Environmental Impact Statement for Killarney Waste Disposal Volume III : Technical Appendices

Conservation Services Ltd. AQUATIC ECOLOGY

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.



KILLARNEY WASTE DISPOSAL LTD WASTE LICENCE APPLICATION EIS

AQUATIC ECOLOGY REPORT

Conserver conviction of the providence of the pr



Conservation Services, Tullaha, Glenflesk, Killarney, Co. Kerry Tel/Fax 064 30130 e-mail conserv@eircom.net

Preliminary design Report Vols 1&2 plus appendices Grand canal mitigation measures (final version) Waste water treatment plant spec for Toll plaza KJOS statement on fencing/walls

0

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

CONTENTS

1. IN7	TRODUCTION	.4
2. ME 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 FR 2.5 2.6	ETHODOLOGY SELECTION OF WATERCOURSES AND SITES FOR ASSESSMENT. HABITAT ASSESSMENT INVERTEBRATE SAMPLING AND WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT. GUIDELINES USED FOR CLASSIFICATION OF IMPORTANCE OF ESHWATERS ASSESSMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS	.5 .6 .8 .9
3. EX 3.1 3.2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	KISTING ENVIRONMENT . GENERAL CATCHMENT INFORMATION 2. AGHACUREEN DRAIN 3.2.1. HABITAT ASSESSMENT 3.2.2. WATER QUALITY/ INVERTEBRATE FAUNA 3.2.3. FISH 3.2.4. PROTECTED STATUS AND PROTECTED SPECIES 3.2.5. IMPORTANCE OF POTENTIALLY AFFECTED FRESHWATER HABITATS 3. GLANOORAGH RIVER 3.3.1. HABITAT ASSESSMENT 3.3.2. WATER QUALITY/ INVERTEBRATE FAUNA 3.3.3. FISH 3.3.4. PROTECTED STATUS AND PROTECTED SPECIES 3.3.5. IMPORTANCE OF POTENTIALLY AFFECTED FRESHWATER HABITATS	12 13 13 15 20 21 22 22 22 23 6 38 38
4. PC FRES ABSE 4.1 IMI CC 4.2 EF DE AN	OTENTIAL SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS OF THE DEVELOPMENT ON SHWATER AQUATIC FLORA, FAUNA AND HABITATS IN THE ENCE OF MITIGATION	39 10 10 10 11 12 M 12

4.2.2. POTENTIAL POLLUTION BY EFFLUENT FROM TOILET, WASH	
FACILITIES, CANTEEN ETC. IN THE ABSENCE OF ADEQUATE	12
	40
ANCIELARY STRUCTURES AND FACILITIES IN THE ARSENCE OF	
	44
4.3 SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS IN THE ABSENCE OF	17
MITIGATION	45
5. MITIGATION MEASURES	46
5.1. REDUCTION AND PREVENTION OF POLLUTION DURING THE	
	46
5.2. REDUCTION AND PREVENTION OF POLLUTION FROM THE	
	48
5.2.1. MITIGATION OF POTENTIAL POLLUTION OF SURFACE WATER	٢S
WITH EFFLUENT FROM THE WASTE PROCESSING FACILITY	48
5.2.2. MITIGATION OF POTENTIAL POLLUTION BY SURFACE WATER	۲.
DRAINING FROM NON-PROCESS AREA OF THE SITE E.G. CAR	10
PARKING, ROOPS, ACCESS ROADS, PATHS ETC	48
5.2.3. MITIGATION OF POTENTIAL POLLUTION BY EFFLUENT FROM	40
TOILET, WAON FACILITIES, CANTEEN ETC.	49
	49
6 NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY	50
6.1 WATERCOURSES POTENTIALLY AFFECTED BY THE PROPOSED	00
DEVELOPMENT	50
6.2. THE PRINCIPAL POTENTIAL IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED	
DEVELOPMENT ON AQUATIC INVERTEBRATE FAUNA, FLORA, FISH	
AND HABITATS IN THE ABSENCE OF MITIGATION	51
6.3. RECOMMENDED MITIGATION MEASURES	51
ento	
7. REFERENCES	53

APPENDIX 1	HABITAT DESCRIPTION AT INVERTEBRATE/WATER
	QUALITY SAMPLING SITES
APPENDIX 2	CHEMICAL SURFACE WATER QUALITY DATA
	(SUPPLIED BY RPS-MCOS)

EPA Export 26-07-2013:19:41:14

1. INTRODUCTION

As part of the EIS for the waste licence application for the Killarney Waste Disposal Ltd facility at Aghacurreen, Killarney, County Kerry, RPS-MCOS Ltd. have commissioned Conservation Services, Ecological and Environmental Consultants to carry out an aquatic ecological survey. The aims of the survey are:

- To assess the fishery amenity value, invertebrate fauna, aquatic flora, water quality, habitat value and general ecological condition of watercourses in the vicinity of the facility and provide baseline data against which future changes can be assessed
- To assess the potential impact of the facility on water quality and aquatic flora and fauna (not including potential impacts of transport, treatment and disposal of effluent tankered off the site
- To suggest amelioration measures where negative impacts are predicted.

The following bodies were invited to provided information/comments for this report:

South Western Regional Fisheries Board National Parks & Wildlife Section of DOEHLG Central Fisheries Board Marine Institute

The field work was carried out on the 12th, 22nd & 23rd July 2004.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. SELECTION OF WATERCOURSES AND SITES FOR ASSESSMENT

A surface water drain (the "Aghacureen Drain") flows through the site in a south west to north east direction. At the north eastern boundary of the site, the drain flows south east along the site boundary to the access road, where it again turns in a north easterly direction and flows to a tributary of the Glanooragh river at Grid Ref. V9403 9436. To establish the water quality status of the Aghacureen Drain, five sampling sites were established; three upstream of the facility (Sites A - C), and two downstream of the facility (Sites D & E) (see Fig. 1). To establish the water quality of the Glanooragh River for 4.5km downstream of the facility, four assessment sites were established (Sites 1 - 4). Sampling sites 1 - 4 are shown on Map 1.

Site	Grid Ref	Location	Q-rating assessment	Fish assessment
A	V9342 9380	c.<200m upstream of facility	~	
В	V9357 9384	Just upstream of site boundary	~	
С	V9359 9385	Just downstream of site boundary	~	
D	V9374 9395	Just downstream of facility	~	
E	V9402 9432	c. 550 downstream of facility	~	√
1	V9399 9436	Glanooragh River just upstream of confluence with Aghacureen Drain	~	~

Site	Grid Ref	Location	Q-rating assessment	Fish assessment
2	V9407 9435	Glanooragh River just downstream of confluence with Aghacureen Drain	~	~
3	V9493 9433	Glanooragh River c.1km downstream of confluence with Aghacureen Drain	~	~
4	V9569 9643	Glanooragh River c.4km downstream of confluence with Aghacureen Drain	~	~

2.2. HABITAT ASSESSMENT

Poses only, any other use. Habitat quality for salmonid fish is primarily a function of 'naturalness' and diversity. The more diverse the river/stream habitat in terms of substrate, flow rate, depth, riparian vegetation, light conditions etc., the richer the biological community is likely to be, and the more suitable it is likely to be for salmonid fish (trout and salmon). Habitat assessment was carried out at each of the Q-rating sites. These sites were assessed in terms of:

- Stream width and depth
- Substrate type, listing substrate fractions in order of dominance, i.e. large rocks, cobble, gravel, sand, mud etc.
- Flow type, listing percentage of riffle, glide and pool in the sampling area 0
- Instream vegetation, listing plant species occurring and their percentage coverage of the stream bottom at the sampling site

- Dominant bankside vegetation, listing the main species overhanging the watercourse
- Estimated degree of shade of the sampling site by bankside vegetation
- Conductivity measurement using a TDScan3 conductivity meter
- Dissolved oxygen using an EcoScanDO6 dissolved oxygen meter
- Rating of the site as habitat for salmonid adult, nursery and spawning on a scale of None/ Poor/ Fair/ Good/ Very Good/ Excellent broadly based on the qualitative procedure described by Kennedy (1984). This rating the physical suitability of the habitat: the assesses presence/absence/density of salmonids at the site will also depend on present and historical water quality and accessibility of the site to fish. A rating of "none" indicates that the ecologist carrying out the assessment regards it as impossible that the stream could support salmonid fish in the relevant life stage Avrating of "None - Poor" indicates that it is regarded as possible but extremely unlikely that the stream could support salmonid fish in therelevant life stage. Cor

A general assessment of salmonid habitat quality was carried out on the Aghacureen Drain from where it enters the facility site to where it joins the Glanooragh River, and on the Glanooragh river for c.4km downstream of its confluence with the Aghacureen Drain. Assessment consisted of walking/wading the stream channel. Salmonid habitat quality was assessed, taking into account width, depth, type of flow (riffle/glide/pool), bottom material, bankside vegetation, etc. Based on these criteria, the potential value of each stream section for spawning, as a nursery area for juveniles, and as an area for adult salmonids, was estimated. To illustrate the habitat quality photographs were taken using an Olympus µ300 digital camera.

7

2.3. INVERTEBRATE SAMPLING AND WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT

A five-minute kick and stone wash invertebrate sample was taken at all sampling sites (ISO 7828:1985). Each sample was retained in a large plastic bag at the sampling site. Sample processing and preservation was carried out under laboratory conditions within 24 hours of sampling. Mud was removed from each sample by sieving under running water through a 500µm sieve. Sieved samples were then live sorted for 30 minutes in a white plastic sorting tray under a bench lamp (ISO 5667-3:1994). Macroinvertebrates were stored in 70% alcohol. Preserved invertebrates were identified to the level required for the EPA Q-rating method (McGarrigle et al, 2002) using high-power and lowpower binocular microscopes when necessary. The preserved samples have been archived for future examination or verification. Based on the relative abundance of indicator species, a biotic index Q-rating) was determined for each site in accordance with the biological assessment procedure used by the Environmental Protection Agency (Statutory Instrument No. 258 of 1998, & McGarrigle et al 2002) and more detailed unpublished methodology (McGarrigle, Clabby and Lucey pers. comm.) consent of copy

2.4. GUIDELINES USED FOR CLASSIFICATION OF IMPORTANCE OF FRESHWATERS

Rating A

Internationally Important

Habitats designated as SACs for Annex II species under the EU Habitats Directive. Major Salmon river fisheries. Major salmonid lake fisheries.

Rating B

Nationally or Regionally Important

Other major salmonid waters and waters with major amenity fishery value. Commercially important coarse fisheries. Waters with important populations of species protected under the Wildlife Act and/or important populations of Annex II species under the EU Habitats Directive. Waters designated or proposed as Natural Heritage Areas by Dúchas.

C High Local or County Importance

Small water bodies with known salmonid populations or with good potential salmonid habitat, or any population of species protected under the Wildlife Act and/or listed Annex II species under the EU Habitats Directive. Large water bodies with some fisheries value.

D Moderate local importance

Small water bodies with some coarse fisheries value or some potential salmonid habitat. Any stream with an unpolluted Q-value rating.

E Low value onsent

Water bodies with no current fisheries value and no significant potential fisheries value. Habitat diversity low and degraded.

System developed by Conservation Services and published in 'Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Road Schemes' (NRA 2004).

2.5. ASSESSMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS

Impacts are defined on the basis of severity of impact on salmonid fish or any rare, protected, or commercially significant species and/or habitats. Assessment of the importance of a potential impact takes into account not only the ecological considerations in the immediate vicinity of the potential impact, but also geographical and wider catchment considerations. If spawning and nursery habitat are limiting factors in short supply in a particular river system, then impacts on them will have an importance out of proportion with their apparent 'face value'.

Because of their amenity, commercial and legal status, salmonid fish (trout and salmon) are given special consideration. If an aspect of a proposed development is judged likely to have a measurable negative effect on salmonid fish populations, it would be classified as a significant potential impact. The criteria for assessing the significance of impacts on flora, fauna and fisheries are as follows. (For details of water-body categories see section 2.4)

A Siles				
Temporary	Short-term	Medium-term	Long-term	
MAJOR	SEVERE	SEVERE	SEVERE	
MAJOR	MAJOR	SEVERE	SEVERE	
L	tion purposities			
	Temporary MAJOR MAJOR	Temporary Short-term MAJOR SEVERE MAJOR MAJOR MAJOR MAJOR	Temporary Short-term Medium-term MAJOR SEVERE SEVERE MAJOR MAJOR SEVERE MAJOR MAJOR SEVERE	

A Sites

B Sites

	Temporary Short-term Medium-term Long-term			Long-term
Extensive	MAJOR	MAJOR	SEVERE	SEVERE
Localised	MODERATE	MODERATE	MAJOR	MAJOR

C Sites

	Temporary	Short-term	Medium-term	Long-term
Extensive	MODERATE	MODERATE	MAJOR	MAJOR
Localised	MINOR	MODERATE	MODERATE	MODERATE

D Sites

	Temporary	Short-term	Medium-term	Long-term
Extensive	MINOR	MINOR	MODERATE	MODERATE
Localised	NOT SIGNIFICANT	MINOR	MINOR	MINOR

FIG. 1 LOCATION OF BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT SITES ON AGHACURREEN DRAIN AND GLANOORAGH RIVER (UPPER SITES)



FIG. 2 LOCATION OF HABITAT SECTIONS ON AGHACURREEN DRAIN



E Sites				
	Temporary Short-term Medium-term Long-term			
Extensive	NOT SIGNIFICANT	NOT SIGNIFICANT	MINOR	MINOR
Localised	NOT SIGNIFICANT	NOT SIGNIFICANT	NOT SIGNIFICANT	NOT SIGNIFICANT

......

System developed by Conservation Services and published in 'Guidelines for Assessment of Ecological Impacts of National Road Schemes' (NRA 2004).

In line with the EPA guide lines the following terms are defined when quantifying duration;

Temporary: Up to 1 year, Short-term: From 1 to 7 years Medium-term: 7 to 15 years Long-term: 15 - 60 years Permanent: over 60 years.

ection purposes only any other use. For the purposes of this report localised' impacts on rivers are loosely defined as impacts measurable no more than 250 metres from the impact source. 'Extensive' impacts on rivers are defined as impacts measurable more than 250m from the impact source. Any impact on salmonid spawning habitat or nursery habitat where it is in short supply, would be regarded as an extensive impact, as it is likely to have an impact on the salmonid population beyond the immediate vicinity of the impact source.

2.6. LIMITATIONS ENCOUNTERED

No significant limitations were encountered.

MAP 1 LOCATION OF WATER QUALITY AND FISH ASSESSMENT SITES ON GLANOORAGH RIVER



MAP 2 LOCATION OF HABITAT SECTIONS ON GLANOORAGH RIVER



3.2. AGHACUREEN DRAIN

3.2.1. HABITAT ASSESSMENT

Habitat sections are shown on Fig. 2.

3.2.1.1. Habitat Section 1

Location V9359 9385 to V93		9388 9403	
Length	c.450m		
Salmonid Hab	itat Quality	Description	
Adult Habitat	None	Muddy drain with dense growths of	
Nursery Habita	at None	Lemna sp. except in heavily shaded	
Spawning Hat	vitat None	sections and recently excavated sections where substrate consists of bare earth, Black effluent entering drain at V9368 9396	



Muddy substrate with algae



Dense growths of Callitriche & Algae



Recently excavated channel



Black effluent entering channel at V9368 9396

3.2.1.2. Habitat Section 2

		.150
Location	V9388 9403 to V9399 9	436 Jun other
Length	c.450m	oses of fort
Salmonid Hab	itat Quality	Description
Adult Habitat	None - Poor	Very small stream/drain heavily
Nursery Habita	at Fair entor	length, consisting mostly of glide and
Spawning Hab	itat Poor - Fair	riffle over substrates of mud and cobble.



Shallow muddy glide



Muddy riffle





3.2.2. Water Quality/ Invertebrate Fauna

3.2.2.1. SITE A

The very small size of the water course at this site renders it less than optimal for Q-rating assessment. The invertebrate community recorded at this site and tabulated below merits a tentative Q-rating of Q3 or Q3-4, indicating moderately polluted or slightly polluted conditions.

INDICATOR GROUP	POLLUTION SENSITIVITY/TOLERANCE	TAXON	NUMBER
A	Very Pollution Sensitive	None recorded	
В	Moderately Pollution Sensitive	Nemouridae	2
		, USC.	
С	Moderately Pollution Tolerant	Gammarus duebeni	c.90
		Polycentropidae	3
		Glossosomatidae	3
	allPolite	Hydracarina	2
	ion Prices	Curculionidae	2
	SPectowite	Chironomidae (excl.	c.120
	of it give	Chironomus)	
·	r opt	Tipulidae	2
	ð [*]		
D	Very Pollution Tolerant	Glossiphonia complanata	1
	<u> </u>		
E	Most Pollution Tolerant	Tubificidae	2
-	Taxa not assigned to any Indicator Group	Eiseniella tetraedra	1
		Stylodrilus heringianus	3
		Ceratopogonidae	2
		Dixidae	2

3.2.2.2. SITE B

The very small size of the water course at this site renders it less than optimal for Q-rating assessment. The invertebrate community recorded at this site and tabulated below merits a tentative Q-rating of Q1-2, indicating seriously polluted conditions.

	POLLUTION SENSITIVITY/TOLERANCE	TAXON	NUMBER
A	Very Pollution Sensitive	None recorded	
В	Moderately Pollution Sensitive	None Recorded	
С	Moderately Pollution Tolerant	Planorbidae	6
		Gammarus duebeni	1
		Hydracarina	3
		Dytiscidae	18
		Chironomidae (excl. Chironomus)	6
D	Very Pollution Tolerant	Glossiphonia sp.	1
	tionette		
E	Most Pollution Tolerant	Tubificidae	36
	cot ritet	Chironomus sp.	117
	્રેજર		
-	Taxa not assigned to any Indicator Group	Lumbriculus variegatus	1
		Culicidae	1

3.2.2.3. SITE C

The very small size of the water course at this site renders it less than optimal for Q-rating assessment. The invertebrate community recorded at this site and tabulated below merits a tentative Q-rating of Q1-2, indicating seriously polluted conditions. Visual and olfactory evidence of oil contamination was observed at this site; however the invertebrate community is indicative of serious organic contamination.

INDICATOR GROUP	POLLUTION SENSITIVITY/TOLERANCE	TAXON	NUMBER
Α	Very Pollution Sensitive	None recorded	
В	Moderately Pollution Sensitive	None Recorded	
С	Moderately Pollution Tolerant	Gammarus duebeni	1
		Dytiscidae	1
		Hydrophilidae	1
	05.00	Helophorus	1
	Purequi	Chironomidae (excl.	95
		Chironomus)	
	A Pator		
D	Very Pollution Toterant	None Recorded	
	E COX.		
E	Most Pollution Tolerant	Tubificidae	2
	COL	Chironomus sp.	c.180
		Eristalis	5
-	Taxa not assigned to any Indicator Group	Lumbriculus variegatus	33

3.2.2.4. SITE D

The very small size of the water course at this site renders it less than optimal for Q-rating assessment. The invertebrate community recorded at this site and tabulated below merits a tentative Q-rating of Q1-2, indicating seriously polluted conditions.

INDICATOR GROUP	POLLUTION SENSITIVITY/TOLERANCE	TAXON	NUMBER
A	Very Pollution Sensitive	None recorded	
В	Moderately Pollution Sensitive	None Recorded	
C	Moderately Pollution Tolerant	Potamopyrgus	10
_		antipodarum	
		Gammarus duebeni	1
		Dytiscidae	6
		Helophorus	5
		Hydrophilidae	2
	The Purporties	Chironomidae (excl. Chironomus)	23
	section in the section of the sectio		
D	Very Pollution Tolerant	Sphaeriidae	c.120
_	FODYIN	Lymnaea peregra	1
		Helobdella stagnalis	2
	sent		
E	Most Pollution Tolerant	Tubificidae	8
		Chironomus sp.	c.470
-	Taxa not assigned to any Indicator Group	Lumbriculus variegatus	4

3.2.2.5. SITE E

The invertebrate community recorded at this site and tabulated below merits a Q-rating of Q3, indicating moderately polluted conditions.

INDICATOR GROUP	POLLUTION SENSITIVITY/TOLERANCE	TAXON	NUMBER
A	Very Pollution Sensitive	None recorded	
В	Moderately Pollution Sensitive	Sericostomatidae	7
		Leuctra sp.	1
		Ancylus fluviatilis	7
С	Moderately Pollution Tolerant	Potamopyrgus	75
		antipodarum	
		Gammarus duebeni	c.110
		Baetis rhodani	71
		Dytiscidae	8
-		Helophorus	1
	ېرم دېر	Hydrophilidae (larva)	1
	100 ile	Chironomidae (excl.	c.110
	an Put rout	Chironomus)	
	ectionnet	Simulidae	1
	in Stro	Tipulidae	29
	FODYITE		
D	Very Pollution Tolerant	Glossiphonia complanata	1
	cent	Erpobdella	1
	Cott	Sphaeriidae	3
E	Most Pollution Tolerant	Tubificidae	3
-	Taxa not assigned to any Indicator Group	Eiseniella tetraedra	4
		Ceratopogonidae	1

3.2.2.6. Overview of water quality in the Aghacureen Drain

The results of biological water quality assessment indicates that the Aghacureen drain is seriously polluted at the point where it enters the KWD Ltd. site (at Site C) as shown on Figure 1. The biological assessment further indicates that the drain is moderately or slightly polluted c.200m upstream of the site (Site A, Figure 1). Chemical assessment carried out by RPS-MCOS Ltd. (Appendix 2) indicates significant contamination upstream of the KWD Ltd. site (c. 70m downstream of Site A) with elevated COD, BOD, Iron and Manganese. However, elevated levels of ammonia and conductivity downstream of the KWD site (Site D), and the effluent observed at Grid Reference V9368 9396, indicate the likelihood of contamination from the site itself.

3.2.3. Fish

ited for any other use. Site E was electrofished for 10 minutes. No fish of any species were recorded.

3.2.4. Protected Status and Protected Species Con

No protected species were recorded in the present survey. All three lamprey species (listed in Annex II of EU Habitats directive 92/43/EEC) are known to occur in the River Flesk catchment (Kurz and Costello, 1999). Lampreys could therefore occur in the Glanooragh river and tributaries. Salmon (listed in Annex II of EU Habitats directive 92/43/EEC) were recorded in the Glanooragh River during this survey and have been recorded by Central Fisheries Board in the wider Gweestin system (W. Roche pers. comm.) On the basis of habitat quality the possibility that salmon could use the lowest section of the Aghacureen drain as a spawning and nursery area, while unlikely, cannot be ruled out.

3.2.5. Importance of Potentially Affected Freshwater Habitats

The Aghacurreen Drain is classified as being of D Rating (moderate local value).

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.