ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT 2011

<u>Reporting Period 1st January – December 31st 2011</u>

Waste Transfer Station, John F. Connolly Road. Churchfield Industrial Estate, Cork Tel:- 021 4310333 Fax:- 021-4323068 E-mail:- <u>info@ashgroverecycling.ie</u>

Table of Contents

		Page No
1.	Introduction	
1.	1 1 Facility Details	4
	1.2 Waste Activities	4
2	Quantity & Composition of Wasta Composition	
4.	2.1 July $2002 = February 2003$	5
	$2.1 \ July 2002 - February 2003$ $2.2 \ 2004$	5
	2.2 2004	0 7
	2.5 2005	8
	2.4 2000	0
	2.5 2007	9 10
	2.0 2008	10
	2.7 2009	12
	2.8 2010	15
2	Summon Donort on Emissions	
з.	2 1 Emissions to Dublic Source	15
	3.1 Emissions to Fublic Sewer	15
	3.2 Four Effluent	15
	3.5 Surjace water 2.4 Noise Emissions	15
	5.4 Noise Emissions	15
	5.5 Dusi Emissions	15
	5.0 Locations	10
	5.7 Methoas	10
4.	Summary of Results & Interpretations	
	4.1 Foul Water	17
	4.2 Surface Water	17
	5.2.1 Toxicity Testing	18
	4.3 Interpretation of results	18
5.	Summary of Dust Monitoring	
	5.1 Graphs of Dust Results	19
	5.2 Conclusion	20
6.	Bi – Annual Noise Monitoring Report	21
	6.1 Noise Monitoring Results 13 th July 2010	24
	6.2 Noise Monitoring Results 13 th July 2010	
	6.3 Conclusion	25
7.	Environmental Management Plan	
	7.1 Introduction	27
	7.2 Purpose	27
	7.3 Schedule of Objectives & Targets 2011	29
	7.4 Benefits	

AER 2011	Ashgrove Recycling		
	7.6 Corrective Action Procedures	46	
8.	Bunded Tank Report 9.1 Drainage Integrity Testing	41	
9.	Recorded Incidents & Complaints 9.1 Complaints 9.2 Incidents	45	
11.	Review of Nuisance Controls 11.1 Odour 11.2 Litter 11.3 Noise 11.4 Flies 11.5 Mud 11.6 Rodents 11.7 Dust	$46 \\ 46 \\ 46 \\ 46 \\ 46 \\ 46 \\ 46 \\ 46 \\$	
12.	Resource & Energy Consumption 12.1Diesel Fuel Usage 12.2 Water Consumption 2009 12.3 Foul Water to Sewer	47	
13.	Financial Provisions	49	
14.	Staffing Structure	49	
15.	Programme for public Information	50	
16.	Noise Reduction Measures	50	
17.	Development & Infrastructural Works 17.1 Staff Training 17.2 Site Security	50 51	
18.	Plant Capacity	52	
19.	Appendices	53	

•

W0147-01

1. Introduction

Ashgrove Recycling operates a materials recovery facility / waste transfer station at Churchfield Industrial Estate, Cork.

The Company began operations in July 2002. The facility is Located in an Industrial Estate north of Cork City. The site prior to construction was a Greenfield site in industrial zoned land.

The site occupies 1.1 hectares and consists of a materials recovery building with associated offices and impermeable concreted surfaces. The operations at Ashgrove have positively helped the environment in diverting materials away from unnecessary land filling.

1.1 Facility Details

Licence Registration Number: -	W0147-01
Name: -	Ashgrove Plant Ltd, t/a Ashgrove Recycling
Location: -	John. F Connelly Road, Churchfield Industrial Estate, Cork
Reporting Period: -	1^{st} January – 31^{st} December 2011

1.2 Waste Activities

The waste streams that are processed at the facility are non hazardous. The facility does not accept liquid wastes. The majority of waste accepted at the facility is derived from construction and demolition activities, along with a smaller quantity of commercial and industrial waste streams.

Incoming waste is weighed on a Precia Molen weighbridge, and is then consigned to the material recovery building. The material is visually inspected to determine its compliance with waste acceptance criteria.

Large items of timber and metal are removed mechanically and placed in to designated containers. Material that is not readily separated is fed into the Viper 123 city sizer and the action of the vibrating screen box separates out the soils and the fines. Larger material that does not fall through the screen mesh is deposited onto a conveyor belt and passes underneath a powerful over band magnet, which in turn removes the metal fraction. From here the remaining material moves through a Viper picking station where recoverable material is manually picked and deposited into hoppers which conveys the material into suitable containers. Plastic, glass, wood, non ferrous metal are separated from the material and the remaining material consists of light fractions of paper and plastic, along with a mixture of rubble and stones.

As this mixture falls below from the end of the belt, a high velocity air stream blows the lighter material into a catch net. The heavier material falls below into an awaiting receptacle.

The recyclable material is brought to the respective industry for use as a raw material for further processing.

2.0 Quantity and Composition of Waste

2.1 July 2002 – February 2003

Wastes Received and consigned by the facility Period:- 8th July 2002 to 26th Feb 2003

Total Quantity of material handled (Incoming)	2,268,000 Kg	
Recovery	Weight/Kg	EWC Codes
Wood		15 01 03
Dunlee waste management	273,000.00	17 02 01
<u>_</u>		19 12 07
CTO Environmental Solutions	151,290.00	20 01 38
Candhaand/Danan		15.01.01
Cork Recycling	48,000,00	10 12 01
	+0,000.00	20.01.01
Glass		20 01 01
Cork Mini Skips	25.140.00	15 01 07
	- ,	17 02 02
		19 12 05
		20 01 02
Metals		
Cork Metal	154,140.00	15 01 04
		17 04 07
		19 12 02
		19 12 03
		20 01 40
Plastic		
Cork Recycling	22,000.00	15 01 02
		17 02 03
		19 12 04
		20 01 39
Derkhle/Cat		
Kubble/Soll	(47.070.00	17.01.01
Loitus Engineering, Kinsale Rd	047,070.00	17.01.02
	400,000.00	17.01.02
		17 01 05
		17 01 07
		17 03 04
Disposal		
Residual Material		
Kinsale Road Landfill	300,560.00	19 12 12
Transferred to other facilities for Recovery/Disposal		
Aherne Waste Management	170,000.00	20 03 01
Tyrone Recycling	76,800.00	15 01 05
		19 12 12
		20 010 8
		20 01 02

2.2 Wastes Received and consigned by the facility Period:- 01/01/04 to 31/12/04

Total Quantity of material handled (Incoming)	10,741,510Kg	
Recovery	Weight/Kg	EWC Codes
Wood		15.01.03
Dunlee waste management	667.240	17 02 01
Medite	12,180	1912.07
CTO Environmental Solutions	408 160	20.01.38
	100,100	200130
Cardboard/Paper		15.01.01
Cork Recycling	207 940	19 12 01
KRL	4 580	20.01.01
Glass	1,000	200101
MSM	9 190	15.01.07
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	17 02 02
		17.02.02
Metals		
Cork Metal	632 340	15.01.04
	032,340	17.04.07
		10 12 02
		1912.02
Destie		
Cork Posseling	18 380	15.01.02
	18,380	17 02 03
		17 02 03
Dubble/Soil		
Nubble/Soli	1.082.020	17.01.01
John Dunloo	2 160 460	17.01.02
John Duniee Desembre	102 470	17 01 02
Voughal	17560	17 01 03
Croop Weste	17,500	17.05.04
CTO	31260	20.02.02
Disposel	51200	20 02 02
Disposal Desidual Matorial		
Kinsele Road Landfill	400.000	10 12 12
Transferred to other facilities for	409,000	191212
Recovery/Disposal		
Greenstar	1 800 970	20.03.01
Tyrone Recycling	76 800 00	15 01 05
Lehane Environmental	641 760	19 12 12
Glanmire	27.890	20.010.8
Mulleadys	296.910	20 01 02
Longford	22440	20 01 02
Rosmore	75570	
Voughal	5820	
	476.840	
IXIXL	+/0,040	

2.3 Wastes Received and consigned by the facility Period:- 01/01/05 to 31/12/05

Total Quantity of material handled	10,741,510Kg	
(Incoming)	XX7 * 1 //X7	EWIC C. 1
Recovery	Weight/Kg	EWC Codes
Wood		15 01 03
		17 02 01
Medite	3,811,080	19 12 07
CTO Environmental Solutions	32,000	20 01 38
Cardboard/Paper		15 01 01
Glyntown	347,250	19 12 01
		20 01 01
Glass		
SFL	631,160	15 01 07
		17 02 02
Metals		
Cork Metal	1,140,160	15 01 04
		17 04 07
		19 12 02
Plastic		
Glyntown	86,740	15 01 02
		17 02 03
Rubble/Soil		
Dan Sheehan	14,453,530	17 01 01
John Butler		17 01 02
		17 01 03
		17 01 07
Green Waste		17 05 04
СТО	59.520	20.02.02
Disposal		
Residual Material		
Kinsale Road Landfill	409.000	191212
Transferred to other facilities for	10,,000	
Recovery/Disposal		
Mulleadys	5.341.730	20.03.01
Rossmore	5,511,750	15 01 05
Thorntons		19 12 12
Portlaoise		20 010 8
Rellymackay		200100
Банушаскеу		20 01 02

2.4 Wastes Received and consigned by the facility Period:- 01/01/06 to 31/12/06

Total Quantity of material handled		
(Incoming)		
Recovery	Weight/Kg	EWC Codes
Wood		15 01 03
Graingers	39,310	17 02 01
Wayerheuser, formellyMedite	2,830,790	19 12 07
CTO Environmental Solutions-Green	65520	20 01 38
Waste		
Timber - CTO Environmental Solutions	578700	
Mixed Dry Recyclables	1,270,040	
Thorntons		
Cardboard/Paper		15 01 01
Glyntown	443,640	19 12 01
		20 01 01
Glass		
SFL	263,520	15 01 07
Tullagower Recycling	920,580	17 02 02
Gypsum		
Cleanbuild	64,620	
Gypsum Industries	60,440	
Metals		
Cork Metal	1,155,040	15 01 04
Cable – National Recycling	246,240	17 04 07
		19 12 02
Bituminous Mixtures		17 04 01
John A Wood	75,177	
Plastic		
Glyntown	191800	15 01 02
Clearpoint	108,200	17 02 03
Rubble/Soil		
Con Cronin, Mourneabbey	4,132,890	17 01 01
John Butler	7,560,130	17 01 02
John A Wood	676,593	17 01 03
		17 01 07
Green Waste		17 05 04
СТО		20 02 02
Disposal – Residual Material		
Residual Material		
Ballaghveny	6,120,970	19 12 12
Mulleadys	84,740	
Rossmore	16,110	

2.5 Wastes Received and consigned by the facility Period:- 01/01/07 to 31/12/07

Total Quantity of material handled		
(Incoming)		
Recovery	Weight/Metric Tonnes	EWC Codes
Wood		15 01 03
Graingers		17 02 01
Wayerheuser, formellyMedite	4351.88	19 12 07
		20 01 38
Mixed Dry Recyclables		
Thorntons	4272	20 03 01
Cardboard/Paper		15 01 01
Glyntown		19 12 01
Cork Recycling, Lehenaghmore	474	20 01 01
Glass		
Tullagower Recycling	1448.86	15 01 07
		17 02 02
Gypsum		
Cleanbuild		
Gypsum Industries		
Metals		
Cork Metal	1672.22	15 01 04
Cable – National Recycling	30.32	17 04 07
		19 12 02
Bituminous Mixtures		17 04 01
John A Wood		
Plastic		
Glyntown	64	15 01 02
Clearpoint		17 02 03
Bernard O.Brien, Waterfall	24.02	
Rubble/Soil		
Con Cronin, Mourneabbey	3171	17 01 01
John Butler	7,403	17 01 02
Paudie Sheehan, Donoughmore	1428	17 01 03
Whites Cross	1037	17 01 07
Gypsum/Plasterboard		17 08 02
Cleanbuild	535	
Gypsum Recycling	96	
Dry Recyclables		
Thorntons		20 01 99
Clearpoint	200.38	
Disposal – Residual Material		
Residual Material		
Ballaghveny	1404.43	19 12 12
Youghal	6923.53	

2.6 Wastes Received and consigned by the facility Period:- 01/01/08 to 31/12/08

Total Quantity of material handled		
(Incoming)		
Recovery	Weight/Metric Tonnes	EWC Codes
Wood		15 01 03
Eirbloc	561	17 02 01
Wayerheuser, formellyMedite	2215	15 01 03
	1574	17 02 01
Waste recovery services Fermoy	119	20 01 38
WEEE		
Veolia Environmental	.09	16 02 14 / 20 01 36
Veolia Environmental	2,18	16 02 13* / 20 01 35*
Veolia Environmental	.05	20 01 21*
Veolia Environmental	4.31	16 02 14 / 20 01 36
BC Waste Management	4.28	16 02 14 / 20 01 36
-		
Mixed Dry Recyclables		
Thorntons	6991	20.03.01
AVR Safeway Youghal Co Cork	553	20 03 01
Cardbaard Danar	1425	
Caruboaru/Faper	1455	
Cork Recycling Lebenaghmore	55	20.01.01
Class	55	20 01 01
Clare Recycling	1632	15.01.07
	1052	
End of life tyres		
Crossmore Tyres	11	16.01.03
Mixture of Conc and Bricks		
Mallow Contracts	7707	17 01 07
Doint related materials		
Vaolia Environmental	54	15 01 10
veolia Elivirolimentai	.34	13 01 10
Metals		
Cork Metal	1659	17 04 07
Cable – National Recycling	12	17 04 11
National Recycling	30.33	17 04 02
Thornton's	81	15 01 04
Thornton's	37	15 01 04
Bituminous Mixtures		17 04 01
John A Wood	8.5	
Plastic		
Thorntons	318	15 01 02

Ashgrove Recycling

Cork Pecycling	22	17 02 03
	100	17 02 03
Bernard O Brien Waterfall	100	17 02 03
Rubble/Soil		
Mallow Contracts	17,500	17 05 04
John Butler	7,403	17 01 02
Paudie Sheehan, Donoughmore	1428	17 01 03
Whites Cross	1037	17 01 07
Gypsum/Plasterboard		17 08 02
Cleanbuild	166	
Recycleworks, St Margarets, Co.	458	17 08 02
Kildare		
Dry Recyclables		17 08 02
Thorntons		20 01 99
Clearpoint	200.38	
Disposal – Residual Material		
Residual Material		
Gortadroma Landfill	841	20 03 01
Youghal	5098	
Kinsale road	827	

2.7 Wastes Processed at Facility 2009

Total Quantity of material		
handled 2009 Inclusive		
Recovery	Weight/Metric Tonnes	EWC Codes
Wood		19 12 07
Eirebloc	609	17 02 01
Wayerheuser, formellyMedite	2635	20 01 38
Mixed Dry Recyclables		
Thorntons	6891	20 03 01
Cardboard/Paper		15 01 01
Cork Recycling, Lehenaghmore	282	20 01 01
		19 12 01
Glass		
Clare Recycling	1325	15 01 07
Glassdon	224	
Mixture of Concrete, Bricks&Tiles		
Mallow Contracts	8969	17 01 07
Plastics		
W.F Recycling	29	17 02 03
Bernard O'Brien	58	
Glyntown Enterprises	14.76	20 01 39
Cork Recycling	7	
Metals		
Aluminium - Cork Metal	5.98	17 04 02
Cable – Cork Metal	5.86	17 04 11
National Recycling	8.78	17 04 02
Mixed Metal – Cork Metal	1354	17 04 07
Gypsum		
Sandyhills Environmental	368	17 08 02
Soil & Stone		
Mallow Contracts	7337	17 05 04
Disposal		
Residual Waste		
Youghal Landfill	221	20 03 01
Gortadroma Landfill	4706	

2.8 Wastes Processed 2010

Total Quantity of material handled 2010 Inclusive		
Recovery	Weight/Metric Tonnes	EWC Codes
Wood		19 12 07
Eirebloc, Lisarda, Co. Cork. CK(S)503/07	1225.54	
Wayerheuser, Clonmel. P0027-02	860	
Mixed Dry Recyclables		
Thorntons, Killeen Rd, Dublin. W0242-01	802.54	20 03 01
Cardboard/Paper		
Country Clean Recycling Ltd	303.36	19 12 01
Glass		
Glassdon Ltd, Co. Antrim LN/08/103	1369.42	19 12 05
Mixture Concrete, Bricks&Tiles		
Mallow Contracts, Mallow Rd, Cork. CK (N) 277/05	9,752.56	17 01 07
Plastics		
W.F Recycling, Centre Park Rd, Cork. 01/09	30.4	19 12 04
Metals		
Aluminium - Cork Metal CK (S) 491/07	16.4	19 12 03
Ferrous Metal	842.4	19 12 02
WEEE		
CRT'S KMK Metals Recycling Co, Co. Offally. W0133-03	8.18	16 02 13
Gypsum		
Sandyhills Environmental, St Margarets, Co. Dublin WPT 112	175.2	17 08 02
Nurendale Ltd, t/a Panda, Navan, Co. Meath W0140-03	55.1	17 08 02
Soil & Stone		
Mallow Contracts, Mallow Rd, Cork. CK (N) 277/05	1494.62	17 05 04
Further Treatment of 19 12 12 - RDF		19 12 12
Greyhound Recycling, Clondalkin, Co. Dublin. W0205-01	2477.46	
Greenstar Recycling, Glanmire, Co. Cork. W0136-02	916.44	
Disposal		
Residual Waste		
Youghal Landfill, Foxhole, Co. Cork. W0068-02	609.84	19 12 12

2.9 Wastes Processed 2011

Total Quantity of material		
handled 2011 Inclusive		
Recovery	Weight/Metric Tonnes	EWC Codes
Wood	1900	19 12 07
Mixed Dry Recyclables	55.88	20 03 01
Cardboard/Paper	358	19 12 01
Glass	578	19 12 05
Mixture Concrete, Bricks&Tiles	11052	17 01 07
Plastics	40.72	19 12 04
Metals	858	
Aluminium - Cork Metal CK (S)	16.6	19 12 03
491/07		
WEEE	5.22	16 02 13
Gypsum	57.24	17 08 02
Further Treatment of 19 12 12 -	5787	19 12 12
RDF		

3.0 Summary Report on Emissions

3.1 Emissions to Public Sewers

There are no discharges directly to waters from the facility. Emissions are made to foul and surface water sewers only. Both effluent and surface water discharge are sampled at the facility.

Both effluent types pass through a class 2 interceptor prior to being discharged to the public sewer north of the facility. Foul water is cleaned of petrochemical contamination by passing through a 4000 litre full retention seperator.

4.2 Foul effluent

This consists of process effluent from waste handling activities within the MRF and of discharge (washings and surface water) from the bin washing area of the site. The effluent is monitored on a monthly basis as per conditions of licence W0147/01.

4.3 Surface water effluent

This originates from rainwater and washings coming from the areas of hard standing at the site and from rainwater roof discharge. This effluent type is emitted to surface water sewer running west-east direction along the northern boundary of the site. Runoff from the yard also enters this sewer; however it is passed through an interceptor prior to discharging to public sewer.

4.4 Noise Emissions

The only noise emissions emanating from site is when the recycling plant is in operation. This is used a couple of hours daily within the main recovery building. Acoustic cladding within recovery building reduces noise levels at sensitive receptors.

In conjunction with the acoustic cladding, the landscaping surrounding the site also helps to reduce the noise further.

Noise monitoring is conducted on site bi-annually and relevant reports are submitted to the Agency.

4.5 Dust Emissions

Dust generation on site is mainly attributable to windblown dust as the site is quite elevated. Vehicular movements within the facility on impermeable surfaces also contribute to dust nuisance. In dry windy conditions and sunny spells the hard standing areas and any problematic areas are sprayed with water using water bowser.

AER 2011

The probe atomiser within the recovery building reduces dust nuisance and sprays are focused on main stockpiles within the recovery building. Furthermore, the city sizer within the shed has a series of sprays focused on the screening area.

Dust monitoring on site is conducted three times annually and respective reports are submitted to the Agency.

4.4 Locations

Surface and foul water monitoring is carried out at two locations (S01 and S02) to the north of the site.

Noise monitoring is carried out at four locations of the site. Refer to noise monitoring locations in Appendices.

Dust monitoring is carried out at four points at site extremities, namely D1 to D4.

4.5 Methods

Foul water sampling is carried out be taking a grab sample belong the V notch weir when there is adequate flow. Surface water sampling was carried out by full submergence of the container into the surface water body. Samples were stored appropriately and transferred within 24 hours for analysis, conducted by Alcontrol Laboratories.

The results have been compared to the ELV,s contained in Schedule C and D of waste licence 147/1. All surface and foul water results were in compliance with the emission limit values contained in the licence.

5.0 Summary of Results & Interpretations – Environmental Monitoring

Monitoring Point	Grid Reference
F 01	165933 E 73611N

5.1Summary of Foul Water Effluent Analysis

Parameter	BOD	COD	Amm. Nitrogen	Suspended Solids	Sulphate	p.H	Temp	MBAS	F.O.Gs
Sampling Date	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	Mg/l		Degrees C	Mg/l	mg/l
13.01.11	128	346	5.11	86	224	7.4	9	0.966	11.1
24.02.11	74	212	12	57	112	7.2	10	1.94	
31.03.11	62.2	261	5.07	49.1	105	8.2	12	0.924	16.3
21.04.11	83	96.8	4.08	50	109	7.8	14	1.22	11.8
24.05.11	441	844	2.8	220	106	8	16	0.644	18.2
23.06.11	370	720	2.39	29.3	111	7.7	13	0.647	4.75
*									
31.08.11	64.4	1782	3.82	290	114	7.5	8	1.66	65.5
22.09.11	330	980	0.952	40	104	9	8	1.47	4.58
27.10.11	98.9	198	3.48	47.5	108	8.2	10	0.411	3.73
31.11.11	271	542	6.86	144	321	12	7.8	0.705	9.68
15.12.11	56.1	178	5.9	131	201	7.8	12	0.539	9.18

* Unable to obtain a representative sample due to the emptying of Interceptor

5.2 Summary of Surface Water Effluent Analysis

Sampling Date	BOD Mg/l	Suspended Solids Mg/l	Am. Nitrogen NH 4 Mg/l	рН	Mineral Oils ug/l
15.12.11	8.42	18	0.975	7.6	349
22.09.11	28	5.33	0.871	8.2	205

5.2.1 Foul Water Toxicity Test

Test Parameter	Analytical Technique	Result / Toxic Units
Toxicity (Daphnia Magna) 48h EC 50	EC 50	<1
Toxicity (Vibrio Fischeri) 30 Mins	EC 50	4.1

5.3 Interpretation of Results:-

Foul water results have been within the Emission Limit Values as specified within the licence for 2011, with the exception of sulphate, which exceeded the 100mg/l sulphate trigger value on one occasion in 2011.

However, we are confident that the discharge and associated sulphate concentration had no adverse effect on the immediate environment as the waste water discharged is subsequently conveyed to Cork City Councils waste water treatment plant located at Carrigrenan, Little Island, Co. Cork. Sulphate levels of this magnitude have no immediate threat is based on the EU drinking water standards issued in 1998 specifying a concentration of 250mg/l of sulphate for drinking water intended for human consumption.

Segregation of waste streams that may give rise to elevated sulphates has been isolated so as to prevent ingress of sulphates within the Drainage system.

BOD and COD levels are all well within allowable limits. This is also applicable for the other parameters that require testing under licence conditions.

A Technical Amendment was granted by the Agency

Testing carried out by Cork City Council to determine if effluent is within limits as specified within discharge licence W.P. (S) 608/09 have demonstrated that they are all within the specified limits.

The interceptors are cleared of contents normally on an annual basis and drainage inspections all help positively in achieving compliance and identifying the time for the clearing of interceptor contents.

Suspended solids were quite low and this could be contributable to regular sweeping of yard by a Contractor with a suction mechanical sweeper. Additionally, the silt trap was made larger in the main recovery building which helps trap fines being discharged to monitoring chamber.

Surface water results for September and December were all within the trigger levels as specified for the following parameters within the facility licence.

Quarterly monitoring reports are submitted to the Agency and the final quarter foul monitoring report for 2011 can be constituted in this report.

6.0 Summary of Dust Monitoring

The dust gauges were set up at the locations D1, D2, D3 and D4 as specified in Table D1.1 of the Waste Licence. The gauges were erected such that the containers were 1.8 m above ground level. The containers were exposed for a 30 day period.

D1: This sample location is sited at the southeast corner of the site.

D2: This sample location is sited at the northwest corner of the site.

D3: This sample location is sited at the southwest corner of the site.

D4: This sample location is sited at the northeast corner of the site.

Monitoring Point	Easting	Northing
D1	166017	73499
D2	165915	73549
D3	165941	73484
D4	165990	73619

The results of the dust monitoring are outlined in the tables below.

July 2011 – 30 Day Composite

Table 4.1: Dust Monitoring Event No. 1 Units:- mg/m²/day

Location /	Organic	Inorganic	Total Particulates
Parameter	Particulates	Particulates	
D1	40	99	139
D2	19	58	77
D3*			
D4	33	48	81

D3* Vandalised during monitoring period

AER 2011

Table 4.2: Dust Monitoring Event No. 2 Period:- September 2011 30 Day Composite Units:- mg/m²/day

Location /	Total Particulates
Parameter	
D1	324
D2	152
D3	327
D4	330

Table 4.2: Dust Monitoring Event No. 3 Period:- July 30 Day Composite Units:- mg/m²/day

Location /	Organic	Inorganic	Total Particulates
Parameter	Particulates	Particulates	
D1	25	60	85
D2	30	47	77
D3	19	39	58
D4	27	59	85

6.2 Conclusion:-

The on-site dust levels when monitored as required in Schedule D2 of waste Licence W0417/01 are within the trigger levels of $350 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{day}$. This was achieved by regular spraying of the yard during dry gusty conditions and this in conjunction with yard sweeping using mechanical sweeper.

7.0 Noise Monitoring

INTRODUCTION

Glenside Environmental was commissioned by Ashgrove Recycling to conduct a bi-annual noise survey at their premises at John F Connelly Road, Cork. This survey was conducted to comply with the requirements of the Waste Licence for the facility. The licence (register no. W0147-1) was issued by the Environmental Protection Agency to the company in March 2002.

SURVEY DETAILS

The following are the details of the survey as carried out at Ashgrove Recycling on the 30th May 2011. The survey was carried out in accordance with the EPA Noise Survey Guidance Document 2006.

Measurements

Patrick Power B.Sc MIOA carried out measurements at the locations in Schedule D of the licence. All measurements were carried out in accordance with ISO 1996 and EPA Noise Survey Guidance document as specified in the waste licence for the facility.

<u>Equipment</u>

The survey was carried out with a Bruel & Kjaer 2260 Investigator Sound Level Meter. The unit was calibrated before and after use. The instrument was calibrated with a Bruel & Kjaer Type 4231 Sound Level Calibrator, in accordance with ISO 1996-1: 1982 prior to commencing the survey using the recommended calibration procedure and a known pure tone noise source.

Permitted Noise Limits

Table 2.2 below shows the permitted noise levels acceptable outside the site boundaries as given in Schedule D of the waste licence for the facility.

Table 2.1: Noise Monitoring Frequency & Technique

Parameter	Monitoring Frequency	Analysis Method/Technique
L _{Aeq} [30 minutes]	Bi-annual	International Standards
L _{A10} [30 minutes]	Bi-annual	Organisation. ISO 1996.
L _{A90} [30 minutes]	Bi-annual	Acoustics – Description and
Frequency Analysis (1/3 Octave Band Analysis)	Bi-annual	Noise. Parts 1, 2 and 3.

The noise emission limits are given in Schedule C of the licence and are tabulated below.

Table 2.2: Noise Emission Limits

Day dB(A) L _{Aeq} [30 minutes]	Night dB(A) L _{Aeq} [15 minutes]
55	45

Noise Monitoring Locations

The following is a description of the noise sensitive locations monitored during the bi-annual noise survey and the locations are illustrated in map in Appendix II.

Location	Description
M1 (E 166056 N 73491	On roadside close to FÁS training center, east of the Ashgrove recycling facility
M2 (E 165915 N 73549	At the "old roundabout" to the west of the facility perimeter
M3 (E 166283 N 73727)	Upper Fair Hill Road adjacent to Fair Green
M4 (E 165868 N 73758)	Outside houses on Nash's Boreen

RESULTS

Ambient Measurements

The results of the noise monitoring at locations M1-M4 is presented in octave band data below. The 1/3 octave band data is presented in Appendix A.

 Table 3.1 Ambient Measurements (Locations M1 - M4)

Monitoring Location	Time and Date	L Aeq, 30min dB(A)	L A90, 30min dB(A)	L A10, 30min dB(A)
M1	30/05/11 10:08-10:38	60.0	50.2	61.0
M2	30/05/11 09:33-10:03	62.3	51.8	64.0
M3	30/05/11 10:46-11:16	65.2	49.7	67.2

AER 2011		V	<u>0147-01</u>		
M4	30/05/11 11:22-11:52	53.2	39.6	55.4	

OBSERVATIONS

Location M1

Measurements at location M1 were recorded on the location of the old roundabout outside entrance to the Ashgrove facility. Truck movements associated with the Ashgrove facility contributed to the ambient levels while regular movements to local industrial areas also influenced the noise levels. Distant traffic noise established the background noise level.

Operational noise from the Ashgrove facility was not considered significant. The L_{Aeq} was recorded at 60.0dB(A). The background noise was recorded at 50.2dB(A).

Location M2

Local traffic movements within the industrial estate close to the entrance of the Ashgrove facility, contributed to the ambient noise levels at M2. The noise associated with the Ashgrove activities were not considered significant at this location. The average noise level was recorded at 60.0dB(A) and the L90 was 50.2dB(A). The background noise level indicates that the specific noise from the Ashgrove premises is within the 55dB(A) limit as specified in the Waste Licence.

Location M3

At location M3 the traffic on the Upper Fairhill Road was the dominant source of noise. The high L_{AF10} levels are an indication of traffic noise. There was no contribution from the Ashgrove facility at this location. The L_{Aeq} was recorded at 65.2dB(A).

Location M4

There was no noise audible from the Ashgrove facility at his location. The average noise levels were influenced by intermittent local passing traffic and the background levels were influenced by the distant traffic from the Mallow Road. The L_{Aeq} was recorded at 53.2dB(A) and the L_{90} was 39.6dB(A).

CONCLUSIONS

AER 2011

Ashgrove Recycling

W0147-01

There was no audible noise from the facility at 3 of the 4 monitoring locations. At location M2 close to the facility the average noise level was recorded at 62.4dB(A). Traffic movements and operational noise influenced the average noise levels. The area is zoned industrial and other local industry also contributed to the ambient levels.

In conclusion the noise levels emanating from the Ashgrove facility are considered not to be impacting on local sensitive areas.

November Monitoring

RESULTS

Ambient Measurements

The results of the noise monitoring at locations M1-M4 is presented in octave band data below. The 1/3 octave band data is presented in Appendix A.

Monitoring Location	Time and Date	L Aeq, 30min dB(A)	L A90, 30min dB(A)	L A10, 30min dB(A)
M1	29/11/11 14:35-15:05	63.3	51.3	62.0
M2	29/11/11 14:07-14:27	64.1	53.5	62.5
M3	29/11/11 15:53-16:08	64.3	47.8	66.9
M4	29/11/11 15:17-15:47	49.8	41.4	52.3

Table 3.1 Ambient Measurements (Locations M1 - M4)

OBSERVATIONS

Location M1

Measurements at location M1 were recorded on the location of the old roundabout outside entrance to the Ashgrove facility. Truck movements associated with the Ashgrove facility contributed to the ambient levels while regular movements to local industrial areas also influenced the noise levels. Distant traffic noise established the background noise level.

Operational noise from the Ashgrove facility was not considered significant. The L_{Aeq} was recorded at 60.0dB(A). The background noise was recorded at 63.3dB(A).

Location M2

Local traffic movements within the industrial estate close to the entrance of the Ashgrove facility, contributed to the ambient noise levels at M2. The noise associated with the Ashgrove activities were not considered significant at this location. The average noise level was recorded at 64.1dB(A) and the L90 was 53.5dB(A). The background noise level indicates that the specific noise from the Ashgrove premises is within the 55dB(A) limit as specified in the Waste Licence.

Location M3

At location M3 the traffic on the Upper Fairhill Road was the dominant source of noise. The high L_{AF10} levels are an indication of traffic noise. There was no contribution from the Ashgrove facility at this location. The L_{Aeq} was recorded at 64.3dB(A).

Location M4

There was no noise audible from the Ashgrove facility at his location. The average noise levels were influenced by intermittent local passing traffic and the background levels were influenced by the distant traffic from the Mallow Road. The L_{Aeq} was recorded at 49.8dB(A) and the L_{90} was 41.4dB(A).

CONCLUSIONS

There was no audible noise from the facility at 3 of the 4 monitoring locations. At location M2 close to the facility the average noise level was recorded at 64.1dB(A). Traffic movements and operational noise influenced the average noise levels. The area is zoned industrial and other local industry also contributed to the ambient levels.

In conclusion the noise levels emanating from the Ashgrove facility are considered not to be impacting on local sensitive areas.

8.0 Environmental Management Plan / Schedule of Targets & Objectives

8.1 Introduction:-

This Environmental Management Plan was prepared to ensure compliance with Condition 2.3 of EPA Waste licence Registered Number 147/1 with respect to activities concerning:-

Ashgrove Recycling, John. F. Connolly Road, Churchfield Industrial Estate, Churchfield, Cork.

The Environmental Management System involves the implementation of a system, which forms the basis for continuous, structured and quantifiable improvement in a facilities environmental performance.

Ashgrove Recycling operates a Materials Recovery Facility in conjunction with a skip hire business at Churchfield Industrial Estate, Cork. The waste, which is collected primarily from Construction/Demolition and Commercial Activities within the functional areas of Cork City and County Council, is separated into recyclable and unrecoverable fractions at the facility.

The redeemable material is transferred to various associated industries for recycling with the residual unrecoverable materials being sent for Solid Recoverable Fuel Production.

8.2 Purpose

The purpose of this Environmental Management Programme is to ensure that the schedule of targets and objectives are supported and fully implemented throughout the company. It will help the company achieve continual improvement in an environmental context. It will provide the facility with the framework to operate in conjunction with the waste licence and strive to achieve good environmental practice for the operation of the facility.

The development of a set of comprehensive procedures for Ashgrove Recycling across all departments continued from what was initiated in 2004. A number of additional procedures have been implemented at Ashgrove Recycling Centre in relation to facility operations in 2005. These include;

- a) **Communications Procedure** This procedure ensures that effective and responsive measures are in place at Ashgrove Recycling Centre to deal with requests for environmental information at the facility.
- b) Vehicle Emergency Response Procedure This procedure is designed to protect driving employees during emergency situations.
- c) **Fire Extinguisher Installation Standard** This procedure has unified the way in which fire extinguishers are mounted in Ashgrove Recyclings facility. The standard complies with all aspects of I.S.291: 1986, the Irish standard for the use, sitting, inspection and maintenance of portable fire extinguishers.
- d) **No Smoking Procedure** This is an important procedure for the protection of the safety of all employees. All aspects of the Public Health (Tobacco) Act 2004 are considered in this procedure and bring the Ashgrove Recycling Operations into line with the requirements of the Act.

Ashgrove Recycling

- e) **Hot Works Permit Procedure** In conjunction with the No Smoking Procedure, a 'Hot Works Procedure' is now in place at Ashgrove Recycling operations. This is to ensure that all the appropriate measures are taken to reduce the risk of fire in the event of hot works taking place.
- f) Control of Sub Contractors As all employees are exposed to the hazards and risk that the Ashgrove Recycling operations present, so to are all contractors that work on our sites. This procedure will ensure that the contractors that are brought onto work on Ashgrove Recycling operations are trained, certified and experienced operators of there equipment. It will also ensure that the standard of sub-contractors that Ashgrove Recycling utilises, comply with all aspects of the law in regards to there operations whilst on Ashgrove Recycling operations.

8.3 Schedule of Objectives and Targets 2012

<u>Objectives</u>	<u>Targets</u>
1. Increase area of concreted surface, i.e.:-	To increase concrete impermeable
Impermeable Hard standing at the	hardstanding to the East of the Material
facility	Recovery Building.
2. To improve and Maintain	To ensure all Employees receive annual
Environmental Management at	Environmental Training.
Ashgrove's Facility	
3. Reduce litter within and around the site	Eradicate all extraneous litter from
vicinity	Ashgroves activities in and around the site.
	Carry out daily Inspections.
4. Reduce emissions from the development	Reduce dust emissions to the atmosphere by
	10% from the beginning of 2008. Implement
	further dust suppression equipment
5. Reduce instances of hazardous waste	Negotiate with customers. Site audits to
coming to the facility	identify non-compliant waste streams and
	report back to site/facility manager
6. To eliminate adverse spillages of engine	To reduce incidences of oil spillage by
oil	regular checks and providing suitable
	bunding.
7.To Establish and Maintain suitable site	Label and provide safe access to sampling
Infrastructure at the Facility	and monitoring points.
	Demonstrate that storage tanks are labelled
	and impervious to materials stored within.
	Integrity Testing every 3 years.
8 Regularise vehicular movements	Installation of Traffic Barriers at
within the facility and make safe	weighbridge. Regularly check reversing siren
	on vehicles. Use of Signage. Pedestrian
	Walkways.

Objective 1:- To improve and maintain Environmental Management & Training at the Facility

Advantages to implement objective:-	The success of the project will yield several benefits to the environmental performance of the facility. The concreting development will prevent the development of mud nuisance, and prevent runoff, etc from entering groundwater and possibly effecting soils. <i>Note:- Hard standing to conform to British Standard 8110</i> In keeping with the EPA Guidance note for Annual Environmental Report, Ashgrove have set targets, which are "demanding". It should be noted that the targets set in the EMP are just "targets". However, Ashgrove will endeavour to make every reasonable effort to achieve the set targets. To have 500m ² east to Material Recovery Building Concreted within 1 year.						
Target:-	Task 1:- Identify area to be concreted and calculate area.						
Programme for	Task 2 :- Take levels to identify areas that need fill						
achieving Target	Task 3:- Divide into sections and prepare construction schedule and bill of quantities.						
	Task 4:- Calculate flow rates for maximum rainfall intensity from Irish climatic data and identify if current interceptor is of sufficient size to cope with surface water runoff.						
	Task 5:- Installation of silt traps and associated works.						
	Task 6:- Order steel, aggregate and 30N Concrete						
	Task 7:- Obtain quotations from Concrete Contractors, subsequent to identifying best tender, set date for commencement of works.						
Responsibility for Project:-	The facility Manager and Environmental Manager are responsible for implementing this project, through to project completion.						

Table 1.1:-												
2012	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task 1												
Task 2												
Task 3												
Task 4												
Task 5												
Task 6												
Task 7												

Objective 2:- To improve and maintain Environmental Awareness & Training at the Facility

Advantages to implement objective:-	The success of this objective will yield various benefits in making all employees familiar with the operational aspects of the facility and the importance of carrying out these with regard to the Environment.
Target:-	To provide Environmental Training annually to all employees and maintain this training whilst the facility is operational.
Programme for achieving Target	Task 1:- Identify scope of training Required Task 2:-
	The Facility Manager is responsible for implementing this project with the
Responsibility for Project:-	assistance of the Waste Controller. The Waste Inspector will assist with Task 2. It will be the responsibility of the Environmental Manager to assist with providing information to the Agency in relation to proposed destination for recovered materials.

Table 2.1:-

2012	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	August	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task 1												
Task 2												
Task 3												
Task 4												
Task 5												
Task 6												

г

Objective 3:- Reduce litter within and around the site vicinity

Advantages to implement objective:-	It's a stipulation of the waste licence under condition 7.4 that all loose litter not permitted by the licensee shall be removed from the vicinity of the facility as soon as possible. This type of litter is visually unacceptable and has the potential to create a nuisance.
Target:-	In keeping with the EPA Guidance note for Annual Environmental Report, Ashgrove have set targets, which are "demanding". It should be noted that the targets set in the EMP are just "targets". However, Ashgrove will endeavour to make every reasonable effort to achieve the set targets. To maintain a well maintained site, site boundary and access roads while removing extraneous material from causing visual intrusion and possibly becoming an attraction for vermin.
Programme for achieving Target	 Task 1:- Evaluate regularly the situation with respect to litter. It may be windblown or illegally dumped. Task 2:- Nominate litter patrol personnel. Task 4:- Discuss abatement measures with other adjoining facilities that may be a source for some of the litter. Task 5:- Check quality of all netting and replace if necessary. Task 6:- Check Integrity of fencing, and also monitor the growth of trees as this provides screening. If necessary, feed trees with phostrogen to encourage growth and speed up maturing process. The Waste Controller is responsible for the implementation of this program. The Environmental Manager will assist with Tasks 1, 4 and 5.
Responsibility for Project:-	

2012	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task 1												
Task 2												
Task 4												
Task 5												
Task 6												

Objective 4:- Reduce emissions from the development

Advantages to implement objective:-	Condition 6.2 of the Waste Licence requires that all the activities shall be carried out in a manner such that emissions do not result in significant impairment of, or significant interference with the environment beyond the facility boundary. In keeping with the EPA Guidance note for Annual Environmental Report.
Target:-	Ashgrove have set targets, which are "demanding". It should be noted that the targets set in the EMP are just "targets". However, Ashgrove will endeavour to make every reasonable effort to achieve the set targets. To curb emissions to well within the limits prescribed by the waste licence. To remove any possibility of future emissions being released and having an adverse environmental impact.
_	Task 1 – evaluate and compare current monitoring results with licence limits and current monitoring results with licence limits and current handling figures of the facility.
Programme for achieving Target	Task 2 – Identify any problems areas that exist with adverse emissions to the environment.
	Task 3 – Identify sources / processes that may lead to problematic results.
	Task 4:- Evaluate the effectiveness of all emission abatement equipment currently installed.
	Task 5 :- Discuss any findings with the Agency
	Task 6:- Install, subject to approval from the Agency, any abatement equipment deemed necessary by the Agency.
	Task 7:- Look into possibility of installation of an electrical generator and power machinery from this to reduce source noise emissions.
	The Environmental Manager will have responsibility for this project. Any implementations will be overseen by the Facility Manager subsequent to being approved by the Agency
Responsibility for Project:-	

2012	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task 1												
Task 2												
Task 3												
Task 4												
Task 5												
Task 6												

Objective 5:- Reduce instances of hazardous waste coming to the facilit	ty
	_

Advantages to implement objective:-	Condition 1.6 of the Waste Licence states that " <i>No hazardous wastes or liquid wastes shall be accepted at the facility</i> ". Ashgrove Recycling & Waste Management does not wish to allow hazardous waste streams enter the facility.				
Target:-	In keeping with the EPA Guidance note for Annual Environmental Report, Ashgrove have set targets, which are "demanding". It should be noted that the targets set in the EMP are just "targets". However, Ashgrove will endeavour to make every reasonable effort to achieve the set targets. To reduce instances of hazardous material arriving at the facility.				
Programme for achieving Target	 Task 1 – Evaluate current situation with regard to level hazardous waste arriving at the facility. Task 2 – Identify sources & Problematic customers where the majority of the identified waste streams come from. 				
	Task 3 – Compile Report on findings (Sources of hazardous waste). Task 4:- Arrange meetings with problematic customers to discuss situation and				
	findings. Task 5:- Assist customer with approach to removing problematic waste from Ashgrove Recycling Receptacles.				
	Task 6:- Review effectiveness of the above regularly. Task 7:- Incorporate waste acceptance criteria on waste acceptance letters				
Responsibility for Project:-	The Facility Manager is responsible for the implementation of this project. The waste controller will be responsible for communications with customers. The waste inspector will assist with inspection and identification of customers who offend regularly. Environmental Manager will advise in accordance with the Waste Licence Regulations.				

2012	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task 1												
Task 2												
Task 3												
Task 4												
Task 5												
Task 6												
Task 7												

Objective 6:- Eliminate the possibility of adverse spillages

Advantages to implement objective:-	To eliminate the ingress of oil, etc to sewers in the event of a spillage. Also this will help achieve some ELV parameters not being exceeded.					
Target:-	In keeping with the EPA Guidance note for Annual Environmental Report, Ashgrove have set targets, which are "demanding". It should be noted that the targets set in the EMP are just "targets". However, Ashgrove will endeavour to make every reasonable effort to achieve the set targets.					
	To reduce instances of spillages occurring at the facility, and prevent the ingress of spilt liquids into drainage system.					
Programme for	Task 1:– Communication with Mechanic and Maintenance Manager to identify systems that may produce spillage of oil.					
acmeving Target	Task 2:- Agree with a maintenance schedule and a checklist to identify hydraulic piping systems, etc.					
	Task 3: – Conduct Staff Training and also issue copies of procedure for cleaning up spillages.					
	Task 4:- Review effectiveness of the above regularly.					
Responsibility for Project:-	The Facility Manager is responsible for the implementation of this project.					
1 I UJULI-	Environmental Manager will advise in accordance with the Waste Licence Conditions.					
Programme for achieving Target Responsibility for Project:-	To reduce instances of spillages occurring at the facility, and prevent the ingress of spilt liquids into drainage system. Task 1:- Communication with Mechanic and Maintenance Manager to identify systems that may produce spillage of oil. Task 2:- Agree with a maintenance schedule and a checklist to identify hydraulic piping systems, etc. Task 3: - Conduct Staff Training and also issue copies of procedure for cleaning up spillages. Task 4:- Review effectiveness of the above regularly. The Facility Manager is responsible for the implementation of this project. Environmental Manager will advise in accordance with the Waste Licence Conditions.					

2012	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task 1												
Task 2												
Task 3												
Task 4												
Task 5												
Task 6												

Advantages to implement objective:-	The proper implementation of this objective will yield various benefits to the Environmental Performance of the Facility.
Target:-	To test storage tanks for integrity and drainage system for water tightness every three years.
Programme for achieving Target	 Task 1:- Label and provide safe access to sampling and monitoring points. Task 2:- Demonstrate that storage tanks are labelled and impervious to ,materials stored within. Task 3:- Compile testing register for the hydrostatic testing of underground
Responsibility for Project:-	drainage infrastructure, integrity testing of fuel storage tanks and wheelwash. To be completed every 3 years.The Environmental Manager and Facility Manager are responsible for the implementation of this project.

Objective 7:- To Establish and Maintain suitable site Infrastructure at the Facility

Advantages to implement objective:-	The regularisation of vehicle movements within the facility will make the facility safer to operate in.
Target:-	To have traffic calming measures' installed within six months.
Programme for achieving Target	Task 1:– Identify a good traffic management system Task 2:- Purchase of traffic light system and vehicle barriers. Task 3: – Installation of vehicle Barriers Task 4:- Installation of Traffic Light system on weighbridge
Responsibility for Project:-	The Environmental Manager and Logistics Manager is responsible for the implementation of this project.

Objective 8 :- Regularise vehicular movements within the Facility and make safe

2012	Jan	Feb	Mar	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Task 1												
Task 2												
Task 3												
Task 4												

8.4 Benefits Associated with EMS Implementation

The initial drivers, which influenced the scope and focus of EMS development, reflect anticipation of the following benefits:

- An ability to demonstrate due diligence, and therefore operational confidence, more effectively.
- Improved morale by empowering employees to take ownership of the environmental aspects of their jobs.
- Heightened environmental awareness
- More effective emergency procedures
- A platform for implementation of green procurement
- Improved communication within and between staff, management, , public, and regulators.
- Improved corporate image—especially within the community.
- Provides a system for continual improvement.

8.5 Progress Review on Targets & Objectives for 2011

2011 Objectives

<u>Objectives</u>
1. Increase area of concreted surface, i.e.:- Impermeable Hard standing at the facility
2. To reduce the amount of residual waste going for Landfill
3. Water Conservation
4. Reduce energy wastage at the facility
5 Reduce litter within and around the site vicinity
5. Reduce fitter within and around the site vienity
6. Reduce instances of hazardous waste coming to the facility
7 To eliminate adverse spillages of engine oil
7. To eminiate adverse spinages of engine on
8. To increase the accuracy of logging EWC codes on weighbridge system.
9. Increase security measures at the Facility
> merease secondy measures at the ranning

1. Increase area of concreted surface, i.e.:- Impermeable Hard standing at the facility

An area to the East of the Material Recovery is scheduled to be concreted in 2012. In 2011 the area was marked out and three Contractors were invited to tender for completion of these specified works.

It is anticipated that this area will be concreted by the end of 2012 and essentially this objective will be completed as the entire facility will have hard standing.

The completion of this objective will prevent the generation of mud nuisance on site.

2. To reduce the amount of residual waste going for Landfill

The licensee endeavoured to build up a database of licensed facilities for the production of Solid Recoverable Fuel. Where possible the material was visually assessed for suitability and where possible the residual waste was sent for RDF Production. Currently; the facility has used three facilities that have plant infrastructure for the production of RDF.

Each load of residual waste loaded is visually assessed to ensure no recyclate is contained within the consignment.

3. Water Conservation

The facility has installed taps that are timed for the release of treated water coming from the rising main. Rainwater harvesting is in use at the facility and this is used for wetting the yard in dry windy conditions that has the potential to produce dust nuisance. Regularly wetting the yard during these periods suppresses dust levels.

4. Reduce energy wastage at the facility

Regular Inspections of power consuming devices is still ongoing and electrical consumption for 2011 has decreased considerably. The main power consuming device is the blower at the end of the picking station.

Any floodlights that become defective are always replaced with the energy efficient steel halide type.

5. Reduce litter within and around the site vicinity

Daily litter inspections within and external to the facility are still carried out and any litter nuisance removed as soon as practicable.

This objective is working effectively as the facility has never received complaints with respect to litter.

6. Reduce instances of hazardous waste coming to the facility

Dust emissions have reduced considerably in 2011 as dust deposition results from monitoring have indicated.

The yard is wetted using water from the surface water harvesting system. Noise emmsions from the development have not led to complaints from adjacent commercial premises.

7. To eliminate adverse spillages of engine oil

All Ashgrove's fleet of vehicles carry a spillage kit. Employees are all familiar with the clean up of oil spillages if they occur as this is part of the annual Environmental, Health and Safety Training.

Diesel Bund Tanks were purchased in the past and these are integrity tested once every three years.

8. To increase the accuracy of logging EWC codes on weighbridge system.

Weighbridge Operator is familiar with the accurate assignment of EWC Codes for both outgoing and Incoming waste loads. This improves the accuracy with metrics submitted for the National Waste Report and reduces the amount of verification. In addition, a camera was installed over the weighbridge which allows waste operator to see incoming loads.

9. Increase security measures at the Facility

Two additional CCTV camera's were installed at the Facility in 2011.

8.6 Corrective Action Procedures

A review of the Environmental Management System will be undertaken at appropriate times and progress and environmental budgetary measures will be discussed.

A meeting dedicated to this will be arranged and the success of the targets and objectives that were identified will be reviewed.

9.0 Bunded Tank Integrity Test



ASHGROVE RECYCLING

CHURCHFIELD INDUSTRIAL ESTSTE, CORK

Assessment of Bund Integrity

WASTE LICENCE W0147-01

REPORT BY:

PAT POWER

DATE: 10th December 2009

1.0 Introduction

Glenside Environmental was commissioned by Ashgrove Recycling to carry out bund testing at their facility. The fuel bunds were inspected on 10th and 17th November 2009 and this report presents the findings.

2.0 Scope

The scope of this report is determined by conditions 3.11 and sub-conditions of Waste Licence W0147-01. The sub-conditions state as follows:-

3.11 Tank and Drum Storage Areas

- 3.11.1 All tank and drum storage areas shall be rendered impervious to the materials stored therein.
- 3.11.2 All tank and drum storage areas shall, as a minimum, be bunded, either locally or remotely, to a volume not less than the greater of the following:

(a) 110% of the capacity of the largest tank or drum within the bunded area; or

(b) 25% of the total volume of substance which could be stored within the bunded area.

- 3.11.3 All drainage from bunded areas shall be diverted for collection and safe disposal.
- 3.11.4 All inlets, outlets, vent pipes, valves and gauges must be within the bunded area.
- 3.11.5 The integrity and water tightness of all the bunds and their resistance to penetration by water or other materials stored therein shall be confirmed by the licensee and shall be reported to the Agency following its installation and prior to its use as a storage area.

This confirmation shall be repeated at least once every three years thereafter and reported to the Agency on each occasion.

3.0 Methodology

The assessment of the bunds' integrity was based on visual inspection of the type and standard of construction, evidence for structural failure or potential failure and evidence of water ingress or leakage from the structures. The bunds were then filled with water to a pre-calculated, recorded level, reflecting sub-condition 3.11.5 above. After this the period the water level was recorded to calculate any fluctuations. The total permissible drop in level, during the testing period, after allowing for evaporation and rainfall, should not exceed 1/500th or 10mm of the average water depth of the full tank.

4.0 Bund Inspection

There was no evidence (dampness) to suggest that liquid is seeping from the interior to the exterior in any of the bunds.

All of the bunds comply with the storage capacity requirements i.e. >110% of the largest tank therein allowing for that part of the bund capacity taken up by the tank volume within the bund.

5.0 Results

The results are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

6.0 Conclusion

The structural integrity of the fuel bunds were satisfactory on the day tested

Largest Tank Size (l)	Construction [1]	Inspection	Liquid inside	Dampness or staining outside	
220	Steel	No visible cracks or bulges. In excellent condition.	Yes	No	

Table 1: Ashgrove Recycling: Bund 1Schedule and Inspection Notes

Table 2: Ashgrove Recycling: Bund Schedule and Inspection Notes

Largest Tank Size (l)	Construction [1]	Inspection	Liquid inside	Dampness or staining outside	
220	Steel	No visible cracks or bulges. In excellent condition.	Yes	No	

Table 3: Ashgrove Recycling: Final Bund Inspection Notes

Bund ID	Vol. Water Added (L)	Height from top after Filling (m)	Height from top @ 7 days (m)	Total Loss / gain (l)	Total Loss / gain (%)
Bund No. 1 – Fuel Bund	0	0.390	0.390	0	0

Table 4: Ashgrove Recycling: Final Bund Inspection Notes

Bund ID	Vol. Water Added (L)	Height from top after Filling (m)	Height from top @ 7 days (m)	Total Loss / gain (l)	Total Loss / gain (%)
Bund No. 2 – Fuel Bund	0	0.320	0.320	0	0

Drainage Integrity Testing:-

Pipeline hydrostatic testing of both surface water and foul water drainage systems was undertaken byGlenside Environmetal.. The testing was carried out in March 2011 and all systems demonstrated to be watertight. The results of this testing can be viewed at the facility upon request.

Furthermore, remedial works undertaken by a contractor on behalf of Cork City Council conducted a CCTV survey of the surface and foul water system north of the facility and it transpired that no defects in the system was evident.

10. Reported Incidents & Complaints Summary:-

10.1 Complaints

No public complaints were received at the facility or reported to the Facility Manager during the reporting period.

10.2 Incidents

The following table shows a summary of the incidents that occurred at the facility in 2011. These were reported to the Agency and preventive measures put in place to prevent re-occurrence.

Number	Incident Description	Reported to
		Agency
1.	Sulphate Discharge to Foul Sewer 224mg/l	Yes

11.0 Review of Nuisance Controls:-

11.1 Odour Control

The loading of residual waste only occurs in the main recovery building. All waste is removed from the facility in an efficient manner and normally within 24 hours from arriving at the facility to prevent adverse odour impact.

The facility has never received complaints with respect to odour. If putrescent material arrives onsite, an additive can be used in the probe atomiser to mitigate against odour until the waste is removed from site.

11.2 Litter

The facility is checked for litter on a daily basis. Any litter nuisance is cleaned up immediately. Waste vehicles bringing waste to site are all covered with appropriate netting and some are thoroughly enclosed. This also prevents litter nuisance in transit. The facility owns and operates a mechanical sweeper on a daily basis. Records of a weekly nuisance inspection are on file at the facility.

11.3 Noise

The facility has never had complaints in relation to excessive noise emanating from the facility. The cladding installed in the material recovery building has a large noise Reduction index and good acoustic properties which helps reduce noise levels at sensitive receptors. Furthermore, noise monitoring is conducted bi-annually at the facility and these respective reports are contained within this report.

The exhaust and baffle systems are checked and maintained by our Mechanical Fitter.

11.4 Flies

The facility does not process large quantities of organic or putrescent material. Historically, flies were never a problem at the facility. However, if fly infestation becomes problematic, a contractor will be engaged to conduct insecticide fogging.

11.5 Mud

Excessive mud generation was never a serious problem at the facility or on nearby access roads. The facility has a wheel wash installed and this has proved effective in the spread of mud.

11.6 Rodents

Rats and mice are not a significant problem at the facility. Pest control companies have provided surveillance visits and placed rodenticide at strategic points since the facility started operations. Full written records of such visits are available at the facility for Inspection.

11.7 Dust Control

The facility is monitored for dust deposition three times annually. As the facility is quite elevated and exposed, windblown dust during dry hot weather coupled with vehicular movements can generate unfavourable dust. The hard standing areas of the facility are wetted with a water bowser and also swept using a mechanical sweeper. This practice in conjunction with a wheel wash is effective in reducing dust generation and associated nuisance.

The Material Recovery Building has a probe atomiser in operation that sprays a fine mist to reduce dust levels within the building. Furthermore, dust sprays are concentrated on the finger screen and on the stockpiles and these are activated when necessary.

Туре	Consumption and Unit
Electricity 2008	64,134 kw/h
Electricity 2009	64,566 kw/h
Electricity 2010	56,500kw/h
Electricity 2011	10,360 Kw/h
2008 Diesel Fuel – Green	89009 litres
2009 Diesel Fuel – Green	75940 Illies 70548 litres
2010 Diesel Fuel – Green	27933 litres
2008 Diesel Fuel – White	231657 Litres
2009 Diesel Fuel – White	107739 Litres
2010 Diesel Fuel- White	88430 Litres
2011 Diesel Fuel - White	64731 Litres

12.0 Resource & Energy Consumption

Diesel Usage

12.1 Diesel Fuel Usage

The usage of green diesel used in 2008 and 2009 showed no significant variation. This is due to the fact that plant and machinery will have very similar usage patterns.

However, the difference between the white road diesel when 2008 and 2009 are compared shows a very significant decrease in usage. This is due to the fact the number of collection vehicles have reduced marginally and the articulated vehicle work is now sub-contracted out.

12.2 Water Consumption

Year	Consumption/ m3
2009	1012
2010	924
2011	939

The facility used 924 m³ of water derived from the public water supply network operated by Cork City Council.

Usage Values

Toilet Flush 8 litres Dishwasher cycle 50 litres Running hose pipe 600 litres per hour

12.3 Foul Water

The facility has a $20m^3$ limit per day for the volume emitted to public sewer north of the facility. This limit was not exceeded for the reporting period.

The foul water is conveyed to a waste water treatment plant operated by Cork City Council.

The volume of foul water discharged to public sewer during reporting period equated to $1456m^3$.

13.0 Financial Provision

Ashgrove Plant Ltd had traded successfully as a Limited Company for over two decades. The company has sufficient assets that would finance any environmental remediation works that may be required should an Environmental Incident arise.

The company has independently audited accounts and when these are reviewed it can be concluded that the company is financially strong and any incidents that may arise will not hinder the financial solvency of the company.

In addition, the company has submitted to the Agency an ELRA and the company has provisions to cater for incidents that may inadvertently arise. The Facility has a Financial Provision in the form of a Bond of €50,000 but this will be increased in 2012.

14.0 Management and Staffing Structure

Directors Jim Collins Snr Pauline Collins

Facility Manager Mr. Jim Collins Jnr

Environmental Manager Mr. Trevor Parry

HR Manager/ Accounts Manager Mrs. Susan Wallace

Weighbridge/Dispatch Manager Mr. Alan Meade AER 2011

Ashgrove Recycling

15.0 Programme for Public Information:-

Ashgrove Recycling are fully committed to providing the general public, neighbouring residences and businesses with information relating to the Environmental Performance of the facility if requested.

The facility has a designated meeting room which can be used for the public if they wish to review various reports, etc.

All information in respect to the operation of the facility is maintained onsite and can be viewed upon request. Furthermore, if an individual wishes to see the facility in operation, we operate an open door policy and endeavour to provide information to the public in both a timely and accurate manner.

16.0 Noise Reduction Measures

All recovery activities are conducted internally within the material recovery building. The structure has acoustic cladding with very good sound insulation properties to reduce noise levels that may become a nuisance to nearby properties or sensitive receptors.

The landscaping to the south and west boundaries of the site has developed well and it is planned to feed the trees and thin them at the appropriate times to encourage further growth whick will help noise nuisance and visually screen the site.

Vehicles that have a defective exhaust system will have the system replaced immediately so as to reduce noise generated from mobile vehicles.

17.0 Development / Infrastructural Works

The plant type currently utilised was discussed in the beginning of this report. It is anticipated that the Viper City Sizer vibrating finger screen will be replaced by a rotating trommel. The trommel will be used in conjunction with a vibrating flip flow screen to increase the volume of clean inert material such as concrete and soil. An increase in the number of air knifes and blowers is also to be considered.

Additional magnetic belts will also be incorporated into the design to increase the quantity of ferrous metals that will be recovered.

AER 2011

Its anticipated these works should commence shortly and will yield positive results for the processing capacity of the plant.

The trommel is more efficient in processing waste streams than the existing finger screen. Additionally, the planned modifications will help achieve a safer environment for the employees within the waste transfer station. The implementation of the above shall result in increased recovery rates.

18.1 Staff Training

- Manual Handling
- Safe Pass Training
- Waste licence Familiarisation
- Induction
- Fire / ERP Training
- Machinery Operation and driver certification

18.2 Site Security

The facility has palisade fencing at the site boundaries. When the facility is closed a security watchman accompanied by a guard dog patrols the facility.

In addition, CCTV and Dome type camera's are in operation 24 hours per day and are in recordable mode.

Emergency contact numbers are strategically located around the facility.

18.0 Plant Capacity

A detailed report on plant capacity and standby provisions, etc was previously submitted to the Agency as required under the existing Waste Licence.

For the tonnages that are processed at the facility, the existing plant has the capabilities to process it.

Ashgrove Recycling employs a fulltime mechanical fitter who ensures that spare parts for items of plant that are deemed critical are always in stock.

The table below outlines the plant & machinery along with spares and contingency plans if appropriate. The processing capacity of plant outlined below was specified in previous report submitted to the Agency.

Annual tonnages have declined over the past few years due to the decline in the construction industry, so it can be construed that the existing plant at the facility for waste processing is adequate for the projected tonnages envisaged to be processed at the facility.

Plant / Machinery	Replacement	Critical Spare Parts in Stock
Leibher 924	Leibher 902	Yes
Kawasaki Loading Shovel	Manitou Telescopic Loading	Yes
	Shovel	
Viper City Sizer	Powerscreen Trommel	Yes
	(Rental)	
Hitachi 18 Ton Excavator	Hitachi 16 Ton Excavator	Yes

In the event of a complete shutdown of the facility due to unforeseen circumstances, waste material will be brought to an alternative facility for processing. The facility is licensed to accept 50,000 tonnes of waste per annum, which is approximately 137 tonnes per day. The plant outlined above is adequate to process this quantity of incoming waste streams.

AER 2011

Appendices

Appendix A : Monitoring Locations Map Appendix B: PRTR AER 2011

Appendix A

APPENDIX B

FIGURE 1: NOISE MONIT(



AER 2011

Appendix B

AER Returns Workbook

6/4/2012 12:50



| PRTR# : W0147 | Facility Name : Ashgrove Recycling | Filename : w0147_2011.xls | Return Year : 2011 |

Guidance to completing the PRTR workbook

AER Returns Workbook

REFERENCE YEAR 2011

1. FACILITY IDENTIFICATION

Parent Company Name Ashgrove Plant Ltd. t/a Ashgrove Recycling
r arent company Name / tengrove r lant Eta., a a / tengrove r teoyoning
Facility Name Ashgrove Recycling
PRTR Identification Number W0147
Licence Number W0147-01

Waste or IPPC Classes of Activity	
No.	class_name
4.4	Recycling or reclamation of other inorganic materials.
~	Storage prior to submission to any activity referred to in a preceding
	paragraph of this Schedule, other than temporary storage, pending
	collection, on the premises where the waste concerned is
3.13	produced.
	Storage of waste intended for submission to any activity referred to
	in a preceding paragraph of this Schedule, other than temporary
	storage, pending collection, on the premises where such waste is
4.13	produced.
	Recycling or reclamation of organic substances which are not used
	as solvents (including composting and other biological
4.2	transformation processes).
4.3	Recycling or reclamation of metals and metal compounds.
Address 1	Churchfield Industrial Estate
Address 2	Churchfield
Address 3	Cork
Address 4	
	Cork
Country	Ireland
Coordinates of Location	-8.49543 51.9133
River Basin District	IESW
NACE Code	3832
Main Economic Activity	Recovery of sorted materials
AER Returns Contact Name	Mr. Trevor Parry
AER Returns Contact Email Address	Info@ashgroverecycling.ie
AER Returns Contact Position	Environmental Manager
AER Returns Contact Telephone Number	021 4310333
AER Returns Contact Mobile Phone Number	086 6093342
AER Returns Contact Fax Number	021 4305399
Production Volume	0.0
Production volume Units	
Number of Installations	0
Number of Operating Hours In Year	0
Number of Employees	0
User reeuback/Comments	

| PRTR# : W0147 | Facility Name : Ashgrove Recycling | Filename : w0147_2011.xls | Return Year : 2011 | Page 1 of 2

Web Address

2. PRTR CLASS ACTIVITIES

Activity Number	Activity Name
50.1	General
5(c)	Installations for the disposal of non-hazardous waste
50.1	General
3. SOLVENTS REGULATIONS (S.I. No. 543 of 20	02)
Is it applicable?	No
Have you been granted an exemption ?	
If applicable which activity class applies (as per	
Schedule 2 of the regulations) ?	
Is the reduction scheme compliance route being	
used ?	

| PRTR# : W0147 | Facility Name : Ashgrove Recycling | Filename : w0147_2011.xls | Return Year : 2011 | Page 2 of 2

4.1 RELEASES TO AIR	Link to previous years emissions data	: PRTR# : W0147 Facility Name : Ashqraze Rasseling Filename : v.0147 2011 zirs Refum Your : 2011						
SECTION A : SECTOR SPECIFIC PRTR PO	LLUTANTS							
	RELEASES TO AIR				Please enter all quantities	in this section in KGs		
PC	DLLUTANT	A	M	ETHOD			QUANTITY	
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Method Used Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year
					0.0	(0.0 0.0	0.0
* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button								
SECTION R - REMAINING PRTP POLI LITA	NTS							

28

RELEASES TO AIR			Please enter all quantitie	s in this section in K	Gs				
PO	LLUTANT			METHOD			QUAN	TITY	
				Method Used					
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Acc	idental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Yea
					0.0		0.0	0.4	0 0

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

A above)

SECTION C : REMAINING POLLUTANT EMIS	SIONS (As required in your Licence)								
	RELEASES TO AIR				Please enter all quantities	in this section in KC	s		Detail and the second second
PO	LLUTANT		N	NETHOD			QUANTITY		
				Method Used					
Pollutant No.	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	A (Accidenta) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year
					0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0

N/A

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button Additional Data Requested from Landfill operators For the purposes of the National Inventory on Greenhouse Gasea, landfill operators are requested to provide summary data on landfill gas (Mehane) flared or utilised on their facilities to accompany the figures for total mehane generated. Operators should only report their Net methane (CH4) emission to the environment under T(total) KG/yr for Section A: Sector specific PRTR pollutants above. Please complete the table below: Landfill: Please enter summary data on the quantities of methane flared and / or utilised Ashgrove Recycling Method Used Designation or Facility Total Capacity T (Total) kg/Year M/C/E Method Code Description m3 per hour Total estimated methane generation (as per site model) Methane flared N/A 0.0 (Total Flaring Capacity) (Total Utilising Capacity) 0.0 Methane utilised in engine/s Net methane emission (as reported in Section

0.0

nk to previous years emissions data

4.2 RELEASES TO WATERS

SECTION A : SECTOR SPECIFIC PRTR POLLUTANTS

	RELEASES TO WATERS
POLL	UTANT
No. Annex II	Name

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) t

SECTION B : REMAINING PRTR POLLUTANTS

	RELEASES TO WATERS								
POLLUTANT									
No. Annex II	Name								

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) t

SECTION C : REMAINING POLLUTANT EMISSIONS (as required in your Licence)

	RELEASES TO WATERS
	POLLUTANT
Delludent Ne	
Pollutant No.	Name

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) t

4.3 RELEASES TO WASTEWATER OR SEWER

Link to previous years emissions data

PRTR# : W0147 | Facility Name : Achgrova Recycling | Fitaname : w0147 [2011.xls | Return Year | 20 | 01/05/2012

SECTION A : PRTR POLLUTANTS

0	FFSITE TRANSFER OF POLLUTANTS DESTINED FOR WASTE-WATER TREAT	MENT OR	SEWER		Please enter all quantities in this section in KGs				
POLLUTANT			MET	THOD	QUANTITY				
				Method Used					
No. Annex II	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year	301002	A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year
					12 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
						0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

SECTION B : REMAINING POLLUTANT EMISSIONS (as required in your Licence)

0	FFSITE TRANSFER OF POLLUTANTS DESTINED FOR WASTE-WATER TREAT	MENT OF	RSEWER		Please enter all quanti					
	POLLUTANT		METH	OD			1.010	QUANTITY		l
A ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY ANY AN			Me	ethod Used	a second seco					Ĩ
Pollutant No.	Name	M/C/E	Method Code	Designation or Description	Emission Point 1	T (Total) KG/Year		A (Accidental) KG/Year	F (Fugitive) KG/Year	•
			THE PROPERTY AND A STREET			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B) then click the delete button

4.4 RELEASES TO LAND

Link to previous years emissions data

SECTION A : PRTR POLLUTANTS

		RELEASES TO LAND							
POLLUTANT									
No. Annex II	Name								

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B

SECTION B : REMAINING POLLUTANT EMISSIONS (as required in your Licence)

	RELEASES TO LAND								
POLLUTANT									
Pollutant No	Name								
l'Olidiani NO.	Nanc								

* Select a row by double-clicking on the Pollutant Name (Column B

Sheet : Treatment Transfers of Waste

AER Returns Workbook

6/4/2012 12:50

5. ONSITE TREATIN	ENT & OFFSITE TRA	ANSPERS OF	Please enter	all quantities on this sheet in Tonnes								25
			Quantity (Tonnes per Year)				Method Used		Haz Waste : Name and Licence/Permit No of Next Destination Facility <u>Non</u> <u>Haz Waste</u> : Name and Licence/Permit No of Recover/Disposer	<u>Haz Waste</u> : Address of Next Destination Facility <u>Non Haz Waste</u> : Address of Recover/Disposer	Name and License / Permit No. and Address of Final Recoverer / Disposer (HAZARDOUS WASTE ONLY)	Actual Address of Final Destination i.e. Final Recovery / Disposal Site (HAZARDOUS WASTE ONLY)
Transfer Destination	European Waste	Hazardous		Description of Waste	Waste Treatment Operation	M/C/E	Method Used	Location of Treatment				
		1				1			Greenstar Recycling, W0136-	Glanmire Co.	lanen en	
Within the Country	19 12 04	No	1.0	plastic and rubber	R13	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	02 Polymer Fuels I to W/EP-LS-	Cork.,,,,,,Ireland		
Within the Country	19 12 04	No	12.22	plastic and rubber	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	09-0007-01	Wexford,Ireland John F Connelly		
Within the Country	19 12 04	No	4.08	plastic and rubber	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Country Clean Recycling Ltd,07/02/2012 Cork Metal Dublin Hill Cork	Road, Churchfield Industrial Estate, Cork,., Ireland		
Within the Country	17 04 02	No	16.6	aluminium	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	CK (S) 491/07,CK (S) 491/07	Dublin Hill.,.,Cork Metal,.,Ireland		
Within the Country	20 01 08	No	13.44	biodegradable kitchen and canteen waste	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Country Clean Recycling Ltd,07/02/2012	Road,Churchfield Industrial Estate,Cork,,Ireland		
Within the Country	19 12 01	No	358.28	paper and cardboard	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Country Clean Recycling Ltd,07/02/2012	Road,Churchfield Industrial Estate,Cork,,Ireland John F Connelly		
Within the Country	20 03 01	No	55.86	Dry Recyclables	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Country Clean Recycling Ltd,07/02/2012	Road,Churchfield Industrial Estate,Cork,.,Ireland 52 Creagh		
Within the Country	19 12 05	No	577.78	glass	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Glassdon Ltd, LN/08/103	Road,Toomebridge,Co. Antrim ,,,Ireland		
Within the Country	17 08 02	No	57.24	than those mentioned in 17 08 01	R13	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Panda,W0140-03 Cork Metal Dublin Hill Cork	11,Ireland		
Within the Country	19 12 02	No	858.0	ferrous metal	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	CK (S) 491/07,CK (S) 491/07	Dublin Hill.,.,Cork Metal,.,Ireland		
Within the Country	16 02 13	Yes	5.22	discarded equipment containing hazardous components (16) other than those mentioned in 16 02 09 to 16 02 12	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	KMK Metals W0113-03	Cappincur Industrial Estate,Daingean Road,Tullamore Co. OffalvIreland	KMK Metals W0113-03	Cappincur Industrial Estate,Daingean Road,Tullamore Co.
				other wastes (including mixtures of materials) from mechanical treatment of wastes other than those mentioned in 19.12					Countor Clean Repueling	John F Connelly		
Within the Country	19 12 12	No	927.0	11 other wastes (including mixtures of materials) from mechanical treatment of	R13	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Ltd,07/02/2012	Estate,Cork,.,Ireland		
	10.10.10		007.0	wastes other than those mentioned in 19 12			Martin and		Thorntons Recycling, W0242	Killeen Road,.,Dublin		
Within the Country	19 12 12	NO	287.0	other wastes (including mixtures of materials) from mechanical treatment of	RIJ		vveigned	Offsite in Ireland				
Within the Country	19 12 12	No	1207.0	wastes other than those mentioned in 1912 11 other wastes (including mixtures of materials) from mechanical treatment of	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Recycling,W0205-01	Estate,.,Dublin,.,Ireland		
Within the Country	19 12 12	No	953.24	wastes other than those mentioned in 19 12 11	R13	м	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Oxigen Environmental Ltd,W0208-01 Berard O'Brien CK (S) 437-	Merrywell Industrial Estate,.,Dublin 22,.,Ireland		
Within the Country	19 12 04	No	8.68	plastic and rubber	R13	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	07 Country Close Description	Waterfall,.,Co. Cork,.,Ireland John F Connelly		
Within the Country	15 01 02	No	14.32	plastic packaging	R13	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Ltd,07/02/2012 Gannon Concrete Ltd,WFP-	Estate,Cork,.,Ireland Kilbeggan,Co.		
Within the Country	19 12 05	No	27.78	glass mixture of concrete, bricks, tiles and ceramics other than those mentioned in 17	R13	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	WM-2009-0007-01 Mallow Contracts Ltd CK (N)	Westmeath,,,,,Ireland		
Within the Country	17 01 07	No	11052.0	01.06	R13	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	277/05	Cork,.,Ireland		
Within the Country	19 12 07	No	1899.0	wood other than that mentioned in 19 12 06	R13	М	Weighed	Offsite in Ireland	Eirebloc,CK(S) 503/07	Lissarda,.,Co. Cork,.,Ireland		