

Arts 047 71114

Community & Enterprise 047 30500

County Library 047 51143

County Museum 047 82928

> Environment 047 30593

Finance 047 30589

Fire/Building Control 047 30521

Higher Education Grants 047 30550

Housing Estate Management 047 30529

Housing Loans/Grants 047 30527

> Human Resource Management 047 30586

> > Motor Tax 047 81175

> > Planning 047 30532

Register of Electors 047 30547

> Roads 047 30597

Water Services 047 30504

Administration, Environmental Licensing Programme, Office of Climate, Licence and Resource Use, Environmental Protection Agency, Headquarters, P.O. Box 3000, Johnstown Castle Estate. Co. Wexford.

27th September 2011

Monaghan

COUNTY COUNCIL

COMHAIRLE CONTAE MHUINEACHÁIN

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

AGENCY

0 5 OCT 2011

Re: DO494-01 & DO435-01 – Response to letter dated 6th May 2011 re: Notice in accordance with Regulation 18(3) (b) of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007.

Dear Sir/Madam,

I refer to the above referenced applications for Waste Water Discharge Licences for Scotstown and Ballinode agglomerations. Enclosed is the response to the additional information requested by the Agency under article 18(3) (b) of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007.

As per your requirements the following are enclosed:

- 1 No original hard copy of the submission
- 1 No copy of the submission
- 1 No Electronic copy on CD-ROM.

If you require any further information or clarification of the documentation submitted, please do not hesitate to contact us.

> Monaghan County Council, Council Offices, The Glen, Monaghan, Ireland. Comhairle Contae Mhuineacháin, Oifigí an Chontae, Bóthar an Ghleanna, Muineachán Tel.: 00353 47 30500 | Fax: 00353 47 82739 | Email: info@monaghancoco.ie



Arts 047 71114

Community & Enterprise 047 30500

County Library 047 51143

County Museum 047 82928

> Environment 047 30593

Finance 047 30589

Fire/Building Control 047 30521

Higher Education Grants 047 30550

Housing Estate Management 047 30529

Housing Loans/Grants 047 30527

> Human Resource Management 047 30586

> > Motor Tax 047 81175

Planning 047 30532

Register of Electors 047 30547

> Roads 047 30597

Water Services 047 30504

rs Sinçenely,

Mark Johnston, Senior Executive Engineer, Water Services Section, Monaghan County Council. 047 30513

Consent of copyright on purposes of N' and other use.

Monaghan

COUNTY COUNCIL

COMHAIRLE CONTAE MHUINEACHÁIN

Monaghan County Council, Council Offices, The Glen, Monaghan, Ireland. Comhairle Contae Mhuineacháin, Oifigí an Chontae, Bóthar an Ghleanna, Muineachán. Tel.: 00353 47 30500 | Fax: 00353 47 82739 | Email: info@monaghancoco.ie EPA Export 07-10-2011:03:33:02

ATTACHMENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note: There are two separate agglomeration response documents, namely, Scotstown & Ballinode WwTP contained within this submission, with a combined appropriate assessment for both agglomerations at the end.

SCOTSTOWN WwTP - Ref: DO494-01

Response to regulation 16 non compliance letter.

Appendix 1:

Amendment list for Scotstown discharge licence application including revision no's. Updated non technical summary including tables and drawings.

Appendix 2:

Monitoring results for Scotstown WwTP from May 2009 to date.

Appendix 3: Appropriate Assessment for Scotstown & Ballinode WWTP's.

BALLINODE WwTP – Ref: DO435-01

Response to regulation 16 nonscompliance letter. Conset

Appendix 1:

Amendment list for Ballinode discharge licence application including revision no's. Updated non technical summary including tables and drawings.

Appendix 2:

Monitoring results for Ballinode WwTP from May 2009 to date.

Appendix 3:

Appropriate Assessment for Scotstown & Ballinode WwTP's.

Scotstown WwTP EPA WWDL Application

Scotstown Waste Water Treatment Works

EPA Waste Water Discharge Licence Application

Regulation 16 Compliance Requirements

Response – Monaghan County Council

EPA Document Ref: D0494-01

REGULATION 16 COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Section A: Non-technical Summary

Update the non-technical summary to reflect the information provided in response to this notice.

An updated non-technical summary is attached in appendix 1.

Section B: General

for Provide details of how much of the current load to the waste water • treatment plant (WWTP) is from a domestic source and how much is from a non-domestic source

90% of the current load is from a domestic source and 10% is from a non-domestic source to the waste water treatment plant.

Resubmit Attachment B.3, Drawing No. 4 entitled 'waste water treatment • plant monitoring and sampling locations associated with primary discharge point' with the correct grid references for the upstream and downstream monitoring point.

The upstream and downstream monitoring points have been labelled incorrectly (upstream should be downstream and vice versa) and the grid co-ordinates are incorrect on the drawings submitted with the discharge licence application, however the locations are correct.

261094E 336873N The correct co-ords are: aSW1(p)u aSW1(p)d 261648E 336210N

All drawings are revised and relevant documents to reflect this, throughout the discharge licence application and are attached in appendix 1.

Scotstown WwTP EPA WWDL Application

• Confirm the grid reference for the WwTP, as both 261130E, 336789N and 261129E and 336744N are provided in the application. Submit revised drawing and tables accordingly.

The grid reference for the WwTP is confirmed as 261130E, 336789N. Revised documents are submitted and attached in appendix 1.

• Clarify how wastewater is collected in the agglomeration, e.g. combined or separate foul sewerage drainage network.

Scotstown collection system is a combined foul sewerage drainage network.

Section C: Infrastructure and Operation

• Provide details, if available, on the design discharge concentration for total phosphorous and ammonia (as N) for the existing WWTP (1,000 p.e.).

The Scotstown WwTP was designed as per the Urban Waste Water Treatment (UWWT) Regulations –Second Schedule Part 1, to achieve effluent discharges concentration values for the following parameters: BOD 25mg/l

BOD 25mg/l COD 125mg/l SS 35mg/l

As per the regulations, the plant is designed to treat 1,000 Population Equivalent (<10,000 P.E.) and does not discharge into a sensitive water, therefore total Phosphorous and ammonia design details were not considered.

However, the most recent results for total phosphorus in the effluent at the primary discharge point have all complied with emission limit values and average 0.88mg/l (n=10) and the ammonia (as NH3) results average 1.09mg/l (n=10) (*refer to results in appendix 2*).

• Section C.1.1 of the application states that there is an emergency overflow, SW-1, at the waste water treatment plant. Clarify whether this is a storm water overflow (SWO), for example, overflows in storm conditions. If this is a SWO:

^o Carry out an assessment of the SWO to determine compliance with the criteria for storm water overflows, as set out in the DEHLG '*Procedures and criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows*', 1995.
 ^oProvide details of the SWO including grid references, the location and

name of the receiving water and frequency of activation of SWO.

There is one storm water overflow (SWO) located at the WwTP, this SWO is connected to a storm holding tank. The location of this SWO is wrong in the discharge licence initial application documents and drawings as it is referred to as an 'emergency overflow' and shown (dwg 6) as discharging to a stream before the storm holding tank. This is incorrect, in storm conditions excess flow is directed into the storm holding tank until storm conditions subside and effluent is then pumped back into the treatment system. In extreme storm conditions whereby the storm tank fills to capacity and the treatment works is still operating at full capacity, the storm overflow from the storm tank will then discharge to the river via the storm overflow. All of the drawings and documents in the discharge licence have been revised detailing the correct location of this SWO and are included in appendix 1. This SWO complies with the 'Procedures and criteria in relation to Storm Water Overflows', 1995 as follows:

Part 4. 'Assessment Criteria for Existing SWO's':

- (1) It does not cause visual/aesthetic impact or public complaints.
- (2) It does not cause deterioration in water quality in the receiving water.
- (3) It does not give rise to failure in meeting the requirements of national **Regulations on foot of EU Directives.**
- (4) It does not operate in dry weather.

Part 5. Options following Assessment:

The 'use of storage' option is used at the Scotstown treatment works as there is a storm water storage tank with associated pumps integrated into 2114 the treatment works.

Part 7. Use of Storage: The use of an on-line storm storage tank for reducing or eliminating storm water overflows is employed at the Scotstown WwTP. The existing storm holding tank was a primary settlement tank until it was converted and commissioned as a storm holding tank in 2008, as part of upgrading works at the WwTP. Prior to this, the storm overflow discharged directly to the river Blackwater. New Primary settlement tanks were installed at the WwTP in 200 \mathcal{P} and the existing primary tank was fitted with two duty/standby pumps and a mixer and converted to a storm holding tank, which was commissioned in 2008. It operates on the principal that flows in excess of the downstream capacity of the treatment works can be contained until the storm has subsided and the stored storm water can be pumped back into the primary settlement tanks. There is a storm water overflow to the river Blackwater from the storm tank which operates in the event of prolonged storm conditions, whereby the storm tank fills up and overflow will then be discharged to the river Blackwater. The capacity of the storm tank is 84M³. The WwTP treats an average of 107M' of effluent every day, with a Dry Weather Flow (DFW) of 102M³. The capacity of the storm tank is therefore 0.8 times the DWF of the plant.

The SWO grid references are 261135E 336742N and it is located as detailed in drawing 6 (ref. appendix 2) out from the storm holding tank discharging to the river Blackwater. This storm overflow would activate rarely, only after heavy prolonged periods of rainfall, possibly once per year.

• Confirm the capacity of the storm tank (in terms of the number of dry weather flows as well as volumetric capacity).

The capacity of the storm tank is 84M³ or 0.8DWF of the plant.

Section D: Discharges to the Aquatic Environment

• Provide date(s), when all the monitoring reported in Table D1(i)(b) and Table D.1(i)(c) was carried out.

The monitoring reported in the above tables was carried out on 9/04/'09.

Section E: Monitoring

• Provide effluent, influent, upstream and downstream monitoring data from May 2009 to date.

All monitoring carried out from May 2009 to date is tabulated and attached in Appendix 2.

• Confirm the hardness (as CaCO3) of the receiving water upstream and downstream of the primary discharge point to determine compliance with the European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009, S.I. No. 272 of 2009.

Upstream - 140mg/l (CaCO3) - 26/06/11 Downstream - 160mg/l (CaCO3) - 26/06/11

Section F: Existing Environment and Impact of the discharge(s)

• Demonstrate that the effluent discharge, via the primary discharge point, to the receiving water does not cause an exceedance of the European Communities Environmental Objectives (Surface Waters) Regulations 2009 for parameters including BOD, total ammonia and molybdate reactive Phosphorous (MRP). If there is a breach of the relevant water quality standards, then review the need for a programme of improvements and submit the details accordingly.

Note: Refer to Appendix 2 for sampling results for WwTP:

The outfall from the Scotstown Waste Water Plant discharges to the Blackwater River at National Grid Reference 261140E, 336760N in the Townland of Teraverty, Scotstown Co. Monaghan.

The treated effluent (based on previous 12 samples) has an average BOD concentration of 2.9mg/l, average suspended solids concentration of 8.09mg/l. Average concentrations of nutrients are as follows; Total Phosphorus 0.88mg/l (P) and Total Nitrogen 20.03 mg/l (N), (*refer to Appendix 2, Sheet 2, Table 1*).

The Blackwater (Monaghan) River has been identified by the Eastern Regional Fisheries Board as an important trout fishery. However, the river is not designated as salmonid water under EC (Quality of Salmonid Water) Regulations, 1988 and as such the river water quality

Scotstown WwTP EPA WWDL Application

is not required to meet the quality standards laid down in these regulations. The objective for the river is to attain "good status" by 2015 under the Water Framework Directive.

The nearest flow monitoring data available on the Blackwater River is at the Cappog Bridge Station (NGR 262970E, 335707N)) (OPW Station 03058). The 95-percentile flow is given as the $0.09m^3/s$, 50-percentile flow of $0.65m^3/s$ and the mean flow as $1.34m^3/s$.

A Q value of 4 was recorded upstream of the discharge point (1km u/s Scotstown) in 2004 (see **Table 2** below). A previous Q value of 4-5 was recorded at this location in 1998 and 1996 (unpolluted). EPA physiochemical water quality monitoring data is available at this site for 2001 to 2003. This data gave a median BOD value of 2mg/l, median ortho-phosphate of 0.02mg/l, median total ammonia of 0.04mg/l and median oxidised nitrogen of 0.4mg/l.

A Q value of 3 was recorded downstream of the discharge point (Station No. 0130 1.5km d/s Scotstown Br) in 2004 (see **Table 2** below). A previous Q value of 3 was recorded in 2001; A Q value of 4 was recorded at this location in 1998 and 1996. No EPA physiochemical water quality monitoring data is available at this site for 2001 to 2003. However, Monaghan Co Co. monitoring data would indicate relatively good water quality in the river as outlined below.

a second and a second second second	Station Number	Station	1996	1998	2004
Upstream	0500	1km u/s of Scotstown	4-59 any	4-5	4
Downstream	0130	1.5km d/s of Scotstown Bro	NIEC .	4	3

Table 2 Biological Quality Ratings (Q Values) (Source EPA)

(Q3 = moderately Polluted; Q4-5, Q4 = Unpolluted)

Monaghan Co. Co. monitors the river both upstream and downstream of the discharge from the Waste Water Works. These locations are shown on **Drawing 4** of **Attachment B3** (**dIscharge licence application**). Monitoring data collected for the year 2009 and 2011 is presented in Appendix 2, **Table 2, Sheet 3**. Monitoring results for dangerous substances relate to a once-off samples collected in February 2009 and are presented in **Tables F.1(i)b aSW(P)u** and **aSW(P)d**, of the initial discharge licence application. Dangerous substances concentrations were below detection level for 3 of the 19 parameters tested in April 2009. No levels exceeded the standards as outlined in the Water Quality (Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2001, or Surface water regulations 2009 for relevant parameters that were tested.

Monaghan County Councils upstream monitoring results are tablulated in Appendix 2, Table 2, Sheet 3 attached for 2009 & 2011, there are no results available for 2010. These results indicate relatively good water quality in the river, ammonia levels of 0.03 mg/l NH_3 -N, median BOD of 3mg/l, median TP of 0.07mg/l, median TN of 0.4mg/l N and median suspended solids of 9.5mg/l.

Results from the downstream monitoring site indicates generally good water quality with ammonia levels of 0.02 mg/l NH_3 -N, median BOD of 2mg/l, median TP of 0.29mg/l, median TN of 1mg/l N and median suspended solids of 4mg/l.

The impact of the primary discharge point on the Blackwater River is evaluated in the Assimilative Capacity calculations below.

Assimilative Capacity of Receiving Water

The Assimilative Capacity of the receiving waters is a measure of its ability or suitability to absorb waste water discharges whilst complying with relevant legislation and water quality objectives.

The impact of the primary discharge point on the Blackwater River is evaluated in the Assimilative Capacity calculations below.

The nearest flow monitoring data available on the Blackwater River is at the Cappog Bridge Station (NGR 262970E, 335707N)) (OPW Station 03058). The OPW 95-percentile flow (m^3/s) of 0.09 and the mean annual flow of 1.34 (m^3/s) from the Cappog Bridge Station were used in these calculations.

Using the Blackwater River flow rates outlined above and the Blackwater River background water guality (Monaghan Co Co and EPA Data) and the Surface Water Regulations 2009, an assimilative capacity assessment of the River has been carried out using the mean annual flow and 95-percentile flow conditions for BOD, Molybdate Reactive Phosphorous and total Ammonia.

The assessment has been undertaken on the basis of a verage discharge flow to the receiving water from the Wastewater Treatment Rants

BOD Assimilative Capacity

Mean Flow Conditions

tion purposes Not required The BOD assimilative capacity of the river under mean flow conditions can be calculated by:

$$AC = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{mean}$$

where,

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity

 C_{max} = maximum permissible BOD concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 1.5 mg/l) (SW Reg's 2009 - good status) C_{back} = Average background BOD level upstream (2.67mg/l) (Use Adjusted Background Concentration ~=(1.5-(1.5-1.3)/2) =1.4mg/l 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day) (M3/hr to kg/day) $(1m^3/s = 86,400,000 l/d)$ $Q_{mean} = mean$ flow in m³/s (1.34 m³/s) Therefore, $AC = (1.5-1.4) \times 86.4 \times 1.34$

AC = 11.58 kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River:

With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m³/day, the total amount of BOD ~(average conc. In discharge) discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

 $107.000/day \times 2.9mg/l = 0.31kg/day$

This constitutes 2.67% of the assimilative capacity (AC) of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

The **resulting BOD concentration in the river** can be estimated from the formula:

The resulting BOD concentration in the river resulting from the effluent input can be estimated using the following Formula:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_{d} = average concentration in discharge (2.9mg/l) Cback = concentration in river u/s of discharge (2.67mg/) (Use Adjusted Background Concentration ~=(1.5-(1.5-1.3)/2) =1.4mg/l $Q_{back} =$ flow of river (I/d) (1.34 m³/s) = 115,776,000/d outowner required Q_d = discharge volume (l/d) 107,000l/d spection purpt

 $1m^{3}/s = 86,400,000 l/d$

 $CR = [(1.4 \times 115,776,000) + (2.9 \times 107,000)] / [115,776,000 + 107,000]$

CR = 1.4 mg/l

95-percentile Flow Conditions

The BOD assimilative capacity of the river under 95-percentile flow conditions can be calculated by:

$$\mathbf{AC} = (C_{\text{max}} - C_{\text{back}}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{95}$$

[National Urban Waste Water Study 2005]

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity C_{max} = maximum permissible BOD concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 2.6mg/I) (SW Reg's 2009 – good status) C_{back} = Average background BOD level upstream (2.67mg/l) (Use Adjusted Background Concentration $\sim = (2.6 - (2.6 - 2.2)/2) = 2.4 \text{ mg/l}$ 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day) $Q_{95} = 95$ -percentile flow in m³/s (0.09 m³/s or 7,776,000/d) Therefore, $AC = (2.6-2.4) \times 86.4 \times 0.09$

AC = 1.56kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River:

With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m3/day, the total amount of BOD discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

107,000l/day x 2.9 mg/l = 0.31kg/day

This constitutes **19.87%** of the assimilative capacity of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

The **resulting BOD concentration in the river** resulting from the effluent input can be estimated using the following Formula:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where:

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (2.9mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (2.67mg/l) (Use Adjusted Background Concentration ~=(2.6-(2.6-2.2)/2) =2.4mg/l

 Q_{back} = flow of river (I/d) (95% flow of 0.09m³/s = 7,776,000l/d Q_d = discharge volume (I/d) 107,000I/d

 $1m^{3}/s = 86,400,000 l/d$ Therefore:

$$Q_{back} = 100 \text{ of fiver (i/d) (93.46 \text{ how of 0.05 m/s} = 7,776,000 \text{ //d})}$$

$$Q_{d} = \text{discharge volume (i/d) 107,000 \text{ //d}}$$

$$1\text{m}^{3}\text{/s} = 86,400,000 \text{ i/d}$$

$$1\text{m}^{3}\text{/s} = 86,400,000 \text{ i/d}$$

$$\text{Therefore:}$$

$$CR = [(2.4 \times 7,776,000) + (2.9 \times 107,000)] / (7,776,000 + 107,000]$$

$$CR = 2.4 \text{ mg/l}$$

ofcopy

CR = 2.4 mg/l

Summary Result - BOD

BOD	Mean Annual Flow	95-Percentile Flow
Assimilative Capacity of River	11.58kg/day	1.56kg/day
Total Amount Discharged	0.31kg/day	0.31kg/day
% of Assimilative Capacity Absorbed	2.67%	19.87%
Existing Average Background Upstream	2.67mg/l (Use Adjusted Background Conc. Method = 1.4mg/l)	2.67mg/l (Use Adjusted Background Conc. Method = 2.4mg/l)
Resultants Conc in River	1.4mg/l	2.4mg/l
Standard EQS – S.W. Reg;s 2009	1.5mg/l	2.6mg/l

The above calculations indicate the discharge, in terms of BOD concentration, is not impacting on the water quality of the river and the resultant concentration is within the EQS of <1.5mg/l for mean flow conditions and <2.6mg/l for 95%ile flow conditions (Surface water reg's 2009).

Phosphorous Assimilative Capacity

Monaghan Co Co samples taken upstream and downstream of the discharge point in (2009 2011) indicate average Total Phosphorus upstream and downstream of 0.07 and 0.22mg/l respectively. There are no test results for ortho phosphorous, therefore total phosphorous is being used for these calculations.

The current Q value downstream of the discharge point (Br u/s Scotstown Br) is Q3 with a Minimum Target Biological Quality (Q) Rating/Q Index of 4 or Molybdate-Reactive Phosphate Median Concentration(ugP/L) of 30 (Phosphorus Regulations, 1998).

Phosphorous Mean Flow Conditions

The Phosphorous assimilative capacity of the river under mean flow conditions can be calculated by:

Total Amount Discharge to River:

With an average effluent discharge volume of $107m^3/day$, the total amount of Phosphorus \sim (average conc. In discharge) discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

107,000/day x 0.88mg/l = **0.09kg/day**

This constitutes 0.16% of the assimilative capacity (AC) of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

Final River Phosphorus Concentration using Mean Flow Rate:

Scotstown WwTP EPA WWDL Application

CR =

Where;

 $\begin{array}{l} CR = resulting \ concentration \ in \ river \ (mg/l) \\ C_d = average \ concentration \ in \ discharge \ (Total P = 0.88mg/l) \\ C_{back} = \ concentration \ in \ river \ u/s \ of \ discharge \ (0.07mg/l) \ \textbf{(Use Adjusted Background Background Concentration ~=(0.035-(0.035-0.025)/2) = 0.03mg/l \\ Q_{back} = \ flow \ of \ river \ (l/d) \ (1.34\ m^3/s) = 115,776,000l/d \\ Q_d = \ discharge \ volume \ (l/d) \ 107,000l/d \end{array}$

 $1m^3/s = 86,400,000 l/d$

 $CR = [(0.03 \times 115,776,000) + (0.88 \times 107,000)] / [115,776,000 + 107,000]$

Final River Concentration = 0.03 mg/l (Total P) = 0.02mg/l MRP

 $\frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$

* The Molybdate Reactive Phosphate (MRP) concentration was calculated from Total Phosphorous as a multiple of 0.3 (Total P usually 0.3 times higher than MRP).

The MRP (as Total P) concentration of the river as result of the discharge is calculated below using the 95% ile flow rate (Station 03058).

required for

95-percentile Flow Conditions

 $\mathbf{AC} = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{mean}$

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity

 C_{max} = maximum permissible MRP concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 0.075 mg/l) (SW Regs 2009 – good status)

 C_{back} = Average background Phosphorous level upstream (0.07mg/l) (Use Adjusted Background Concentration because MRP max. permissible conc. Will be lower for Total P. ~=(0.075-(0.075-0.045)/2) =0.06mg/l

86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day) (M3/hr to kg/day) $(1m^3/s = 86,400,000 \text{ l/d})$

 $Q_{95} = 95$ -percentile flow in m³/s (0.09 m³/s or 7,776,000/d)

Therefore,

 $AC = (0.075 - 0.06) \times 86.4 \times 0.09$

AC = 0.12 kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River:

With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m³/day, the total amount of Phosphorous ~(average conc. In discharge) discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

107,000/day x 0.88mg/l = 0.09kg/day

This constitutes 75% of the assimilative capacity (AC) of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

Scotstown WwTP EPA WWDL Application

Final River Phosphorus Concentration using 95-percentile Flow Rate

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

 $\begin{array}{l} CR = resulting \mbox{ concentration in river (mg/l)} \\ C_d = average \mbox{ concentration in discharge (Total P = 0.88)} \\ C_{back} = \mbox{ concentration in river u/s of discharge (0.07) (Use Adjusted Background Concentration because MRP max. permissible conc. Will be lower for Total P. \\ \sim = (0.075 - (0.075 - 0.045)/2) = 0.06 mg/l \\ Q_{back} = \mbox{ flow of river (l/d) 95\% flow of 0.09m}^3/s = 7,776,000/d \\ Q_d = \mbox{ discharge volume (l/d) 107,000l/d} \end{array}$

Therefore:

 $CR = \left[(0.06 \times 7,776,000) + (0.88 \times 107,000) \right] / \left[7,776,000 + 107,000 \right]$

Final River Concentration = 0.071 mg/l (Total P) = 0.047mg/l MRP

	only any other up	
Total Phosphorous & MRP	Mean Flow conditions	95-percentile Flow
Existing Average Background Upstream	0.035mg/l Use Adjusted Background Concentration ~={0.035-(0.035- 0.025)/2) =0.03mg/l	0.07mg/l (Use Adjusted Background Concentration ~=(0.075-(0.075- 0.045)/2) =0.06mg/l
Resultants Conc in River	0.03mg/l	0.071 mg/l
Resultants Conc in River (MRP)*	0.02mg/l	0.047mg/l
Standard EQS – S.W. Regs 2009	< 0.035mg/l	<0.075mg/l

* The Molybdate Reactive Phosphate (MRP) concentration was calculated from Total Phosphorous as a multiple of 0.3 (Total P usually 0.3 times higher than MRP).

Summary Results – Total Phosphorus & Molybdate Reactive Phosphate Concentration

The calculations above show that the Blackwater River has the capacity to assimilative the discharge in terms of Total Phosphorous and MRP. The resultant MRP concentrations are below the MRP target concentration of 0.035mg/l for mean conditions and 0.075mg/l for 95% ile flow conditions.

Ammonia Assimilative Capacity

Monaghan Co Co samples taken upstream and downstream of the discharge point in (2009 2011) indicate average Total Ammonia upstream and downstream of 0.03 and 0.03mg/l respectively.

Mean Flow Conditions

The Ammonia assimilative capacity of the river under mean flow conditions can be calculated by:

$$AC = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{mean}$$

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity C_{max} = maximum permissible Total Ammonia concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 0.065 mg/l) (SW Regs 2009 – good status) C_{back} = Average background Total Ammonia level upstream (0.03mg/l) 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day) (M3/hr to kg/day) (1m³/s = 86,400,000 l/d) Q_{mean} = mean flow in m³/s (1.34 m³/s) Therefore, AC = (0.065-0.03) x 86.4 x 1.34 competition for the formula to the formula

Total Amount Discharge to River:

With an average effluent discharge volume of $107m^3/day$, the total amount of Ammonia \sim (average conc. In discharge) discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

107,000/day x 1.09mg/l = 0.12kg/day

This constitutes 2.88% of the assimilative capacity (AC) of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

Final River Ammonia Concentration using Mean Flow Rate:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (Total NH3 = 1.09mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (0.03mg/l) Q_{back} = flow of river (I/d) (1.34 m³/s) = 115,776,000I/d Q_d = discharge volume (I/d) 107,000I/d $1m^{3}/s = 86,400,000 l/d$

CR = [(0.03 x 115,776,000) + (1.09 x 107,000)] / [115,776,000+ 107,000]

Final River Concentration = 0.03 mg/I (Total NH3)

The Total Ammonia concentration of the river as result of the discharge is calculated below using the 95% ile flow rate (Station 03058). only 3114

and the man and the second for

95-percentile Flow Conditions

 $\mathbf{AC} = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{mean}$ FOI

where,

ofcopy AC = waste assimilative capacity C_{max} = maximum permissible Total Ammonia concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 0.14 mg/l) (SW Regs 2009 - good status) C_{back} = Average background Total Ammonia level upstream (0.03mg/l) 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day) (M3/hr to kg/day) $(1m^3/s = 86,400,000 \text{ l/d})$ $Q_{95} = 95$ -percentile flow in m³/s (0.09 m³/s or 7,776,000/d) Therefore, $AC = (0.14 - 0.03) \times 86.4 \times 0.09$

AC = 0.86 kg/day

Scotstown WwTP EPA WWDL Application

Total Amount Discharge to River:

With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m³/day, the total amount of Ammonia ~(average conc. In discharge) discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

107,000/day x 1.09mg/l = 0.12kg/day

This constitutes 13.56% of the assimilative capacity (AC) of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

Final River Ammonia Concentration using 95-percentile Flow Rate

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (Total NH3 = 1.09mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (0.03mg/l) 150 $Q_{back} =$ flow of river (I/d) 95% flow of 0.09m³/s = 7,776,000/d Q_d = discharge volume (I/d) 107,000I/d and - quired for

Therefore:

$$CR = [(0.03 \times 7,776,000) + (1.09 \times 107,009)] / [7,776,000 + 107,000]$$

083

Final River Concentration = 0.044 mg/l (Total Ammonia)

Total Ammonia	Mean Flow conditions	95-percentile Flow
Existing Average Background Upstream	0.03mg/l	0.03mg/l
Resultants Conc. in River (Total NH3)	0.03mg/l	0.044mg/l
Standard EQS – S.W. Regs 2009	< 0.065mg/l	<0.140mg/l

Summary Results – Total Ammonia

The calculations above show that the Blackwater River has the capacity to assimilate the discharge in terms of Total Ammonia, the resultant Total Ammonia concentrations are below the target concentration of 0.065mg/l for mean conditions and 0.14mg/l for 95%ile flow conditions.

Conclusion

The assimilative capacity calculations above indicate that there is significant dilution capacity within the receiving water, even at low flows, to assimilate discharges from the Waste Water Works in terms of BOD, Total Phosphorous and Total Ammonia and that the EQS are met downstream of the discharge point for the mean and 95-percentile flow conditions .

The results of the assimilative capacity are consistent with the physiochemical water quality monitoring results (EPA and Monaghan Co Co Data) and indicate that the discharges from the works are not having a significant detrimental impact on the receiving environment.

• Determine if there is likely to be a significant impact from the waste water discharges from the Scotstown agglomeration on the relevant *European Sites* (using EPA guidance note: Waste Water Discharge Licencing – Appropriate Assessment and referring to Circular L8/08).

A detailed response to this question is provided including Appropriate Assessment in Appendix 3 attached.

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

APPENDIX 1

Revision 1: Scotstown WwTP Discharge licence documents. Non-technical summary, tables & Drawings.

	Scotstown	Waste Water Discharge Licence Application
	Scotstown	Revision 1.
Amendme	nt list to doc	uments in licence - in red text.
Applicatio	n Form:	
	Section A	Non Technical Summary
		Emergency storm overflow changed to Storm water overflow
Page 9		WwTP co-ords changed 261130E 336789N
	Section B.2	Location of Associated WwTO WwTP co-ords changed 261130E 336789N
Page 20	Section C 1	1.Storm Water Overflows
l age zo	Occupit 0.1	Emergency storm overflow changed to Storm water overflow
		Grid Reference: 261135E 336742N
Page 21	Section C.1	(i) Scotstown Waste Water Works
		WwTP co-ords changed 261130E 336789N
Page 25		Outfall design & Construction
······································	Paragraph 2:	Emergency storm overflow changed to Storm water overflow
Page 23	Section C 1	WwTP co-ords changed 261130E 336789N (iii) Information Such Overflows:
Faye 25		Emergency storm overflow changed to Storm water overflow
	r utugiupiro.	WwTP co-ords changed 261130E 336789N
Page 29	Section E.2	Environmental monitoring & sampling:
		Information amended and updated to detail current
		sampling and monitoring procedures.
Annex II:	No amendm	ients required
Attachme	nte:	
Allavinie		Ot the second se
B1	Drawing 1:	No revision required
		NUT CALL
B2	Drawing 2:	No revision required
		No revision required
B3	Drawing 3:	Nevised name of emergency prection to storm water overnow,
		corrected its location and grid co-ordinates.
l	Drawing 4:	Revised and corrected labers and grid co-ordinates of upstream
		and downstream monitering locations
· · · · · · · · ·		
B6	Part 8 Docu	ment: No revision required
B8	Drawing 5:	No revision required wspaper Notice: Can't change as published already.
	Site and Ne	wspaper Notice: Can't change as published already.
C1	Drawing 6:	Revised name of 'emergency overflow' to 'storm water overflow',
	g ti	corrected its location and grid co-ordinates.
	Drawing 7:	Revised pipeline layout of storm tank overflow
C2	Discharge F	Point Details: No revision required
D1	No amonda	Dents required
D1	No amendir	nents required
D2	No amendr	nents required
F=		
E1	No amendr	nents required
E2	No amendn	nents required
	No errorette	
E3	No amendn	nents required
E4	No amenda	nents required
L 4	NU amenun	
F1	No amendn	nents required

11

.



SCOTSTOWN WASTE WATER TREATMENT WORKS

NON TECHNICAL SUMMARY -Rev. 1.

Monaghan County Council County Offices, The Glen, Co. Monaghan.

SEPTEMBER 2011

EPA Export 07-10-2011:03:33:02

This is a draft document and is subject to revision.



Waste Water Discharge Licence Application Form

Consent of cop,	
EPA Ref. №: (Office use only)	

Environmental Protection Agency

PO Box 3000, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford Lo Call: 1890 335599 Telephone: 053-9160600 Fax: 053-9160699 Web: <u>www.epa.ie</u>Email: info@epa.ie



51eu

Tracking Amendments to Draft Application Form

Version No.	Date	Amendment since previous version	Reason
V. 1.	11/10/07	N/A	
V. 2.	18/10/07	Inclusion of a Note 1 superscript for Orthophosphate in Tables D.1(i)(b) & D.1(ii)(b).	To highlight the requirement for filtered samples in measurement of O-Phosphate for waste water discharges.
V.3.	13/11/07	Amend wording of Section F.2 to include 'abstraction'.	To accurately reflect the information required
		Amend wording of Checklist in Annex to reflect wording of Regulation 16(5) of S.I. No. 684 of 2007.	To accurately reflect the Regulations and to obtain the application documentation in appropriate format.
		Inclusion of unique point code for each point of discharge and storm water overflow.	To aid in cross-referencing of application documentation.
V.4	18/04/08	Inclusion of requirement to provide of name of agglomeration to which the application relates.	the agglomeration to be
		Amend wording of Section B.7. (iii) to reflect the title of Water Services Authority.	Water Services Act, 2007.
		Addition of new Section B.9 (ii) in order to obtain information on developments yet to contribute to the waste	To obtain accurate population equivalent figures for the agglomeration.
		water works. Addition of sub-sections C.1.1 & C.1.2 in order to clarify information required for Storm water overflow and pumping stations	To obtain accurate information on design and spill frequency from these structures.
		and pumping stations within the works. Amend Section D.1 to include a requirement for monitoring data for influent to waste water treatment	



Waste Water Discharge Authorisation Application Form

		plants, where available. Amend wording of Section E.1 to request information on composite sampling/flow	To acquire accurate information on the sampling and monitoring provisions for discharges
		monitoring provisions.	from the works.
V.5	07/07/2008	Amend wording of B.7 (iii) to include reference to Water Services Authorities.	To accurately reflect the Water Services Act, 2007 requirements.
		Amend Section G.1 to include Shellfish Waters Directive.	
V.6	26/08/2007	Amendments to Section D to reflect new web based reporting.	To clarify the reporting requirements.
		Amended requirements for reporting on discharges under E.1 Waste Water Discharge Frequency and Quantities.	To streamline reporting requirements.
		Amendment to Section F.1 to specify the type of monitoring and reporting required for the background environment.	To clarify the reporting requirements for ambient monitoring.
		Removal of Annexes to application form.	To reflect the new web based reporting requirements.
		Consent of consent	



Environmental Protection Agency Application for a Waste Water Discharge Licence Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007.

CONTENTS

SECTIO	NA:	NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY	9
SECTIO	NB:	GENERAL	11
B.1	AGGL	OMERATION DETAILS	11
B.2	LOCA	TION OF ASSOCIATED WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT(S)	12
В.3	LOCA	TION OF PRIMARY DISCHARGE POINT	13
B.4	LOCA	TION OF SECONDARY DISCHARGE POINT(S)	13
B.5	LOCA	TION OF STORM WATER OVERFLOW POINT(S)	14
B.6 PI	_ANNIN	G AUTHORITY For in the second	14
B.7	OTHE	RAUTHORITIES	15
B.8	ΝΟΤ	CES AND ADVERTISEMENTS	16
B.9 (I) PO	PULATION EQUIVALENT OF AGGLOMERATION	16
B.10	CAPIT	AL INVESTMENT PROGRAMME	18
B.11	SIGN	IFICANT CORRESPONDENCE	19
B.12	FORE	SHORE ACT LICENCES.	19
SECTIO	N C:	INFRASTRUCTURE & OPERATION	20
C.1	OPER	ATIONAL INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS	20
C.2	OUTF	ALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION	24
SECTIO	N D:	DISCHARGES TO THE AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT	26
D.1	DISC	HARGES TO SURFACE WATERS	26
D.2	TABU	LAR DATA ON DISCHARGE POINTS	27

Page

Waste Water Discharge Authorisation Application Form	
SECTION E: MONITORING	28
E.1 WASTE WATER DISCHARGE FREQUENCY AND QUANTITIES – EXISTI & PROPOSED	ING 28
E.2. MONITORING AND SAMPLING POINTS	28
E.3. TABULAR DATA ON MONITORING AND SAMPLING POINTS	30
E.4 SAMPLING DATA	30
SECTION F: EXISTING ENVIRONMENT & IMPACT OF THE DISCHARGE(S)	31
F.1. ASSESSMENT OF IMPACT ON RECEIVING SURFACE OR GROUND WATE	R31
F.2 TABULAR DATA ON DRINKING WATER ABSTRACTION POINT(S)	44
SECTION G: PROGRAMMES OF IMPROVEMENTS	45
G.1 COMPLIANCE WITH COUNCIL DIRECTIVES	45
G.2 COMPLIANCE WITH WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR PHOSPHORU REGULATIONS (S.I. NO. 258 OF 1998).	S 45
G.3 IMPACT MITIGATION	46
G.4 STORM WATER OVERFLOW	47
G.2 COMPLIANCE WITH WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR PHOSPHORU REGULATIONS (S.I. NO. 258 OF 1998). G.3 IMPACT MITIGATION G.4 STORM WATER OVERFLOW ction performer required for the SECTION H: DECLARATION Of the transferred for the tr	48

1 - Trissenside



ABOUT THIS APPLICATION FORM

This form is for the purpose of making an application for a Waste Water Discharge Licence under the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007) or for the review of an existing Waste Water Discharge licence.

The Application Form **must** be completed in accordance with the instructions and guidance provided in the *Waste Water Discharge Licensing Application Guidance Note*. The Guidance Note gives an overview of Waste Water Licensing, outlines the licence application process (including the number of copies required) and specifies the information to be submitted as part of the application. The Guidance Note and application form are available to download from the Licensing page of the EPA's website at www.epa.ie.

A valid application for a Waste Water Discharge Licence must contain the information prescribed in the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007). Regulation 16 of the Regulations sets out the statutory requirements for information to accompany a licence application. The application form is designed in such a way as to set out these questions in a structured manner and not necessarily in the order presented in the Regulations. In order to ensure a legally valid application increspect of Regulation 16 requirements, please complete the Regulation 16 Checklist provided in Annex 2.

This Application Form does not purport to be and should not be considered a legal interpretation of the provisions and requirements of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the material contained in the Application Form, the EPA assumes no responsibility and gives no guarantee, or warranty concerning the accuracy, completeness of up to date nature of the information provided herein and does not accept any liability whatsoever arising from any errors or omissions.

Should there be any contradiction between the information requirements set out in the Application Form and any clarifying explanation contained in the accompanying Guidance Note, then the requirements in this Application Form shall take precedence.

PROCEDURES

The procedure for making and processing of applications for waste water discharge licences, and for the processing of reviews of such licences, appear in the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007) and is summarised below. The application fees that shall accompany an application are listed in the Third Schedule to the Regulations.

Prior to submitting an application the applicant must publish in a newspaper circulating in the area, and erect at the point nearest to the waste water treatment plant concerned or, if no such plant exists, at a location nearest the primary discharge point, a notice of intention to apply. An applicant, not being the local authority in whose functional area the relevant waste water discharge, or discharges, to which the relevant application relates, takes place or is to take place, must also notify the relevant Local Authority, in writing, of their intention to apply.

An application for a licence must be submitted on the appropriate form (available from the Agency) with the correct fee, and should contain relevant supporting documentation as attachments. The application should be based on responses to the form and include supporting written text and the appropriate use of tables and drawings. Where point source emissions occur, a system of unique reference numbers should be used to denote each discharge point. These should be simple, logical, and traceable throughout the application.

The application form is divided into a number of sections of related information. The purpose of these divisions is to facilitate both the applicant and the Agency in the provision of the information and its assessment. **Please adhere to the format as set out in the application form and clearly number each section and associated attachment, if applicable, accordingly.** Attachments should be clearly numbered, titled and paginated and must contain the required information as set out in the application form. Additional attachments may be included to supply any further information supporting the application. Any references made should be supported by a bibliography.

All questions should be answered. Where information is requested in the application form, which is not relevant to the particular application, the words "not applicable" should be clearly written on the form. <u>The abbreviation "N/A" should not be used</u>.

Additional information may need to be submitted beyond that which is explicitly requested on this form. Any references made should be supported by a bibliography. The Agency may request further information if it considers that its provision is material to the assessment of the application. Advice should be sought from the Agency where there is doubt about the type of information required or the level of detail.

Information supplied in this application, including supporting documentation will be put on public display and be open to inspection by any person.

Applicants should be aware that a contravention of the conditions of a waste water discharge licence is an offence under the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007.

The provision of information in an application for a waste water discharge licence which is false or misleading is an offence under

Regulation 35 of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007).

Note: <u>*Drawings.*</u> *The following guidelines are included to assist applicants:*

- All drawings submitted should be titled and dated.
- All drawings should have a <u>unique reference number</u> and should be signed by a clearly identifiable person.
- All drawings should indicate a scale and the direction of north.
- All drawings should, generally, be to a scale of between 1:20 to 1:500, depending upon the degree of detail needed to be shown and the size of the facility. Drawings delineating the boundary can be to a smaller scale of between 1:1000 to 1:10560, but must clearly and accurately present the required level of detail. Drawings showing the waste water treatment plant location, if such a plant exists, can be to a scale of between 1:50 000 to 1:126 720. All drawings should, however, be A3 or less and of an appropriate scale such that they are clearly legible. Provide legends on all drawings and maps as appropriate.
- In exceptional circumstances, where A3 is considered inadequate, a larger size may be requested by the Agency.

It should be noted that it will not be possible to process or determine the application until the required documents have been provided in sufficient detail and to a satisfactory standard.

SECTION A: NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

Advice on completing this section is provided in the accompanying Guidance Note.

A non-technical summary of the application is to be included here. The summary should identify all environmental impacts of significance associated with the discharge of waste water associated with the waste water works. This description should also indicate the hours during which the waste water works is supervised or manned and days per week of this supervision.

The following information must be included in the non-technical summary:

A description of:

- the waste water works and the activities carried out therein
- the sources of emissions from the waste water works
- the nature and quantities of foreseeable emissions from the waste water works into the receiving aqueous environment as well as identification of significant effects of the emissions on the environment,
- the proposed technology and other techniques for preventing or, where this is not possible, reducing emissions from the wastewater works,
- further measures planned to comply with the general principle of the basic obligations of the operator, i.e., that no significant pollution is caused;
- measures planned to monitor emissions into the environment

Supporting information should form Attachment Nº A.1

Non Technical Summary

tor university of Monaghan County Council is applying to the Environmental Protection Agency for a Waste Water Discharge Licence for the existing Waste Water Works at Scotstown. The Waste Water Works comprises a network of gravity sewers, associated rising main and the wastewater treatment plant at Scotstown. There is one storm water overflow located at the treatment plant site. The plant is supervised/manned for 2 hours Monday to Friday and for 0.5 hours Saturday and Sunday, giving a total of 11 hours a week.

The Waste Water Treatment Works design capacity is 1000 PE. The Works currently collects and treats domestic and trade effluent from a population equivalent of approximately 520. The Waste Water Treatment Plant treats in the region of 107 cubic metres of effluent every day and provides secondary treatment with nutrient removal (phosphorus reduction) for the effluent. The treated effluent has an average BOD concentration of 13mg/l and average suspended solids concentration of 8.3 mg/l. Average concentrations of nutrients are as follows; orthophosphate 2.39 mg/l (P), Total Phosphorus 2.6 mg/l (P) and Total Nitrogen 8.9 mg/l (N).

The primary discharge of the Waste Water Works is to the Blackwater River at 261140E, 336760N in the townland of Teraverty Upper, Co. Monaghan. The associated Waste Water Treatment Plant is located at 261130E, 336789N in the townland of Teraverty, Scotstown Co. Monaghan.

The Blackwater (Monaghan) River has been identified by the Eastern Regional Fisheries Board as an important trout fishery. However, the river is not designated as salmonid water under EC (Quality of Salmonid Water) Regulations, 1988 and as such the river water guality is not required to meet the quality standards laid down in these regulations. The objective for the river is to attain "good status" by 2015 under the Water Framework Directive.

The nearest flow monitoring data available on the Blackwater River is at the Cappog Bridge Station (NGR 262970E, 335707N)) (OPW Station 03058). The 95-percentile flow is given as the $0.09m^3/s$, 50-percentile flow of $0.65m^3/s$ and the mean flow as $1.34m^3/s$.

A Q value of 4 was recorded upstream of the discharge point (1km u/s Scotstown) in 2004 (see **Table 2** below). A previous Q value of 4-5 was recorded at this location in 1998 and 1996 (unpolluted). EPA physiochemical water quality monitoring data is available at this site for 2001 to 2003. This data gave a median BOD value of 2mg/l, median ortho-phosphate of 0.02mg/l, median total ammonia of 0.04mg/l and median oxidised nitrogen of 0.4mg/l.

A Q value of 3 was recorded downstream of the discharge point (Station No. 0130 1.5km d/s Scotstown Br) in 2004 (see **Table 2** below). A previous Q value of 3 was recorded in 2001; A Q value of 4 was recorded at this location in 1998 and 1996.

The overall River Water Framework Directive status for the Blackwater River is 1a, hence it is at risk of failing to meet good status in 2015.

Monaghan County Councils upstream monitoring results (2008-2009) indicate relatively good water quality in the river, with the median MRP level of 0.03 mg/l P, ammonia levels of 0.02 mg/l NH₃-N, median BOD of <2 mg/l, median TP of 0.08mg/l, median TN of 0.09mg/l N and median suspended solids of <3 mg/l. Dangerous substances concentrations were below detection level for 3 of the 19 parameters tested in April 2009. No levels exceeded the standards as outlined in the Water Quality (Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2001.

Results from the downstream monitoring site (asW1(P)d) indicates generally good water quality with median MRP levels of 0.02 mg/l P recorded for 2008 and 2009 (based on TP median TN of 0.09mg/l N and median suspended solids of 3 mg/l. Dangerous substances concentrations were below detection level for 4 of the 19 parameters tested in April 2009. No levels exceeded the standards as outlined in the Water Quality (Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2001.

In summary, there is significant divition capacity within the receiving water, even at low flows, to assimilate discharges from the Waste Water Works. Physiochemical water quality monitoring in the River both upstream and downstream of the primary discharge from the Waste Water Works indicate that the discharge from the works is not having a significant detrimental impact on the receiving environment.

SECTION B: GENERAL

Advice on completing this section is provided in the accompanying Guidance Note.

B.1 Agglomeration Details

Name of Agglomeration: Scotstown

Applicant's Details

Name and Address for Correspondence

Only application documentation submitted by the applicant and by the nominated person will be deemed to have come from the applicant.

Provide a drawing detailing the agglomeration to which the licence application relates. It should have the boundary of the agglomeration to which the licence application relates <u>clearly marked in red ink</u>.

Name*:	Monaghan County Council	
Address:	Water Services	
	County Offices	
	The Glen	
	Monaghan 🔬 🔬	
Tel:	047 30500	
Fax:	047 82739	
e-mail:	info@monaghancoco.ie	

*This should be the name of the water services authority in whose ownership or control the waste water works is vested.

*Where an application is being submitted to behalf of more than one water services authority the details provided in Section B.1 shall be that of the lead water services authority.

provided in a	Section D.1 shan be that in die read water services authority.	
Name*:	Mr Mark Johnston	
Address:	Water Services	
	County Offices	
	The Glen	
	Monaghan	
Tel:	047 30500	
Fax:	047 82739	
e-mail:	mjohnston@monaghancoco.ie	

*This should be the name of person nominated by the water services authority for the purposes of the application.

Co-Applicant's Details

Name*:	Not Applicable		
Address:		 	
Tel:			
Fax:			
e-mail:			

*This should be the name of a water services authority, other than the lead authority, where multiple authorities are the subject of a waste water discharge (authorisation) licence application.

Design, Build & Operate Contractor Details

Name*:	Not Applicable		
Address:		 	
<u> </u>		 	
Tel: Fax:		 	
e-mail:			

*Where a design, build & operate contract is in place for the waste water works, or any part thereof, the details of the contractor should be provided.

Attachment B.1 should contain appropriately scaled drawings / maps (≤A3) of the agglomeration served by the waste water works showing the boundary clearly marked in red ink. These drawings / maps should also be provided as geo-referenced digital drawing files (e.g., ESRI Shapefile, MapInfo Tab, AutoCAD or other upon agreement) in Irish National Grid Projection. These drawings should be provided to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing sections B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, C.1, D.2, E.3 and F.2.

Attachment included	wei th Yes	No
	alt' all or V	

B.2 Location of Associated Waste Water Treatment Plant(s)

:0

Give the location of the waste water are atment plant associated with the waste water works, if such a plant or plants exists.

Name*:	Eugene Farmer (Teghnician)
Address:	Scotstown WWTW, Teraverty, Co Monaghan
	Co. Monaghan
Grid ref	261130E 336789N
(6E, 6N)	
Level of	Secondary
Treatment	
Primary	047 30500
Telephone:	
Fax:	047 82739
e-mail:	Eugene.Farmer@monaghantc.ie

*This should be the name of the person responsible for the supervision of the waste water treatment plant.

Attachment B.2 should contain appropriately scaled drawings / maps (\leq A3) of the site boundary and overall site plan, including labelled discharge, monitoring and sampling points. These drawings / maps should also be provided as geo-referenced digital drawing files (e.g., ESRI Shapefile, MapInfo Tab, AutoCAD or other upon agreement) in Irish National Grid Projection. These drawings should be provided to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing sections B.1, B.3, B.4, B.5, C.1, D.2, E.3 and F.2.

Attachment included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

B.3 Location of Primary Discharge Point

Give the location of the primary discharge point, as defined in the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulation, associated with the waste water works.

Type of Discharge	Open Pipe Discharge
Unique Point Code	SW1(P)
Location	Teraverty, Co. Monaghan
Grid ref (6E, 6N)	261140E, 336760N

Attachment B.3 should contain appropriately scaled drawings / maps (\leq A3) of the discharge point, including labelled monitoring and sampling points associated with the discharge point. These drawings / maps should also be provided as georeferenced digital drawing files (e.g. ESRI Shapefile, MapInfo Tab, AutoCAD or other upon agreement) in Irish National Grid Projection. This data should be provided to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing the drawings and tabular data requested in sections B.1, B.2, B.4, B.5, C.1, D.2, E.3 and F.2.

Attachment included	Yes	No
	at the V	

B.4 Location of Secondary Discharge Point(s)

Give the location of **all** secondary discharge point(s) associated with the waste water works. Please refer to Guidance Note for information on Secondary discharge points.

Type of Discharge	Not Applicable
Unique Point Code	Not Applicable
Location	Not Applicable
Grid ref (6E, 6N)	Not Applicable

Attachment B.4 should contain appropriately scaled drawings / maps (\leq A3) of the discharge point(s), including labelled monitoring and sampling points associated with the discharge point(s). These drawings / maps should also be provided as georeferenced digital drawing files (e.g. ESRI Shapefile, MapInfo Tab, AutoCAD or other upon agreement) in Irish National Grid Projection. This data should be provided to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing sections B.1, B.2, B.3, B.5, C.1, D.2, E.3 and F.2.

Attachment included	Yes	No
		\checkmark

B.5 Location of Storm Water Overflow Point(s)

Give the location of **all** storm water overflow point(s) associated with the waste water works.

Type of Discharge	Not Applicable	
Unique Point Code	Not Applicable	
Location	Not Applicable	
Grid ref (6E, 6N)	Not Applicable	

Attachment B.5 should contain appropriately scaled drawings / maps (\leq A3) of storm water overflow point(s) associated with the waste water works, including labelled monitoring and sampling points associated with the discharge point(s). These drawings / maps should also be provided as geo-referenced digital drawing files (e.g. ESRI Shapefile, MapInfo Tab, AutoCAD or other upon agreement) in Irish National Grid Projection. This data should be provided to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing sections B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, C.1, D.2, E.3 and F.2.

Attachment included	other Yes	No
	only and	\checkmark

B.6 Planning Authority

Give the name of the planning authority, or authorities, in whose functional area the discharge or discharges take place or are proposed to take place.

Name:	Monaghan County Council	
Address:	County Offices	
	The Gien	
	Monaghan	• M = 100
	Co. Monaghan	
Tel:	047 30500	
Fax:	047 82739	
e-mail:	planning@monaghancoco.ie	

Planning Permission relating to the waste water works which is the subject of this application:- (tick as appropriate)

has been obtained	\checkmark	is being processed	
is not yet applied for		is not required	

A Part 8 planning Application was submitted on 02/09/2005 and planning permission was granted on 27/10/2005 (Planning Ref: 05/8012)

Local Authority Planning File Reference №:	05/8012

Attachment B.6 should contain *the most recent* planning permission, including a copy of *all* conditions, and where an EIS was required, copies of any such EIS and any certification associated with the EIS, should also be enclosed. Where planning

permission is not required for the development, provide reasons, relevant correspondence, etc.

Attachment included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

B.7 Other Authorities

B.7 (i) Shannon Free Airport Development Company (SFADCo.) area

The applicant should tick the appropriate box below to identify whether the discharge or discharges are located within the Shannon Free Airport Development Company (SFADCo.) area.

Attachment B.7(i) should contain details of any or all discharges located within the SFADCo. area.

Within the SFADCo Area	Yes	No	
		\checkmark	
	115°		

B.7 (ii) Health Services Executive Region

MHY. any other The applicant should indicate the Health Services Executive Region where the discharge or discharges are or will be located

2.0

Name:	Health Service Executive of the service and th
Address:	Regional Health Office
	HSE Dublin & North East
	Dublin Road
	Kells, offer
	Co. Meath Contraction
Tel:	046 9280621
Fax:	046 9241784
e-mail:	rhodublinnortheast@mailq.hse.ie

B.7 (iii) Other Relevant Water Services Authorities

Regulation 13 of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007 requires all applicants, not being the water services authority in whose functional area the relevant waste water discharge or discharges, to which the relevant application relates, takes place or is to take place, to notify the relevant water services authority of the said application.

Name:	Not Applicable		
Address:			
Tel:			
Fax:			
e-mail:			

Relevant Authority Notified	Yes	No
		\checkmark

Attachment B.7(iii) should contain a copy of the notice issued to the relevant local authority.

Attachment included	Yes	No
		\checkmark

B.8 Notices and Advertisements

Regulations 10 and 11 of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007 require all applicants to advertise the application in a newspaper and by way of a site notice. See *Guidance Note*.

Attachment B.8 should contain a copy of the site notice and an appropriately scaled drawing (\leq A3) showing its location. The original application must include the original page of the newspaper in which the advertisement was placed. The relevant page of the newspaper containing the advertisement should be included with the original and two copies of the application.

Attachment included	MY of Yes	No
	os ^e edtor	

B.9 (i) Population Equivalent of Agglomeration

TABLE B.9.1 POPULATION EQUIVALENT OF AGGLOMERATION

The population equivalent (p.e.) of the agglomeration to be, or being, served by the waste water works should be provided and the period in which the population equivalent data was compiled should be indicated.

Population Equivalent	520 – Current Loading P 1000 – Design PE	
Data Compiled (Year)	2009	
Method	based on 0.06 kg BOD per head for PE	

Table B9(i) Effluent Loading to the Plant for the last 5 Months & Associated PE

Date	Inlet Flow (Average Flow)	BOD (From Inlet)	BOD Load (From Inlet)	PE Equivalent (From Inlet) (based on 0.06 kg BOD per head for PE)
	m³/day	mg/l	kg/day	mg/person/day
27.03.2008	113.00	305	34.5	574.4
28.05.2008	98.00	510	50.0	833.0
27.08.2008	121.00	210	25.4	423.5
26.11.2008	97.00	215	20.9	347.6
09.04.2009	107.00	240	25.7	428.0

B.9 (ii) Pending Development

Where planning permission has been granted for development(s), but development has not been commenced or completed to date, within the boundary of the agglomeration and this development is being, or is to be, served by the waste water works provide the following information;

- information on the calculated population equivalent (p.e.) to be contributed to the waste water works as a result of those planning permissions granted,
- the percentage of the projected p.e. to be contributed by the non-domestic activities, and
- the ability of the waste water works to accommodate this extra hydraulic and organic loading without posing an environmental risk to the receiving water habitat.

Scotstown village is located approximately 10km north west of Monaghan Town. Scotstown is situated along the banks of a tributary of the river Blackwater at the meeting place of five district roads. These roads link Fivemiletown, Roslea, Smithboro, Monaghan and Tydavnet / Emyvale. Scotstown village acts as a service centre for the surrounding agricultural hinterland.

As stated in the Scotstown Village Plan 2007-2013 and in Chapter 3 Settlement Strategy of the Monaghan County Development Plan 2007-2013, there is 83 hectare of land within the development envelope of which approximately 29 ha are available for development. From **Table 1** below 20 hectares of land is available for residential development (70% of lands available).

		AV AV		
Village	Lands within	Lands Available	Lands	Hsg.
	Dev. Envelope	for Dev. ha	Residential	Capacity @
	ha	A A A A	Dev. ha	15 houses
	\$°°,	ANT OF THE OWNER OF	(70% of	per hectare
	l since the second s	•	lands	
	ALC .		available)	
Scotstown	83	29	20	300

At low density (15 houses per hectare) it is anticipated that approximately 300 housing units could be built during the Development Plan period if all land within the development limit was used for residential development. This could be a maximum population increase of 930 based on an average household occupancy of 3.1. This would give a PE of 1450 (worst case scenario) which would leave the treatment plant over capacity. However, granting of developments would have to reflect the capacity of the WWTW.

Table 2 below tabulates planning permission granted (from 2008 to present) and associated population equivalents resulting from these permissions. This table was compiled in using Monaghan County Council's ePlan. The existing loading of the plant is approximately 520 PE. The total committed but not yet contributing is 12.4 (based on planning permissions granted from 2008 to present (**Table 2**).

Table 2 below tabulates planning permission granted (from 2008 to present) and associated population equivalents resulting from these permissions.

File Number	Description	No of Units	Additional PE (Based on 3.1 Occupancy)
071791	Erection of 1 No. One Storey Dwelling	1	3.1
08400	Erection of 1 No. Two Storey Dwelling	1	3.1
08632	1 No. dormer residence	1	3.1
08639	Construct a two storey dwelling	1	3.1
			12.4

As can be seen below, an approximate estimate for the plant loading in 2015 (life span of licence) is 630 PE. As the plant is currently designed to cater for a PE of 1000, it will be able to accommodate the extra hydraulic and organic load without posing an environmental risk to the receiving water habitat.

Projected increase to 2015 (based on Census 2006)
104
630

It should be noted that in the current economic climate it is probable that not all the housing permissions applied for within the timeframe of the licence for will be realised.

B.9 (iii) FEES

State the relevant Class of waste water discharge as per Column 1 of the Second Schedule, and the appropriate fee as per Columns 2 or 3 of the Third Schedule of the Waste Water Discharges (Authorisation) Regulations 2007, S.I. No. 684 of 2007.

Class of waste water discharge	Fee (in €)
Discharges from agglomerations	€10,000
with a population equivalent of	
more than 10,000	

Appropriate Fee Included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

B.10 Capital Investment Programme

State whether a programme of works has been prioritised for the development of infrastructure to appropriately collect, convey, treat and discharge waste

water from the relevant agglomeration. If a programme of works has been prioritised provide details on funding, (local or national), allocated to the capital project. Provide details on the extent and type of work to be undertaken and the likely timeframes for this work to be completed.

No Capital Investment Programme has been prioritised for the development.

Attachment B.10 should contain the most recent development programme, including a copy of any approved funding for the project and a timeframe for the completion of the necessary works to take place.

Attachment included	Yes	No
		\checkmark

B.11 Significant Correspondence

Provide a summary of any correspondence resulting from a Section 63 notice issued by the Agency in relation to the waste water works under the Environmental Protection Agency Acts, 1992 and 2003, as amended by Section 13 of Protection of the Environment Act, 2003.

There have been no Section 63 notices issued by the Agency in relation to the Scotstown Waste Water Works under the Environmental Protection Agency Acts, 1992 and 2003, as amended by Section 13 of Protection of the Environment Act, 2003.

Attachment B.11 should contain a summary of any relevant correspondence issued in relation to a Section 63 notices

Attachment included	a insp o	Yes	No
	FC. PYIC		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$

B.12 Foreshore Act Licences.

Provide a copy of the most recent Foreshore Act licence issued in relation to discharges from the waste water works issued under the Foreshore Act 1933.

Attachment B.12 should contain the most recent licence issued under the Forsehore Act 1933, including a copy of **all** conditions attached to the licence and any monitoring returns for the previous 12-month period, if applicable.

Attachment included	Yes	No
		\checkmark

SECTION C: INFRASTRUCTURE & OPERATION

Advice on completing this section is provided in the accompanying Guidance Note.

C.1 Operational Information Requirements

Provide a description of the plant, process and design capacity for the areas of the waste water works where discharges occur, to include a copy of such plans, drawings or maps, (site plans and location maps, process flow diagrams), and such other particulars, reports and supporting documentation as are necessary to describe all aspects of the area of the waste water works discharging to the aquatic environment. Maps and drawings must be no larger than A3 size.

C.1.1 Storm Water Overflows

For each storm water overflow within the waste water works the following information shall be submitted:

- An assessment to determine compliance with the criteria for storm water overflows, as set out in the DoEHLG '*Procedures and Criteria* in Relation to Storm Water Overflows', 1995 and any other guidance as may be specified by the Agency, and
- Identify whether any of the storm water overflows are to be decommissioned, and identify a date by which these overflows will cease, if applicable.

There is one storm water overflow (SWO) from a storm holding tank located at NGR 261135E 336742N in the event of continuous storm conditions, whereby the storm tank fills up and the treatment works is at full capacity, discharge is into the river Blackwater (see **Drawing 3**).

C.1.2 Pumping Stations

There are no Council operated pumping stations within the works.

For each pump station operating within the waste water works, provide details of the following:

- Number of duty and standby pumps at each pump station;
- The measures taken in the event of power failure;

Details of storage capacity at each pump station;

• Frequency and duration of activation of emergency overflow to receiving waters. Clarify the location where such discharges enter the receiving waters.

C.1 (i) Scotstown Waste Water Works

The Waste Water Works serving the town of Scotstown and the immediate environs comprises a network of gravity sewers, and associated rising main and a Waste Water Treatment Works with a design capacity of 1000 P.E.

The primary discharge of the Waste Water Works is to the Blackwater Monaghan River (at National Grid Reference 261140E, 336760N) in the townland of Teraverty, Scotstown Co. Monaghan. The associated Waste Water Treatment Plant is located at 261130E 336789N also in the townland of Teraverty, Scotstown Co. Monaghan.

The plant is supervised/manned for 2 hours Monday to Friday and for 0.5 hours Saturday and Sunday, giving a total of 11 hours a week.

Pumping Station

There are no Council run pumping stations associated with the Works. There are three private pumping stations.

Scotstown Waste Water Treatment Plant

1.1 Waste Water Treatment Plant

1.1.1 General

The Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) which provides treatment for a design load of 1000 population equivalent comprises aeration by mechanical surface aerators followed by settlement and clarification. The plant is designed to produce a fully nitrified effluent of 25:35mg/l BOD:Suspended Solids. Sludge from the Scotstown Waste Water Treatment plant is tankered to Monaghan Town WWTP for treatment. The site plan and general arrangement of the Waste Water Treatment Plant is shown on **Drawing 2** of **Attachment C1** respectively and a schematic flow diagram of the plant is shown on **Drawing 7** in **Attachment C1**.

1.1.2 Waste Water Treatment Plant Design Criteria

Parameter	Value
Population Equivalent	1000
DWF (m³/day)	180
6 DWF (m ³ /day)	1080
Daily BOD ₅ (kg/day)	60
Daily SS (kg/day)	75

1.2 Treatment

Inlet Screen

The sewage enters the treatment works via the inlet sewer manhole. From here it passes through the Haigh ACE 290 inlet screen. This unit is capable of a maximum through flow of 15l/s. Flows in excess of 3 DWF are diverted to the storm holding tank via a preset overflow weir. As the sewage passes through it is screened and solids and particles greater than 6mm in diameter are removed, separated compacted to a minimum of 40% dry solids content. This is place in a wheelie bin for further disposal.

Inlet Flow Measurement

The screened sewage enters an open channel rectangular fume.

Inlet Sampler

The open channel is also used a sample point. A sample is drawn via a plastic suction hose to a contronic sampler.

Ferric Dosing Plant

Ferrric Sulphate is dosed into the open channel to facilitate the treatment process. This is controlled with a pulse signal from the flow measurement devise. A pulse is sent on every m^3 of effluent which passes through the flume. A measured batched amount is dosed by the duty pump on each pump. The system has a bunded bulk storage tank with an integrated pump/panel compartment. The capacity of the bulk tank is $6m^3$.

Flow Splitting Chamber

The flow continues to the Primary Settlement Tank (PST) Splitting Chamber where it is mixed with the return activated sludge and spilt equally before entering the four primary settlement tanks.

Primary Settlement Tanks

The flow enters the PST's via a Tee down pipe located in each of the tanks. The tanks have been sized on the basis of a 48hr retention time, hence settling most of the gross solids from the effluent.

Manual desludging pipe work has been provided to enable periodic desludging of each of the four tanks. As the flow is displaced it exits the PST's via a stainless steel scum baffle and over a concrete weir wall. The outlets of the PST's are separated in sets of two tanks i.e. one outlet per tanks giving two outlets in total.

Rotating Biological Contractor (RBC)

The flow from each of the PST outlet enters an RBC. There is one RBC in the WWTW. This is an attached growth treatment unit. The biomass grows on plastic discs which are attached to a continuously rotating shaft. Te media is partially submerged and is oxygenated as it rotates, encouraging a biomass film of growth on the media.

The RBS has a two stage media disc treatment. The effluent is forwarded to the second stage via a managed flow system. This consists of shaped buckets attached to the rotating shaft, filling and discharging to a collection hopper as the shaft rotates. The RBC is driven by a 1.5kw 3 phase electric motor through a reduction gearbox. The unit runs on a continuous basis.

RBC Sump Pump

A small drainage pump has been provided at the motor/gearbox end of the RBC to facilitate the removal of surface and seepage water.

This is a simple 230VAC plug in pump fitted with an integral float control switch.

Settle Effluent Pumps

The outlets of the RBC's flows to the settled effluent pumping station. Here the effluent is collected and pumped to the biological filter bed splitter box (normal operation) or directly

to the FST splitter box (filter bed bypass option). These pumps are controlled with a Siemens Milltronics ultrasonic level measurement device. The pumps are configured Duty/ Assist and each pump (in single operation) will deliver 3DWF or slightly more. The ultrasonic is programmed with a common stop, duty start and assist start level. These levels are detailed in the Forward Feed Pump Station parameter setting sheets. Should the unit develop a fault; a fault alarm light will be illuminated in the control building. The system will then default to float control. Two floats are present at this plant. The lower float controls the on off of the pump whilst in float control. This is done by initiating a run timer when the float is activated. After the time has elapsed its time settling the pump will stop until the float is re=-activated to initiate the cycle again.

Filter Bed Splitter Box

This is the existing splitter box which accepts the pumped flow from the Forward Feed Pumping Station and divides it for distribution to the filter beds.

Filter Beds

There are two plastic media filled filter beds. The effluent is distributed over the media by the use of Adams Hydraulics Distributor arms. The effluent trickles through the filter before discharging to the existing humus tanks.

Humus Tank

The humus tanks is a hopper bottomed tank, with baffles and outlet weirs. As the remaining fine solids settle out, the final effluent is displaced over the outlet weir and required eventually to the final effluent chamber.

The settled sludge is periodically drawn offer by the use of a manually operated penstock located at the sludge return pumping station. of copyrige

Final Effluent Chamber

The final effluent form the Human tank flows to the final effluent chamber.

A sampler takes a sample of the final effluent on receipt of 10 pulses (1 pulse per m^3) from a flow measurement device. Hence, every 10m³ a 200mm sample is taken.

Return Activated Sludge Pumping Station

The outlet of the sludge line from the humus tank flows to the sludge return pumping station. Here the sludge is collected and pumped to the PST flow splitting chamber. It joins the incoming effluent entering the primary settlement tanks. These pumps are configured Duty Standby level. The ultrasonic level control is programmed with a common stop level, duty start and standby start.

Storm Return Pumping Station

Effluent enters the storm holding tanks from the inlet screen during storm conditions or flows in excess of 3DWF. Excess flow enters the storm taken via a preset overflow weir, located at the inlet screen. As the storm effluent enters the storm tank it is stored whilst the storm conditions or excess flow is still detected at the inflow flow measurement flume.

When the storm conditions subside and the flow measured at the inlet flume is at 1 DWF or less for a set period of time (currently 5 minutes) the storm return is enabled (15 minutes).

During this time the storm return sequence is initiated and the pumps are able to pump back to the inlet manhole at the head of the works. After the set period of storm return enable, the pumps will stop and if the inlet flow is still 1DWF or less for a further period of tome the storm return is again enabled. The idea of this sequence is to avoid the pump hunting on and off with minor flow variations.

When the storm return is enabled the mixing and pumping station is controlled with a Siemens Milltronics ultrasonic level measurement device. Depending on the level within the storm tank, the mixer is started prior to pumping. The mixer will run for a set period of time before allowing the pumps to run (currently set a 3 minutes). The pumps then start to pump and return storm effluent back to the inlet manhole. The speed of the storm pumps is controlled by a variable speed drive which has a fixed set point allowing the pumps to deliver a flow rate of approximately 1.5DWF. The mixer continues to run with the pumps until the storm tank has reached the stop level (currently 0.35m). This is provided the storm enable time has not elapsed. The pump start is set at 0.45m above floor level to ensure that the storm tank is always emptied, even after a brief inflow, this maximises the storm holding tank capacity. The pumps are configured Duty Standby.

C.1(iii) Information on the Location of the Overflows and Final Discharge Locations from Such Overflows

The primary discharge point SW1(P) discharges to the Blackwater River via a 300mm pipe. The location of the discharge is shown on **Drawing 3** of **Attachment B3**.

There is one storm water overflow (SWO) at the treatment plant, from a storm holding tank located at NGR 261135E 336742N, this only operates in the event of continuous storm conditions, whereby the storm tank fills up and the treatment works is at full capacity. This SWO discharges to the river Blackwater (see **Drawing 3**).

Attachment C.1 should contain supporting documentation with regard to the plant and process capacity, systems, storm water overflows, emergency overflows, etc., including flow diagrams of each with any relevant additional information. These drawings / maps should also be provided as geo-referenced digital drawing files (e.g. ESRI Shapefile, MapInfo Tab, AutoCAD or other upon agreement) in Irish National Grid Projection. This data should be provided to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing sections B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, D.2, E.3 and F.2.

Attachment included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

C.2 Outfall Design and Construction

Provide details on the primary discharge point & secondary discharge points and storm overflows to include reference, location, design criteria and construction detail.

Primary Discharge Point - SW1(P)

The primary discharge of the waste water works is to the Blackwater River at 261140E, 336760 in the townland of Teraverty, Scotstown, Co. Monaghan (see **Drawing 3** of **Attachment B.3**).

The final effluent from the Treatment Works is conveyed from the treatment works to the River Blackwater through a 300mm diameter concrete pipe. An automatic sampler is also located at the flow measurement flume at the head of the outfall pipe to monitor effluent.

There is one storm water overflow (SWO) at the treatment plant, from a storm holding tank located at NGR 261135E 336742N, this only operates in the event of continuous storm conditions, whereby the storm tank fills up and the treatment works is at full capacity. This SWO discharges to the river Blackwater (see **Drawing 3**).

Attachment C.2 should contain any supporting documentation on the design and construction of <u>any and all</u> discharge outfalls, including stormwater overflows, from the waste water works.

Attachment included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

Consent of copyright owned required for any other use.

SECTION D: **DISCHARGES TO THE AQUATIC** ENVIRONMENT

Advice on completing this section is provided in the accompanying Guidance Note.

Give particulars of the source, location, nature, composition, quantity, level and rate of discharges arising from the agglomeration and, where relevant, the period or periods during which such emissions are made or are to be made.

Details of all discharges of waste water from the agglomeration should submitted via following web he the based link: http://78.137.160.73/epa wwd licensing/. The applicant should address in particular all discharge points where the substances outlined in Tables D.1(i), (b) & (c) and D.1(ii), (b) & (c) of Annex 1 are emitted.

Where it is considered that any of the substances listed in Annex X of the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC) or any of the Relevant Pollutants listed in Annex VIII of the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC) are being discharged from the waste water works or are seen to be present in the receiving water environment downstream of a discharge from the works (as a result of any monitoring programme, e.g., under the Water Framework Directive Programme of Measures) the applicant shall screen the discharge for the relevant substance.

D.1

Discharges to Surface Waters required Details of all discharges of waste water from the agglomeration should be (W) of llowing supplied via the web based link: http://78.137.160.73/epa wwd licensing/. Tables D.1(i)(a), (b) & (c), should be completed for the primary discharge point from the agglomeration and Tables D.1(ii)(a), (b) & (c) should \hat{b} completed for **each** secondary discharge point, where relevant. Table $\mathcal{O}(iii)(a)$ should be completed for **each** storm water overflow. Individual Tables must be completed for each discharge point.

Where monitoring information is available for the influent to the plant this data should also be provided in response to Section D.1.

Monitoring data for the influent for 2008 to 2009 is contained in Table D.1(iv) Attachment D.1.

Tables D.1(i)(a), (b) & (c) have been completed for the primary discharge are contained in Attachment D.1

Supporting information should form Attachment D.1

Attachment included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

D.2 Tabular Data on Discharge Points

Applicants should submit the following information for each discharge point:

Table D.2:

PT_CD	PT_TYPE	LA_NAME	RWB_TYPE	RWB_NAME	DESIGNATION	EASTING	NORTHING
SW1(P)	Primary	Monaghan Co. Co.	River	Blackwater River	Not Designated	261140E	336760 N

An individual record (i.e. row) is required for each discharge point. Acceptable file formats include Excel, Access or other upon agreement with the Agency. A standard Excel template can be downloaded from the EPA website at www.epa.ie. This data should be submitted to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing sections B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, C.1, E.3 and F.2.

Conset of constant on purpose only, and other use.

SECTION E: MONITORING

Advice on completing this section is provided in the accompanying Guidance Note.

E.1 Waste Water Discharge Frequency and Quantities – Existing & Proposed

Provide an estimation of the quantity of waste water likely to be emitted in relation to all primary and secondary discharge points applied for. This information should be included in Table E.1(i) via the following web based link: <u>http://78.137.160.73/epa wwd licensing/</u>.

Provide an estimation of the quantity of waste water likely to be emitted in relation to all storm water overflows within the agglomeration applied for. This information should be included in Table E.1(ii) via the following web based link: <u>http://78.137.160.73/epa_wwd_licensing/</u>.

Indicate if composite sampling or continuous flow monitoring is in place on the primary or any other discharge points. Detail any plans and timescales for the provision of composite sampling and continuous flow meters.

An estimation of the quantity of waste water likely to be emitted in relation to the primary discharge is contained in **Table E.1(i) of Attachment E1**.

Composite sampling is in place on the primary discharge.

E.2. Monitoring and Sampling Points

Programmes for environmental monitoring should be submitted as part of the application. These programmes should be provided as Attachment E.2.

Reference should be made to, provision of sampling points and safe means of access, sampling methods, analytical and quality control procedures, including equipment calibration, equipment maintenance and data recording/reporting procedures to be carried out in order to ensure accurate and reliable monitoring.

In determining the sampling programme to be carried out, the variability of the emission and its effect on the receiving environment should be considered.

Details of any accreditation or certification of analysis should be included.

Environmental Monitoring & Sampling

Monaghan Co. Co. carried out both the sampling and analyses before 2009, since then, Monaghan County Council staff carries out the collection of the samples from the discharges from the Scotstown Waste Water Treatment Plant including the samples of the water upstream and downstream of the primary discharge in the river Blackwater. The samples are then delivered by courier to Euro Environmental Services, Drogheda, Co. Louth for analyses. Details of their accreditation of analysis are included in **Attachment E.2**. of the initial licence application. Sampling of the primary discharge from the Scotstown Waste Water Treatment Works are undertaken every 6-8 weeks, the monitoring of the upstream and downstream locations is carried out approximately once per annum. At present composite samples are taken of the influent and effluent and grab samples are taken for upstream and downstream monitoring points.

Monitoring, Sampling & Analytical Procedures

Careful collection is carried out during all sampling to ensure that the relative proportions or concentrations of all pertinent components are the same in the samples as in the materials being sampled. The samples are also handled carefully to ensure that no significant change in the composition occurs before the tests are made.

During the waste water and water sampling all personnel wear safety boots and latex gloves at all times. Due care and attention is taken at all times.

All of the sampling points are located in places that have safe means of access.

Further details on the sampling programme schedule for Scotstown are detailed below.

Scotstown	PE 1000	Samples 6	6	. 5	Stream	stream 1	14
Name		of	Influent	Effluent	Up	Down	
Plant	Design	Min No	Raw	Final	River	River	Total

Attachment E.2 should contain any supporting information.

No	Yes	AT THE PHO	Attachment included
	\checkmark	FC PAIL	
		nsent et	
		onser	(

E.3. Tabular data on Monitoring and Sampling Points

Applicants should submit the following information for each monitoring and sampling point:

PT_CD	PT_TYPE	MON_TYPE	EASTING	NORTHING	VERIFIED
SW1(P)s	Primary	S	261140	336760	N
aSW1(P)u	Primary	М	261094	336873	Ν
aSW1(P)d	Primary	M	261648	336210	N

An individual record (i.e., row) is required for each monitoring and sampling point. Acceptable file formats include Excel, Access or other upon agreement with the Agency. A standard Excel template can be downloaded from the EPA website at www.epa.ie. This data should be submitted to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing sections B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, C.1, D.2 and F.2.

E.4 Sampling Data

Regulation 16(1)(h) of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007 requires all applicants in the case of an existing waste water treatment plant to specify the sampling data pertaining to the discharge based on the samples taken in the 12 months preceding the making of the application.

Regulation 16(1)(I) of the regulations requires applicants to give details of compliance with any applicable monitoring requirements and treatment standards.

Sampling Data

Sampling Data pertaining to the discharge are tabled in Attachment E.4.

Monitoring Requirements & Treatment Standards

Scotstown Waste Water Works complies with the monitoring and treatment standards specified in the Urban Waste Water Treatment Regulations S.I 254 of 2001.

Attachment E.4 should contain any supporting information.

Attachment included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

SECTION F: EXISTING ENVIRONMENT & IMPACT OF THE DISCHARGE(S)

Advice on completing this section is provided in the accompanying Guidance Note.

Detailed information is required to enable the Agency to assess the existing receiving environment. This section requires the provision of information on the ambient environmental conditions within the receiving water(s) upstream and downstream of any discharge(s).

Where development is proposed to be carried out, being development which is of a class for the time being specified under Article 24 (First Schedule) of the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations, the information on the state of the existing environment should be addressed in the EIS. **In such cases, it will suffice for the purposes of this section to provide adequate crossreferences to the relevant sections in the EIS.**

F.1. Assessment of Impact on Receiving Surface or Ground Water

Give summary details and an assessment of the impacts of any existing or proposed emissions on the environment, including environmental media other than those into which the emissions are to be made.

<u>General</u>

The outfall from the Scotstown Waste Water Plant discharges to the Blackwater River at National Grid Reference 261140E, 336760N in the Townland of Teraverty, Scotstown Co. Monaghan.

The treated effluent has an average BOD concentration of 13mg/l and average suspended solids concentration of 8.3 mg/l. Average concentrations of nutrients are as follows; orthophosphate 2.39 mg/l (P), Total Phosphorus 2.6 mg/l (P) and Total Nitrogen 8.9 mg/l (N).

The Blackwater (Monaghan) River has been identified by the Eastern Regional Fisheries Board as an important trout fishery. However, the river is not designated as salmonid water under EC (Quality of Salmonid Water) Regulations, 1988 and as such the river water quality is not required to meet the quality standards laid down in these regulations. The objective for the river is to attain "good status" by 2015 under the Water Framework Directive.

The nearest flow monitoring data available on the Blackwater River is at the Cappog Bridge Station (NGR 262970E, 335707N)) (OPW Station 03058). The 95-percentile flow is given as the $0.09m^3/s$, 50-percentile flow of $0.65m^3/s$ and the mean flow as $1.34m^3/s$.

A Q value of 4 was recorded upstream of the discharge point (1km u/s Scotstown) in 2004 (see **Table 2** below). A previous Q value of 4-5 was recorded at this location in 1998 and 1996 (unpolluted). EPA physiochemical water quality monitoring data is available at this site for 2001 to 2003. This data gave a median BOD value of 2mg/l, median orthophosphate of 0.02mg/l, median total ammonia of 0.04mg/l and median oxidised nitrogen of 0.4mg/l.

A Q value of 3 was recorded downstream of the discharge point (Station No. 0130 1.5km d/s Scotstown Br) in 2004 (see **Table 2** below). A previous Q value of 3 was recorded in 2001; A Q value of 4 was recorded at this location in 1998 and 1996. No EPA

physiochemical water quality monitoring data is available at this site for 2001 to 2003. However, Monaghan Co Co. monitoring data would indicate relatively good water quality in the river as outlined below.

Location	Station Number	Station	1996	1998	2004
Upstream	0500	1km u/s of Scotstown	4-5	4-5	4
Downstream	0130	1.5km d/s of Scotstown Br	4	4	3

Table 2 Biological Quality Ratings (Q Values) (Source EPA)

(Q3 = moderately Polluted; Q4-5, Q4 = Unpolluted)

Monaghan Co. Co. monitors the river both upstream and downstream of the discharge from the Waste Water Works. These locations are shown on **Drawing 4** of **Attachment B3**. Monitoring data collected for the year 2008 and 2009 is presented in **Tables F.1(i)a aSW1(P)u** and **aSW1(P)d**. Monitoring results for dangerous substances relate to a once-off samples collected in February 2009 and are presented in **Tables F.1(i)b aSW(P)u** and **aSW(P)d**.

Monaghan County Councils upstream monitoring results (2008-2009) indicate relatively good water quality in the river, with the median MRP level of 0.03 mg/l P, ammonia levels of 0.02 mg/l NH₃-N, median BOD of <2 mg/l, median TP of 0.08mg/l, median TN of 0.09mg/l N and median suspended solids of <3mg/l. Dangerous substances concentrations were below detection level for 3 of the 19 parameters tested in April 2009. No levels exceeded the standards as outlined in the Water Quality (Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2001.

Results from the downstream monitoring site (aSW1(P)d) indicates generally good water quality with median MRP levels of 0.02 mg/l P recorded for 2008 and 2009 (based on TP median TN of 0.09mg/l N and median suspended solids of 3 mg/l. Dangerous substances concentrations were below detection level for 4 of the 19 parameters tested in April 2009. No levels exceeded the standards as outlined in the Water Quality (Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2001.

The impact of the primary discharge point on the Blackwater River is evaluated in the Assimilative Capacity calculations below.

Assimilative Capacity of Receiving Water

The Assimilative Capacity of the receiving waters is a measure of its ability or suitability to absorb waste water discharges whilst complying with relevant legislation and water quality objectives.

The impact of the primary discharge point on the Blackwater River is evaluated in the Assimilative Capacity calculations below.

The nearest flow monitoring data available on the Blackwater River is at the Cappog Bridge Station (NGR 262970E, 335707N)) (OPW Station 03058). The OPW 95-percentile flow (m^3/s) of 0.09, the mean annual flow of 1.34 (m^3/s) and 50-percentile flow of 0.65 from the Cappog Bridge Station were used in these calculations.

Using the Blackwater River flow rates outlined above and the Blackwater River background water quality (Monaghan Co Co and EPA Data), an assimilative capacity assessment of the

River has been carried out using the mean annual flow, 95-percentile flow conditions and 50-percentile flow conditions for BOD, SS and Total Phosphorous and MRP.

The assessment has been undertaken on the basis of an average discharge flow to the receiving water from the Wastewater Treatment Plant.

BOD Assimilative Capacity

Mean Flow Conditions

The BOD assimilative capacity of the river under mean flow conditions can be calculated by:

$$\mathbf{AC} = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{mean}$$

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity C_{max} = maximum permissible BOD concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 4 mg/l)

Jion purpose only any other use. C_{back} = Average background BOD level upstream (<2 mg/l) 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day)

 $Q_{mean} = mean$ flow in m³/s (1.34 m³/s)

Therefore,

 $AC = (4 - 2) \times 86.4 \times 1.34$

AC = 231.5 kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River?

With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m³/day, the total amount of BOD discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

107,000/day x 13 mg/l = 1.39kg/day

This constitutes 0.6% of the assimilative capacity (AC) of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

The resulting BOD concentration in the river can be estimated from the formula:

The resulting BOD concentration in the river resulting from the effluent input can be estimated using the following Formula:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (13mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (<2mg/l) $Q_{\text{back}} = \text{flow of river (I/d) (1.34 m^3/s)} = 115,776,000 \text{I/d}$ Q_d = discharge volume (I/d) 107,000I/d

 $1m^{3}/s = 86,400,000 l/d$

Therefore:

 $CR = [(2 \times 115,776,000) + (13 \times 107,000)] / [115,776,000 + 107,000]$

CR = 2.01 mg/l

95-percentile Flow Conditions

The BOD assimilative capacity of the river under 95-percentile flow conditions can be calculated by:

$$AC = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{95}$$

[National Urban Waste Water Study 2005]

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity C_{max} = maximum permissible BOD concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 4 mg/l) C_{hack} = Average background BOD level upstream (<2 mg/l) $_{\odot}$. 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day)

Therefore,

 $AC = (4 - 2) \times 86.4 \times 0.09$

AC = 15.5 kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River:

 $Q_{95} = 95$ -percentile flow in m³/s (0.09 m³/s or 7,776,000/d)

With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m3/day, the total amount of BOD discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

pection pur

at owner

107,000l/day x 13 mg/l = 1.39kg/day

This constitutes **9%** of the assimilative capacity of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

The resulting BOD concentration in the river resulting from the effluent input can be estimated using the following Formula:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where:

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (13mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (<2mg/l) Q_{back} = flow of river (I/d) (95% flow of 0.09m³/s = 7,776,000l/d Q_d = discharge volume (I/d) 107,000I/d $1m^{3}/s = 86,400,000 l/d$ Therefore:

Page 34 of 49

 $CR = \left[\left(2 \times 7,776,000 \right) + \left(13 \times 107,000 \right) \right] / \left[7,776,000 + 107,000 \right]$

CR = 2.15 mg/l

50-percentile Flow Conditions

The BOD assimilative capacity of the river under 50-percentile flow conditions can be calculated by:

 $\mathbf{AC} = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{50}$

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity C_{max} = maximum permissible BOD concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 4 mg/l) C_{back} = Average background BOD level upstream (<2 mg/l) 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day) Q_{50} = 50-percentile flow in m³/s (0.65 m³/s or 56,160,000/d)

rany other us

Therefore,

 $AC = (4 - 2) \times 86.4 \times 0.65$

AC = 112.32 kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River: 📈

With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m³/day, the total amount of BOD discharged to the Blackwater River shall be:

107,000l/day x 13 mg/l = 1.39kg/day

This constitutes **1.2%** of the assimilative capacity of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

The **resulting BOD concentration in the river** resulting from the effluent input can be estimated using the following Formula:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (13mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (<2mg/l) Q_{back} = flow of river (l/d) (50% flow of 0.09m³/s = 56,160,000l/d Q_d = discharge volume (l/d) 107,000l/d $1m^3/s$ = 86,400,000 l/d

Therefore: CR = [(2 x 56,160,000) + (13 x 107,000)] / [56,160,000 + 107,000] =**CR = 2.02mg/l**

Summary Result - BOD

BOD	Mean Annual Flow	95-Percentile Flow	50-Percentile Flow
Assimilative Capacity of River	231.5kg/day	15.5kg/day	112.32kg/day
Total Amount Discharged	1.39kg/day	1.39kg/day	1.39kg/d
% of Assimilative Capacity Absorbed	0.6%	9%	1.2%
Existing Average Background Upstream	<2mg/l	<2mg/l	<2mg/l
Resultants Conc in River	2.01mg/l	2.15mg/l	2.02mg/l

The above calculations indicate the discharge, in terms of BOD concentration, is not impacting on the water quality of the river and the resultant concentration downstream is within the EQS of <4mg/l for all flow conditions.

Phosphorous Assimilative Capacity

Monaghan Co Co and EPA samples taken upstream and downstream of the discharge point in 2009 indicate average orthophosphate concentrations of 0.031 mg/l and 0.025mg/l respectively. The average Total Phosphorus apstream and downstream is 0.07 and 0.06mg/l respectively.

The current Q value downstream of the discharge point (Br u/s Scotstown Br) is Q3 with a Minimum Target Biological Quality (Q), Rating/Q Index of 4 or Molybdate-Reactive Phosphate Median Concentration(ugP(L)) of 30 (Phosphorus Regulations, 1998).

The MRP (as Total P) concentration of the river as result of the discharge is calculated below using the 50% ile flow rate for the river and also the 95% ile flow rate (Station 03058).

50-percentile Flow Conditions



$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

 $\begin{array}{l} {\sf CR} = \mbox{resulting concentration in river (mg/l)} \\ {\sf C}_{\sf d} = \mbox{average concentration in discharge (Total P = 2.6)} \\ {\sf C}_{\sf back} = \mbox{concentration in river u/s of discharge (0.07)} \\ {\sf Q}_{\sf back} = \mbox{flow of river (I/d) (50\% flow of 0.65m^3/s = 56,160,000I/d} \\ {\sf Q}_{\sf d} = \mbox{discharge volume (I/d) 107,000I/d} \end{array}$

Therefore:

 $CR = [(0.07 \times 56, 160, 000) + (2.6 \times 107, 000)] / [56, 160, 000 + 107, 000]$

Final River Concentration = 0.074 mg/l (Total P) = 0.02 MPR as Total P = 20ugP/L

95-percentile Flow Conditions

Final River Phosphorus Concentration using 95-percentile Flow Rate

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (Total P = 2.6) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (0.07) Q_{back} = flow of river (l/d) 95% flow of $0.09m^3/s = 7,614,000/d$ Q_d = discharge volume (l/d) 107,000l/d

Therefore:

 $CR = \left[(0.07 \times 7,776,000) + (2.6 \times 107,000) \right] / \left[7,776,000 + 107,000 \right]$

Final River Concentration = 0.104 mg/l (Total P) = 0.03 MPR as Total P = 30ugP/L

Summary Results – Total Phosphorus & Molybdate Reactive Phosphate Concentration

The calculations above show that the Blackwater River has the capacity to assimilative the discharge in terms of Total Phosphorous and MRP. The resultant MRP concentrations are below the MRP target concentration of 0.03mg P/I

Total Phosphorous & MRP 30	50-percentile Flow	95-percentile Flow
Existing Average	0.07mg/l	0.07mg/l
Resultants Conc in River (Total P)	0.074 mg/l	0.104 mg/l
Resultants Conc in River (MRP)*	0.02 mg P/I	0.030mg P/I

* The Molybdate Reactive Phosphate (MRP) concentration was derived using Total P = 3.07 * MRP

Suspended Solids (SS) Assimilative Capacity

Mean Flow Conditions

The suspended solids assimilative capacity of the river under the mean flow conditions can be calculated by:

 $AC = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{mean}$

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity C_{max} = maximum permissible SS concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 25mg/l) C_{back} = Average background SS level upstream (8.3 mg/l) 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day) $Q_{mean} =$ Mean Flow in m³/s (1.34 m³/s)

Therefore,

 $AC = (25 - 8.3) \times 86.4 \times 1.34$

AC = 1933.5 kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River:

edfor With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m3/day, the total amount of SS discharged to the Blackwater River shall be

,es only

107,000l/day x 8.3mg/l = 0.9kg/day

This constitutes 0.05% of the assimilative capacity of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

The resulting SS concentration in the river resulting from the effluent input can be estimated using the following Formula:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (8.3mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (5mg/l) $Q_{\text{back}} = \text{flow of river (I/d)} (1.34 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}) = 115,776,000 \text{I/d}$ Q_d = discharge volume (I/d) 107,000I/d

 $1m^{3}/s = 86,400,000 l/d$

Therefore:

 $CR = [(5 \times 115,776,000) + (8.3 \times 107,000)] / [115,776,000 + 107,000]$

CR = 5.003 mg/l

95-percentile Flow Conditions

The SS assimilative capacity of the river under 95-percentile flow conditions can be calculated by:

 $AC = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{95}$

where,

AC = waste assimilative capacity C_{max} = maximum permissible SS concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 25 mg/l) Cback = Average background SS level upstream (8.3 mg/l) 86.4 = factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day) $Q_{95} = 95$ -percentile flow in m³/s (0.09m³/s = 7,776,000l/d

Therefore,

 $AC = (25 - 8.3) \times 86.4 \times 0.09$

AC = 129.9 kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River:

other II. With an average effluent discharge volume of 107m3/day, the total amount of SS discharged to the Magherarney River shall be: 5° 107.000l/day x 8.3 mg/l = **0.9kg/day** p_{i}^{i}

107,000l/day x 8.3 mg/l = **0.9kg/day**

This constitutes 0.7% of the assimilative capacity of the Blackwater River as outlined for above.

The resulting SS Concentration in the River can be estimated from the formula:

The resulting SS concentration in the river resulting from the effluent input can be estimated using the following Formula:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (8.3mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (5mg/l) Q_{back} = flow of river (I/d) (95% flow of (0.09m³/s = 7,776,000l/d) Q_d = discharge volume (l/d) 107,000l/d $1m^{3}/s = 86,400,000 l/d$ Therefore: $CR = [(5 \times 7,776,000]) + (8.3 \times 107,000)] / [7,776,000 + 107,000]$

CR = 5.045 mg/l

50-percentile Flow Conditions

The SS assimilative capacity of the river under 50-percentile flow conditions can be calculated by:

 $AC = (C_{max} - C_{back}) \times 86.4 \times Q_{50}$

where,

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{AC} = \text{waste assimilative capacity} \\ \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{max}} = \text{maximum permissible SS concentration in the watercourse (in this case taken as a maximum of 25 mg/l)} \\ \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{back}} = \mathsf{Average background SS level upstream (5 mg/l)} \\ \mathsf{86.4} = \mathsf{factor to convert WAC to a daily load (kg/day)} \\ \mathsf{Q}_{50} = \mathsf{50}\text{-percentile flow in m}^3/\mathrm{s} (0.65 \, \mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{s or 56,160,000/d})} \end{array}$

Therefore,

AC = (25-8.3) x 86.4 x 0.65

AC = 937.9 kg/day

Total Amount Discharge to River:

With an average effluent discharge volume of %107m³/day, the total amount of SS discharged to the Blackwater River shall be

107,000l/day x 8.3 mg/l = **0.9kg/day**

This constitutes 0.095% of the assimilative capacity of the Blackwater River as outlined above.

The **resulting SS concentration in the river** resulting from the effluent input can be estimated using the following Formula:

$$CR = \frac{(C_{back} * Q_{back}) + (C_d * Q_d)}{(Q_{back} + Q_d)}$$

Where;

CR = resulting concentration in river (mg/l) C_d = average concentration in discharge (8.3mg/l) C_{back} = concentration in river u/s of discharge (5mg/l) Q_{back} = flow of river (l/d) (50% flow of 0.09m³/s = 56,160,000l/d Q_d = discharge volume (l/d) 107,000l/d $1m^3/s$ = 86,400,000 l/d Therefore: CR = [(5 x 56,160,000) + (13 x 107,000)] / [56,160,000 + 107,000] **CR = 5.006mg/l**

Summary Result – Suspended Solids

Suspended Solids	Mean Flow	95-percentile Flow	50-percentile Flow
Assimilative Capacity of River	1933.5kg/day	129.9kg/day	937.9%
Total Amount Discharged	0.9kg/day	0.9kg/day	0.9kg/day
% of Assimilative Capacity Absorbed	0.05%	0.7%	0.095%
Existing Average Background Upstream	5mg/l	5mg/l	5mg/l
Resultants Conc in River	5.003mg/l	5.045mg/l	5.006mg/l

The calculations above show that the Blackwater River has the capacity to assimilative the discharge in terms of Suspended Solids and indicate that the suspended solids EQS (25mg/l) is met downstream of the discharge point.

Summary

The assimilative capacity calculations above indicate that there is significant dilution capacity within the receiving water, even at low flows, to assimilate discharges from the Waste Water Works in terms of suspended solids, BOD and Total Phosphorous and that the EQS are met downstream of the discharge point for the mean, 50-percentile and 95-percentile flow conditions .

The results of the assimilative capacity are consistent with the physiochemical water quality monitoring results (EPA and Monaghan Co Co Data) and indicate that the discharges from the works are not having a significant detrimental impact on the receiving environment.

Details of all monitoring of the receiving water should be supplied via the following web based link: <u>http://78.137.160.73/epa_wwd_licensing/</u>. Tables F.1(i)(a) & (b) should be completed for the primary discharge point. Surface water monitoring locations upstream and downstream of the discharge point shall be screened for those substances listed in Tables F.1(i)(a) & (b). Monitoring of surface water shall be carried out at not less than two points, one upstream from the discharge location and one downstream.

Tables F.1 (i) (a) & **(b)** are completed for the primary discharge point.

For discharges from secondary discharge points Tables F.1(ii)(a) & (b) should be completed. Furthermore, provide summary details and an assessment of the impacts of any existing or proposed emissions on the surface water or ground (aquifers, soils, sub-soils and rock environment), including any impact on environmental media other than those into which the emissions are to be made.

There are no secondary discharge points. **Tables F.1 (ii) (a)** & **(b)** are therefore not completed.

 Provide details of the extent and type of ground emissions at the works.
 For larger discharges to groundwaters, e.g., from Integrated Constructed Wetlands, large scale percolation areas, etc., a comprehensive report must be completed which should include, inter alia, topography, meteorological data, water quality, geology, hydrology, and hydrogeology. The latter must in particular present the aquifer classification and vulnerability. The Geological Survey of Ireland Groundwater Protection Scheme Dept of the Environment and Local Government, Geological Survey of Ireland, EPA (1999) methodology should be used for any such classification. This report should also identify all surface water bodies and water wells that may be at risk as a result of the ground discharge.

 Describe the existing environment in terms of water quality with particular reference to environmental quality standards or other legislative standards. Submit a copy of the most recent water quality management plan or catchment management plan in place for the receiving water body. Give details of any designation under any Council Directive or Regulations that apply in relation to the receiving water.

A copy of the summary leaflet of the Draft River Basin Management Plan for the Neagh Bann International River Basin District summary leaflet is contained in **Attachment G2**.

No designations apply to this water body.

 Provide a statement as to whether or not emissions of main polluting substances (as defined in the *Dangerous Substances Regulations S.I. No.* 12 of 2001) to water are likely to impair the environment.

The level of dangerous substances both in the effluent and in the Blackwater River upstream and downstream of the discharge point as detailed in **Tables D1** and **F1** show levels below those in the Water Quality (Dangerous Substances) Regulations 2001 and therefore the emissions are not considered likely to impair the environment.

 In circumstances where water abstraction points exist downstream of any discharge describe measures to be undertaken to ensure that discharges from the waste water works will not have a significant effect on faecal coliform, salmonella and protozoan pathogen numbers, e.g., Cryptosporidium and Giardia, in the receiving water environment.

Not Applicable

- Indicate whether or not emissions from the agglomeration or any plant, methods, processes, operating procedures or other factors which affect such emissions are likely to have a significant effect on –
 - (a) a site (until the adoption, in respect of the site, of a decision by the European Commission under Article 21 of Council Directive 92/43/EEC for the purposes of the third paragraph of Article 4(2) of that Directive) —
 - notified for the purposes of Regulation 4 of the Natural Habitats Regulations, subject to any amendments made to it by virtue of Regulation 5 of those Regulations,
 - details of which have been transmitted to the Commission in accordance with Regulation 5(4) of the Natural Habitats Regulations, or

- (iii) added by virtue of Regulation 6 of the Natural Habitats Regulations to the list transmitted to the Commission in accordance with Regulation 5(4) of those Regulations,
- (b) a site adopted by the European Commission as a site of Community importance for the purposes of Article 4(2) of Council Directive 92/43/EEC¹ in accordance with the procedures laid down in Article 21 of that Directive,
- (c) a special area of conservation within the meaning of the Natural Habitats Regulations, or
- (d) an area classified pursuant to Article 4(1) or 4(2) of Council Directive 79/409/EEC²;

¹Council Directive 92/43/EEC of 21 May 1992 on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora (OJ No. L 206, 22.07.1992)

²Council Directive 79/409/EEC of 2 April 1979 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ No. L 103, 25.4.1979)

There is no designated site within the vicinity of the discharge point.

Emissions from the Wastewater Treatment site will not have a significant effect on any designated site. There has been no correspondence with the National Parks and Wildlife Service in connection with the existing or proposed discharge.

 Describe, where appropriate, measures for minimising pollution over long distances or in the territory of other states.

The impact of the discharge from the Scotstown wastewater treatment works has been calculated in the Assimilative Capacity calculations above. These results show that the impact of the discharge can be assimilated into the river and will not have a pollution effect over long distances.

• This section should also contain full details of any modelling of discharges from the agglomeration. Full details of the assessment and any other relevant information on the receiving environment should be submitted as **Attachment F.1.**

There are no modelling details pertaining to the discharges from the agglomeration.

Attachment included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

F.2 Tabular Data on Drinking Water Abstraction Point(s)

Applicants should submit the following information for each downstream or downgradient drinking water abstraction point. The zone of contribution for the abstraction point should be delineated and any potential risks from the waste water discharge to the water quality at that abstraction point identified.

There is no drinking water abstraction downstream of the WWTW. The waste water works will not have significant effects on faecal coliform, salmonella and protozoan pathogen numbers in the environment.

ABS_CD	AGG_SERVED	ABS_VOL	PT_CD	DIS_DS	EASTING	NORTHING	VERIFIED

Note: Attach any risk assessment that may have been carried out in relation to the abstraction point(s) listed.

An individual record (i.e. row) is required for each abstraction point. Acceptable file formats include Excel, Access or other upon agreement with the Agency. A standard Excel template can be downloaded from the EPA website at www.epa.ie. This data should be submitted to the Agency on a separate CD-Rom containing sections B.1, B.2, B.3, B.4, B.5, C. , D.2 and E.3.

S

.pport. .pport. for inspection purposition of the proving the proving the proving the proving the purpose of the proving the p Attachment F.2 should contain any supporting information.

Page 44 of 49

SECTION G: PROGRAMMES OF IMPROVEMENTS

Advice on completing this section is provided in the accompanying Guidance Note.

G.1 Compliance with Council Directives

Provide details on a programme of improvements to ensure that emissions from the agglomeration or any premises, plant, methods, processes, operating procedures or other factors which affect such emissions will comply with, or will not result in the contravention of the;

- Dangerous Substances Directive 2006/11/EC,
- Water Framework Directive 2000/60/EC,
- Birds Directive 79/409/EEC,
- Groundwater Directives 80/68/EEC & 2006/118/EC,
- Drinking Water Directives 80/778/EEC,
- Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive 91/271/EEC,
- Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC,
- Environmental Liabilities Directive 2004/35/EC

Ň

- Bathing Water Directive 76/160/EEC, and
- Shellfish Waters Directive (79/923/EEC) and

No Programme of Improvements has been prioritised for the development. The treatment works has been designed to comply with the above Directives.

Attachment G.1 should contain the most recent programme of improvements, including a copy of any approved funding for the project and a timeframe for the completion of the necessary works to take place.

Attachment included	Yes	No
		\checkmark

G.2 Compliance with Water Quality Standards for Phosphorus Regulations (S.I. No. 258 of 1998).

Provide details on a programme of improvements, including any water quality management plans or catchment management plans in place, to ensure that improvements of water quality required under the Water Quality Standards for Phosphorous Regulations (S.I. No. 258 of 1998) are being achieved. Provide details of any specific measures adopted for waste water works specified in Phosphorus Measures Implementation reports and the progress to date of those measures. Provide details highlighting any waste water works that have been identified as the principal sources of pollution under the P regulations.

Water Quality Management Plans or Catchment Management Plans

The summary leaflet of the Draft River Basin Management Plan for the Neagh Bann International River Basin District summary leaflet is contained in **Attachment G2**.

Phosphorus Removal

The treatment works includes a facility for the removal of phosphorus. The phosphorus is removed by simultaneous precipitation by the addition of ferric sulphate which acts as a coagulant. The ferric sulphate is injected into the incoming sewage at the inlet to the aeration basin.

An automatic sampler is provided at the inlet to the works to monitor the phosphorous load to the plant. The plant operator sets the ferric sulphate dose by adjusting the stroke of the pump.

The nearest downstream "Baseline Monitoring Station" to the plant is at the Bridge 1.5 km d/s Scotstown. Monaghan County Councils "Phosphate Implementation Report 2006" indicates that the Q value at this site for 2003-2005 was Q3 with a MRP value of 20ug/I P. The Target OP concentration for this station was Q4 or an annual median orthophosphate concentration target was 30ug/I (see **Attachment G2**).

Monaghan Co Co monitoring results downstream of the plant concur with the above, with an average MRP value of 0.03mg P/I recorded in 2008-2009.

The assimilative capacity calculations carried out show that the Blackwater River has the capacity to assimilative the discharge from the Scotstown plant in terms of Total Phosphorous and MRP. The resultant MRP concentrations are below the MRP target concentration of 0.03mg P/I (Phosphorous Regulations, 1998).

Hence there is no significant impact of the discharge on the TP and MRP concentrations in the River.

The Council Phosphate Implementation Report 2006 is contained in Attachment G2.

Attachment G.2 should contain the most recent programme of improvements and any associated documentation requested under Section G.3 of the application.

Attachment included	Yes	No
	\checkmark	

G.3 Impact Mitigation

Provide details on a programme of improvements to ensure that discharges from the agglomeration will not result in significant environmental pollution.

No Programme of Improvements has been prioritised for the development.

Attachment G.3 should contain the most recent programme of improvements, including a copy of any approved funding for the project and a timeframe for the completion of the necessary works to take place.

Attachment included	Yes	No

G.4 Storm Water Overflow

Provide details on a programme of improvements to ensure that discharges other than the primary and secondary discharges comply with the definition of 'storm water overflow' as per Regulation 3 of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007.

There is no programme of improvement for the storm water overflow at the plant.

Attachment G.4 should contain the most recent programme of improvements, including a copy of any approved funding for the project and a timeframe for the completion of the necessary works to take place.

Attachment included	Yes	No
		\checkmark

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

SECTION H: DECLARATION

Declaration

I hereby make application for a waste water discharge licence/revised licence, pursuant to the provisions of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations, 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007).

I certify that the information given in this application is truthful, accurate and complete.

I give consent to the EPA to copy this application for its own use and to make it available for inspection and copying by the public, both in the form of paper files available for inspection at EPA and local authority offices, and via the EPA's website.

This consent relates to this application itself and to any further information or submission, whether provided by me as Applicant, any person acting on the Applicant's behalf, or any other person.

		herus	
		AOr	
	Ses allor		
Signed by:	ourpourre	Date:	
(on behalf of the organisation)	ction net re		
Print signature name:	1150 th OM		
	FO MILE		
Position in organisation	sentor		
CC CC	<u>5</u> 2		

Page 48 of 49

APPENDIX 2

Monitoring results for the Scotstown WwTP May 2009 to date. D0494-01 Scotstown WwTP last 12 results -Effluent

Primary discharge point

Insease to

		Influent Or	Data of	Twee (C or					Ortho D ma/l	Total N	NH3-N ma/i		
EntityName	PlantID	Effluent	Sampling	1) ye (c ci G)				mg/I P	P P	mg/l N	N	TON mg/I N TKN mg/I N	TKN mg/I N
												<u></u>	
	342	Effluent	10/12/2009	v	2	22	3						
		Effluent	23/03/2010	ပ	2	22	ø	0.22		21.1	0.27		
Scattore .		Effluent	28/04/2010	ပ	2	34	5	0.27		13.53	0.71		
A CONTRACT		Effluent	05/08/2010	ပ	6	33	10	1.56		19.97	0.07		
		Effluent	24/08/2010	с	56	88	109	1.67		0.12	11.28	NOT USED AS ERONIOUS	RONIOUS
		Effluent		ပ	7	24	2	0.95		14.14	0.08		
		Effluent		υ	2	22	20	0.71		20.78	0.08		
		Effluent	09/03/2011	ပ	2	40		0.05		22.78	4.26		
		Effluent		ပ	ç	18	11	1.22		16.82	3.00		
		Effluent	21/06/2011	v	₽	ŝ	12	1.38		20.96	0.33		
		Effluent	28/06/2011	v	₽ S	29	5	0.92		23.15	0.71		
		Effluent	20/07/2011	ပ	27 M	18	2	1.49		27.13	1.40		
					80 80								
					SR.	T							
					14	Sperior Sperior		_					
Using 12 latest	-					ilo XO							
samples averages for						n pu							
data for EPA response						rec	 						
Section F	Average				2.90	26.82 M	د د 8.09	0.88		20.03	1.09		
							10						
							, 211 K 211						
							y or						
				let ut			let D	.0					
							ې	<u>ي</u> .					

Sheet 2

Scotstown monitoring results U/stream D/Stream of discharge point

342		RWUS	09/04/2009	თ	2	43	17	0.08	031		
342		RWUS	09/07/2009	თ	2	41	2	0.07	0.40	0.02	
Using available		NSN	28/06/2011	თ	4	44	2	0.06	0.44	0.05	
for	٩				2.67 Suo	42.67	7.00	0.07	0.41	0.03	
	_				en o	42.5	9.5	0.07	0.40	0.03	
					CONTRACTION CONTRACTION	inspecial					
342		RWDS	09/04/2009	თ	2	0000	5	0.08	 -	CU U	
342		RWDS	09/07/2009	1	7	37 20 20	S	0.06	0.52	0.03	
Using available samples avarance for	_	NSU	28/06/2011	თ	\$	44	20114	0.52	0.46	0.04	
	¢		ĸ		2.00	40.33	4033 4 0000	0 33	 ŭ		
Median					2	41	4	0.29	1	0.02	

Sheet 3