+$	218 107	258 29	0.65	+-	0.194
0.097	300.43	\vdash		12	+	_	26	0.00	12		79	0.06	-	3384	-	0.1	12	+	L.4	0.03	1		0.516			0.044	0.7	0.058	8	0.87	+	259.8	\dashv		160	0.76	+-	0.284
0.097	222.65	\vdash		14	+		94	0.00			79 46	0.05		2967	 	0.1	_	_	1.2	0.0	_	-	0.516		\vdash	0.044	2.1	0.058	8.2	0.87	\vdash	259.8		191	77	2.63	+-	0.284
0.109	189.41	1	-	+	+	_	78	0.00	14		89	0.05	-	2663	-	0.1	10	+	2	0.0.	+	-	0.439		\vdash	0.04	2.71	0.638	8.2	0.2	1	220.1		163	90	3.35	+-	0.106
0.13	196.08	+	\vdash	+	+	_	20	0.00	12	_	02	0.04	-	2810	-	0.0	67	0	845	0.0	+	-	0.278			0.037	3.02	0.638	8.1	0.07	1	206	+		126	3.35	+-	0.153
0.13	204.79			_	+		80	0.00		_	58	0.04	_	3010		0.0		_	877	0.0	_		0.278			0.037	12.68	1.99	8.1	0.06		206	\rightarrow		233	14.67	+-	0.153
0.288	218.13			157	+		41	0.00		2.	36	0.03		3343		0.5		_	L.5	0.0		_	0.302		-	0.048	7.8	1.64	8.1	0.015			\dashv	99	242	9.44	+-	0.497
0.288	210.99			117	+		57	0.00		_		0.06		3422	-	0.1			952	0.0		_	0.302			0.057	6.68	1.168	0.1	0.015			\dashv	\longrightarrow	269	7.85	₩	0.497
2.831	280.79	6		139 13	0 0	_	85 1	0.00	_	8 3	30 97		18		439	0.1	360	_	38		_	47 404	0.333		6 9		0.00	1.642	0	0.013	1		914	117	430	2.04	0.72	761
2.031	176.83	-	23	139 13	0 0.	_	32	0.00	71 0	0 3	30 37	+	10	2772	433		300	4	- 30	+	+	17 404		_	0 3	-	4.63	1.457	0	0.015	+ -		314	117	196	6.09	0.73	701
	140.19	+		_	+	_	56	+	+	1	71	+	+	2373	-			+	_	+	+					_	2.18	0.88	Q	0.013	+		\rightarrow	120	58	3.06	+-	+-
	171.13			48	+	_	27	+	-	-	/ 1	+		2733				+	-	+	+						2.04	0.833	0	0.16			\dashv	120	92	2.87	+-	+
0.334	193.09			40	+		98	0.00	12	+	_	0.06	2	3521	-	0.2	22	1	1.9	0.05	-	_	0.412	0.001		0.07	0.39	1.168	7.9	6.12		176.7	\dashv	\longrightarrow	206	1.56	+-	0.849
0.354	198.72	\vdash		55	+	_	20	0.00	_	2	65	0.06		3207	-	0.1	_		L.4	0.03	_	_	0.301			0.057	0.79	0.978	8.1	0.015		134.8	\dashv	48	156	1.77	+-	0.843
0.134	234.1	\vdash		80	+	_	37	0.00		_	98	0.08		3506		0.1		_	1.9	0.03		-	0.301			0.037	0.79	0.389	8.1	0.013	+	158.6	\dashv		308	0.56	+-	0.17
0.335	270.36	\vdash		46	+	_	65	0.00	_	_	60	0.08		3768	 	0.1			1.5	0.03	_		0.34			0.031	0.17	0.47	8.1	0.37	+	174	\rightarrow	57	14	0.67	+-	0.179
0.245	283.82			81	+	_	82	0.00			97	0.09	_	4722	-	0.5	_		L.7	0.0	_		0.37			0.107	0.6	1.9	8.1	0.74	+	266.1	\rightarrow	57	180	2.5	+-	0.173
0.184	125.08			01	+	_	53	0.00	_	_	43	0.05	_	3090		0.0	_	_	L.4	0.03	_		0.186			0.069	0.22	0.755	8.2	0.28		183.8	\rightarrow			0.97	+-	0.371
1	217.65			_	+	_	L67	0.00	_	_	74	0.38	_	3704	-	1.	_	-	1.1	0.05	_	_	0.497			0.102	0.14	0.187	8.1	3.32	_	208.9	_		528	0.33	+-	9.3
0.162	121.38	\vdash	-	231	+	_	85	0.00	$\overline{}$	-	10	0.02	-	2488	-	0.5	_	-	584	0.03	_	_	0.307		_	0.102	1	1.345	7.7	6.1	+	152.2	\rightarrow	188	166	2.34	+-	0.806
0.409	129.37			231	+	_	36	0.00			03	0.02		2225		0.2		_	L.7	0.03	_	-	0.404			0.075	1.71	1.357	2	0.13		88.4	+		280	3.07	+-	0.81
0.215	142.72	\vdash		61	+		60	0.00		_	90	0.04		2440	 	0.1	_		1.7	0.03	_		0.455			0.069	1.79	1.77	8	0.13	+	96.8			141	3.56	+-	0.628
0.053	152.52			33	+		90	0.00			04	0.03		2619		0.0		_	753	0.0	_		0.439			0.05	1.95	1.783	8	0.11	+	103.1		187	66	3.73	+-	0.155
0.067	168.81			64	+		87	0.00			76	0.04		3075		0.2		_	662	0.03			0.474			0.073	1.44	2.359	8	0.49	+	132.2	\dashv			3.8	+	0.643
0.007	182.12	+	_	60	+	_	32	0.00	_	_	45	0.04	_	3220	\vdash	0.1	_	-	1.5	0.0	_	\dashv	0.433 0			0.061	1.17	1.889	8.1	0.09	1	136.6	$-\!\!\!+$	_	131	3.06	+-	0.043
0.052	227.18	\vdash		64	+		32	0.00		_	44	0.05	_	3676	\vdash	0.1	_	_	783	0.0	_	_	0.468			0.062	1.03	1.386	8	0.07	1	148.8		_	117	2.42	+-	0.224
0.002	159.03	+		51	+		52	0.00	-		23	3.03	+	1630	 	0.1	-	+	. 55	10.0.	+	-	3.,30			3.002	4.64	1.785	7.6	0.13	1	1,0.0	+	81	159	6.42	+	0.211
	103.8	+		55	+		50	+	+		33	+	+	2031	\vdash		+	+		+	+		\vdash				6.11	1.338	7.9	0.015	+		\rightarrow		150	7.45	+-	+
	135.74	+		116	+		31	+	+	+		+	+	2467	 		+	+		+	+		\vdash				2.97	0.978	7.7	0.013	1		-+	254	349	3.95	+	+-
	184.41	+		227	+	_	80	+	+	+	+	+	+	2866			+	+	-	+	+	_	 				1.41	0.684	7.7	0.53	1		-+	\rightarrow	400	2.09	+	+-
	215.5	+			+	_	18	+	+	20	990	+	+	3175	\vdash		+	+	-	+	+	\dashv	\vdash				0.67	0.474	8	0.41	1		$-\!+$	83	277	1.14	+-	+-
	295.99	\vdash	\vdash	50	+		51	+	+	- 23	-	+	+	3897	\vdash		+	+	\dashv	+	+	_	\vdash				0.4	0.474	7.9	0.78	1		-+	_	133	0.5	+	+-
	232.08			28	+		12	_	÷	+	-	 	1	3313		_	+	÷	- -	1	\pm	\dashv	' 		' 	1	3.59	1.199	8	0.42	' 	<u>' </u>	一十		154	4.79	i	┌─┼─┐
	360.13	\vdash		42	+	_	31		+	-	+	+	+-	4539			+	+	_		+		-				0.78	0.285	7.7	1.26	\vdash		+	\rightarrow	79	1.06	\vdash	\vdash
	128.33	+		18	+	_	12	_	+	+	+	+	+	2027	\vdash		+	+	-	1	+						2.71	0.408	7.7	0.35	\vdash		+	\dashv	36	3.12	$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash
	169.44	\vdash		15	+		95	+	+	+	+	+	+	2520	\vdash		+	+	-	+	+	_	-+	-	-+	+	1.12	0.408	7.8	0.55	\vdash	-	+	\rightarrow	18	1.28	┌	$\vdash\vdash\vdash$
	223.91	\vdash		128	+	_	61	+	+	+	+	+	+	2808	\vdash		+	+	-	+	+	_	-+	-	-+	+	0.73	0.165		0.64	\vdash	-	+	\rightarrow	202	0.84	┌	$\vdash\vdash\vdash$
	207.76	\vdash		21	+		83	+	+	+	+	+	+	2808	\vdash		+	+	-	1	+	+				+	0.73	0.11	7.8	3.47	\vdash		+	\dashv	97	0.84	\vdash	$\vdash\vdash\vdash$
	243.76	\vdash		21	+	_	26	-	+	+	_	+	+	3520	\vdash		-	+	_	1	+					+	0.43	0.052	7.8	1.4	\vdash		+	\rightarrow	34	0.48	$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash\vdash\vdash$
		\vdash	\vdash	44	+	_	_	+	+	-	02	+	+-		\vdash		+	+	-	1	+	_			_	_					\vdash		+	24			↩	\vdash
	221.95	1		44		[4	02			[13	82			2901						1	丄						1.13	0.094	7.8	0.9				34	90	1.22		

08/12/2014 11:30 17/12/2014 11:30

Report for Samples Taken During the Period: 01/01/2014 - 31/12/2014
Customer Test List Sampling Point
DCC 130_LF_LEC 96001

wcc

Sampled Date SAMPLE NR Location

08/01/2014 11:30	825932	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
14/01/2014 11:30	827747	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
24/01/2014 11:00	831301	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
04/02/2014 09:05	833814	Ballynagran Landfill	
11/02/2014 09:00	835656	Balynagran Landfill	
17/02/2014 11:30	838195	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
20/02/2014 11:00	839530	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
04/03/2014 11:00	843334	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
26/03/2014 11:00	850755	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
31/03/2014 11:30	851677	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
08/04/2014 11:30	854649	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
10/04/2014 11:30	855761	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
15/04/2014 11:00	857097	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
23/04/2014 09:00	858873	Ballynagran Landfill	
24/04/2014 11:30	859754	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
29/04/2014 11:30	861534	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	
07/05/2014 11:30	863854	Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow	

Report for Samples Taken During the Period: 01/01/2014 - 31/12/2014

Test List Sampling Point 130_LF_LEC Customer

DCC

MCC

Sampled Date	SAMPLE NR	Location
14/05/2014 11:30	866797	Knockharl

14/05/2014 11:30 19/05/2014 11:00 20/05/2014 11:00 22/05/2014 11:30 866797 Knockharley Landfill Meath 868422 Knockharley Navan Meath 868886 Knockharley Kentstown Navan 870062 Knockharley Kentstown Navan 871677 Knockharley Landfill Kentstown Boyne Waste 27/05/2014 09:00

Sampling Point Description

(96001) Tanker Waste Disposal at Ringsend

Ballynagran Landfill Wicklow

Al	NH3	Sb	As BOD	Ba	Be	COD C	d (Cd	Ca	CI-	Cr	Cr	Co	Conductivity (20°C)	Cu	Cu	Fe	Fe	Pb	Pb	Mg	Mn	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	Ni	NO3-	NO2-	pН	P (React)	Se	Na	Sr	SO42-	SS	TON	U	Zn	Zn
mg/l	mg/l as N	μg/l	μg/l mg/l	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l μg	/l m	ng/l	mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	μS/cm	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/	l μg/	l mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	l μg/l	mg/l	mg/l as N	mg/l as N	рΗ	mg/l as P	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l as N	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l
1.6	2532		57			4697	0.	002		1658		0.358		18270		0.022		1.6		0.01			0.546	0.001	l		0.164	0.39	0.009	7.9	13.88		1526.2		92	44	0.4			0.189
1.3	0.44		660			3578	0.	002		2147		0.28		14590		0.028		1.4		0.01			0.594	0.001	L		0.127	0.46	0.0025	7.9	10.42		1126.6		113	96	0.46			0.166
1.4	3204					4208	0.	002		1329		0.325		15565		0.045		1.8		0.01			0.657	0.001	L		0.136	0.58	0.0025	7.8	10.73		1491.9		49	84	0.59			0.139
1.5	2156					3246	0.	002				0.415		15498		0.125		1.5		0.01			0.263	0.001	L		0.152	0.29	0.006	7.8	10.97		1446.4			144	0.3			0.237
1.2	1748					4050	0.	002		1791		0.269		15237		0.035		1.5		0.01			0.52	0.001	L		0.136	0.4	0.0025	7.8	5.29		1372.1		43	103	0.4			0.135
	1376					3769				1353				15088														0.44	0.0025	7.8	10.12				35	128	0.44			
1.4	1356		942			3782	0.	002		1551		0.305		15054		0.026		1.7		0.01			0.608	0.001	L		0.133	0.32	0.009	7.9	11.19		1448.2		29	66	0.33			0.186
1.2	2344		784			3584	0.	002		2621		0.272		14997		0.006		0.829)	0.01			0.448				0.124	0.49	0.017	7.8	11.09		192.4		63	38	0.51			0.083
1.4	1980		983			2133	0.	002		1500		0.393		17032		0.023		1.3		0.01			0.605				0.148	0.4	0.017	7.8	14.57		1648		25	75	0.42			0.126
1.5	1864					4433	0.	002		1535		0.438		16792		0.116		1.7		0.01			0.609				0.154	0.5	0.0025	7.9	13.13		1550.2		10	250	0.51			0.287
1.4	1684		958			4184	0.	002		1424		0.36		16122		0.062		1.5		0.01			0.681				0.148	0.38	0.01	7.9	12.19		1568		10	56	0.39			0.139
1.4	1540		781			3926	0.	002		1331		0.316		14964		0.07		2.4		0.01			1.2				0.145	0.38	0.009	7.8	10.71		1571.1		83	221	0.39			0.301
1.4	1484					4262	0.	002		1527		0.415		16658		0.048		2.4		0.01			1.1				0.149	0.45	0.016	7.8	13.47				10	48	0.47			0.15
1.4	1832		959			4694	0.	002				0.408		18095		0.053		2.5		0.01			0.899				0.155	0.36	0.0025	7.9	12.28					60	0.37			0.142
1.5	2772		882			4744	0.	002		2567		0.425		18414		0.037		2.7		0.01			0.893				0.164	0.34	0.0025	7.9	11.91				98	80	0.34			0.188
	2456					4899				1955				18813														0.4	0.007	7.8	13.36				101	74	0.41			\Box
	0.61		1395			4750				950				159														0.34	0.0025	7.2	15.44			\Box	124	104	0.34			

Sampling Point Description

96001 (96001) Tanker Waste Disposal at Ringsend

Knockharley Landfill Meath

Al	NH3	Sb	As	BOD	Ba	Be	COD	Cd	Cd	Ca	CI-	Cr	Cr	Co	Conductivity (20°C)	Cu	Cu	Fe	Fe	Pb	Pb	Mg	Mn	Mn	Hg	Mo	Ni	Ni	NO3-	NO2-	pН	P (React) S	e Na	Sr	SO42-	SS	TON	U	Zn	Zn
mg/l	mg/l as	N μg/	/I μg,	/I mg/I	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l µ	μg/l r	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	μS/cm	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	μg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l as N	mg/l as N	pН	mg/las P με	g/l mg/l	μg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l as N	μg/l	μg/l ι	mg/l
	1530			338			2966				1631				15207														0.44	0.0025	7.8	10.65			489	104	0.45			12
	1616						2862				1434				15379														0.43	0.007	7.8	10.44			411	336	0.44			11
	0.78			281			2878				2817				15322														0.26	0.0025	7.8	10.5			613	70	0.27			12
	1568			300			2370								15250														0.26	0.0025	7.8	8.21				144	0.27			10
	1600						2398				1497				15361														0.26	0.0025	7.7	8.73			293	20	0.26			11



Appendix 6.8

Final Effluent Toxicity Assessment





Raffeen Industrial Estate Ringaskiddy Road Monkstown Co. Cork Tel: 021 4387200 Fax: 021 4387299 Email: micro@erva.ie www.enva.ie

Client: The Central Laboratory

Address: Dublin City Council, Eblana House,

68-70, Marrowbone Lane,

Dublin 8.

Customer Sample: Test: 193D Ringsend New Treatment Works. SBL Effluent 02122014.

Certificate Number: 1074914111214

Date Received: 03/12/14 Lab ID: 107/49/14 Test Date:09/12/14

Certificate Date: 11/12/14 Order Number: 51046240

Aquatic Toxicity Test Results:

Test Parameters	Concentration % Vol./Vol.	Toxic Units	95% Confidence Limits Vol./Vol.	Method Of Calculation
48 LC ₅₀ to Brachionus plicatilis	51.02	1.96	45.85 – 54.02	Rotifer LC ₅₀ Calculation Program
30 min EC ₅₀ to Vibrio fischeri	>100	<1	N/A	Microtox

Test Methods:

ENVCM.137:Rotifer Brachionus plicatilis: Based on ASTM E1440-91 ENVCM136:Marine bacterium Vibrio fischeri: Based on ISO 11348-3:2007

Page 1 of 2





Raffeen Industrial Estate Ringaskiddy Road Mankstown Co. Cark Tet:021 4387200 Fac 021 4387299 Email: micro@enva.ie: www.enva.le

Sample Information:

Sampled By:	Customer
Sampling Procedure	N/A
Lab ID	107/49/14
Date of Analysis	09/12/14
Storage Conditions	≤-20 °C
Temperature	20.1°C
PH (at 18°C)	7.04
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	10.80
Dissolved Oxygen (% Saturation)	117.4
Conductivity (µs/cm at 25°C)	2010
Salinity (ppt at 20°C)	<1

Reported By:

Claire Foley (Technical Consultant)

Page 2 of 2



PART 2

South Dublin County Council Functional Area



Annual Environmental Report 2014

Agglomeration Name:	Ringsend
Licence Register No.	D0034-01

PART 2

South Dublin County Council Functional Area





Table of Contents

Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER	1
1.1 Summary report on 2014	1
Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary	2
2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring	2
2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration	3
2.3 Ambient monitoring summary	4
2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the Urban Waste Water Treatme	ent
Directive	4
2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - report for previous year	4
Section 3 Operational Reports Summary	5
3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report	5
3.2 Treatment Capacity Report	5
3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report	6
3.4 Complaints Summary	6
3.5 Reported Incidents Summary	7
3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP	8
Section 4. Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements	9
4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report	9
4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvemen	t
programme requirements.	10
Section 5. Licence Specific Reports	13
5.1 Priority Substances Assessment	13
5.2 Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment.	14
5.3 Shellfish Impact Assessment Report.	14
5.4 Toxicity / Leachate Management	14
5.5 Toxicity of the Final Effluent Report	14
5.6 Pearl Mussel Measures Report	14
5.7 Habitats Impact Assessment Report	14
Section 6. Appendix	15

UISCE ÉIREANN : IRISE WATER

Part 2 South Dublin County Council Functional Area

Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER

1.1 Summary report on 2014

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0034-01, Ringsend, for the South Dublin County Council Functional Area in County Dublin in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration.

There are no specified assessments required in the 2014 AER.

The Greater Dublin Area Agglomeration comprises the geographical area of Dublin City Council and sections of the functional areas of

- Fingal County Council
- South Dublin County Council
- Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council
- Meath County Council.

The Greater Dublin Area Agglomeration is served by a single water treatment plant (WWTP) which is located at Ringsend in the functional area of Dublin City Council.

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant with a mean Design PE of 1.64 million.

The treatment process includes the following:-

- Preliminary treatment (including screening / grit removal), OFG removal
- Primary treatment
- Sludge treatment
- Secondary treatment SBR
- Tertiary treatment UV treatment during the bathing season.

The following improvement works were undertaken during 2014:-

- Quarryvale PS (Phase 2 Upgrade) Upgrade of pump station. Replacement of 2 pumps, general equipment upgrading, new odour control unit installed, improved security fencing and access gate provided.
- Dodder Valley Trunk Sewer (DVS) Diverted combined sewers from Butterfield Avenue at Kilvere Estate and Rathfarnham Shopping Centre to the DVS.

An Annual Statement of Measures is included in Appendix 6.1.



Part 2 South Dublin County Council Functional Area Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

There is no influent monitoring required to be carried out in the South Dublin portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 2.1 - Influent Monitoring Summary

	BOD (mg/l)	COD (mg/l)	SS (mg/l)	TP (mg/l)	TN (mg/l)	Hydraulic Loading (m³/d)	Organic Loading (PE/day)
Number of Samples	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Annual Max.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annual Mean	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Significance of results

None.



2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

No monitoring of discharges is required to be carried out for the South Dublin portion of the Ringsend Licence.

Table 2.2 - Effluent Monitoring Summary

	cBOD	COD	TSS	Ammonia	Ortho-P	Comment
	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	
WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Number of sample results	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Number of sample results above WWDL ELV	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Number of sample results above ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Annual Mean (for parameters where a mean ELV applies)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Significance of results

None.



2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

There is no ambient monitoring required to be carried out for the South Dublin portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 2.3 - Ambient Monitoring Report Summary

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Current EQS Status	Does assessment of the ambient monitoring results indicate that the discharge is impacting on water quality?
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Significance of results

None.

2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive

This is not required for the South Dublin portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - 2014

This is not required for the South Dublin portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.



Section 3 Operational Reports Summary

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

There is no requirement under the Licence to prepare and submit a Treatment Efficiency Report associated with the South Dublin portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 3.1 - Treatment Efficiency Report Summary

	cBOD (kg/yr)	COD (kg/yr)	SS (kg/yr)	Total P (kg/yr)	Total N (kg/yr)	Comment
Influent mass loading (kg/year)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
% Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report

This is not required for the South Dublin portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 3.2 - Treatment Capacity Report Summary

Hydraulic Capacity – Design / As Constructed (dry weather flow) (m³/year)	n/a
Hydraulic Capacity – Design / As Constructed (peak flow) (m³/year)	n/a
Hydraulic Capacity – Current loading (m³/year)	n/a
Hydraulic Capacity – Remaining (m³/year)	n/a
Organic Capacity - Design / As Constructed (PE)	n/a
Organic Capacity - Current loading (PE)	n/a
Organic Capacity – Remaining (PE)	n/a
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes / No)	n/a



3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

In this section Irish Water is required to report on the amount of urban waste water generated within the agglomeration. It does not include any waste water collected and treated in a private system and discharged to water under a Section 4 Licence issued under the Water Pollution Acts 1977 (as amended):

Table 3.3 - Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

	% of p.e. load
	generated in the
	agglomeration
Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network	100%
Load collected in the agglomeration that enters treatment plant	100%
Load collected in the sewer network but discharged without treatment	ND

Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network is the total load generated and collected in the municipal network within the boundary of the agglomeration.

Load collected in the agglomerations that enters treatment plant is that portion of the previous figure which enters the waste water treatment plant

Load collected but discharged without treatment is that portion of the first figure which is discharged without treatment.

3.4 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Table 3.4 - Complaints Summary Table:

Number	Date & Time	Nature of Complaint	Cause of Complaint	Actions taken to resolve issue	Closed (Y/N)
1	12 th May	Overflow of sewerage	DVS sewer pipe	Pipe bung removed	Υ
	2014	into residential property	was bunged at	by *Murphy	
		causing damage	new Belfield	International	
			siphon house		
			during		
			construction by		
			contractor*.		
			Heavy rainfall		
			overwhelmed		
			temporary		
			measure in place		
			causing serious		
			overflow &		
			property		
			damage.		



3.5 Reported Incidents Summary

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

Table 3.5.1 - Summary of Incidents

Incident Type (e.g. non- compliance, emission, spillage, EO activation)	Incident Description	Cause	No. of Incidents	Corrective Action	Authorities Contacted	Reported to EPA	Closed (Y/N)
Overflow from Siphon House on DVS	L2 – limited, contamination of residences, short duration	Pipe damage by contractor	1	Pipe repaired by Murphy International	SDCC/DCC/D LRCC, Fire services	N	Y
Overflow to SW & then to Dodder	Minor L1 - Rathfarnham – FS blockage	Blocked foul sewer	1	Overflow between foul & SW raised by 200mm	SDCC	N	Y
Effluent seeped to Liffey through ground	L2 – limited, ground contamination	Rising main pipe fracture at Lucan Spa DPS	1	Pipe damage repaired by SDCC	SDCC	N	Y
Overflow to stream activation	Minor L1 – Main choke – Griffeen Valley	Blocked foul sewer	1	Foul sewer dragged & jetted	SDCC	N	Y
Overflow to Camac Stream	Minor L1 – Woodford Downs main choke	Blocked sewer – flat gradient	1	Foul sewer jetted & area cleaned up	SDCC	N	Y
Overflow to Luas car park	Minor, L1 – Cheererstown Luas station car park	Blocked sewer - choke	1	Foul sewer jetted & area cleaned up	SDCC	N	Y
Overflow to surface water drain	Minor L1 – Dromcarra Grove - main choke	Blocked sewer	1	Foul sewer jetted	SDCC	N	Y
Overflow to surface water drain	Minor L1 – Kilvere Estate - main choke	Blocked sewer	1	Foul sewer jetted. Minor manhole repairs to be carried out to prevent blockage	SDCC	N	Y



Note 1: For shellfish waters notify the Marine Institute (MI) Sea Fisheries Protection Authority (SFPA) Food Safety Authority (FSAI) and An Bord Iascaigh Mhara (BIM). This should also include any other authorities that should be contacted arising from the findings of any Licence Specific Reports also e.g. Drinking Water Abstraction Impact Risk Assessment, Fresh Water Pearl Mussel Impact Assessments etc.

Table 3.5.2 - Summary of Overall Incidents

Number of Incidents in 2014	8
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2014	0
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	Refer below **

^{**}Table 3.5 above summaries the list of incidents that, in the normal course of events, would be reported to the EPA. The incident list was not uploaded to EDEN due to system access problems. It should be noted that several incidents were deemed reportable due to these being categorised as 'chokes' in the foul sewer system.

3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

This is not required for the South Dublin portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 3.6 - Other Inputs

Input type	m³/year	PE/year	% of load to WWTP	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
Domestic /Septic Tank Sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Industrial / Commercial Sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Landfill Leachate (delivered by tanker)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Landfill Leachate (delivered by sewer network)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Waste sludges imported from nearby LA small WWTPs	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Notes:

- 1. Other Inputs include; septic tank sludge, industrial /commercial sludge, landfill leachate and any other sludge that is collected and added to the treatment plant.
- 2. <u>Sludge that is added to a dedicated sludge reception facility at a waste water treatment plant not included in Table 3.6.</u> Only include sludge which is added to the waste water treatment process stream. Enter zero where there are no inputs



Section 4. Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements

4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report

The storm water overflows (SWO) and emergency overflows (EO) that are maintained by South Dublin County Council on behalf of Irish Water are listed in Appendix 6.2 of this report.

One such overflow is located at Patrick Doyle Road. This overflow resides in the administrative area of Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council (DLRCC) and is included in the area of the agglomeration of that Local Authority.

Storm water overflows have been assessed as to their compliance with regard to the criteria contained in section 4 of the DoEHLG guidance document 'Procedures and Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows' viz. a viz. -

- 1. Causes significant visual or aesthetic impact and public complaints
- 2. Causes deterioration in water quality in the receiving water
- 3. Gives rise to failure in meeting the requirements of national regulations on foot of EU Directives (Bathing Waters, etc.)
- 4. Dry weather operation.

The Milltown CSO located at Patrick Doyle Road (which is denoted by the reference DLRCC_B5_R_05 in licence application and located 316783E, 230085N) has been noted to cause aesthetic impact following an overflow. This CSO is deemed to be not in compliance with the criteria as set out above.

All other overflows meet the criteria.

Table 4.1.1 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Signif- icance of the overflow (High / Medium / Low)	Compliance with DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2014 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2014 (m3)	Total volume discharged in 2014 (P.E.)	Estimated or Measured data
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a



Table 4.1.2 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m³/yr)?	n/a
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (p.e.)?	n/a
What % of the total volume of sewage generated in the agglomeration was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in 2014?	n/a
Is each SWO identified as non-compliant with <u>DoEHLG Guidance</u> included in the Programme of Improvements?	n/a
The SWO assessment includes the requirements of Schedule A3 & C3	n/a
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	n/a

4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

There are no special Improvement Programmes for the South Dublin South portion of the Ringsend agglomeration detailed in Schedules A3 and C of the licence.

The South Dublin improvement programme of upgrading and replacing equipment in 2014 was primarily confined to Phase 2 works at Quarryvale Pumping Station:

Pumping Station	Description of Works	Benefits
Quarryvale PS (Phase 2	Upgrade of pump station.	Modernise equipment,
Upgrade) – Completed	Replacement of 2 pumps,	more efficient pumping,
early 2014	general equipment	improved working
	upgrading, new odour	environment improved
	control unit installed,	site and plant security.
	improved security fencing	
	and access gate provided.	

An updated improvement programme is included in Appendix 6.3

South Dublin County Council, on behalf of Irish Water is implementing misconnection survey work to identify misconnections between the surface and foul drainage systems.



Table 4.2.1 - Specified Improvement Programme Summary

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Licence Schedule (A or C)	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works ((i) Not Started; (ii) At planning stage; (iii) Work ongoing on- site; (iv) Commissioning Phase; (v) Completed; (vi) Delayed)	% Construction Work Completed	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

Table 4.2.2 - Improvement Programme Summary

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description	Improvement Source	Progress (% completed)	Expected Completion Date
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Improvements identified above also include measures taken to prevent environmental damage anticipated following events or accidents/incidents associated with discharges or overflows from the waste water works and as such are considered to fulfil any Statement of Measures requirements (see Appendix 6.1).

Table 4.2.3 - Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment Tool Summary

The sewer network in South Dublin portion of the Ringsend agglomeration is sub-divided and managed as two catchments within the Agglomeration.

These catchments are the Dodder Valley Sewer (DVS) and the 9B sewer.

The details of the Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment are contained in Appendix 6.4 of this report and the following table summaries the outcome of that risk assessment as calculated by the EPA guidance document assessment tool.



The Improvement Programme should include an assessment of the integrity of the existing wastewater works for the following:	Risk Assessment Rating (High, Medium, Low)	Risk Assessment Score	Comment
Hydraulic Risk Assessment Score	MEDIUM RISK	73	
Environmental Risk Assessment Score	MEDIUM RISK	385	
Structural Risk Assessment Score	HIGH RISK	130	
Operation & Maintenance Risk Assessment Score	LOW RISK	60	
Overall Risk Score for the agglomeration	HIGH RISK	648	



Section 5. Licence Specific Reports

Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required in 2014 AER or outstanding from previous AER	Included in 2014 AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Priority Substances Assessment	No	No	
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	No	No	
Habitats Impact Assessment	No	No	
Shellfish Impact Assessment	No	No	
Pearl Mussel Report	No	No	
Toxicity/Leachate Management	No	No	
Toxicity of Final Effluent Report	No	No	

Licence Specific Reports Summary of Findings

Licence Specific Report	Recommendations in Report	Summary of Recommendations in Report
Priority Substances Assessment	None	Not applicable
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	Not required	Not applicable
Habitats Impact Assessment	Not required	Not applicable
Shellfish Impact Assessment	Not required	Not applicable
Pearl Mussel Report	Not required	Not applicable
Toxicity/Leachate Management	Not required	Not applicable
Toxicity of Final Effluent Report	Not required	Not applicable

5.1 Priority Substances Assessment

Not required under this portion of the Ringsend licence.



Table 5.1 - Priority Substance Assessment Summary

	Licensee self- assessment checks to determine whether all
	relevant information is included
	in the Assessment.
Does the assessment use the Desk Top Study Method or Screening	
Analysis to determine if the discharge contains the parameters in	n/a
Appendix 1 of the EPA guidance	·
Does the assessment include a review of Trade inputs to the works?	n/a
Does the assessment include a review of other inputs to the works?	n/a
Does the report include an assessment of the significance of the results	
where a listed material is present in the discharge? (e.g. impact on the	n/a
relevant EQS standard for the receiving water)	
Does the assessment identify that priority substances may be impacting	n/a
the receiving water?	11/4
Does the Improvement Programme for the agglomeration include the	
elimination / reduction of all priority substances identified as having an	n/a
impact on receiving water quality?	

5.2 Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment.

Not required under this portion of the Ringsend licence.

5.3 Shellfish Impact Assessment Report.

Not required under this portion of the Ringsend licence.

5.4 Toxicity / Leachate Management

Not required under this portion of the Ringsend licence.

5.5 Toxicity of the Final Effluent Report

Not required under this portion of the Ringsend licence.

5.6 Pearl Mussel Measures Report

Not required under this portion of the Ringsend licence.

5.7 Habitats Impact Assessment Report

Not required under this portion of the Ringsend licence.



Section 6. Appendix

Appendix 6.1 – Annual Statement of Measures

Appendix 6.2 – Storm Water Overflow Identification Report

Appendix 6.3 – Improvement Programme

Appendix 6.4 – Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment



Appendix 6.1

Annual Statement of Measures

The general nature of measures identified include:

- Preventative maintenance at local pumping stations which support reduced adverse WWTP impact and failure
- Enhanced local pumping station capacity complemented by reduced number of system chokes which
 will contribute to minimization of effluent spills by a range of measures which include replacement of
 key pumps by chopper type pumps to cope with the variety of material arriving at pumping station
 facilities
- Regular inspection of storage tanks will identify potential risk of leakage from same.



Appendix 6.2

Storm Water Overflow Identification Report

WWDL Code No.	Pumping Stations	Overflow	Volume M3/year	Days in operation per year	Comments
SDCCPS01	Lucan Spa PS	SDCCPS01a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS02	Lucan Low Level PS	SDCCPS02a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS03	Esker Lane PS	SDCCPS03a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS04	Quarryvale PS	SDCCPS04a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS05	Johnstown PS	SDCCPS05a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS06	Grange Castle PS	SDCCPS06a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS07	Ballymanagin PS	SDCCPS07a SDCCPS07b	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS08	Peamount PS	SDCCPS08a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS09	Newcastle PS	SDCCPS09a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS10	Tay Lane PS	SDCCPS10a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS11	Whitehall PS	SDCCPS11a	No data available	0	Emergency
SDCCPS12	Spawell PS	N/A – 3 Dwellings	No data available	0	3 Dwellings
SDCCPS13	King's Hospital PS	N/A	No data available		
SDCCPS14	Lynches Lane PS	N/A – Halting Site	No data available	0	Halting Site – New PS
SDCCPS15	Kishogue PS	N/A – Halting Site	No data available	0	Halting Site
SDCCPS16	St Brigids PS	N/A – 6 Dwellings	No data available	0	6 Dwellings
SDCCPS17	Belgard PS	N/A – Fire Station	No data available	0	Fire Stn
SDCCPS18	Ard Mor PS	N/A	No data available	0	
SDCCPS19	College Drive	N/A	No data available	0	Private
SDCCPS20	Dangan Park PS	Surface Water	No data available	0	S/W only
SDCCPS21	Tobermaclugg PS	SDCCPS21a	No data available	0	Emergency



WWDL Code No.	Pumping Stations	Overflow	Volume M3/year	Days in operation per year	Comments
SDCCPS22	Adamstown PS	Surface water	No data available	0	S/W only

WWDL Code No.	Siphons	Overflow	Volume M3/year	Days in operation per year	Comments
SDCCSN01	Lucan Siphon (Liffey) - St Eds Muncher	SDCCSN01a	No data available	<1	Emergency
SDCCSN02	Templeogue Siphon (Dodder)	N/A	No data available	0	
SDCCSN03	Owendoher Siphon	N/A	No data available	0	
SDCCSN04	UCD (Belfield) Siphon	N/A	No data available	0	
SDCCSN05	Ringsend Siphon	N/A	No data available	0	
SDCCSN06	Kilvere	SDCCSN06a	No data available	0	Emergency

WWDL Code No.	Overflows	Overflow	Volume M3/year	Days in operation per year	Comments
SDCCSW015	Milltown Overflow	SDCCSW015	No data available		Emergency
SDCCSWO01	Perrystown Tank	SDCCSWO01	No data available	<1	Emergency
SDCCSWO02	Treepark Road	SDCCSWO02	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO03	Airton Road	SDCCSWO03	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO04	Avonmore Road	SDCCSWO04	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO05	Brookfield Cottage	SDCCSWO05a SDCCSWO05b	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO06	Harris Trucks	SDCCSWO06	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO07	St Peter's Road	SDCCSW007	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO08	Castle View Road	SDCCSWO08	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO09	Aylmer Road	SDCCSWO09	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO10	Kimmage Road West	SDCCSWO10	No data available	<5	
SDCCSWO11	Springfield Avenue	SDCCSW011	No data	<5	





WWDL Code No.	Overflows	Overflow	Volume M3/year	Days in operation per year	Comments
			available		
SDCCSWO12	Loreto Terrace	SDCCSWO12	No data	4 F	
3DCC3VVO12	Loreto Terrace	available	available	<5	
SDCCSWO13	Oldcourt Manor	SDCCSWO13	No data	<5	
3000344013	Oldcodi (Wialioi	3DCC3VVO13	available	\3	
SDCCSWO14	Stewarts Hospital	SDCCSWO14	No data	<5	
3000377014	Stewarts Hospital	3DCC3VVO14	available		
SDCCSW016	Glenvara	SDCCSW016	No data	<5	
300030010	Gierivara	300030010	available		
SDCCSW017	Mount Carmel	SDCCSW017	No data	<5	
30003W017		3DCC3W017	available	\ \3	



Appendix 6.3

Improvement Programme

Updated Improvement Programme 2014

Pump Station	Description of Works	Benefits
Quarryvale PS (Phase 2 Upgrade) - Completed	Upgrade of pump station. Replacement of 2 pumps, general equipment upgrading, new odour control unit installed, improved security fencing and access gate provided.	Modernise equipment, more efficient pumping, improved working environment, improved site and plant security.
Dodder Valley Trunk Sewer (DVS)-Completed	Diverted combined sewers from Butterfield Avenue at Kilvere Estate and Rathfarnham Shopping Centre to the DVS.	To prevent surcharge at manholes on Butterfield Ave. during heavy rain.
FOG (Fats, Oil & Grease) Programme - On going	Licensing all Food Outlets i.e. restaurants, take-away food- outlets, canteens, etc	To prevent blockages and overflows in the foul sewerage network.

Planned Improvement Works in 2015

Pumping Station	Description of Works	Benefits
Lucan Low Level PS – in progress	Replacement of Pumps (Screw Type Impeller Pump).	Help prevent clogging at Lucan Low Level. Original Pump 2 installed 1992.
Newcastle PS –Pump ordered - in progress	Installation of Pump 5 (Screw Type Impeller Pump).	Help prevent pump clogging at Newcastle
Whitehall Road PS – Unit ordered - in progress.	Installation of Odour Control Unit	Reduce/Eliminate Odour coming from wet-well reaching nearby residential properties.
Tobbermaclug PS – SCADA upgrade – System ordered - in progress	New HMI to be installed to replace desktop PC.	Enhance telemetry reliability



APPENDIX 6.4

Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment

- (i) 9B incl Strawberry Beds and Palmerston Catchment
- (ii) DVS incl Walkinstown Kimmage Templeogue Catchment



(i) <u>9B incl Strawberry Beds and Palmerston Catchment</u>

	Section 2.1 Hydraulic Risk Assessment							
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
2.1	Has a Hydraulic Performance Assessment been undertaken for the Sewer Network (e.g., Computer Model or other Engineering Design or Design Review)	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of developing a computer model or engineering design assessment of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 2.1.1 to 2.1.4 inclusive			
2.1.1	If Answer to Query 2.1 is Yes, what % of the Network is covered by the hydraulic assessment ?	100%	0		The % coverage of the Network by the Hydraulic Assessment can be estimated by the area assessed against the area served by the Network. ENTER "N/A" IF COMPUTER MODEL or DESIGN DOES NOT EXIST. DO NOT LEAVE BLANK OR ENTER "0".			
2.1.2	How many years has it been since the completion of the hydraulic assessment ?	5 to 10	3		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.			
2.1.3	Are the outcomes of the Hydraulic Assessment being implemented ?	No	5		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.			
2.1.4	How many years has it been since the outcomes of the hydraulic assessment have been implemented ?	Never	5		Select N/A response if no hydraulic performance assessment or design exists. For onging works select "less than 5".			
2.2	Has a Dynamic Computer Model been used to Assess the Hydraulic Performance of the Sewer Network ?	Yes	0		Computer Model means a Hydroworks/Infoworks Model, Micro-Drainage Model or equivalent.			
2.3	Has a Manhole Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Manhole Location Surveys and the Production of Record Maps" ?	No	10		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Manhole Survey and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.2.1			
2.3.1	If yes, how many years has it been since the survey was undertaken or updated?	more than 10	0		Select N/A if no Manhole Survey has been undertaken. Enter N/A value for Confidence Grade if Prompt Box is "N/A"			
2.4	Has a Flow Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "A Guide to Short Term Flow Surveys of Sewer Systems" and "Contract Documents for Short Term Sewer Flows" ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Flow Monitoring Survey and complete Query 2.12			
2.5	What was this Flow Survey Information Used for ?							





2.5.1	To Determine the extent of Problematic Sewer Catchments	Yes	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.		
2.5.2	To Verify a Computer or Mathematical Model of the Network	Yes	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.		
2.6	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term capacity of the sewer network ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the Future Needs of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.5		
2.7	How many flood events resulting from surcharge in the network have occurred in the past 3 years?	more than 6	10		Flood events in this context means water/sewage backing up from the Network causing flooding of properties or causing disruption of traffic		
2.8	Are there deficiencies in performance criteria within the sewer network ?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , Proceed to Query 2.10 and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.9		
2.9	Have the causes of these deficiencies in the Performance Criteria been identified and rectified ?	No	10		If the answer is No, consider further examination of the hydraulic model (if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.10		
2.10	Gan the Hydraulic Assessment (defined in Query 2.1 above) be used to determine the benefit of reducing the contributory impermeable Areas or extent of surface water contributions	Yes	0		If the answer is No , consider further development of the Hydraulic Assessment (or model if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.11		
2.11	Has an Impermeable Area Survey been carried out for the agglomeration or parts of the agglomeration ?	No	10		If the answer is No, consider the need and cost benefit of undertaking an Impermeable Survey for parts of the agglomeration which are under hydraulic pressure and complete Query 2.12.		
	Total Risk Assessme	nt Score (RAS)	73				
2.12	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents						
2.13	In the AER provide Summary of Proposed Works or Direction to be taken to improve hydraulic efficiency						

Part 2 South Dublin County Council Functional Area



	Section 3.1 Environmental Risk Assessment							
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
3.1	What Environmental or Discharge Quality Data is available with regard to the sewer network ?	largely anecdotal	20		Select N/A if no discharges, secondary discharges or overflows from network; if discharges do exist complete Query 3.12			
3.1.1	Do trade effluents discharge to the sewer network?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , proceed to Query 3.1.2. If the answer is Yes , Proceed to Query 3.2			
3.1.2	Are there Storm Water Overflows within the network ?	Yes	20		If the answer is No. proceed to Query 3.1.3. If the answer is Yes, Proceed to Query 3.3			
3.1.3	Are there Secondary Discharges within the network (excluding Emergency Overflows at Pump Stations)?	No	0		If the answer is No, proceed to Query 3.1.4.			
3.1.4	is there any evidence that exfiltration is occurring from the network ?	Unknown	20		If the answer is No. does all wastewater enter a wastewater treatment plant (insert summary details in the AER/? If Yes, Proceed to Query 3.6			
3.2	If Answer to Guery 3.1.1 is "Yes", what % of trade, effluents have a licence to Discharge to the Public Sewer?	>90%	#VALUE!		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.1.1 is No. If not all trade effleunts are licenced, Local Authority should consider issuing and controlling such discharges under the appropriate Legislation.			
3.2.1	Are all licenced trade Discharges compliant with their relevant licence and associated conditions	Yes	0		Answer N/A if none of the trade effluents are licenced. Answer No if this information is unknown. If the answer is Unknown or No , consider issuing a direction to the relevant Licencee. If the answer is Yes , no further action is needed.			
3.2.2	If Answer to Query 3.2.1 is "No", state what % of Trade Discharges are NOT compilant with their relevant, licence and associated conditions (where that non- compilance led to enforcement action)	0 - 10%	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.2.1 is Yes. If N/A is selected as answer to Query 3.2.2			
3.3	In accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows", what % of storm water overflows in the system have been classified for their significance?	<25%	50		If the answer is No , consider a review of each discharge within the sewer network complete and Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes , proceed to Query 3. 6			
3.4	Have samples from any Secondary Discharges within the system been analysed ?	Yes	0		Select N/A if no secondary discharges in system. If the answer to Query 3.4 is No, consider examining the quality of each secondary discharge within the sewer network complete Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query			
3.5	What percentage of discharges from the system are known to cause environmental pollution of the receiving waters?	11 - 20%	#VALUE!		If the answer is greater than 50% then detail, in the AER, the Improvement Programme necessary to reduce this percentage.			
3.6	In relation to possible exfiltration has a risk analysis of ground water contamination or pollution been, undertaken 2	No	20		is No, consider undertaking ground water risk analysis and complete Query 3.12			
3.6.1	If Answer to Query 3.6 is "Yes", have any groundwater aquifers been identified in the area of the Network and/or Discharge Points?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
3.6.2	If Answer to Query 3.6.1 is "Yes", state the classification of groundwater aquifer identified in the area?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
3.6.3	in relation to Query 3.6.1, is the aguifer used as a source for Public, Private or Group Water Supply Schemes?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
3.7	Has an Impact Assessment of each Storm Water Overflow been undertaken in accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows" including setting performance criteria?	No	40		If the answer is No, consider assessing the risk category of the receiving waters. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query 3.5 and provide summary details of the assessment in the AER.			
3.5	What percentage of storm water overflows comply with the performance criteria referred to in Query 3.7?	0 - 10%	55		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.7 is No or if there are no SWOs in system. (Risk Score is locked at 0 if no SWOs in system is stated in Agglomeration Details)			
3.9	Have the causes of these Capacity Deficiencies (storm water overflows & Secondary Discharges) been identified ?	Yes	0		no SWOs in system. If the answer to Query 3.9 is No, consider further examination of the environmental model			
	Total Risk Assessment Score (RAS) #VALUE!							
3.10	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade Implementation Plan	In the AER Attack	h Assessment	of Needs and Reh	abilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents			
3.11	Provide Summary Details (in the AER) of records upstream details can be	and downstream of included as part of						

24 | Irish Water



	Section 4.1 Structural Risk Assessment							
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
4.1	Has a CCTV Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Sewer Condition Inspections" and "Manual of Sewer Condition Classification" ?	No	10		If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking CCTV Survey. If Yes Proceed to Query 4.2			
4.1.1	How many years has it been since the completion of the OCTV Survey?	N/A	0		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "N/A" response			
4.2	What was this CCTV Survey Information Used for?	N/A	10		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.1 is NO.			
4.3	Has the CCTV Survey been used to Assess the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network or targeted sections of the Sewer Network?	Yes	0		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "No" response. If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking an assessment of the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Q			
4.4	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term structural condition of the sewer network ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, enter "unknown" in response to Queries 4.4.1 to 4.4.5; consider assessing the Future Needs of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 4			
4.4.1	What % of the Total Sewer Length contains Collapsed or Imminent Collapse of Sewers (Grade 5)	unknown	30		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 5 collapse, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
4.4.2	What % of Total Sewer Length contains Sewers Likely to Collapse (Grade 4)	unknown	25		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 4 condition, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
4.4.3	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Further Possible Deterioration (Grade 3)	unknown	10		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 3 deterioration, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If Information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
4.4.4	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Minimal Collapse (Grade 2)	unknown	5		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 2 feature, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If Information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
4.4.5	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers of Acceptable Structural Condition (Grade 1)	unknown	5		Insert Percentage of Overali Network Length. If Information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
If al	1% lengths are known, Check Total Length = 100%		75		If answers to Queries 4.4.1, 4.4.2 or 4.4.3 are above a set level, the RAS for Query 4 is automitically set at the maximum of 140.			
4.5	What % of the deficiencies, as detailed in items 4.4.1. 4.4.2 and 4.4.3, have been rectified ?	N/A	35		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.4 is No. if the answer is No. Proceed to Query 4.6 if the answer is Yes , what monitoring is in place to ensure continued acceptance of structural condition? Proceed to Query 4.7			
4.6	Have the causes of the Structural Deficiencies (Grades 3, 4 and 5) been identified or is there a Preventative Maintenance Programme in place?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider further examination of the sewer network, the structural loading conditions, gradients and possible H ₂ S Formation. If Yes completed Query 4.7			
	Total Risk As	sessment Score (RAS)	130					

4.7	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Rehabilitation Implementation Plan	In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents
-----	---	--

Part 2 South Dublin County Council Functional Area



	Section 5.1 O&M Risk Assessment								
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken				
5.1	Are complaints of an environmental nature recorded and held in a central database?	Yes	0		Consider setting up Central Database for Complaints				
5.2	Is there an emergency response procedure in place?	Yes	0		Consider setting up target response times for dealing with Complaints				
5.3	What has been the highest frequency of flooding in the network due to hydraulic inadequacy, over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	4		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.				
5.4	What has been the highest frequency of flooding in the network due to operational causes over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.				
5.5	What has been the highest frequency of surcharging of critical sewers in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.				
5.6	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents in the network, over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	2		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.				
5.7	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents due to discharges, for whatever reason, from Pumping Station Emergency Overflows in the network, over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	2		Select the highest number of events at any given Pumping Station in any 12 month period.				
5.8	What has been the highest frequency of blockages In sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	0.05 - 0.1/km/yr	12		Select the highest number of events per km of sewer network in any 12 month period.				
5.9	What has been the highest frequency of collapses In sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	4		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.				
5.10	What has been the highest frequency of bursts in rising mains in the network over the past 5 years?	None	0	-	Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.				
	Total Risk Ass	essment Score (RAS)	64						

5.11



(ii) DVS incl Walkinstown Kimmage Templeogue Catchment

	Section	2.1 Hydrau	lic Risk A	ssessment	
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken
2.1	Has a Hydraulic Performance Assessment been undertaken for the Sewer Network (e.g., Computer Model or other Engineering Design or Design Review)	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of developing a computer model or engineering design assessment of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 2.1.1 to 2.1.4 inclusive
2.1.1	If Answer to Query 2.1 is Yes, what % of the Network is covered by the hydraulic assessment ?	100%	0		The % coverage of the Network by the Hydraulic Assessment can be estimated by the area assessed against the area served by the Network. ENTER "N/A" IF COMPUTER MODEL or DESIGN DOES NOT EXIST. DO NOT LEAVE BLANK OR ENTER "0".
2.1.2	How many years has it been since the completion of the hydraulic assessment ?	5 to 10	3		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.
2.1.3	Are the outcomes of the Hydraulic Assessment being implemented ?	No	5		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.
2.1.4	How many years has it been since the outcomes of the hydraulic assessment have been implemented ?	Never	5		Select N/A response if no hydraulic performance assessment or design exists. For onging works select "less than 5".
2.2	Has a Dynamic Computer Model been used to Assess the Hydraulic Performance of the Sewer Network ?	Yes	0		Computer Model means a Hydroworks/Infoworks Model, Micro-Drainage Model or equivalent.
2.3	Has a Manhole Survey been undertaken in, accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Manhole Location Surveys and the Production of Record Maps" 7	No	10		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Manhole Survey and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.2.1
2.3.1	If yes, how many years has it been since the survey was undertaken or updated?	more than 10	0		Select N/A if no Manhole Survey has been undertaken. Enter N/A value for Confidence Grade if Prompt Box is "N/A"
2.4	Has a Flow Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "A Guide to Short Term Flow Surveys of Sewer Systems" and "Contract Documents for Short Term Sewer Flows" ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Flow Monitoring Survey and complete Query 2.12. If answer is Yes Proceed to Query 2.5





_							
2.5	What was this Flow Survey Information Used for ?						
2.5.1	To Determine the extent of Problematic Sewer Catchments	Yes	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.		
2.5.2	To Verify a Computer or Mathematical Model of the Network	Yes	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.		
2.6	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term capacity of the sewer network ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the Future Needs of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.8		
2.7	How many flood events resulting from surcharge in the network have occurred in the past 3 years?	more than 6	10		Flood events in this context means water/sewage backing up from the Network causing flooding of properties or causing disruption of traffic		
2.8	Are there deficiencies in performance criteria within the sewer network ?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , Proceed to Query 2.10 and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.9		
2.9	Have the causes of these deficiencies in the Performance Criteria been identified and rectified ?	No	10		If the answer is No , consider further examination of the hydraulic model (if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.10		
2.10	Gan the Hydraulic Assessment (defined in Query 2.1 above) be used to determine the benefit of reducing the contributory innormeable Areas or extent of gurface water contributions	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider further development of the Hydraulic Assessment (or model if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.11		
2.11	Has an Impermeable Area Survey been carried out for the agglomeration or parts of the agglomeration ?	No	10		if the answer is No, consider the need and cost benefit of undertaking an Impermeable Survey for parts of the agglomeration which are under hydraulic pressure and complete Query 2.12.		
	Total Risk Assessme	ent Score (RAS)	73				
2.12	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade Implementation Plan	In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents					
2.13	In the AER provide Summary of Proposed Works or Direction to be taken to improve hydraulic efficiency						

Part 2 South Dublin County Council Functional Area



	Section 3.1 Environmental Risk Assessment							
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
3.1	What Environmental or Discharge Quality Data is available with regard to the sewer network ?	largely anecdotal	20		Select N/A if no discharges, secondary discharges or overflows from network; if discharges do exist complete Query 3.12			
3.1.1	Do trade effluents discharge to the sewer network?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , proceed to Query 3.1.2. If the answer is Yes , Proceed to Query 3.2			
3.1.2	Are there Storm Water Overflows within the network ?	Yes	20		If the answer is No, proceed to Query 3.1.3. If the answer is Yes, Proceed to Query 3.3			
3.1.3	Are there Secondary Discharges within the network (excluding Emergency Overflows at Pump Stations)?	No	0		If the answer is No, proceed to Query 3.1.4.			
3.1.4	Is there any evidence that exfiltration is occurring from the network ?	Unknown	20		If the answer is No. does all wastewater enter a wastewater treatment plant (insert summary details in the AER)? If Yes, Proceed to Query 3.6			
3.2	If Answer to Query 3.1.1 is "Yes", what % of trade, effluents have a licence to Discharge to the Public. Sewer ?	>90%	#VALUE!		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.1.1 is No. if not all trade efficients are licenced, Local Authority should consider issuing and controlling such discharges under the appropriate Legistation.			
3.2.1	Are all licenced trade Discharges compilant with their relevant licence and associated conditions	Yes	0		Answer N/A if none of the trade effluents are licenced. Answer No if this information is unknown. If the answer is Unknown or No, consider issuing a direction to the relevant Licence. If the answer is Yes, no further action is needed.			
3.2.2	If Answer to Query 3.2.1 is "No", state what % of Trade <u>Discharges are NOT compilant with their relevant</u> , <u>licence and associated conditions (where that non-compilance led to enforcement action)</u>	0 - 10%	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.2.1 is Yes. If N/A is selected as answer to Query 3.2.2			
3.3	in accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Griteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows", what % of storm water overflows in the system have been classified for their significance?	<25%	50		If the answer is No , consider a review of each discharge within the sewer network complete and Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes , proceed to Query 3. 6			
3.4	Have samples from any Secondary Discharges within the system been analysed ?	Yes	0		Select N/A if no secondary discharges in system. If the answer to Query 3.4 is No, consider examining the quality of each secondary discharge within the sewer network complete Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query			
3.5	What percentage of discharges from the system are known to cause environmental pollution of the receiving waters ?	11 - 20%	#VALUE!		If the answer is greater than 50% then detail, in the AER, the Improvement Programme necessary to reduce this percentage.			
3.6	In relation to possible exfiltration has a risk analysis of ground water contamination or pollution been undertaken ?	No	20		Is No, consider undertaking ground water risk analysis and complete Query 3.12			
3.6.1	If Answer to Query 3.6 is "Yes", have any groundwater aquifers been identified in the area of the Network and/or Discharge Points?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
3.6.2	If Answer to Query 3.6.1 is "Yes", state the classification of groundwater aquifer identified in the area?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
3.6.3	In relation to Query 3.6.1, is the aguifer used as a source for Public, Private or Group Water Supply Schemes?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
3.7	Has an impact Assessment of each Storm Water. Overflow been undertaken in accordance with the DOEHLS paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows" including setting performance criteria?	No	40		If the answer is No , consider assessing the risk category of the receiving waters. If the answer is Yes , proceed to Query 3.5 and provide summary details of the assessment in the AER.			
3.5	What percentage of storm water overflows comply with the performance criteria referred to in Query 3.7?	0 - 10%	55		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.7 is No or if there are no SWOs in system. (Risk Score is locked at 0 if no SWOs in system is stated in Aggiomeration Details)			
3.9	Have the causes of these Capacity Deficiencies (storm water overflows & Secondary Discharges) been identified ?	Yes	0		no SWOs in system. If the answer to Query 3.9 is No, consider further examination of the environmental model			
3.10	Total Risk Assessment Score (RAS) #VALUE! Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade. Implementation Plan as separate documents In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents							
3.11	Implementation Plan Provide Summary Details (in the AER) of records upstream and downstream of licenced discharges with regard to Environmental Performance of the network. These							

Part 2 South Dublin County Council Functional Area



4.1 with WRc Docu	Description The state of the s	Prompt No	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken
4.1 with WRc Docu	umentation "Model Contract Document andition inspections" and "Manual of er Condition Classification" ?	No			
	s has it been since the completion of the		10		If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking CCTV Survey. If Yes Proceed to Query 4.2
4.1.1 How many year	CCTV Survey?	N/A	0		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "N/A" response
4.2 What was this	s CCTV Survey Information Used for?	N/A	10		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.1 is NO.
4.3 Structural	TV Survey been used to Assess the Condition of the Sewer Network or sections of the Sewer Network?	Yes	0		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "No" response. If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking an assessment of the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Q
4.4 determine the	rmance Criteria been developed to short, medium or long term structural dition of the sewer network ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, enter "unknown" in response to Queries 4.4.1 to 4.4.5; consider assessing the Future Needs of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 4
	Total Sewer Length contains Collapsed or ent Collapse of Sewers (Grade 5)	unknown	30		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 5 collapse, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
4.4.2 What % of Total	il Sewer Length contains Sewers Likely to Collapse (Grade 4)	unknown	25		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 4 condition, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
	otal Sewer Length contains sewers with r Possible Deterioration (Grade 3)	unknown	10		Insert Percentage of Overalli Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 3 deterioration, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
	otal Sewer Length contains sewers with Minimal Collapse (Grade 2)	unknown	6		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 2 feature, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
	otal Sewer Length contains sewers of tible Structural Condition (Grade 1)	unknown	ю		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length. If Information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
If all % lengths are	known, Check Total Length = 100%		75		If answers to Queries 4.4.1, 4.4.2 or 4.4.3 are above a set level, the RAS for Query 4 is automitically set at the maximum of 140.
	deficiencies, as detailed in Hems 4.4.1, and 4.4.3, have been rectified ?	N/A	35		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.4 is No. If the answer is No. Proceed to Query 4.6 If the answer is Yes , what monitoring is in place to ensure continued acceptance of structural condition? Proceed to Query 4.7
4.6 (Grades 3, 4	auses of the Structural Deficiencies 4 and 5) been identified or is there a 6 Maintenance Programme in place?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider further examination of the sewer network, the structural loading conditions, gradients and possible H ₂ S Formation. If Yes completed Query 4.7

4.7	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Rehabilitation Implementation Plan	In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents
-----	--	--



	;	Section 5.1 O&	// Risk As	sessment	
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken
5.1	Are complaints of an environmental nature recorded and held in a central database?	Yes	0		Consider setting up Central Database for Complaints
5.2	is there an emergency response procedure in place?	Yes	0		Consider setting up target response times for dealing with Complaints
5.3	What has been the highest frequency of flooding. In the network due to hydraulic inadequacy, over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	4		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.
5.4	What has been the highest frequency of flooding in the network due to operational causes over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.
5.5	What has been the highest frequency of surcharoling of critical sewers in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.
5.6	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents in the network, over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	2		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.
5.7	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents due to discharges, for whatever reason, from Pumping Station Emergency Overflows in the network, over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	2		Select the highest number of events at any given Pumping Station in any 12 month period.
5.8	What has been the highest frequency of blockages in sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	0.01 - 0.05/km/yr	8		Select the highest number of events per km of sewer network in any 12 month period.
5.9	What has been the highest frequency of collapses In sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	4		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.
5.10	What has been the highest frequency of bursts in rising mains in the network over the past 5 years?	None	0		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.
	Total Risk Ass	essment Score (RAS)	60		

5.11 Prepare Up Dated Operational and Maintenance



PART 3

Fingal County Functional Area



Annual Environmental Report 2014

Agglomeration Name:	Ringsend
Licence Register No.	D0034-01

PART 3

Fingal County Functional Area





Table of Contents

Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER	1
1.1 Summary report on 2014	1
Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary	2
2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring	2
2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration	2
2.3 Ambient monitoring summary	2
2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the Urban Waste Water Trea	itment
Directive	2
2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - report for previous year	2
Section 3 Operational Reports Summary	3
3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report	3
3.2 Treatment Capacity Report	3
3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report	3
3.4 Complaints Summary	4
3.5 Reported Incidents Summary	9
3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP	12
Not required for the Fingal County Council portion of the agglomeration.	12
Section 4. Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements	13
4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report	13
4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improve	ment
programme requirements.	15
Section 5. Licence Specific Reports	17
Section 6. Appendix	18



Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER

1.1 Summary report on 2014

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0034-01, Ringsend, in County Dublin in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. This Annual Environmental Report specifically relates to the Fingal County Council administrative area of the Ringsend agglomeration.

The Greater Dublin Area Agglomeration includes all of the geographical area of Dublin City Council and parts of Fingal County Council functional area, South Dublin County Council functional area, Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council functional area and Meath County Council functional area. The agglomeration is served by one waste water treatment plant (WWTP) at Ringsend (Ringsend Treatment Works) close to Dublin City centre.

The following improvement works were undertaken during 2014:-

- Regular sump cleaning,
- Routine maintenance, provision and monitoring of telemetry system, ongoing staff training, and
- Pump station improvements ongoing electrical panel reviews, control panel upgrades, chain replacements, alarm review.

An Annual Statement of Measures is included in Appendix 6.1.



Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

No influent monitoring was carried out in the Fingal portion of the agglomeration.

2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

No monitoring of secondary discharges was carried out or is required.

2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

There is no ambient monitoring to be carried out in the Fingal County Council administrative area.

2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive

No samples of the secondary discharges were required.

2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - report for previous year

No PRTR is required for the Fingal portion of the agglomeration.



Section 3 Operational Reports Summary

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

This is not required for the Fingal County Council portion of the agglomeration.

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report

This is not required for the Fingal County Council portion of the agglomeration.

3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

In this section Irish Water is required to report on the amount of urban waste water generated within the agglomeration. It does not include any waste water collected and treated in a private system and discharged to water under a Section 4 Licence issued under the Water Pollution Acts 1977 (as amended):

Table 3.3 - Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

	% of p.e. load generated in the agglomeration
Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network	100%
Load collected in the agglomeration that enters treatment plant	99.9%
Load collected in the sewer network but discharged without treatment	

Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network is the total load generated and collected in the municipal network within the boundary of the agglomeration.

Load collected in the agglomerations that enters treatment plant is that portion of the previous figure which enters the waste water treatment plant

Load collected but discharged without treatment is that portion of the first figure which is discharged without treatment.



3.4 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Table 3.4 - Complaints Summary Table:

Number	Date and time	Nature	Cause	Actions taken to Resolve	Closed
73,889	02/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Sewage Overflow at Castleknock Cottages	Jetter cleared choke Washed down and disinfected the road	RCLOSE
7,3890	02/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Sewage Overflow Main St Castleknock	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
73,920	02/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Sewage Overflow Porterstown Village	Main choke at Porterstown Village requiring jetter and a crew for clean-up.	RCLOSE
73,952	03/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Sewage Overflow Oak Grove Santry	Jetter to clear choke and clean up	RCLOSE
73,955	03/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Sewage Overflow Seagrange Baldoyle	Jetter to clear choke and Cleanup	RCLOSE
74,164	08/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Sewage Overflow Castleknock Road	Main Choke cleared	RCLOSE
74,246	08/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Sewer Choke Meadow Dale Hartstown	F/S Choke Jetter cleared the choke	RCLOSE
74,403	13/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	O/Flowing Manhole Blanchardstown	Jetter cleared the choke CCTV surveyed the sewer private drain also jetted private drain	RCLOSE
74246	14/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Manhole O/Flowing Hartstown	F/S cleared by Jetter	RCLOSE
74661	15/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer Blocked Castleknock Mews	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
75067	22/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/S Blocked Lahunda Dale Clonsilla	Jetter cleared Blockage	RCLOSE
75084	22/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/S Blocked Blanchardstown	Jetter cleared Blockage	RCLOSE
75120	23/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer BlockedThe Walk Clonee	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
75282	27/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Church Road Castleknock	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
75302	27/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer blockage Lohunda Downs Youth club	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
75316	27/01/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer Blockage College Road Castleknock	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE

^{4 |} Irish Water



Number	Date and time	Nature	Cause	Actions taken to Resolve	Closed
75880	04/02/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer choke Harbour Road Howth	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
79583	31/03/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer choke Sutton	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
79668	01/04/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F*/Sewer choke Seapark Malahide	Jetter cleared blockage and a wash down	RCLOSE
80280	08/04/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/S sluggish Delwood Blanchardstown	Jetter cleared the main	RCLOSE
80506	11/04/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer blocked Castleknock	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
80662	11/04/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer sluggish Hartstown	Jetter cleared mains	RCLOSE
81164	24/04/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer blocked Clonsilla	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
81321	28/04/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	Ff/Sewer blocked Clonsilla	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
81361	29/04/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer blocked Baldoyle	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
81363	29/04/2014	INV Sewage Flooding	F/Sewer blocked Clonsilla	Jetter cleared blockage	RCLOSE
20747280	07-May-14	INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) Email from customer asking for a crew to be sent to investigate a smell of sewerage down around the front of the gardens where the new water meters have just been installed.	Main Choke, Jetter cleared Sewer.	RCLOSE
21019322	10-Jun-14 11-Jun-14	INV Sewage Flooding INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) Main sewerage drain on road overflowing. (CLOB) Customer called to report sewage coming up onto the road across from his house, has been this way for the past two weeks. It is on the road outside number 23 and the smell is getting worse in the last few days, people are having to walk through it to get by.	Main Choke – Jetting carried out Main Choke – Jetting carried out	RCLOSE
21425497	22-Jul-14	INV Above Ground Waste Malodours	(CLOB) Smell coming from drains last few days in the park. Could LA go out to investigate?	Main Choke – Jetting carried out	RCLOSE
21452801	29-Jul-14	INV Above Ground Waste Malodours	(CLOB) The customer advises that there is a very bad smell in the area for the last few days	Main Choke – Jetting carried out	RCLOSE
21555549	13-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) sewage from mains backing up threw there pipes from Sunday.	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
21555580	13-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	Flooding in rear garden Surcharge due to heavy rain. carried out where needed.		RCLOSE
21555587	13-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	Report of surcharging sewer	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE



Number Date and time		Nature	Cause	Actions taken to Resolve	Closed
21555595	13-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	Report of overflow of sewerage.	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
21555604	13-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	Report of overflow of sewerage.	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
21555605	13-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	Report of overflow of sewerage.	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
21555607	13-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	Report of sewerage overflow.	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
21591497	27-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) Fingal Co. Co Swords, DrainageSt Lawerance Rd. Howth. Drainage issue. Manhole overflowing.	Work completed on Monday 25_8_14 @ 1820hrs approx.	RCLOSE
21608233	29-Aug-14	INV Sewage Flooding	Rang to report a burst leak/sewerage in her estate, She said the water is gushing out of the manhole and there is a really horrible smell in the estate over this. They only notice it this morning.	Main Choke – Jetting carried out	RCLOSE
<u></u>	237108 11	INV Above Ground	(CLOB) Customer called about a possible blocked drain, out on the main road, unblocked a few weeks ago by Fingal co co. Customer said there is a smell again, on & off, but it is getting worse and is now a	municipal setting curried out	NOLUGE
21725562	08-Sep-14	Waste Malodours	serious issue as they have a newborn.	Main Choke – Jetting carried out	RCLOSE
21843262	25-Sep-14	INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) broken sewer mains in the Howth area in maintstree. health and safety issue. needs urgent attention	Connection repaired	RCLOSE
2220566	13-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) Eithne called in to report a sewer flooding at the end of their cul-de-sac. They need to get someone out asap to resolve this.	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
22205709	13-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) customer rang too report an overflowing manhole cover outside his property raw sewage is flooding out.	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
2205736	13-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) Manhole overflowing in the garden. Sewerage is rising constantly and flooding the front of the garden coming from the mains sewer manhole.	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
2203/30	13-INUV-14	iivv Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) Cllr called to report the manhole cover has lifted outside 3 Portersgate Grove, Clonsilla, Dublin	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up	RCLOSE
22205754	13-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	15 and there is sewage flowing out onto the street.	carried out where needed.	RCLOSE



Number	Date and time	Nature	Cause	Actions taken to Resolve	Closed
			(CLOB) called to report a blocked sewer in her		
			backgarden that is overflowing due to the heavy		
			rainfall at the moment. There is a terrible smell in		
			both the inside and outside of the house and		
			sewerage is about 3 foot away from her backdoor.		
			Toilet is also causing problems, not sure if		
			neighbours are effected but she asked them not to		
			flush their toilet as it will come up in her back		
			garden. Would like someone to come and investigate		
			asap before it gets worse. Blockage is on the beach,		
22205777	13-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	ongoing issue in area - Fingal are aware of the issue.	Main Choke – Jetting carried out	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) The sewer is over flowing in the customers		
			back garden next door is also flooded the pipes are	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up	
22205828	13-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	blocked up. Needs to be unblocked ASAP.	carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) A sewer flooding in the estate. Sewage is		
22205846			pouring out onto the road and they need someone	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up	
	13-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	to come out and investigate this as soon as possible.	carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) Reporting sewer over flowing from the		
			property on the footpath - not known if this is a foul		
			or storm - this is continuing after the rain has	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up	
22206049	13-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	stopped for 2 hours.	carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) Customer called to report a sewer drain		
			overflowing and gushing filthy water down the street		
			causing a smell and a health hazard. The drain is in		
			between numbers 7-9. She would like this overflow		
22206769	14-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	attended to asap.	Main Choke – Jetting carried out	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) Councillor is reporting Sewage flooding on		
			the side of the road. Issue is on Harts Town Road,		
			across from the Harts Town Park on the junction of		
			the Ongar Link Road. Has had multiple complaints	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up	
22206822	14-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	from residents in the area as sewage is everywhere.	carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) The landlord of riverside complex, to report a		
			leaking sewer line and a leaking water line. She says		
			the Tolka river has caused this and the water line		
			was flooded an underground carpark. The burst		
			sewer line is at the side of the building and is flowing		
			closely to the riverside medical centre so they	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up	
22207053	14-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	urgently need to get someone out to resolve this.	carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) Caller rang in to report that there is raw		
			sewer overflowing in the Inglewood area. She stated		
			that the lids are after being raised and that sewer is	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up	
22207063	14-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	after flowing out. There are two man hole that are	carried out where needed.	RCLOSE



Number	Date and time	Nature	Cause	Actions taken to Resolve	Closed
			raised. One in Inglewood estate and another		
			opposite Inglewood across Harts Park. Needs to be		
			looked into as soon as possible. Health and safety		
			issue.		
			(CLOB) raw sewerage is flooding onto road - coming		
			from a sewer. Would like someone to come out and	Surcharge due to heavy rain. Clean up	
22207406	14-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	investigate.	carried out where needed.	RCLOSE
22210361	17-Nov-14	INV Sewage Flooding	(CLOB) Cllr rang with regard to break in sewer line	Jetting and CCTV carried out	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) ongoing issue he has been having in his area		
			with the main sewerage line. At the moment there is		
			raw sewerage coming up from the manhole covers		
			and sewers flowing onto the roads in his area. Fingal		
			county council are out at the moment cleaning up		
			but they to contact Irish water to get this problem	Jetting and Root cutter attended to clear	
22457200	17-Dec-14	INV Sewage Flooding	rectified one and for all.	mains.	RCLOSE
			(CLOB) Customer calling to report raw sewage		
			coming out of the manhole outside his property. This		
22504299	22-Dec-14	INV Sewage Flooding	is the side lane next to his house.	Main Choke – Jetting carried out	RCLOSE



3.5 Reported Incidents Summary

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

Table 3.5.1 - Summary of Incidents

Incident Type	Incident Description	Cause	No. of incidents	Corrective Action	Authorities Contacted Note 1	Reported to EPA (Yes/No)	Closed (Y/N)
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	None	Yes	Yes
SWO	Howth Harbour carpark storm tank overflowed to both NDDS and Harbour	Weather	1	None	Irish Water	Yes	Yes
Plant failure	Portmarnock Bridge PS overflowed.	Pump trip	1	All High Sump alarms to be investigated.	Irish Water, Fisheries	Yes	Yes
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	EPA,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI	Yes	Yes
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	None	Yes	Yes
SWO	Portmarnock Bridge PS overflowed.	Adverse weather	1	None	EPA,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI	Yes	Yes
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	EPA,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI	Yes	No
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA	Yes	Yes
Plant failure	Baldoyle Village PS overflowed	Ragging/ blocking of pumps	1	Pumps lifted, blockage cleared and pumps re-set	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA	Yes	Yes
Plant failure	Santry PS overflowed	Pump Failure	1	Pump 1 re-set, Pump 2 replaced	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA	Yes	Yes
SWO	Santry PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW	Yes	Yes
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI, Inland Fisheries	Yes	Yes
SWO	Baldoyle Village PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA	Yes	Yes
SWO	Santry PS overflowed on two separate occasions	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, FSAI	Yes	Yes



Incident Type	Incident Description	Cause	No. of incidents	Corrective Action	Authorities Contacted Note 1	Reported to EPA (Yes/No)	Closed (Y/N
SWO	Sutton PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, FSAI	Yes	Yes
SWO	Deerpark PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, FSAI	Yes	Yes
SWO	Blanchardstown PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW	Yes	Yes
Plant failure	Connolly PS and Kinsealy PS overflowed	Pump Failure	1	Pumps cleaned and re-set	IW,BIM, MI, FSAI	Yes	Yes
SWO	Santry PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW. Inland Fisheries. Dept of Agriculture.	Yes	No
SWO	Santry PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW. Inland Fisheries. Dept of Agriculture.	Yes	No
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed on seven separate occasions between 03/10/14 and 08/10/14	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI, Inland Fisheries, Dept of Agriculture	Yes	Yes
Plant failure	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Pump tripped	1	Pump re-set	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI, Inland Fisheries, Dept of Agriculture	Yes	Yes
SWO	Baldoyle Village PS overflowed (also 5 minute overflow from 04/10/14 mentioned)	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI, Inland Fisheries, Dept of Agriculture	Yes	Yes
SWO	Baldoyle Road PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI, Inland Fisheries, Dept of Agriculture	Yes	No
swo	Deer Park PS overflowed (2 brief overflows during this period)	SWO- exceptional rainfall, overflow expected	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI, Inland Fisheries, Dept of Agriculture	Yes	Yes
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI, Inland Fisheries, Dept of Agriculture	Yes	Yes
SWO	Portmarnock Strand PS overflowed	Adverse weather	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI, Inland Fisheries, Dept of Agriculture	Yes	Yes



Incident Type	Incident Description	Cause	No. of incidents	Corrective Action	Authorities Contacted Note 1	Reported to EPA (Yes/No)	Closed (Y/N
SWO	Portmarnock Bridge	Adverse	1	None	IW. Inland Fisheries. Dept	Yes	Yes
	PS overflowed	weather			of Agriculture.		
SWO	Portmarnock Bridge	Adverse	1	None	IW. Inland Fisheries. Dept	Yes	Yes
	PS overflowed	weather			of Agriculture.		
SWO	Santry PS overflowed	Adverse	1	None	IW. Inland Fisheries. Dept	Yes	Yes
		weather			of Agriculture.		
SWO	Santry PS overflowed	Adverse	1	None	IW. Inland Fisheries. Dept	Yes	Yes
		weather			of Agriculture.		
Plant failure	Portmarnock Bridge	Pump Failure	1	Pumps repaired	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI,	Yes	Yes
	PS overflowed			and re-set	Inland Fisheries, Dept of		
					Agriculture		
Plant failure	Portmarnock Bridge	Pump Failure	1	Pumps repaired	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI,	Yes	Yes
	PS overflowed			and re-set	Inland Fisheries, Dept of		
					Agriculture		
SWO	Portmarnock Strand	Adverse	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI,	Yes	Yes
	PS overflowed	weather			Inland Fisheries, Dept of		
					Agriculture		
SWO	Manhole in	Adverse	1	Excess water	IW. Inland Fisheries. Dept	Yes	Yes
	Mulhuddart carpark	weather		pumped to River	of Agriculture.		
	surcharged during			Tolka			
	heavy rainfall causing						
	carpark to flood						
SWO	Portmarnock Strand	Adverse	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI,	Yes	Yes
	PS overflowed	weather			Inland Fisheries, Dept of		
					Agriculture		
SWO	Baldoyle Village PS	Adverse	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI,	Yes	Yes
	overflowed	weather			Inland Fisheries, Dept of		
					Agriculture		
SWO	Portmarnock Strand	Adverse	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI,	Yes	Yes
	PS overflowed	weather			Inland Fisheries, Dept of		
					Agriculture		
SWO	Portmarnock Bridge	Adverse	1	None	IW,BIM, MI, SFPA, FSAI,	Yes	Yes
	PS overflowed	weather			Inland Fisheries, Dept of		
					Agriculture		
SWO	Dubber Cross PS	Adverse	1	None	IW. Inland Fisheries. Dept	Yes	Yes
	overflowed	weather			of Agriculture.		



Table 3.5.2 - Summary of Overall Incidents

Number of Incidents in 2014	40
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2014	40
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	n/a

3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

Not required for the Fingal County Council portion of the agglomeration.



Section 4. Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements

4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report

The Storm Water Overflow Identification & Inspection report is not required for Swords agglomeration.

Table 4.1.1 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

WWDL	Irish Grid	Include	Significance	Compliance	No. of	Total	Total	Estimated
Name /	Ref.	d in	of the	with	times	volume	volume	/Measured
Code for		Schedul	overflow	DoEHLG	activated	discharged	discharged	data
Storm		e A4 of	(High /	Criteria	in 2014	in 2014 (m ³)	in 2014	
Water		the	Medium /		(No. of		(P.E.)	
Overflow		WWDL	Low)		events)			
Fingal –	317088E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	4	320	320	E
SW21	240688N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	318083E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	1	40	40	E
SW22	241519N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	331227E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	0	0	0	E
SW23	241541N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	324686E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	13	1,300	1,300	E
SW26	240383N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	324837E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	2	200	200	E
SW27	239149N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	324858E,	A3	Not yet	Not yet	0	0	0	E
SW32	244368N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal	323560E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	0	0	0	E
SW33	242484N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	323855E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	4	160	160	E
SW34	243158N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	323969E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	1	40	40	E
SW35	241503N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	324179E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	0	0	0	E
SW37	240115N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal –	324387E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	2	80	80	E
SW38	239355N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	323228E.	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	0	0	0	E
SW39	239139N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	323086E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	0	0	0	E
SW40	239133N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	323299E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	1	40	40	E
SW41	238441N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	326312E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	0	0	0	E
SW42	238143N		assessed	assessed				
Fingal-	325886E,	Yes	Not yet	Not yet	10	400	400	E
SW43	239468N		assessed	assessed				



WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Include d in Schedu le A4 of the WWDL	Significance of the overflow (High / Medium / Low)	Complianc e with DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activate d in 2014 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2014 (m³)	Total volume discharge d in 2014 (P.E.)	Estimate d /Measure d data
Fingal- SW44	326155E, 239701N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	0	0	0	E
Fingal- SW45	327347E, 239672N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	0	0	0	E
Fingal- SW46	327789E, 239464N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	1	40	40	E
Fingal- SW47	328391E, 239452N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	6	480	480	E
Fingal- SW48	328800E, 239337N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	6	480	480	E
Fingal- SW49	328711E, 239308N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	0	0	0	E
Fingal- SW50	306076E, 243269N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	0	0	0	E
Fingal- SW51	308577E, 238545N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	8	640	640	E
Fingal- SW52	308318E, 238766N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	0	0	0	E
Fingal- SW53	309614E, 238262N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	0	0	0	E
Fingal- SW54	308007E, 238729N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	1	40	40	Е
Fingal- SW55	308950E, 237336N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	1	40	40	E
Fingal- SW56	306505E, 237441N	Yes	Not yet assessed	Not yet assessed	0	0	0	Е

Table 4.1.2 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m3/yr)?	Unknown
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (p.e.)?	Unknown
What % of the total volume of sewage generated in the agglomeration was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in 2014?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as non-compliant with <u>DoEHLG Guidance</u> included in the Programme of Improvements?	Not yet assessed
The SWO assessment includes the requirements of Schedule A3 & C3	Not yet assessed
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	N/A



4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

The Improvement Programme is included in Appendix 6.2.

The Improvement Programme report included in Appendix 6.2 addresses the **Specified Improvement Programmes** as detailed in Schedules A3 and C of the WWDL. It should detail other improvements identified through assessments required under the licence

Table 4.2.1 - Specified Improvement Programme Summary

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Licence Schedule (A or C)	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works ((i) Not Started; (ii) At planning stage; (iii) Work ongoing on- site; (iv) Commissioning Phase; (v) Completed; (vi) Delayed;)	% Construction Work Completed	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
Discharge S4Fingal to the Irish Sea to be discontinued	А	31/12/2011	Y	Completed	100%		
Discharge to cease: S5Fingal to the Irish Sea	А	27/10/2010	Y	At planning stage	0%	Unknown	Approved to move to the planning stage under the Portmarnock Drainage Scheme

There are no improvements identified under Condition 5.2.



Table 4.2.3 - Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment Tool Summary

Due to the nature of the agglomeration, two different Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment Tools have been completed.

4.2.3 (a) North Fringe Sewer Catchment

The Improvement Programme should include an assessment of the integrity of the existing wastewater works for the following:	Risk Assessment Rating (High, Medium, Low)	Risk Assessment Score	Comment
Hydraulic Risk Assessment Score	High	130	
Environmental Risk Assessment Score	Low	180	
Structural Risk Assessment Score	High	150	
Operation & Maintenance Risk Assessment Score	Medium	132	
Overall Risk Score for the agglomeration	High	592	

4.2.3 (b) 9C Catchment

The Improvement Programme should include an assessment of the integrity of the existing wastewater works for the following:	Risk Assessment Rating (High, Medium, Low)	Risk Assessment Score	Comment
Hydraulic Risk Assessment Score	Medium	57	
Environmental Risk Assessment Score	Low	50	
Structural Risk Assessment Score	Medium	65	
Operation & Maintenance Risk Assessment Score	Medium	108	
Overall Risk Score for the agglomeration	Low	280	

See Appendix 6.3 for Sewer Integrity Toot Output for the North Fringe Sewer Catchment and the 9C Catchment.



Section 5. Licence Specific Reports

Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required in 2014 AER or outstanding from previous AER	Included in 2014 AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Priority Substances Assessment	No	No	N/A
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	No	No	N/A
Habitats Impact Assessment	No	No	N/A
Shellfish Impact Assessment	No	No	N/A
Pearl Mussel Report	No	No	N/A
Toxicity/Leachate Management	No	No	N/A
Toxicity of Final Effluent Report	No	No	N/A

Licence Specific Reports Summary of Findings

Licence Specific Report	Recommendations in Report	Summary of Recommendations in Report
Priority Substances Assessment	N/A	N/A
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	N/A	N/A
Habitats Impact Assessment	N/A	N/A
Shellfish Impact Assessment	N/A	N/A
Pearl Mussel Report	N/A	N/A
Toxicity/Leachate Management	N/A	N/A
Toxicity of Final Effluent Report	N/A	N/A



Section 6. Appendix

Appendix 6.1 - Annual Statement of Measures

Appendix 6.2 – Specified Improvement Programme

Appendix 6.3 – Sewer Integrity Tool Outputs



Appendix 6.1

Annual Statement of Measures

Mitigation measure to be taken	Action	Date for completion
Regular sump cleaning		On going
Routine maintenance, provision and monitoring of telemetry system, ongoing staff training.		On going
Santry Foul Pumping Station Upgrade	ANB with IW	unknown
Paldayla Villaga DC Storm Dump	ANB with IW	unknown
Baldoyle Village PS Storm Pump	AND WILLITY	unknown
Portmarnock Strand PS catchment – rehabilitation of network	ANB being	
to minimise storm water infiltration.	prepared	
Rehabilitation of Mulhuddart Penstocks	ANB being	
	prepared	
Pump station improvements ongoing - electrical panel		Ongoing
reviews, control panel upgrades, chain replacements, alarm review.		



Appendix 6.2

Specified Improvement Programme

7.5.1 Specified Improvement Programme

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Work Area	Licence Schedule (A or C)	Licence Completion Date	Has the date passed (Y/N)	Progress (Not Started, At tender stage, Ongoing Work on Site, Commissioni ng Phase, Completed)	% of site work completed	Comments
Discharge S4Fingal to the Irish Sea to be discontinued	Discharge to cease	A	31/12/2011	Y	Not started	0%	IW are seeking that the Doldrum bay discharge is to be considered as a secondary discharge within the Ringsend agglomeration discharging to coastal waters (not sensitive waters) with a greater than 70% reduction in BOD, IW will prepare and present a case to the EPA in this regard as part of a license review.
Discharge to cease: S5Fingal to the Irish Sea	Discharge to cease	A	27/10/2010	Y	Completed	100%	

7.5.2 Programme of Improvements

There are no improvements identified under Clause 5.2



Appendix 6.3

Sewer Integrity Tool Output



Appendix 6.6 (a) – Sewer Integrity Tool Output: North Fringe Sewer Catchment

	Section 2.1 Hydraulic Risk Assessment								
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken				
2.1	Has a Hydraulic Performance Assessment been undertaken for the Sewer Network (e.g., Computer Model or other Engineering Design or Design Review)	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of developing a computer model or engineering design assessment of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 2.1.1 to 2.1.4 inclusive				
2.1.1	If Answer to Query 2.1 is Yes, what % of the Network is covered by the hydraulic assessment ?	20%	20		The % coverage of the Network by the Hydraulic Assessment can be estimated by the area assessed against the area served by the Network. ENTER "N/A" IF COMPUTER MODEL or DESIGN DOES NOT EXIST. DO NOT LEAVE BLANK OR ENTER "0".				
2.1.2	How many years has it been since the completion of the hydraulic assessment?	more than 10	5		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.				
2.1.3	Are the outcomes of the Hydraulic Assessment being implemented ?	Yes	0		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.				
2.1.4	How many years has it been since the outcomes of the hydraulic assessment have been implemented ?	more than 10	5		Select N/A response if no hydraulic performance assessment or design exists. For onging works select "less than 5".				
2.2	Has a Dynamic Computer Model been used to Assess the Hydraulic Performance of the Sewer Network ?	Yes	0		Computer Model means a Hydroworks/Infoworks Model, Micro-Drainage Model or equivalent.				
2.3	Has a Manhole Survey been undertaken in, accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Manhole Location Surveys and the Production of Record Maps" 2	No	10		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Manhole Survey and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.2.1				
2.3.1	If yes, how many years has it been since the survey was undertaken or updated?	more than 10	0		Select N/A if no Manhole Survey has been undertaken. Enter N/A value for Confidence Grade if Prompt Box is "N/A"				
2.4	Has a Flow Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "A Guide to Short Term Flow Surveys of Sewer Systems" and "Contract Documents for Short Term Sewer Flows" ?	No	20		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Flow Monitoring Survey and complete Query 2.12. If answer is Yes Proceed to Query 2.5				
2.5	What was this Flow Survey Information Used for ?								
2.5.1	To Determine the extent of Problematic Sewer Catchments	Yes	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.				
2.5.2	To Verify a Computer or Mathematical Model of the Network	N/A	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.				
2.6	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term capacity of the sewer network ?	No	10		If the answer is No assess the Future Needs of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.8				
2.7	How many flood events resulting from surcharge in the network have occurred in the past 3 years?	more than 6	10		Flood events in this context means water/sewage backing up from the Network causing flooding of properties or causing disruption of traffic				
2.8	Are there deficiencies in performance criteria within the sewer network ?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , Proceed to Query 2.10 and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.9				
2.9	Have the causes of these deficiencies in the Performance Criteria been identified and rectified ?	No	10		If the answer is No, consider further examination of the hydraulic model (if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.10				
2.10	Can the Hydraulic Assessment (defined in Query 2.1 above) be used to determine the benefit of reducing the contributory impermeable Areas or extent of surface water contributions	No	10		If the answer is No, consider further development of the Hydraulic Assessment (or model if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.11				
2.11	Has an Impermeable Area Survey been carried out for the agglomeration or parts of the agglomeration ?	No	10		If the answer is No, consider the need and cost benefit of undertaking an Impermeable Survey for parts of the agglomeration which are under hydraulic pressure and complete Query 2.12.				
	Total Risk Assessment Score (RAS) 130								
2.12	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade Implementation Plan	In the AER	Attach Assess		Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate ments				
2.13									



3.1.1 Do trade effluent 3.1.2 Are there Storm v 3.1.3 Are there Storm v 3.1.3 Are there Secon (excluding Emerical 3.1.4 Is there any evide 3.2 If Answer to Querical Englishment Incompliant 3.2.1 Are all licenced to relevant licence and associated in a second licence and associated in relation to classification of the second licence and associated in relation to post around water of the second licence and associated in relation to post around water of ground water of the second licence and associated in relation to post around water of ground w	Description mental or Discharge Quality Data is lith regard to the sewer network 2	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by				
3.1.1 On trade effluent 3.1.2 Are there Storm is 3.1.3 Are there Second (excluding Emerical Second (ex				the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
3.1.2 Are there Storm \(\) 3.1.3 Are there Secon (excluding Emer.) 3.1.4 Is there any evide 3.2.1 Are all licenced to relevant lice (excluding Emer.) 3.2.2 If Answer to Quer. Discharges are licence and associated and associate		largely anecdotal	20		Select N/A if no discharges, secondary discharges or overflows from network; if discharges do exist completed Query 3.12			
3.1.3 Are there Second (excluding Emerical Second (excluding Emerical Second (excluding Emerical Second Sec	nts discharge to the sewer network?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , proceed to Query 3.1.2. If the answer is Yes , Proceed to Query 3.2			
3.1.4 Is there any evide 3.1.4 Is there any evide 3.2.1 If Answer to Querical In relation to position of ground water of the series of ground water of the series of ground water of the series of ground water of ground water of the series of ground water of ground wate	Water Overflows within the network ?	Yes	20		If the answer is No, proceed to Query 3.1.3. If the answer is Yes, Proceed to Query 3.3			
3.2.1 Are all licenced to relevant licenses are licence and associated as a license and a license an	ndary Discharges within the network reency Overflows at Pump Stations\?	No	0		If the answer is No, proceed to Query 3.1.4.			
3.2.1 Are all licenced to relevant lice relevant lice of the property of the p	ence that exflitration is occurring from the network ?	No	0		If the answer is No, does all wastewater enter a wastewater treatment plant (Insert summary details in the AER)? If Yes, Proceed to Query 3.6			
3.2.1 relevant lice 3.2.2 if Answer to Quer Discharges are licence and ass compilan 3.3 in accordance wi Criteria in relation storm water o classif 3.4 Have samples for the : What percentage known to cause er 3.6 in relation to pose ground water aquifers been in an 3.6.2 if Answer to Query of groundwal 3.6.3 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.3 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.4 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.5 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.6 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.7 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.8 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.9 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.1 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.2 in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.3 in relation to Query of groundwal	Juery 3.1.1 is "Yes", what % of trade, a licence to Discharge to the Public Sewer ?	>90%	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.1.1 is No. if not all tra effleunts are licenced, Local Authority should conside issuing and controlling such discharges under the appropriate Legislation.			
3.2.2 Discharges are licence and associated associated and associated associa	trade Discharges compliant with their tence and associated conditions	Yes	0		Answer N/A if none of the trade effluents are licenced Answer No if this information is unknown. If the answe is Unknown or No, consider issuing a direction to the relevant Licencee. If the answer is Yes , no further action is needed.			
3.3 Criteria in relation storm water of classification water of classification water of the storm water of ground water of groundwater o	ery 3.2.1 is "No", state what % of Trade the NOT compilant with their relevant, sociated conditions (where that non- nice led to enforcement action)	0 - 10%	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.2.1 is Yes. If N/A is selected as answer to Query 3.2.2			
3.5 What percentage known to cause er ground water 3.6 In relation to post ground water 3.6.1 If Answer to Query aquifers been in an in relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.2 In relation to Query of groundwal 3.6.3 In relation to Query of groundwal 3.7 Querifor Pub Has an impact Query Overflow been in DoEHLG paper Storm Water Over	with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & n to Storm Water Overflows", what % of overflows in the system have been diffed for their significance?	75 - 100%	10		If the answer is No, consider a review of each dischar, within the sewer network complete and Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query 3.6			
3.5 known to cause er 3.6 In relation to pose ground water 3.6.1 If Answer to Quera aquifers been in anii 3.6.2 If Answer to Quera of groundwal 3.6.3 In relation to Quera of groundwal 3.7 Answer to Quera of groundwal In relation to Quera of groundwal 3.7 Overflow been in DoEHLG paper Storm Water Over	rom anv Secondary Discharges within system been analysed ?	No	30		Select N/A if no secondary discharges in system. If the answer to Query 3.4 is No, consider examining the quality of each secondary discharge within the sewer network complete Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query			
3.6.1 If Answer to Query aguifers been is any of groundward. 3.6.2 If Answer to Query of groundward. 3.6.3 In relation to Query of groundward. 3.6.3 Source for Pub. Has an impact Overflow been in DoEHLG paper. Storm Water Over	ge of discharges from the system are environmental pollution of the receiving waters ?	11 - 20%	20		If the answer is greater than 50% then detail, in the AER, the Improvement Programme necessary to redu this percentage.			
3.6.1 aquiters been in an in a	ssible exflitration has a risk analysis of er contamination or pollution been undertaken 2	No	20		is No, consider undertaking ground water risk analysi and complete Query 3.12			
3.6.3 in relation to Q source for Pub Has an impact Overflow been I DoEHLG paper Storm Water Over	try 3.6 is "Yes", have any groundwater identified in the area of the Network adior Discharge Points?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
3.6.3 source for Pub Has an Impact Overflow been DoEHLG paper Storm Water Over	ry 3.6.1 is "Yes", state the classification ater aquifer identified in the area?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
3.7 Overflow been of DoEHLG paper of Storm Water Over	Query 3.6.1. Is the aguifer used as a bild. Private or Group Water Supply Schemes?	No	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.			
	d Assessment of each Storm Water, undertaken in accordance with the "Procedures & Criteria in relation to erflows" including setting performance criteria?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider assessing the risk categor of the receiving waters. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query 3.5 and provid summary details of the assessment in the AER.			
the benomiano	of storm water overflows comply with ace criteria referred to in Query 3.7?	10 - 50%	40		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.7 is No or if there are SWOs in system. (Risk Score is locked at 0 if no SWOs in system is stated in Aggiomeration Detail			
	of these Capacity Deficiencies (storm was & Secondary Discharges) been identified ?	Yes	0		no SWOs in system. If the answer to Query 3.9 is No consider further examination of the environmental mod			
	Total Risk Assess	ment Score (RAS)	180					
	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Uporade Implementation Plan as separate documents							



	Section 4.1 Structural Risk Assessment							
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
4.1	Has a CCTV Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Sewer Condition Inspections" and "Manual of Sewer Condition Classification" ?	No	10		If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking CCTV Survey. If Yes Proceed to Query 4.2			
4.1.1	How many years has it been since the completion of the CCTV Survey?	N/A	0		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "N/A" response			
4.2	What was this CCTV Survey Information Used for?	N/A	10		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.1 is NO.			
4.3	Has the CCTV Survey been used to Assess the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network or targeted sections of the Sewer Network?	No	5		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "No" response. If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking an assessment of the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Q			
4.4	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term structural condition of the sewer network ?	No	5		If the answer is No, enter "unknown" in response to Queries 4.4.1 to 4.4.5; consider assessing the Future Needs of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 4			
4.4.1	What % of the Total Sewer Length contains Collapsed or Imminent Collapse of Sewers (Grade 5)	unknown	30		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 5 collapse, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If Information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
4.4.2	What % of Total Sewer Length contains Sewers Likely to Collapse (Grade 4)	unknown	25		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 4 condition, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If Information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
4.4.3	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Further Possible Deterioration (Grade 3)	unknown	10		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 3 deterioration, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
4.4.4	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Minimal Collapse (Grade 2)	unknown	5		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 2 feature, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
4.4.5	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers of Acceptable Structural Condition (Grade 1)	unknown	5		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length. If Information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box			
If all	% lengths are known, Check Total Length = 100%		75		If answers to Queries 4.4.1, 4.4.2 or 4.4.3 are above a set level, the RAS for Query 4 is automitically set at the maximum of 140.			
4.5	What % of the deficiencies, as detailed in items 4.4.1. 4.4.2 and 4.4.3, have been rectified ?	N/A	35		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.4 is No. If the answer is No. Proceed to Query 4.6 If the answer is Yes, what monitoring is in place to ensure continued acceptance of structural condition? Proceed to Query 4.7			
4.6	Have the causes of the Structural Deficiencies (Grades 3, 4 and 5) been identified or is there a Preventative Maintenance Programme in place?	No	10		If the answer is No, consider further examination of the sewer network, the structural loading conditions, gradients and possible H ₂ S Formation. If Yes completed Query 4.7			
	Total Risk As	sessment Score (RAS)	150					

_		
4.7	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Rehabilitation Implementation Plan	In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents



	Section 5.1 O&M Risk Assessment							
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
5.1	Are complaints of an environmental nature recorded and held in a central database?	Yes	0		Consider setting up Central Database for Complaints			
5.2	Is there an emergency response procedure in place?	No	20		Consider setting up target response times for dealing with Complaints			
5.3	What has been the highest frequency of flooding. In the network due to hydraulic inadequacy, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.4	What has been the highest frequency of flooding in the network due to operational causes over the past 5 years?	erational causes over the Twice/yr 8 flooding from		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.				
5.5	What has been the highest frequency of surcharging of critical sewers in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.6	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.7	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents due to discharges, for whatever reason, from Pumping Station Emergency Overflows in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events at any given Pumping Station in any 12 month period.			
5.8	What has been the highest frequency of blockages in sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	unknown	20		Select the highest number of events per km of sewer network in any 12 month period.			
5.9	What has been the highest frequency of collapses In sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	None	0		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.10	What has been the highest frequency of bursts in rising mains in the network over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	4		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
	Total Risk Ass	essment Score (RAS)	132					

	Prepare Up Dated Operational and Maintenance
0.11	Plan

Section 6.1 Summary of Risk Assessment Scores							
Element	Risk Assessment Score	Risk Category	% Risk Score	Maximum Risk Score			
Section 2.1 Hydraulic Risk Assessment	130	High Risk	87%	150			
Section 3.1 Environmental Risk Assessment	180	Low Risk	36%	500			
Section 4.1 Structural Risk Assessment	150	High Risk	100%	150			
Section 5.1 O&M Risk Assessment	132	Medium Risk	66%	200			
Total RAS for Network	592	High Risk	59%	1000			

If the total RAS is greater than 750, or if any of the individual RASs are greater than 75% of the Maximum Available Score, the Risk category for the Network is graded "High Risk"



Appendix 6.6 (b) – Sewer Integrity Tool Output: 9C Catchment



	Section 2.1 Hydraulic Risk Assessment								
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken				
2.1	Has a Hydraulic Performance Assessment been undertaken for the Sewer Network (e.g., Computer Model or other Engineering Design or Design Review)	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of developing a computer model or engineering design assessment of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 2.1.1 to 2.1.4 inclusive				
2.1.1	If Answer to Query 2.1 is Yes, what % of the Network is covered by the hydraulic assessment ?	80%	5		The % coverage of the Network by the Hydraulic Assessment can be estimated by the area assessed against the area served by the Network ENTER "N/A" IF COMPUTER MODEL or DESIGN DOES NOT EXIST. DO NOT LEAVE BLANK OR ENTER "0".				
2.1.2	How many years has it been since the completion of the hydraulic assessment ?	5 to 10	3		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.				
2.1.3	Are the outcomes of the Hydraulic Assessment being implemented ?	Yes	0		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.				
2.1.4	How many years has it been since the outcomes of the hydraulic assessment have been implemented ?	Never	5		Select N/A response if no hydraulic performance assessment or design exists. For ongling works select "less than 5".				
2.2	Has a Dynamic Computer Model been used to Assess the Hydraulic Performance of the Sewer Network ?	Yes	0		Computer Model means a Hydroworks/Infoworks Model, Micro-Drainage Model or equivalent.				
2.3	Has a Manhole Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Manhole Location Surveys and the Production of Record Maps" 2	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Manhole Survey and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.2.1				
2.3.1	If yes, how many years has it been since the survey was undertaken or updated?	5 to 10	7		Select N/A if no Manhole Survey has been undertaken. Enter N/A value for Confidence Grade if Prompt Box is "N/A"				
2.4	Has a Flow Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "A Guide to Short Term Flow Surveys of Sewer Systems" and "Contract Documents for Short Term Sewer Flows" ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Flow Monitoring Survey and complete Query 2.12. If answer is Yes Proceed to Query 2.5				
2.5	What was this Flow Survey Information Used for ?								
2.5.1	To Determine the extent of Problematic Sewer Catchments	Yes	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.				
2.5.2	To Verify a Computer or Mathematical Model of the Network	Yes	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.				
2.6	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term capacity of the sewer network ?	Yes	0		if the answer is No assess the Future Needs of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.5				
2.7	How many flood events resulting from surcharge in the network have occurred in the past 3 years?	3 to 6	7		Flood events in this context means water/sewage backing up from the Network causing flooding of properties or causing disruption of traffic				
2.8	Are there deficiencies in performance criteria within the sewer network ?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , Proceed to Query 2.10 and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.9				
2.9	Have the causes of these deficiencies in the Performance Criteria been identified and rectified ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider further examination of the hydraulic model (if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.10				
2.10	Can the Hydraulic Assessment (defined in Query 2.1, above) be used to determine the benefit of reducing the contributory impermeable Areas or extent of surface water contributions	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider further development of the Hydraulic Assessment (or model if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.11				
2.11	Has an Impermeable Area Survey been carried out for the agglomeration or parts of the agglomeration ?	No	10		if the answer is No , consider the need and cost benefit of undertaking an Impermeable Survey to parts of the agglomeration which are under hydraulic pressure and complete Query 2.12.				
	Total Risk Assessment Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade		57 Attach Assess	ment of Needs and I	Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate				
2.12	Implementation Plan	III DIG AEK	PASSESS		ments				
2.13	In the AER provide Summary of Proposed Works or Direction to be taken to improve hydraulic efficiency								



	Section 3.	.1 Environme	ntal Risk	Assessment		
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken	
3.1	What Environmental or Discharge Quality Data is available with regard to the sewer network?	electronic or paper records exist but are > 10 years old.	0		Select N/A if no discharges, secondary discharges or overflows from network; if discharges do exist complete Query 3.12	
3.1.1	Do trade effluents discharge to the sewer network?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , proceed to Query 3.1.2. If the answer is Yes , Proceed to Query 3.2	
3.1.2	Are there Storm Water Overflows within the network ?	No	0		If the answer is No , proceed to Query 3.1.3. If the answer is Yes , Proceed to Query 3.3	
3.1.3	Are there Secondary Discharges within the network (excluding Emergency Overflows at Pump Stations)?	No	0		If the answer is No, proceed to Query 3.1.4.	
3.1.4	Is there any evidence that exfiltration is occurring from the network ?	No	0		If the answer is No. does all wastewater enter a wastewater treatment plant (insert summary details in the AER)? If Yes, Proceed to Query 3.6	
3.2	If Answer to Query 3.1.1 is "Yes", what % of trade effluents have a licence to Discharge to the Public Sewer ?	>90%	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.1.1 is No. If not all trade efficients are licenced, Local Authority should consider issuing and controlling such discharges under the appropriate Legislation.	
3.2.1	Are all licenced trade Discharges compliant with their relevant licence and associated conditions	Yes	0		Answer N/A if none of the trade effluents are licenced. Answer No if this information is unknown. If the answer is Unknown or No, consider issuing a direction to the relevant Licencee. If the answer is Yes, no further action is needed.	
3.2.2	If Answer to Query 3.2.1 is "No", state what % of Trade <u>Discharges are NOT compilant with their relevant,</u> <u>licence and associated conditions (where that non-compilance led to enforcement action)</u>	0 - 10%	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.2.1 is Yes. If N/A is selected as answer to Query 3.2.2	
3.3	in accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows", what % of storm water overflows in the system have been classified for their significance?	75 - 100%	10		If the answer is No , consider a review of each discharge within the sewer network complete and Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes , proceed to Query 3. 6	
3.4	Have samples from any Secondary Discharges within, the system been analysed ?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no secondary discharges in system. If the answer to Query 3.4 is No, consider examining the quality of each secondary discharge within the sewer network complete Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query	
3.5	What percentage of discharges from the system are known to cause environmental pollution of the receiving waters?	None	0		If the answer is greater than 50% then detail, in the AER, the Improvement Programme necessary to reduce this percentage.	
3.6	In relation to possible extiltration has a risk analysis of ground water contamination or pollution been, undertaken ?	No	20		is No, consider undertaking ground water risk analysis and complete Query 3.12	
3.6.1	If Answer to Query 3.6 is "Yes", have any groundwater aquifers been identified in the area of the Network and/or Discharge Points?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.	
3.6.2	If Answer to Query 3.6.1 is "Yes", state the classification of groundwater aquifer identified in the area?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.	
3.6.3	In relation to Query 3.6.1, is the aguifer used as a source for Public, Private or Group Water Supply Schemes?	No	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.	
3.7	Has an Impact Assessment of each Storm Water, Overflow been undertaken in accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows" including setting performance criteria?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider assessing the risk category of the receiving waters. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query 3.5 and provide summary details of the assessment in the AER.	
3.5	What percentage of storm water overflows comply with the performance criteria referred to in Query 3.72	N/A	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.7 is No or if there are no SWOs in system. (Risk Score is locked at 0 if no SWOs in system is stated in Agglomeration Details)	
3.9	Have the causes of these Capacity Deficiencies (storm water overflows & Secondary Discharges) been identified ?	Yes	0		no SWOs in system. If the answer to Query 3.9 is No, consider further examination of the environmental model	
3.10	Total Risk Assess Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade Implementation Plan		h Assessment	of Needs and Reh	nabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents	
3.11	Provide Summary Details (in the AER) of records upstream and downstream of licenced discharges with regard to Environmental Performance of the network. These details can be included as part of the AER submitted for the agglomeration.					



	Section 4.1 Structural Risk Assessment								
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken				
4.1	Has a CCTV Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Sewer Condition Inspections" and "Manual of Sewer Condition Classification" ?	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking CCTV Survey. If Yes Proceed to Query 4.2				
4.1.1	How many years has it been since the completion of the CCTV Survey?	less than 5	0		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "N/A" response				
4.2	What was this CCTV Survey Information Used for?	Determine full extent of Sewer Rehab Works to be undertaken within Network	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.1 is NO.				
4.3	Has the CCTV Survey been used to Assess the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network or targeted sections of the Sewer Network?	Yes	0		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "No" response. If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking an assessment of the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Q				
4.4	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term structural condition of the sewer network?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, enter "unknown" in response to Queries 4.4.1 to 4.4.5; consider assessing the Future Needs of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 4				
4.4.1	What % of the Total Sewer Length contains Collapsed or Imminent Collapse of Sewers (Grade 5)	4%	8	0	Insert Percentage of Overali Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 5 collapse, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box				
4.4.2	What % of Total Sewer Length contains Sewers Likely to Collapse (Grade 4)	14%	17		Insert Percentage of Overali Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 4 condition, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box				
4.4.3	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Further Possible Deterioration (Grade 3)	2%	1		Insert Percentage of Overali Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 3 deterioration, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box				
4.4.4	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Minimal Collapse (Grade 2)	4%	5		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; if a sewer length contains a Grade 2 feature, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box				
4.4.5	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers of Acceptable Structural Condition (Grade 1)	76%	0		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length. If Information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box				
If al	I % lengths are known, Check Total Length = 100%	100%	30		If answers to Queries 4.4.1, 4.4.2 or 4.4.3 are above a set level, the RAS for Query 4 is automitically set at the maximum of 140.				
4.5	What % of the deficiencies, as detailed in Items 4.4.1, 4.4.2 and 4.4.3, have been rectified ?	0 - 10%	35		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.4 is No. If the answer is No. Proceed to Query 4.6 If the answer is Yes, what monitoring is in place to ensure continued acceptance of structural condition? Proceed to Query 4.7				
4.6	Have the causes of the Structural Deficiencies (Grades 3, 4 and 5) been identified or is there a Preventative Maintenance Programme in place?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider further examination of the sewer network, the structural loading conditions, gradients and possible H ₂ S Formation. If Yes completed Query 4.7				
	Total Risk As	sessment Score (RAS)	65						
4.7	4.7 Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer. In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents								

4.7	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer	In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents
4.7	Rehabilitation Implementation Plan	If the AER Added Assessment of Needes and Remainland Imperioritation Plan as separate documents



	Section 5.1 O&M Risk Assessment							
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
5.1	Are complaints of an environmental nature recorded and held in a central database?	Yes	0		Consider setting up Central Database for Complaints			
5.2	Is there an emergency response procedure in place?	No	20		Consider setting up target response times for dealing with Complaints			
5.3	What has been the highest frequency of flooding. In the network due to hydraulic inadequacy, over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	4		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.4	What has been the highest frequency of flooding in the network due to operational causes over the past 5 years?	Once/yr	4		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.5	What has been the highest frequency of surcharging of critical sewers in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.6	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.7	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents due to discharges, for whatever reason, from Pumping Station Emergency Overflows in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events at any given Pumping Station in any 12 month period.			
5.8	What has been the highest frequency of blockages in sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	unknown	20		Select the highest number of events per km of sewer network in any 12 month period.			
5.9	What has been the highest frequency of collapses In sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	None	0		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
5.10	What has been the highest frequency of bursts in rising mains in the network over the past 5 years?	None	0		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.			
	Total Risk Ass	essment Score (RAS)	108					
	Prepare Up Dated Operational and Maintenance				ı			

5.11	Prepare Up Dated Operational and Maintenance Plan
------	---

Section 6.1 Summary of Risk Assessment Scores							
Element	Risk Assessment Score	Risk Category	% Risk Score	Maximum Risk Score			
Section 2.1 Hydraulic Risk Assessment	57	Medium Risk	38%	150			
Section 3.1 Environmental Risk Assessment	50	Low Risk	10%	500			
Section 4.1 Structural Risk Assessment 05.29166667 Medium Risk 44%							
Section 5.1 O&M Risk Assessment	108	Medium Risk	54%	200			
Total RAS for Network	280.2916667	Low Risk	28%	1000			

If the total RAS is greater than 750, or if any of the individual RASs are greater than 75% of the Maximum Available Score, the Risk category for the Network is graded "High Risk"



PART 4

Dun Laoghaire Rathdown Functional Area



Annual Environmental Report 2014

Agglomeration Name:	Ringsend
Licence Register No.	D0034-01

PART 4

Dun Laoghaire Rathdown Functional Area





Table of Contents

Section 1.Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER	1
1.1 Summary report on 2014	1
Section 2.Monitoring Reports Summary	2
2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring	2
2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration	2
2.3 Ambient monitoring summary	2
2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the Urban Waste Water Treatment	
Directive	2
2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - 2014	2
Section 3 Operational Reports Summary	3
3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report	3
3.2 Treatment Capacity Report	3
3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report	3
3.4 Complaints Summary	3
3.5 Reported Incidents Summary	4
3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP	5
Section 4.Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements	6
4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report	6
4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement	
programme requirements.	11
Section 5.Licence Specific Reports	14
5.1 Priority Substances Assessment	14
5.2 Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment.	14
5.3 Shellfish Impact Assessment Report.	14
5.4 Toxicity / Leachate Management	14
5.5 Toxicity of the Final Effluent Report	14
5.6 Pearl Mussel Measures Report	14
5.7 Habitats Impact Assessment Report	14
Section 6. Appendix	15



Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER

1.1 Summary report on 2014

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for Discharge Licence D0034-01, in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the part of the Ringsend agglomeration within the boundary of Dun Laoghaire Rathdown.

Specified assessments are included as an appendix to the AER as follows:

Storm water overflow assessment

The Greater Dublin Area Agglomeration includes all the geographical area of Dublin City Council and parts of Fingal County Council functional Area, South Dublin County Council functional area and Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council area. This AER relates to area with the agglomeration licensed under D0034-01 that is within the boundaries of Dun Laoghaire for the period 1st January 2014 to 31st December 2014. The catchment is largely combined and incorporates 9 pump stations and 28 CSO's. As Dublin City Council operates Ringsend Treatment Works on behalf of Irish Water, this AER relates to the drainage network, storm water overflows and pump stations. The majority of incidents in the catchments occurred at the West Pier Pump Station overflows and were caused by medium to heavy rain events. The pump station is operating to its design capacity.

There were no major capital or operational changes undertaken in 2014 and none are planned for 2015.

Three operational projects (listed in Appendix 6.3) were undertaken in 2014 and will continue to completion in 2015.

No new operational projects are programmed, as yet, to take place in 2015.

An Annual Statement of Measures is included in Appendix 6.1.



Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - 2014

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.



Section 3 Operational Reports Summary

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

In this section Irish Water is required to report on the amount of urban waste water generated within the agglomeration. It does not include any waste water collected and treated in a private system and discharged to water under a Section 4 Licence issued under the Water Pollution Acts 1977 (as amended):

Table 3.3 - Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

	% of p.e. load generated in the agglomeration
Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network	100
Load collected in the agglomeration that enters treatment plant	100
Load collected in the sewer network but discharged without treatment	0

Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network is the total load generated and collected in the municipal network within the boundary of the agglomeration.

Load collected in the agglomerations that enters treatment plant is that portion of the previous figure which enters the waste water treatment plant

Load collected but discharged without treatment is that portion of the first figure which is discharged without treatment.

3.4 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Table 3.4 - Complaints Summary Table:

Number	Date &	Nature of	Cause of	Actions taken to	Closed
	Time	Complaint	Complaint	resolve issue	(Y/N)
1	13/11/14	Sewage debris on the beach at Seapoint	Overflow from the short sea overflow at the West Pier Pump Station	The debris was removed by DLR Staff.	Y
1	9/3/14	IW sewer surcharging via private drain.	Sewer in question is need of repair to allow normal flow.	Design for the repair has been carried out and the repair will be carried out in early 2015.	N



3.5 Reported Incidents Summary

A summary of reported incidents is included below.

Table 3.5.1 - Summary of Incidents

Incident Type (e.g. Non- compliance, Emission, spillage, Emergency Overflow Activation)	Incident Description	Cause	No. of incidents	Corrective Action	Authorities Contacted Note 1	Reported to EPA (Yes/No)	Closed (Y/N)
Emergency Overflow Activation	Operation of both Short Long Sea Overflows at the West Pier Pump Station	Very Heavy Rain	17	None	None	Yes	Yes
Emergency Overflow Activation	Operation of the Long Sea Overflow only at the West Pier Pump Station	Heavy Rain	13	None	None	Yes	Yes

Table 3.5.2 - Summary of Overall Incidents

Number of Incidents in 2014	110 No in total. 30 at the West Pier 80 in the various SWO and CSOs. See Table in Section 4.1 for further details.
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2014	6 No. All West Pier Pump Station incidents reported.
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	Not all incidents at the West Pier were reported in 2014 until clarification was received about what was to be reported. Also the figure of 110 is a composite of the number of overflows estimated and measured to have occurred.



3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

'Other inputs' to the waste water treatment plant are summarised in Table 3.6 below.

Table 3.6 - Other Inputs

Input type	m3/year	PE/year	% of load to WWTP	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP? (Y/N)	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
Domestic /Septic Tank Sludge	0	0	0		
Industrial / Commercial Sludge	0	0	0		
Landfill Leachate (delivered by tanker)	0	0	0		
Landfill Leachate (delivered by sewer network)	0	0	0	N	N
Other (specify)	0	0	0		





Section 4.Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements

4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report

Table 4.1.1 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Table A

WWDL Name/Code for the Storm Water Overflow	X co-ord Irish Grid Reference	Y co-ord Irish Grid Reference	Compliance with DoEHLG Criteria	Significance of the overflow (High / Medium / Low)	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	No Times activated in 2014	Total Volume discharged in 2014(m³)	Total Volume discharged in 2014 (PE)	Estimated/ Measured Data
			See Table	Low				100	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/001	317559	230769	below		Yes	1	200		
DLRCC/B5/R/002	316935	230487	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	500	250	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/003	319999	230505	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	250	125	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/004	319406	229488	See Table below	Low	Yes	2	200	100	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/005	316783	230085	SDCC	Low	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC
DLRCC/B5/R/006	316689	230050	SDCC	Low	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC
DLRCC/B5/R/007	315556	229632	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	1500	1000	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/008	315434	229529	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	0	0	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/009	315522	229162	See Table below	Medium	Yes	1	100	50	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/010	316969	229568	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	50	25	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/011	316987	229386	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	100	50	Estimated







WWDL Name/Code for the Storm Water Overflow	X co-ord Irish Grid Reference	Y co-ord Irish Grid Reference	Compliance with DoEHLG Criteria	Significance of the overflow (High / Medium / Low)	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	No Times activated in 2014	Total Volume discharged in 2014(m³)	Total Volume discharged in 2014 (PE)	Estimated/ Measured Data
DLRCC/B5/R/012	316984	229359	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	50	25	Estimated
			See Table	Low				75	Measured
DLRCC/B5/R/013	316940	229706	below		Yes	1	150		
DLRCC/B5/R/014	319938	230443	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	50	25	Estimated
			See Table	Low					Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/015	320280	230216	below		Yes	2	400	200	
DLRCC/B5/R/016	320631	230024	See Table below	Low	Yes	2	400	200	Estimated
, , ,			See Table	Medium					Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/017	320837	229937	below		Yes	25	5000	2500	
			See Table	Low					Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/018	321247	229477	below		Yes	0	0	0	
			See Table	Low					Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/019	321124	229395	below		Yes	0	0	0	
			See Table	Low					Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/020	321567	229551	below		Yes	0	0	0	
			See Table	Low					Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/021	319142	227929	below		Yes	4	400	200	
			See Table	Low				0	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/022	320736	228221	below		Yes	0	0		
			See Table	Low				50	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/023	321681	229019	below		Yes	1	100		
			See Table	Low				50	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/024	321681	229019	below		Yes	1	100	_	
DI DOC /DE /D /005	224006	220400	See Table	Low	.,			0	Measured
DLRCC/B5/R/025	321806	229409	below	1 -	Yes	0	0	450	Fating 1
DI DCC/DE /D/036	222022	220205	See Table	Low	V	2	200	150	Estimated
DLRCC/B5/R/026	322033	228395	below	1	Yes	3	300	75	NA
DI DCC/DE /D/027	322573	228364	See Table below	Low	Yes	1	150	75	Measured
DLRCC/B5/R/027	3225/3	228304	pelow		res	1	150	1	1







WWDL Name/Code for the Storm Water Overflow	X co-ord Irish Grid Reference	Y co-ord Irish Grid Reference	Compliance with DoEHLG Criteria	Significance of the overflow (High / Medium / Low)	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	No Times activated in 2014	Total Volume discharged in 2014(m³)	Total Volume discharged in 2014 (PE)	Estimated/ Measured Data
			See Table	Low				50000	Measured
DLRCC/B5/R/028	324953	228312	below		No	28	100000		



SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Table B

	Criteria	Criteria	Criteria	Criteria	Formula A
Code	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Compliance
DLRCC/B5/R/001	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/002	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/003	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/004	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/005	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/006	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/007	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC	SDCC	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/008	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/009	Yes	Yes	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/010	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/011	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/012	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/013	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/014	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/015	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/016	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/017	No	Yes	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/018	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/019	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/020	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/021	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/022	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/023	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/024	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/025	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/026	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/027	No	No	No	No	Pre 1990
DLRCC/B5/R/028	No	No	No	No	Unassessed

The information above is based on a mixture of monitoring and experience operations staff and as such is a best estimate of current conditions.



Table 4.1.2 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

Table 4112 5116 facilities and inspection summary Report	
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m³/yr)?	110000
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (p.e.)?	55250
What % of the total volume of sewage generated in the agglomeration was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in 2014?	<1%
Is each SWO identified as non-compliant with <u>DoEHLG Guidance</u> included in the Programme of Improvements?	No
The SWO assessment includes the requirements of Schedule A3 & C3	No discharges to be discontinued under A3.
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	EPA will be informed of the addition of a new overflow at Windsor Terrace DLRCC/B5/R/028 via Technical Amendment.



4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

The Improvement Programme is included in Appendix 6.3.

The Improvement Programme Report included in Appendix 6.3 addresses the **Specified Improvement Programmes** as detailed in Schedules A3 and C of the WWDL.

Table 4.2.1 - Specified Improvement Programme Summary

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Licence Schedule (A or C)	Licence Complet ion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works ((i) Not Started; (ii) At planning stage; (iii) Work ongoing on-site; (iv) Commissionin g Phase; (v) Completed; (vi) Delayed;)	% Construction Work Completed	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
	No specifi	c projects r	equirea for ti	he part of the ag	giomeration wit	thin DLK	

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

Table 4.2.2 - Improvement Programme Summary

Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description	Improvement Source	Progress (% completed)	Expected Completion Date	Comments
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Contract 2a - Attenuation Tank	Removal of deficiencies in capacity	95	May 2015	
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Contract 2c - Burton Hall Avenue Foul Sewer Upgrade	Removal of deficiencies in capacity	95	May 2015	
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme	Phase 1 Contract 2e - Moreen Environs Foul Sewer Upgrade, Phase 4	Removal of deficiencies in capacity	0		At planning stage.



Improvement Identifier	Improvement Description	Improvement Source	Progress (% completed)	Expected Completion Date	Comments
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Contract 2 - Network Upgrade Sandyford/Still organ Improvement- Tunnel	Removal of deficiencies in capacity	0		At planning stage.
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	2D Foul Sewer Upgrade as part of Leopardstown Roundabout Upgrade	Removal of deficiencies in capacity	0		At planning stage.
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Contract 4 - Surface Water Separation	Removal of deficiencies in capacity			At planning stage.
Condition 5.2	Sewer Integrity Tool Used	Sewer Integrity Tool (Condition 5.2).	100		Completed.
Condition 4 & 5.2	SWO assessment	SWO assessment (Condition 4 & 5.2).	100		Based on Measured and estimated spill numbers and local knowledge of Drainage Staff.

Improvements identified above also include measures taken to prevent environmental damage anticipated following events or accidents/incidents associated with discharges or overflows from the waste water works and as such are considered to fulfil any Statement of Measures requirements. Refer to Appendix 6.1 which summarises the Annual statement of Measures.



Table 4.2.3 - Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment Tool Summary

Sewer Integrity Tool for West Pier East Catchment

DLRCC, on behalf of IW, has taken the Sewer Integrity Tool (SIT) format developed by Dublin City Council in the 2012 AER for the Dublin City Centre catchment and applied it to the West Pier East Catchment. The spreadsheet is in Appendix 6.4.

The Improvement Programme should include an assessment of the integrity of the existing wastewater works for the following:	Risk Assessment Rating (High, Medium, Low)	Risk Assessment Score	Comment
Hydraulic Risk Assessment Score	Medium Risk	67	
Environmental Risk Assessment Score	Low Risk	158	
Structural Risk Assessment Score	High Risk	140	High score here because the condition of the network is not known. So high risk assumed.
Operation & Maintenance Risk Assessment Score	Low Risk	74	
Overall Risk Score for the agglomeration	Low Risk	439	



Section 5.Licence Specific Reports

Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required in 2014 AER or outstanding from previous AER	Included in 2014 AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Priority Substances Assessment	No	No	
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	No	No	
Habitats Impact Assessment	No	No	
Shellfish Impact Assessment	No	No	
Pearl Mussel Report	No	No	
Toxicity/Leachate Management	No	No	
Toxicity of Final Effluent Report	Yes	No	

5.1 Priority Substances Assessment

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

5.2 Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment.

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

5.3 Shellfish Impact Assessment Report.

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

5.4 Toxicity / Leachate Management

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

5.5 Toxicity of the Final Effluent Report

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

5.6 Pearl Mussel Measures Report

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.

5.7 Habitats Impact Assessment Report

Not a requirement for this aspect of the Ringsend licence.



Section 6. Appendix

Appendix 6.1 Annual Statement of Measures

Appendix 6.2 Storm Water Overflow Identification and Inspection Report

Appendix 6.3 Programme of Improvements

Appendix 6.4 Sewer Integrity Tool Output



Annual Statement of Measures

The potential sources of environmental damage are discharges from the surface water overflows and the emergency overflows from the pump stations in the network. Pump stations are visited every day by Drainage inspectors who are also notified by text message when an emergency overflow is activated and respond as a soon as is practicable to remove the cause of the overflow.

An assessment of the surface water overflows in the agglomeration that are in DLR is included in the AER (see Section 4.1 and Appendix 6.2).

No additional measures have been taken in 2014 in relation to prevention of environmental damage. The need for measures to prevent environmental damage is reviewed on an annual basis.



Storm Water Overflow Identification and Inspection Report

A very comprehensive stormwater overflow identification report was submitted with the AER for 2011. Works to the SWOs carried out were listed in the subsequent AERs. In 2014, four of the CSOs were monitored, namely Maretimo Gardens, DLRCC/B5/R25, The Beeches Monkstown Valley DLRCC/B5/R27, Millmount Grove DLRCC/B5/R/013 and the new overflow at Windsor Terrace DLRCC/B5/R28. Works were carried out at the CSO at Maretimo Gardens in 2013 which have resulted in a dramatic reduction in spills. In fact, there were no spills in 2014. Based on the observations of very experienced staff members and some monitoring, only 2 of the overflows do not meet all the DoEHLG Guidance criteria, Landscape Rd DLRCC/B5/R/009 and Seafort Parade DLRCC/B5/R/017. Both CSOs operate due to insufficient capacity in the network downstream of their locations. Until a drainage study is carried out very little can be done to limit the number of spills or indeed their impacts.



Programme of Improvements

A. Continue in Construction

Waste Water

Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Contract 4 - Surface Water Separation	A. Continue in Construction
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Contract 2a - Attenuation Tank	A. Continue in Construction
	Contract 2c - Burton Hall Avenue Foul	
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Sewer Upgrade	A. Continue in Construction

B. Review Scope and Commence Construction No Schemes

C. Continue Planning and Business Case Review

Waste Water

	Phase 1 Contract 2e - Moreen	C. Continue Planning and
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme	Environs Foul Sewer Upgrade, Phase 4	Business Case Review
	Contract 2 - Network Upgrade	
	Sandyford/Stillorgan Improvement-	C. Continue Planning and
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Tunnel	Business Case Review
	2D Foul Sewer Upgrade as part of	C. Continue Planning and
Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase 1	Leopardstown Roundabout Upgrade	Business Case Review



Sewer Integrity Tool Output

	Ringsend Catchment - Hydra	ulic Risk Assessmer	nt	
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority
2.1	Has a Hydraulic Performance Assessment been undertaken for the Sewer Network (e.g., Computer Model or other Engineering Design or Design Review)	Yes	0	Done at strategic level in GDSDS
2.1.1	What % of the Network is covered by the hydraulic assessment?	100%	0	100% of the area modelled. Only 225mm and bigger pipes modelled
2.1.2	How many years has it been since the completion of the hydraulic assessment?	More than 10	5	GDSDS
2.1.3	Are the outcomes of the Hydraulic Assessment being implemented?	YES	0	Some outcomes being implemented
2.1.4	How many years has it been since the outcomes of the hydraulic assessment have been implemented?	More than 10	5	
2.2	Has a Computer Model been used to Assess the Hydraulic Performance of the Sewer Network?	YES	0	The Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase II will use the existing model and further develop it to assess the hydraulic performance of the sewer network.
2.3	Has a Manhole Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Manhole Location Surveys and the Production of Record Maps"?	YES	0	
2.3.1	How many years has it been since the survey was undertaken or updated?	5 to 10	7	Manhole surveys are ongoing
2.4	Has a Flow Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "A Guide to Short Term Flow Surveys Systems" and "Contract Documents for Short Term Sewer Flows"?	YES	0	Under the GDSDS



2.5	What was this Flow Survey Information Used for?			
2.5.1	To Determine the extent of Problematic Sewer Catchments	YES	0	
2.5.2	To Verify a Computer or Mathematical Model of the Network	YES	0	
2.6	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term capacity of the sewer network?	No	10	
2.7	How many flood events resulting from surcharge in the network have occurred in the past 3 years?	MORE THAN 6	10	
2.8	Are there deficiencies within the sewer network?	YES	20	
2.9	Have the causes of these deficiencies in the Performance Criteria been identified and rectified?	NO	10	
2.10	Can the Hydraulic Assessment (defined in Query 2.1 above) be used to determine the benefit of reducing the contributory impermeable Areas or extent of surface water contributions	YES	0	
2.11	Has an Impermeable Area Survey been carried out for the agglomeration or parts of the agglomeration?	YES	0	
	Total Risk Assessment Score		67	

	Ringsend Catchment - Environ	mental Risk Assessmen	t	
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority
3.1	What Environmental or Discharge Quality Data is available with regard to the sewer network?	Electronic database	0	Bathing water samples are taken at Seapoint Blackrock and the Forty Foot.
3.1.1	Do trade effluents discharge to the sewer network?	YES	20	FOG only in this catchment
3.1.2	Are there Storm Water Overflows within the network?	YES	20	Combined sewer overflows
3.1.3	Are there Secondary Discharges within the network (excluding Emergency Overflows at Pump Station)?	NO	0	
3.1.4	Is there any evidence that exfiltration is occurring from the network?	YES	20	GDSDS made estimates.
3.2	What % of known trade effluents have a licence to discharge to the Public Sewer?	61%-70%	8	FOG and ordinary trade
3.2.1	Are all licensed trade Discharges compliant with their relevant licence and associated conditions	NO	10	
3.2.2	What % trade effluent licences are not compliant with their relevant licence and associated conditions (where that non-compliance led to enforcement action)	5%	5	FOG



3.3	In accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows", what % of storm water overflows in the system have been classified for their significance?	100	0	Only one CSO in the catchment at Windsor Terrace. Only operates under heavy rain.
3.4	Have samples from any Secondary Discharges within the system been analysed?	N/A	0	No Secondary discharges in the catchment
3.5	What percentage of discharges from the system are known to cause environmental pollution of the receiving waters?	100%	20	All discharges except the primary one cause temporary environmental pollution when they discharge – however it is not significant
3.6	In relation to possible exfiltration has a risk analysis of ground water contamination or pollution been undertaken?	No	20	Some basic analysis was done with the GDSDS
3.6.1	Have any groundwater aquifers been identified in the area of the Network and or Discharge Points	NA	0	
3.6.2	State the classification of groundwater aquifer identified in the area	NA	0	
3.6.3	In relation to Query 3.6.1is the aquifer used as a source for Public, Private or Group Water Supply Schemes	NA	0	
3.7	Has an Impact Assessment of each Storm Water Overflow been undertaken in accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows" including setting performance criteria?	No	40	
3.8	What percentage of storm water overflows comply with the performance criteria referred to in Query 3.7?	N/A	0	Performance criteria not set
3.9	Have the causes of these Capacity Deficiencies (storm water overflows & Secondary Discharges) been identified?	No	15	The Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase II will assess this
	Total Risk Assessment Score		168	



Query	Description	Prompt	Risk	Short
Query		T Compt	Score	Commentary by the Local Authority
4.1	Has a CCTV Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Sewer Conditions Inspections" and "Manual of Sewer Condition Classification"?	YES	0	Strategic level completed. Detailed surveys to be carried out under The Dun Laoghaire Sewerage Scheme Phase II
4.1.1	How many years has it been since the completion of the CCTV Survey	More than 10	10	CCTVs are carried out for operational purposes on an as needed basis
4.2	What was this CCTV Survey Information Used for?	Minimal survey tom determine the extent of problem sewers	5	Building the GDSDS model. Investigations for removal of blockages, flood relief schemes
4.3	Has the CCTV Survey been used to Assess the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network or targeted sections of the Sewer Network?	YES	0	
4.4	Have Performance criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term structural condition of the sewer network?	NO	5	
4.4.1	What known % of the Sewer Length contains Collapsed or sewers in imminent danger of collapse (grade 5)	UNKNOWN	30	
4.4.2	What known % of Total Sewer Length contains Sewers Likely to Collapse (Grade 4)	UNKNOWN	25	
4.4.3	What known % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Further Possible Deterioration (Grade 3)	UNKNOWN	10	
4.4.4	What known % of total Sewer Length contains sewers with Minimal Collapse (Grade 2)	UNKNOWN	5	
4.4.5	What known % total Sewer Length contains sewers of Acceptable Structural Condition (Grade 1)	UNKNOWN	5	
	If all % lengths are known, Check Total Length = 100%		75	
4.5	What % of the deficiencies, as detailed in Items 4.4.1, 4.4.2 and 4.4.3, have been rectified?	UNKNOWN	35	



4.6	Have the causes of the Structural Deficiencies (Grade	YES	10	
	3,4 and 5) been identified			
	Total Risk Assessment Score		140	

Ringsend Catchment - O&M Risk Assessment					
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	
5.1	Are complaints of an environmental nature recorded and held in a central database?	YES	0	Uploaded to EDEN as required	
5.2	Is there an emergency response procedure in place?	YES	0		
5.3	What has been the highest number of flooding events in the network due to hydraulic inadequacy, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/year	20		
5.4	What has been the highest number of flooding events in the network in any one year due to operational causes over the past 5 years	Once/yr	4		
5.5	What has been the highest number of known surcharging of sewers causing flooding to property or causing environmental pollution in the last 5 years	More than 5 times/year	20		
5.6	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents in the network over the past 5 years	Once /yr	2		
5.7	What has been the highest number of reportable incidents in any one year due to discharges for whatever reason from Pumping Station emergency Overflows in the network, over the past 5 years	More than 5 times/year	20	West Pier PS	
5.8	What has been the number of blockages in sewers in the network over the last year	0 - 0.01/km/yr	4	Estimate	
5.9	What has been the highest number of collapses in sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	Once /yr	4		
5.10	What has been the highest number of bursts in rising mains in the network over the past 5 years	NONE	0		
	Total Risk Assessment Score (RAS)		74		



Section 6.1 Summary of Risk Assessment Scores

Element	RAS	Risk Category	%Risk	Max Risk
			Score	Score
Section 2.1 Hydraulic Risk Assessment	67	Medium Risk	45	150
Section 3.1 Environmental Risk Assessment	158	Low Risk	32	500
Section 4.1 Structural Risk Assessment	140	High Risk	93	150
Section 5.1)&M Risk Assessment	74	Low Risk	37	200
Total RAS for Network	439	Low Risk	44	1000

If the total RAS is greater than 750, or if any of the individual RASs are greater than 75% of the Maximum Available Score, the risk category for the Network is graded "High Risk".



Annual Environmental Report 2014

Agglomeration Name:	Ringsend
Licence Register No.	D0034-01

PART 5

Meath County Council Functional Area





Table of Contents

Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014	AER 1
1.1 Summary report on 2014	1
Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary	2
2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring	2
2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration	3
2.3 Ambient monitoring summary	4
2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the U	Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive4
2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - report	for previous year 4
Section 3 Operational Reports Summary	5
3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report	5
3.2 Treatment Capacity Report	5
3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report	6
3.4 Complaints Summary	6
3.5 Reported Incidents Summary	7
3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP	8
Section 4. Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Ir	mprovements 9
4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection rep	ort 9
4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being develop	ed to meet the improvement
programme requirements.	10
Section 5. Licence Specific Reports	12
Section 6. Appendix	13



Section 1. Executive Summary and Introduction to the 2014 AER

1.1 Summary report on 2014

This Annual Environmental Report has been prepared for D0034-01, Ringsend, for the Meath County Council Functional Area in County Dublin in accordance with the requirements of the wastewater discharge licence for the agglomeration. Meath County Council discharges from Dunboyne, Clonee, Ashbourne, Ratoath and Kilbride into the Greater Dublin Area agglomeration.

Waste water from Ratoath and Ashbourne is pumped to Kilbride and from Kilbride it is pumped into the Dublin Network. Dunboyne is gravity fed to Clonee and from Clonee is gravity fed into the Dublin Network. The combined flows from Kilbride Pumping Station and the Clonee gravity sewer make up the "Total Meath Flow". For the purpose of this AER only the "Total Meath Flow" will be reported.

There are no specified assessments required in this Part of the Ringsend 2014 AER.

The Ringsend Agglomeration comprises the geographical area of Dublin City Council and sections of the functional areas of

- Fingal County Council
- South Dublin County Council
- Dun Laoghaire Rathdown County Council
- Meath County Council.

The Ringsend Agglomeration is served by a single waste water treatment plant (WWTP) which is located at Ringsend in the functional area of Dublin City Council.

The agglomeration is served by a wastewater treatment plant with a mean Design PE of 1.64 million.

The treatment process includes the following:-

- Preliminary treatment (including screening / grit removal), OFG removal
- Primary treatment
- Sludge treatment
- Secondary treatment SBR
- Tertiary treatment UV treatment during the bathing season.

The following improvement works were undertaken during 2014 in the Meath Functional Area:-

• Completion of Contract 5 Ashbourne/Ratoath/Kilbride Sewer rehabilitation project.

An Annual Statement of Measures is included in Appendix 6.1.



Section 2. Monitoring Reports Summary

2.1 Summary report on monthly influent monitoring

There is no influent monitoring required to be carried out in the Meath portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 2.1 - Influent Monitoring Summary

	BOD (mg/l)	COD (mg/l)	SS (mg/l)	TP (mg/l)	TN (mg/l)	Hydraulic Loading (m³/d)	Organic Loading (PE/day)
Number of Samples	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Annual Max.	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Annual Mean	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Significance of results

None.



2.2 Discharges from the agglomeration

No monitoring of discharges is required to be carried out for the Meath portion of the Ringsend Licence.

Table 2.2 - Effluent Monitoring Summary

	cBOD	COD	TSS	Ammonia	Ortho-P	Comment
	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	
WWDL ELV (Schedule A)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Number of sample results	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Number of sample results above WWDL ELV	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Number of sample results above ELV with Condition 2 Interpretation included	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Annual Mean (for parameters where a mean ELV applies)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Overall Compliance (Pass/Fail)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

Significance of results

None.



2.3 Ambient monitoring summary

There is no ambient monitoring required to be carried out for the Meath portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 2.3 - Ambient Monitoring Report Summary

Ambient Monitoring Point from WWDL (or as agreed with EPA)	Irish Grid Reference	EPA Feature Coding Tool code	Current EQS Status	Does assessment of the ambient monitoring results indicate that the discharge is impacting on water quality?
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Significance of results

None.

2.4 Data collection and reporting requirements under the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive

This is not required for the Meath portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

2.5 Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (PRTR) - 2014

This is not required for the Meath portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.



Section 3 Operational Reports Summary

3.1 Treatment Efficiency Report

There is no requirement under the Licence to prepare and submit a Treatment Efficiency Report associated with the Meath portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 3.1 - Treatment Efficiency Report Summary

	cBOD (kg/yr)	COD (kg/yr)	SS (kg/yr)	Total P (kg/yr)	Total N (kg/yr)	Comment
Influent mass loading (kg/year)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Effluent mass emission (kg/year)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
% Efficiency (% reduction of influent load)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	

3.2 Treatment Capacity Report

This is not required for the Meath portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 3.2 - Treatment Capacity Report Summary

rable 3.2 Treatment capacity Report Sammary	
Hydraulic Capacity – Design / As Constructed (dry weather flow) (m³/year)	n/a
Hydraulic Capacity – Design / As Constructed (peak flow) (m ³ /year)	n/a
Hydraulic Capacity – Current loading (m³/year)	n/a
Hydraulic Capacity – Remaining (m³/year)	n/a
Organic Capacity - Design / As Constructed (PE)	n/a
Organic Capacity - Current loading (PE)	n/a
Organic Capacity – Remaining (PE)	n/a
Will the capacity be exceeded in the next three years? (Yes / No)	n/a



3.3 Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

In this section Irish Water is required to report on the amount of urban waste water generated within the agglomeration. It does not include any waste water collected and treated in a private system and discharged to water under a Section 4 Licence issued under the Water Pollution Acts 1977 (as amended):

Table 3.3 - Extent of Agglomeration Summary Report

	% of p.e. load generated in the agglomeration
Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network	100%
Load collected in the agglomeration that enters treatment plant	100%
Load collected in the sewer network but discharged without treatment	Unknown

Load generated in the agglomeration that is collected in the sewer network is the total load generated and collected in the municipal network within the boundary of the agglomeration.

Load collected in the agglomerations that enters treatment plant is that portion of the previous figure which enters the waste water treatment plant

Load collected but discharged without treatment is that portion of the first figure which is discharged without treatment.

3.4 Complaints Summary

A summary of complaints of an environmental nature is included below.

Table 3.4 - Complaints Summary Table:

Number	Date & Time	Date & Time Nature of Complaint		Pate & Time Nature of Complaint Cause of Complaint		Actions taken to resolve issue	Closed (Y/N)
1	8 th May 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes		
2	20 th May 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes		
3	26 th May 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes		
4	8 th July 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes		
5	10 th July 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes		
6	17 th July 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes		
7	21 st Aug 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes		
8	25 th Aug 2014	Sewage malodour	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes		



9	8 th Sept 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes
10	11 th Sept 2014	Sewage malodour	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes
11	11 th Sept 2014	Sewage malodour	Unknown	Malodours passed	Yes
12	12 th Sept 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes
13	13 th Nov 2014	Sewage overflow	Blocked sewer	Sewer unblocked	Yes

3.5 Reported Incidents Summary

A summary of reported incidents is included below:

Table 3.5.1 - Summary of Incidents

Incident Type (e.g. non- compliance, emission, spillage, EO activation)	Incident Description	Cause	No. of Incidents	Corrective Action	Authorities Contacted	Reported to EPA	Closed (Y/N)
EO activation: Ratoath & Ashbourne pump stations	Pump failure	Pump station heavily flooded after extreme rainfall	1	Pumps replaced	IFI	Yes	Yes
EO activation: Castle Street Ashbourne Pump Station	Pump failure	Electrical failure at pump station	1	Electrical fault repaired	IFI	Yes	Yes

Note 1: For shellfish waters notify the Marine Institute (MI) Sea Fisheries Protection Authority (SFPA) Food Safety Authority (FSAI) and An Bord Iascaigh Mhara (BIM). This should also include any other authorities that should be contacted arising from the findings of any Licence Specific Reports also e.g. Drinking Water Abstraction Impact Risk Assessment, Fresh Water Pearl Mussel Impact Assessments etc.



Table 3.5.2 - Summary of Overall Incidents

Number of Incidents in 2014	2
Number of Incidents reported to the EPA via EDEN in 2014	2
Explanation of any discrepancies between the two numbers above	N/A

3.6 Sludge / Other inputs to the WWTP

This is not required for the Meath portion of the Ringsend agglomeration.

Table 3.6 - Other Inputs

Input type	m³/year	PE/year	% of load to WWTP	Is there a leachate/sludge acceptance procedure for the WWTP?	Is there a dedicated leachate/sludge acceptance facility for the WWTP? (Y/N)
Domestic /Septic Tank Sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Industrial / Commercial Sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Landfill Leachate (delivered by tanker)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Landfill Leachate (delivered by sewer network)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Waste sludges imported from nearby LA small WWTPs	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a

Notes:

- 1. Other Inputs include; septic tank sludge, industrial /commercial sludge, landfill leachate and any other sludge that is collected and added to the treatment plant.
- 2. <u>Sludge that is added to a dedicated sludge reception facility at a waste water treatment plant not included in Table 3.6</u>. Only include sludge which is added to the waste water treatment process stream. Enter zero where there are no inputs



Section 4. Infrastructural Assessments and Programme of Improvements

4.1 Storm water overflow identification and inspection report

In 2014, Meath County Council completed the Contract 5 Ashbourne/Ratoath and Kilbride sewer rehabilitation project. A SWO identification and inspection report has not been prepared as part of this AER.

Table 4.1.1 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

WWDL Name / Code for Storm Water Overflow	Irish Grid Ref.	Included in Schedule A4 of the WWDL	Signif- icance of the overflow (High / Medium / Low)	Compliance with DoEHLG Criteria	No. of times activated in 2014 (No. of events)	Total volume discharged in 2014 (m³)	Total volume discharged in 2014 (P.E.)	Estimated or Measured data
S.W 1 Meath	307000, 251960	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
S.W 2 Meath	307220 251800	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
S.W 3 Meath	306100, 252760	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
S.W 4 Meath	305890, 252230	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
S.W 5 Meath	302640, 251610	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
S.W 6 Meath	303240, 251560	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
S.W 7 Meath	306676, 245818	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
S.W 8 Meath	306330, 246270	Yes	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

Table 4.1.2 - SWO Identification and Inspection Summary Report

How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (m³/yr)?	Unknown
How much sewage was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in the year (p.e.)?	Unknown
What % of the total volume of sewage generated in the agglomeration was discharged via SWOs in the agglomeration in 2014?	Unknown
Is each SWO identified as non-compliant with <u>DoEHLG Guidance</u> included in the Programme of Improvements?	Unknown
The SWO assessment includes the requirements of Schedule A3 & C3	N/A
Have the EPA been advised of any additional SWOs / changes to Schedule C3 and A4 under Condition 1.7?	N/A



4.2 Report on progress made and proposals being developed to meet the improvement programme requirements.

There are no specified improvement works listed in schedule A or C of the WWDL and therefore a specified improvement programme summary is not required.

Table 4.2.1 - Specified Improvement Programme Summary

Specified Improvement Programmes (under Schedule A and C of WWDL)	Licence Schedule (A or C)	Licence Completion Date	Date Expired? (N/NA/Y)	Status of Works ((i) Not Started; (ii) At planning stage; (iii) Work ongoing on- site; (iv) Commissioning Phase; (v) Completed; (vi) Delayed)	% Construction Work Completed	Timeframe for Completing the Work	Comments
N/A							

A summary of the status of any improvements identified by under Condition 5.2 is included below.

Table 4.2.2 - Improvement Programme Summary

Improvement	Improvement	Improvement	Progress	Expected Completion
Identifier	Description	Source	(% completed)	Date
Install bigger	Install higger sumn	Condition 5.2.		
Install bigger sump drainage	Install bigger sump	Condition 5.2.		
•	drainage pumps a) at Ashbourne PS		95	June 2015
pumps	b) at Kilbride PS		95	June 2015
Daisa numns	· '	Condition 5.2.	95	Julie 2015
Raise pumps	Raise pumps electrical	Condition 5.2.		
electrical	contractor control			
contractor	boxes out of the wet			
control boxes out	well sumps;			
of the wet well	a) at Ashbourne PS		0	December 2015
sumps	b) at Kilbride PS		70	December 2015
Seal the leaking	Seal the leaking cable	Condition 5.2.		
cable ducts and	ducts and other points			
other points that	that flood the wet well			
flood the wet	sumps;			
well sumps	a) at Ashbourne PS		0	December 2015
	b) at Kilbride PS		0	December 2015



Improvements identified above also include measures taken to prevent environmental damage anticipated following events or accidents/incidents associated with discharges or overflows from the waste water works and as such are considered to fulfil any Statement of Measures requirements. Refer also to Appendix 6.1 which summarises the Annual Statement of Measures.

Table 4.2.3 - Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment Tool Summary

The Improvement Programme should include an assessment of the integrity of the existing wastewater works for the following:	Risk Assessment Rating (High, Medium, Low)	Risk Assessment Score	Comment
Hydraulic Risk Assessment Score	High	150	See Appendix 6.2
Environmental Risk Assessment Score	Low	138	See Appendix 6.2
Structural Risk Assessment Score	High	135	See Appendix 6.2
Operation & Maintenance Risk Assessment Score	High	158	See Appendix 6.2
Overall Risk Score for the agglomeration	High	581	See Appendix 6.2



Section 5. Licence Specific Reports

Licence Specific Reports Summary Table

Licence Specific Report	Required in 2014 AER or outstanding from previous AER	Included in 2014 AER	Reference to relevant section of AER
Priority Substances	Not Required in this Part		
Assessment	of AER		
Drinking Water Abstraction	Not Required in this Part		
Point Risk Assessment	of AER		
Habitats Impact Assessment	Not Required in this Part of AER		
Shellfish Impact Assessment	Not Required in this Part of AER		
Pearl Mussel Report	Not Required in this Part of AER		
Toxicity/Leachate	Not Required in this Part		
Management	of AER		
Toxicity of Final Effluent	Not Required in this Part		
Report	of AER		

Licence Specific Reports Summary of Findings

Licence Specific Report	Recommendations in Report	Summary of Recommendations in Report
Priority Substances Assessment	Not required	Not applicable
Drinking Water Abstraction Point Risk Assessment	Not required	Not applicable
Habitats Impact Assessment	Not required	Not applicable
Shellfish Impact Assessment	Not required	Not applicable
Pearl Mussel Report	Not required	Not applicable
Toxicity/Leachate Management	Not required	Not applicable
Toxicity of Final Effluent Report	Not required	Not applicable



Section 6. Appendix

Appendix 6.1 – Annual Statement of Measures

Appendix 6.2 – Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment



Appendix 6.1

Annual Statement of Measures

In 2014 Irish Water completed the contract 5 Ashbourne, Ratoath and Kilbride sewer rehabilitation project. In 2015 Irish Water intends to carry out improvement works to the Ashbourne and Kilbride pumping stations.

The need for further measures to prevent environmental damage will be reviewed on an annual basis.



APPENDIX 6.2

Sewer Integrity Risk Assessment



	Section 1.1 Agglomeration Details							
	Name	Insert Agglomeration Name			ame			
	Licence Number	Insert Licence Number and Revisions Number (eg D			umber (eg D00	145-02)		
	Insert Name of Catchment if the Risk Assessment is for part of an	Insert Catchment Name (e.g., Downtown Pumping Stat						
	agglomeration (only divide agglomeration where p.e. >5,000p.e.	Refer to G			r rules on division of large			
	and where such division is warranted)		agglor	nerations.				
	Date Licence Issued			ert Date				
	Current Date		Insert C Year	urrent Date Year	Year	Year		
	Waste Water Works - Wastewater Treatment Plant Details	Unit	2015	2016	2017	2018		
1.1	Is there an existing WWTP in operation?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	Section 1.2 BOD Loading & Population Equivalent							
1.2	Average Daily Influent Flow or Average Total Flow in system (If no measured data exists, insert estimated figure)	l/day, measured	10000					
1.3	Average Daily Influent BOD or Average BOD Load from area served (If							
	no measured data exists, insert estimated figure)	mg/l, measured	245					
1.4	Total BOD Load	kg/day	2.45					
1.5	Average Population Equivalent (@0.06kg/person/day)	p.e.	41					
1.6	Estimated (existing) Non-Domestic Load	p.e.	1					
1.7	Estimated Domestic Load	p.e.	40					
1.8	Occupancy Rate for the Agglomeration	pop/house	2.92					
1.9	Estimated Number of Connected Properties	houses	14					
1.10	Number of properties within the agglomeration when compared with CSO Data or An Post Geodirectory	houses	1000					
	Section 1.3 Hydraulic Details							
1.11	Average Dry Weather Flow arriving at WWTP OR Total Average DWF in system (If no measured data exists insert estimated figure)	l/s, measured	10					
1.12	Estimated 3DWF	l/sec	30.00					
1.13	Annual Average Peak Flow to WWTP or discharging from whole system if there is no existing WWTP	l/s, measured	185					
1.14	This Annual Average Peak as Multiples of Dry Weather Flow (Peaking Factor)	Nr	18.50					
1.15	Highest Peak Flow Recorded (Insert UNKNOWN if no records exist)	l/s	1200					
1.16	Does this Peak Flow (multiple of DWF) cause hydraulic capacity problems within the network ?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
1.17	Total Rainfall for Previous Year	mm	1200					
1.18	Comparison - Mean Annual Rainfall for the agglomeration	mm	1100					
1.18.1	Define the Weather Station Used	11011	Ardfarmon					
1.19	If Storm Water Storage is available at the Wastewater Treatment plant, what is the volume of the storm tank?	m ³	600					
1.20	Is the capacity of the storm tank sufficient to capture and retain all overflows to the tank?		No	No	No	No		
1.21	Total monthly average volume of Storm Water Stored or Returned for Treatment within the Waste Water Treatment Plant	m ³ per month	1200					
1.22	If the answer to 1.20 above is No, What is the estimated frequency of Overflows from the Storm Tank ? (N/A if no overflow)		> 5 times per month	< 1 per month	1 to 2 times per month	< 1 per month		



	Waste Water Works - Sewer Network Details	Unit	2015	2016	2017	2018
	Section 1.4 Waste Water Works - Gravity Sewer Details					
1.23	What database is used to maintain records of the sewer network		SUS 2000	SUS 2001	SUS 2002	SUS 2003
1.23.1	If other or combination of the above please describe	Describe	S2000 & Auto0	Cad		
1.24	Total length of sewers (use drop down menus to define whether these figures are estimated or measured)	km Estimated	6.70	0.00	0.00	0.00
1.24.1	Total length of sewers > 450mm Diameter	km Estimated	0.50			
1.24.2	Total length of sewers > 300mm but ≤ 450mm in Diameter	km Estimated	4.00			
1.24.3	Total length of sewers > 225mm but ≤ 300mm in Diameter	km Measured	1.00			
1.24.4	Total length of sewers ≤ 225mm in Diameter	km Estimated	1.20			
1.24.5	Other	km Estimated	Unknown			
1.25	Pipeline Material					
1.25.1	What portion of the sewer network consists of Concrete Pipes	% Estimated	10%			
1.25.2	What portion of the sewer network consists of Plastic Pipes	% Estimated	20%			
1.25.3	What portion of the sewer network consists of Clay materials	% Estimated	30%			
1.25.4	What portion of the sewer network consists of Brick Type Sewers	% Estimated	0%			
1.25.5	What portion of the sewer network consists of Other Materials	% Estimated	40%			
1.26	Total number of Storm Water Overflows (Enter '1' if none and state under Item 1.27 that there are no SWOs in the network; do not leave blank)	Nr	8			



1.27	What Screening or other mechanical devices are employed at the storm water overflows				
	SWO No located at	Describe			
1.28	Water Quality at the receiving waters				
	·				
1.28.1	Where the receiving water is a river - indicate the EPA Biological Rating of the Receiving Water for each SWO below (Particularly if				
	there is more than one receiving water within the agglomeration)				
	SWO No located at	Describe	Select Q		
1.28.2	Where the receiving water is a coastal water indicate the Status of the Receiving Water for each SWO below (Particularly if there is more than				
	one receiving water within the agglomeration)				
	SWO No located at	Describe	Select level		
	With reference to the SWO's detailed above define if the receiving				
1.28.3	waters are sensitive in accordance with the Urban Wastewater Treatment Regulations as amended.				
	SWO No located at	Describe	Sensitive		
	SWO No located at				
1.28.4	With reference to the SWO's detailed above define are the receiving waters Protected Areas (designated or awaiting designation)				
	SWO No located at	Designation			
1.28.5	With reference to the SWO's detailed above define do the receiving waters have any other designations.				
	SWO No located at	Designation			
1.29	Section 1.5 Waste Water Works - Pumping Stations Number of Pumping Stations (operated by the Local Authority)	Nr	10		
1.30	Total Length of Rising Mains (operated by the Local Authority)	km	1.2		
1.31	Rising Main Material What portion of the rising mains consists of ductile iron pipes	% Measured	100.00		
1.31.2	What portion of the rising mains consists of ductile non-pipes What portion of the rising mains consists of plastic pipes	% Measured	N/A		
1.31.3	What portion of the rising mains consists of other materials	% Estimated	N/A		
1.32	Discharge Capacity of the Pump Set (s) at normal duty point				
	At Pump Station at				
	What percentage of the pumping stations have recorded flow data (i.e.				
1.33	if all pumping stations have flow meters on the rising mains then this	%			
	would read 100%)		0.00%		
1.34	Available Storage Capacity at Pump Stations				
	At Pump Station at	m ³	10		
	Total Number of "Licenced Secondary Discharge Points and				
1.35	Stormwater Overflows" at pumping stations	Nr	1		
1.36	Total Number of "Emergency Overflow Points" at pumping stations	<u> </u>			
		Nr	1		
1.37	What Screening or other mechanical devices are employed at the secondary discharge points or emergency overflows?				
	alconarge points of childgeney of children				



	At Pump Station at	Describe	5mm Screen		
1.38	Water Quality at the receiving waters at each pumping station location				
	Where the receiving water is a river - indicate the EPA Biological Rating of the Receiving Water for each secondary discharge point or				
1.38.1	emergency overflow at each pumping station (Particularly if there is				
	more than one receiving water within the agglomeration)				
	At Pump Station at	Describe	Q5		
	Where the receiving water is a coastal water indicate the Status of the				
1.38.2	Receiving Water for each secondary discharge point or emergency				
	overflow at each pumping station (Particularly if there is more than one receiving water within the agglomeration)				
	and the second s				
	At Pump Station at	D	Enter Status		
		Describe	-		
	With reference to the pumping stations, for each secondary discharge				
1.38.3	point or emergency overflow detailed above, define if the receiving waters are sensitive in accordance with the Urban Wastewater				
	Treatment Regulations as amended.				
	At Pump Station at		Sensitive		
	At amp dation _ at		CCTSILIVE		
	With reference to the pumping stations, for each secondary discharge				
1.38.4	point or emergency overflow detailed above, are the receiving waters				
	Protected Areas (designated or awaiting designation) .				
	At Pump Station at	Designation			
		Designation			
4.00.5	With reference to the pumping stations, for each secondary discharge				
1.38.5	point or emergency overflow detailed above, do the receiving waters have any other designations.				
	At Pump Station 1	Designation			
		-			
	Estimated Number of Private Pumping Stations within the				
1.39	agglomeration (not operated by the Local Authority)	Nr	1		
	Section 1.6 Reporting				
	Section 1.6.1 Reported Number of Sewer Related Complaints				
1.40	Number of Reported Complaints	Nr	2		
1.41	Number of Reported Complaints Number of Reported Complaints which have been rectified	Nr	2		
	·				
	Section 1.6.2 Reported/Recorded/Estimated Number of Secondary				
1.10	Discharges	NI.	4		
1.42 1.43	Number of Reported Secondary Discharges Number of Recorded Secondary Discharges	Nr Nr	1		
1.44	Estimated Total Number of Secondary Discharges	Nr	2		
	Section 1.6.3 Reported/Recorded/Estimated Number of Emergency				
	Overflow Discharges from Pumping Stations				
1.45 1.46	Number of Reported Emergency Overflow Discharges Number of Recorded Emergency Overflow Discharges	Nr Nr	1		
1.46	Estimated Total Number of Emergency Overflow Discharges	Nr	2		
	Section 1.7 Operational Staff				
1.48	In the four boxes below, describe the extent of operation staff employed by the Local Authority to maintain and operate the sewer network and				
1.40	pumping stations				
	For example, 1 Nr. Fulltime Caretaker employed at General Operative Level (with basis H&S training) to operate & maintain the sewer				
1.48.1	network. 1 Nr. Part-time Caretaker employed as a Mechanical Fitter				
	(FETAC Level 5) to operate & maintain the pumping stations.				
			1		



	Waste Water Works - Investment Details	Unit	2015	2016	2017	2018
	Section 1.8 Capital Investment works carried out since most					
	recent report (including works not included on WSIP Programme					
	or not WSIP funded)					
1.49	Sewers Upgraded or Replaced	m	30			
1.50	Sewers Rehabilitated	m	1500			
1.51	Manholes Rehabilitated	Nr				
1.52	Local Repairs	Nr				
1.53	Total Length of sewers Upgraded, Replaced or Rehabilitated	m	1530			
1.54	Pumping Stations Operated by Local Authority Upgraded or Repaired	Nr	0			
1.55	WWTW operated by Local Authority Upgraded or Replaced	Nr	0			
1.56	In the following two cells describe the actual Capital Investment undertaken in the reporting period.					
1.56.1	For example : Sewer Rehabilitation Contract Works being undertaken under the WSIP					
1.56.2						
	Section 1.9 Licence Specified Improvements Works					
1.57	The Local Authority is required to report on the extent of Improvement Works which have been specifed under the Licence as issued by the EPA. Reference which AER contains this information					
	Section 1.10 Other Updates Since Last Report					
1.58	For example : 50% of the sewer network is currently being upgraded under the WSIP with an investment of €1.5m in 2010.					
1.59	For example : 2% of the sewer network is currently being replaced under the Local Authorities Annual Maintenance Fund					
1.60						
1.61						
1.62						



	Section 2.1 Hydraulic Risk Assessment							
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken			
2.1	Has a Hydraulic Performance Assessment been undertaken for the Sewer Network (e.g., Computer Model or other Engineering Design or Design Review)	No	40		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of developing a computer model or engineering design assessment of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answe is Yes proceed to Queries 2.1.1 to 2.1.4 inclusive			
2.1.1	If Answer to Query 2.1 is Yes, what % of the Network is covered by the hydraulic assessment ?	N/A	0		The % coverage of the Network by the Hydraulic Assessment can be estimated by the area assessed against the area served by the Network. ENTER "N/A" IF COMPUTER MODEL or DESIGN DOES NOT EXIST. DO NOT LEAVE BLANK OR ENTER "0".			
2.1.2	How many years has it been since the completion of the hydraulic assessment?	more than 10	0		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.			
2.1.3	Are the outcomes of the Hydraulic Assessment being implemented ?	No	0		Select N/A response if no design assessment or design exists.			
2.1.4	How many years has it been since the outcomes of the hydraulic assessment have been implemented ?	more than 10	0		Select N/A response if no hydraulic performance assessment or design exists. For onging works select "less than 5".			
2.2	Has a Dynamic Computer Model been used to Assess the Hydraulic Performance of the Sewer Network ?	No	10		Computer Model means a Hydroworks/Infoworks Model, Micro-Drainage Model or equivalent.			
2.3	Has a Manhole Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Manhole Location Surveys and the Production of Record Maps" ?	No	10		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Manhole Survey and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.2.1			
2.3.1	If yes, how many years has it been since the survey was undertaken or updated?	more than 10	0		Select N/A if no Manhole Survey has been undertaken. Enter N/A value for Confidence Grade if Prompt Box is "N/A"			
2.4	Has a Flow Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "A Guide to Short Term Flow Surveys of Sewer Systems" and "Contract Documents for Short Term Sewer Flows"?	No	20		If the answer is No assess the need and cost benefit of undertaking a Flow Monitoring Survey and complete Query 2.12. If answer is Yes Proceed to Query 2.5			
2.5	What was this Flow Survey Information Used for ?							
2.5.1	To Determine the extent of Problematic Sewer Catchments	Yes	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.			
2.5.2	To Verify a Computer or Mathematical Model of the Network	N/A	0		Select N/A if no Flow Survey has been undertaken.			
2.6	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term capacity of the sewer network?	No	10		If the answer is No assess the Future Needs of the Sewer Network and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.8			
2.7	How many flood events resulting from surcharge in the network have occurred in the past 3 years?	more than 6	10		Flood events in this context means water/sewage backing up from the Network causing flooding of properties or causing disruption of traffic			
2.8	Are there deficiencies in performance criteria within the sewer network ?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , Proceed to Query 2.10 and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.9			
2.9	Have the causes of these deficiencies in the Performance Criteria been identified and rectified ?	No	10		If the answer is No , consider further examination of the hydraulic model (if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.10			
2.10	Can the Hydraulic Assessment (defined in Query 2.1 above) be used to determine the benefit of reducing the contributory Impermeable Areas or extent of surface water contributions	No	10		If the answer is No, consider further development of the Hydraulic Assessment (or model if available) and complete Query 2.12. If the answer is Yes proceed to Query 2.11			
2.11	Has an Impermeable Area Survey been carried out for the agglomeration or parts of the agglomeration ?	No	10		If the answer is No, consider the need and cost benefit of undertaking an Impermeable Survey for parts of the agglomeration which are under hydraulic pressure and complete Query 2.12.			
	Total Risk Assessme	ent Score (RAS)	150					
2.12	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade Implementation Plan	In the AER	Attach Assess		Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate ments			
2.13								



	Section 3.	1 Environme	ntal Risk	Assessmen	t
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken
3.1	What Environmental or Discharge Quality Data is available with regard to the sewer network?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no discharges, secondary discharges or overflows from network; if discharges do exist complete Query 3.12
3.1.1	Do trade effluents discharge to the sewer network?	Yes	20		If the answer is No , proceed to Query 3.1.2. If the answer is Yes , Proceed to Query 3.2
3.1.2	Are there Storm Water Overflows within the network ?	No	0		If the answer is No, proceed to Query 3.1.3. If the answer is Yes, Proceed to Query 3.3
3.1.3	Are there Secondary Discharges within the network (excluding Emergency Overflows at Pump Stations)?	No	0		If the answer is No, proceed to Query 3.1.4.
3.1.4	Is there any evidence that exfiltration is occurring from the network?	No	0		If the answer is No, does all wastewater enter a wastewater treatment plant (insert summary details in the AER)? If Yes, Proceed to Query 3.6
3.2	If Answer to Query 3.1.1 is "Yes", what % of trade effluents have a licence to Discharge to the Public Sewer?	21 - 30%	28		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.1.1 is No. If not all trade effleunts are licenced, Local Authority should consider issuing and controlling such discharges under the appropriate Legislation.
3.2.1	Are all licenced trade Discharges compliant with their relevant licence and associated conditions	No	10		Answer N/A if none of the trade effluents are licenced. Answer No if this information is unknown. If the answer is Unknown or No , consider issuing a direction to the relevant Licencee. If the answer is Yes , no further action is needed.
3.2.2	If Answer to Query 3.2.1 is "No", state what % of Trade Discharges are NOT compliant with their relevant licence and associated conditions (where that non- compliance led to enforcement action)	25 - 50%	20		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.2.1 is Yes. If N/A is selected as answer to Query 3.2.2
3.3	In accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows", what % of storm water overflows in the system have been classified for their significance?	100%	0		If the answer is No, consider a review of each discharge within the sewer network complete and Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query 3.6
3.4	Have samples from any Secondary Discharges within the system been analysed?	No	30		Select N/A if no secondary discharges in system. If the answer to Query 3.4 is No, consider examining the quality of each secondary discharge within the sewer network complete Query 3.11. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query
3.5	What percentage of discharges from the system are known to cause environmental pollution of the receiving waters ?	< 10%	10		If the answer is greater than 50% then detail, in the AER, the Improvement Programme necessary to reduce this percentage.
3.6	In relation to possible exfiitration has a risk analysis of ground water contamination or pollution been undertaken?	No	20		answer is No, consider undertaking ground water risk analysis and complete Query 3.12 If the answer is Yes, prepared to Query 3.6
3.6.1	If Answer to Query 3.6 is "Yes", have any groundwater aquifers been identified in the area of the Network and/or Discharge Points?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.
3.6.2	If Answer to Query 3.6.1 is "Yes", state the classification of groundwater aquifer identified in the area?	N/A	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.
3.6.3	In relation to Query 3.6.1, is the aquifer used as a source for Public, Private or Group Water Supply Schemes?	No	0		Select N/A if no risk analysis of groundwater contamination has been undertaken.
3.7	Has an Impact Assessment of each Storm Water Overflow been undertaken in accordance with the DoEHLG paper "Procedures & Criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows" including setting performance criteria?	Yes	0		If the answer is No, consider assessing the risk category of the receiving waters. If the answer is Yes, proceed to Query 3.8 and provide summary details of the assessment in the AER.
3.8	What percentage of storm water overflows comply with the performance criteria referred to in Query 3.7?	N/A	0		Select N/A if answer to Query 3.7 is No or if there are no SWOs in system: (Risk Score is locked at 0 if no SWOs in system is stated in Agglomeration Details)
3.9	Have the causes of these Capacity Deficiencies (storm water overflows & Secondary Discharges) been identified ?	Yes	0		no SWOs in system. If the answer to Query 3.9 is No, consider further examination of the environmental
	Total Risk Assess	ment Score (RAS)	138		
3.10	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Upgrade Implementation Plan	In the AER Attach	n Assessment	of Needs and Re	habilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents
3.11	Provide Summary Details (in the AER) of records upstrean details can be	n and downstream included as part o			



	Sec	tion 4.1 Structu	ral Risk A	Assessment	
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken
4.1	Has a CCTV Survey been undertaken in accordance with WRc Documentation "Model Contract Document for Sewer Condition Inspections" and "Manual of Sewer Condition Classification"?	Yes	0		If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking CCTV Survey. If Yes Proceed to Query 4.2
4.1.1	How many years has it been since the completion of the CCTV Survey?	5 to 10	5		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "N/A" response
4.2	What was this CCTV Survey Information Used for?	Minimal Survey to Determine extent of Problem Sewers	5		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.1 is NO.
4.3	Has the CCTV Survey been used to Assess the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network or targeted sections of the Sewer Network?	Yes	0		If no CCTV has been undertaken, select "No" response. If the answer is No assess the need and benefit of undertaking an assessment of the Structural Condition of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Q
4.4	Have Performance Criteria been developed to determine the short, medium or long term structural condition of the sewer network?	No	5		If the answer is No, enter "unknown" in response to Queries 4.4.1 to 4.4.5; consider assessing the Future Needs of the Sewer Network. If the answer is Yes proceed to Queries 4
4.4.1	What % of the Total Sewer Length contains Collapsed or Imminent Collapse of Sewers (Grade 5)	unknown	30		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; If a sewer length contains a Grade 5 collapse, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
4.4.2	What % of Total Sewer Length contains Sewers Likely to Collapse (Grade 4)	unknown	25		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; If a sewer length contains a Grade 4 condition, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
4.4.3	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Further Possible Deterioration (Grade 3)	unknown	10		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; If a sewer length contains a Grade 3 deterioration, include the tota length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
4.4.4	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers with Minimal Collapse (Grade 2)	unknown	5		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length; If a sewer length contains a Grade 2 feature, include the total length of that sewer in calcuating the %. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
4.4.5	What % of Total Sewer Length contains sewers of Acceptable Structural Condition (Grade 1)	unknown	5		Insert Percentage of Overall Network Length. If information is not available type "Unknown" into Prompt Box
If all	% lengths are known, Check Total Length = 100%		75		If answers to Queries 4.4.1, 4.4.2 or 4.4.3 are above a set level, the RAS for Query 4 is automitically set at the maximum of 140.
4.5	What % of the deficiencies, as detailed in Items 4.4.1, 4.4.2 and 4.4.3, have been rectified ?	N/A	35		Select N/A if answer to Query 4.4 is No. If the answer is No, Proceed to Query 4.6 If the answer is Yes, what monitoring is in place to ensure continued acceptance of structural condition? Proceed to Query 4.7
4.6	Have the causes of the Structural Deficiencies (Grades 3, 4 and 5) been identified or is there a Preventative Maintenance Programme in place?	No	10		If the answer is No, consider further examination of the sewer network, the structural loading conditions, gradients and possible H ₂ S Formation. If Yes completed Query 4.7
	Total Risk As:	sessment Score (RAS)	135		
4.7 Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents					

4.7	Prepare Assessment of Needs & Sewer Rehabilitation Implementation Plan	In the AER Attach Assessment of Needs and Rehabilitation Implementation Plan as separate documents	



	Section 5.1 O&M Risk Assessment					
Query	Description	Prompt	Risk Score	Short Commentary by the Local Authority	Comment or Action to be Taken	
5.1	Are complaints of an environmental nature recorded and held in a central database?	No	20		Consider setting up Central Database for Complaints	
5.2	Is there an emergency response procedure in place?	Yes	0		Consider setting up target response times for dealing with Complaints	
5.3	What has been the highest frequency of flooding in the network due to hydraulic inadequacy, over the past 5 years?	3 times/yr	12		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.	
5.4	What has been the highest frequency of flooding in the network due to operational causes over the past 5 years?	5 times/yr	20		Refers to flooding from the Network only, not natural flooding from rivers/streams/high tides. Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.	
5.5	What has been the highest frequency of surcharging of critical sewers in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.	
5.6	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents in the network, over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.	
5.7	What has been the highest frequency of reportable incidents due to discharges, for whatever reason, from Pumping Station Emergency Overflows in the network, over the past 5 years?	3 times/yr	6		Select the highest number of events at any given Pumping Station in any 12 month period.	
5.8	What has been the highest frequency of blockages in sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	>0.25/km/yr	20		Select the highest number of events per km of sewer network in any 12 month period.	
5.9	What has been the highest frequency of collapses in sewers in the network over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.	
5.10	What has been the highest frequency of bursts in rising mains in the network over the past 5 years?	More than 5 times/yr	20		Select the highest number of events in any 12 month period.	
	Total Risk Ass	essment Score (RAS)	158			
5.11	Prepare Up Dated Operational and Maintenance Plan					

Section 6.1 Summary of Risk Assessment Scores						
Element	Risk Assessment Score	Risk Category	% Risk Score	Maximum Risk Score		
Section 2.1 Hydraulic Risk Assessment	150	High Risk	100%	150		
Section 3.1 Environmental Risk Assessment	138	Low Risk	28%	500		
Section 4.1 Structural Risk Assessment	135	High Risk	90%	150		
Section 5.1 O&M Risk Assessment	158	High Risk	79%	200		
Total RAS for Network	581	High Risk	58%	1000		

If the total RAS is greater than 750, or if any of the individual RASs are greater than 75% of the Maximum Available Score, the Risk category for the Network is graded "High Risk"



PART 6

Certification and Sign Off



Part 6. Certification and Sign Off

Table 6.1 - Summary of AER Contents

Does the AER include an executive summary?	Yes
Does the AER include an assessment of the performance of the Waste Water	
Works (i.e. have the results of assessments been interpreted against WWDL	Yes
requirements and or Environmental Quality Standards)?	
Is there a need to advise the EPA for consideration of a technical amendment /	V
review of the licence?	Yes
Irish Water will be seeking a review of the license and are commencing preparing studies in this regard.	
There is an additional SWO identified in the DLR sub-catchment.	
IW are seeking that the Doldrum bay discharge is to be considered as a secondary discharge within the Ringsend agglomeration discharging to coastal waters (not sensitive waters) with a greater than 70% reduction in BOD, IW will prepare and present a case to the EPA in this regard as part of a license review.	
Is there a need to request/advise the EPA of any modifications to the existing	
WWDL? Refer to Condition 1.7 (changes to works/discharges) & Condition 4	No
(changes to monitoring location, frequency etc.)	
List reason e.g. failure to complete specified works within dates specified in the	
licence, changes to monitoring requirements (insert lines as required)	
Have these processes commenced? (i.e. Request for Technical Amendment /	Nie
Licence Review / Change Request)	No
Are all outstanding reports and assessments from previous AERs included as an	N1/A
appendix to this AER?	N/A
List outstanding reports (insert lines as required)	N/A
3 -4	, , .

Declaration by Irish Water

The AER contains the following;

- Introduction and background to 2014 AER
- Monitoring reports summary.
- Operational reports summary.
- Infrastructural Assessment and Programme of Improvements.
- Licence specific reports.
- Certification and Sign Off
- Appendices



I certify that to the best of my knowledge the information given in this Annual Environmental Report is truthful, accurate and complete:

Signed: 4-gal

Date: 02/03/2015

Gerry Galvin

Chief Technical Advisor