


Attachment B.1




Attachment B.2




Attachment B.3





Attachment C.1



REV DESCRIPTION BY CHK APP DATE

Monaghan County Council Comhairle Contae Mhuineacháin



PH McCARTHY HOUSE NUTGROVE OFFICE PARK NUTGROVE AVENUE, RATHFARNHAM, DUBLIN 14

TEL: +353 (0) 1291 4800 FAX: +353 (0) 1298 9521 e-mall: dublin@wyg.com

CLONTIBRET DISCHARGE LICENCE APPLICATION

Drawing Title:

WASTE WATER TREATMENT WORKS SCHEMATIC FLOW DIAGRAM

Scale @ A3 N.T.S	Drawn HS				Date 16.12.09	
Project No.	Offic 0 11	e l	Type	Drawing	No.	Revision

Wilton Park House, Wilton Place, Dublin 2, Ireland. Tel +353 1 6073003 Fax +353 1 6073109 E-mail inab@inab.ie Web www.inab.ie

ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE

Euro Environmental Services

Unit 35, Boyne Business Park, Drogheda, Co Louth

Testing Laboratory

Registration Number

Off A. Str. Off

is accredited by the Irish National Accreditation Board (INAB) to undertake testing as detailed in the Schedule bearing the Registration Number detailed above, in compliance with the International Standard ISO/IEC 18025:2005 2nd Edition

"General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories"

(This Certificate must only be read in conjunction with the Annexed Schedule of Accreditation)

Date of ward of Accreditation: 16:08:2002
Date of last renewal of Accreditation: 14:09:2007
Expiry Date of this certificate of Accreditation: 14:09:2012

This Accreditation shall remain in force until further notice subject to continuing compliance with INAB accreditation criteria, ISO/IEC 17025 and any further requirements specified by the Irish National Accreditation Board.

Manager: Jom Dempsey
Mr Tom Dempsey

Dr Máire Wals

Chairperson: Mare C Wall

Issued on 14 September 2007

Organisations are subject to annual surveillance and are re-assessed every five years. The renewal date on this Certificate confirms the latest date of renewal of accreditation. To confirm the validity of this Certificate please contact the Irish National Accreditation Board.

The INAB is a signatory of the European co-operation for Accreditation (EA) Testing Multilateral Agreement (MLA) and the International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC) Mutual Recognition Arrangement.

Edition 10 14 – 09 – 2007 119T



Wilton Park House Wilton Place Dublin 2

Tel: 353-1-607 3003 Fax: 353-1-607 3109 Email: inab@inab.ie http://www.inab.ie



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

Schedule of Accreditation

EURO environmental services

Chemical Testing Laboratory

Initial Accreditation Date: 09-10-2000

Postal Address: Unit 35

Boyne Business Park

Drogheda Co Louth

Telephone: + 353 41 984 5440

Fax: + 353 41 984 6171

Email: info@euroenv.ie

Web: www.euroenv.ie

Contact: Natalie O'Brien

Facilities: Public Testing Facility



Wilton Park House Wilton Place Dublin 2

Tel: 353-1-607 3003 Fax: 353-1-607 3109 Email: inab@inab.ie http://www.inab.ie



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

THE IRISH NATIONAL ACCREDITATION BOARD (INAB) is the Irish organisation for the accreditation of organisations including laboratories.

Laboratory accreditation is available to testing and calibration facilities operated by manufacturing organisations, government departments, educational institutions and commercial testing/calibration services. Indeed, any organisation undertaking testing, measurement or calibration in any area of technology can seek accreditation for the work it is undertaking.

Each accredited laboratory has been assessed by skilled specialist assessors and found to meet criteria which are in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 or ISO/IEC 15189 (medical laboratories). Frequent audits, together with periodic inter-laboratory test programmes, ensure that these standards of operation are maintained.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Facilities:

Public calibration/testing service: Commercial operations which actively seek work from others.

Conditionally available for public calibration/testing: Established for another primary purpose but, more commonly than not, is available for outside work.

Normally not available for public calibration/testing: Unavailable for public calibration/testing more often than not.

Testing and Calibration Categories:

Category A: Permanent laboratory calibration and testing where the laboratory is erected on a fixed location for a period expected to be greater than three years.

Category B: Site calibration and testing that is performed by staff sent out on site by a permanent laboratory that is accredited by the Irish National Accreditation Board.

Category C: Site calibration and testing that is performed in a site/mobile laboratory or by staff sent out by such a laboratory, the operation of which is the responsibility of a permanent laboratory accredited by the Irish National Accreditation Board.

Category D: Site calibration and testing that is performed on site by individuals and organisations that do not have a permanent calibration/testing laboratory. Testing may be performed using

- (a) portable test equipment
- (b) a site laboratory
- (c) a mobile laboratory or
- (d) equipment from a mobile or site laboratory

Standard Specification or Test Procedure Used:

The standard specification or test procedure that is accredited is the issue that is current on the date of the most recent visit, unless otherwise stated.

Laboratory users wishing to obtain assurance that calibration or test results are reliable and carried out to the Irish National Accreditation Board criteria should insist on receiving an accredited calibration certificate or test report.

Users should contact the laboratory directly to ensure that this scope of accreditation is current. INAB will on request verify the status and scope.

EURO environmental services

Chemical Testing Laboratory



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

INAB Classification number Materials/products tested	Type of test/properties measured Range of measurement	Standard specifications Equipment/techniques used
766 Waters .01 Waters for potable and domestic purposes 05 Trade Wastes	Conductivity (5µs – 100,000 µscm ⁻¹) BOD (2-6mg/L) COD (5-60,000 mg/L) Consecution Chloride (20 –10,000mg/L) Ammonia (0.2 – 1000 mg/L as N) Total Oxidised Nitrogen (TON) (1 – 8 mg/L as N)	Documented in-house methods based on Standard Methods for the examination of Water and Wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 4500-H+B – SOP 110 Standard Methods for the examination of Water and wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 2510B – SOP 112 Standard Methods for the examination of Water and wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 5210B – SOP 113 Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 5220D – SOP 107 Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 4500 – C-E – SOP 100 Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 4500 NH ₃ F – SOP 114 Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 4500 NH ₃ F – SOP 114 Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 4500 NO ₃ H – SOP 151
F. History 40, 44, 90, 9007	4407	

EURO environmental services

Chemical Testing Laboratory



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

	COI E OI ACCREDITATIO			
INAB Classification number Materials/products tested	Type of test/properties measured Range of measurement	Standard specifications Equipment/techniques used		
766 Waters		Documented in-house methods based on		
.01 Waters for potable and domestic purposes .04 Sewage .05 Trade Wastes Industrial Waters	Orthophosphate (0.1 – 1000 mg/L as P) Sulphate (10 – 30 mg/L as SO ₄) Total Phosphate (0.1 – 0.5 mg/L as P)	Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition. Method 4500 – P E – SOP 117		
.99 Other Waters Surface Waters Groundwaters	Sulphate (10 – 30 mg/t as SO ₄)	Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition. Method 4500-S O ₄ ² E– SOP 119		
	Total Phosphate (0.1 – 0.5 mg/L as P) (0.5 – 4 mg/L as P)	Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition. Method 4500-P B – SOP 166		
	Na, Ča, K and Mg ICP-MS run (0.5 – 100 ppm)	Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 th Edition. Method 3120 B – SOP 184		
	BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes): Benzene (5 – 100μg/L) Ethylbenzene (5 – 100μg/L) Toluene (5 – 100μg/L) o-xylene (5 – 100μg/L) m,p-xylene (10 – 200μg/L)	Based on USEPA methods, 524.2 SOP 179		
	THMs (Trihalomethanes): Chlororform Bromochloromethane Dibromocloromethane Bromoform (5 - 200µg/L)	Based on USEPA methods, 524.2 SOP 186		

EURO environmental services

Chemical Testing Laboratory



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION

INAB Classification number Materials/products tested	Type of test/properties measured Range of measurement	Standard specifications Equipment/techniques used
766 Waters .01 Waters for potable and domestic purposes .05 Trade Wastes	Hardness (Total) (100 – 400 mg/L CaCO ₃) (100 – 400 mg/L CaCO ₃) Cache de la company de la compan	Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 2340 C SOP 111
	(50-11 10,000 mg/L CaCO ₃)	Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 2320 B SOP 102
.04 Sewage .05 Trade Wastes Industrial Waters .99 Other Waters Surface Waters Groundwaters	Colour (Apparent) (10 – 500ptCo Units)	Standard Methods for the Examination Of Water and Wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 2120 B SOP 108
	Turbidity (0.01 – 1100 NTU)	Standard Methods for the Examination Of Water and Wastewater, 20 th Edition Method 2130 A SOP 109

Attachment D.1

Table D.1(i) Clontribret Influent Data

Influent	Date of	Sample Type (C or G)	BOD mg/l	COD mg/l	TSS mg/l	Total P mg/l P	MRP (mg P/l)	Ortho P mg/I P	Total N mg/l N	NH ₃ -N mg/l N	Nitrate NO ₃	Nitrite NO ₂	TON mg/l N	TKN mg/l N	Conductivity uscm	рН	Phenols Total	Sulphate	Temp
Inflow	24/01/2008	С	321.0	563	212	3.42	1.114	10.49	0.09	29.87									
Inflow	19/03/2008	С	213.0	490	176	3.54	1.153	10.86	0.09	42.21									
Inflow	31/05/2008	С	346.6	787	328	7.88	2.567	24.16	0.34	38.54									
Inflow	23/07/2008	С	245.0	576	198	5.65	1.840	17.33	0.88	35.55									
Inflow	25/09/2008	С	301.0	687	241	6.23	2.029	19.10	0.66	32.54									
Inflow	30/11/2008	С	123.0	456	187	6.87	2.238	21.07	1.76	36.54									
Inflow	30/01/2009	С	432.0	872	321	3.55	1.156	10.89	0.09	32.98									
Inflow	30/04/2009	С	329.0	653	214	3.65	1.189	11.19	0.09	36.89									
Inflow	26/08/2009	С	421.0	873	321	5.87	1.912	18.00	0.19	38.54									
Inflow	29/07/2009	С	277.0	765	312	6.54	2.130	20.06	0.28	25.87									
Inflow	06/10/2009	С	90.0	222.0	92.0	8.80	2.87	26.99	64.40	63.34	<.120	< 0.015	< 0.12	64.4	1009.0	7.5	< 0.10	51.38	13.3

Table D.1(i)(a): EMISSIONS TO SURFACE/GROUND WATERS (Primary Discharge Point)

Discharge Point Code: SW-1

Local Authority Ref No:			
Source of Emission:	Clontibret Waste Water Treatment Works		
Location:	Lisglasson, County Monaghan		
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	275451 / 330410		
Name of Receiving waters:	River Cor		
Water Body:	River Water Body		
River Basin District	Neagh Bann IRBD		
Designation of Receiving Waters:	Not Designated		
Flow Rate in Receiving Waters:	0 m³.sec¹ Dry Weather Flow		
	0 m³.sec¹ 95% Weather Flow		
Additional Comments (e.g. commentary on zero flow or other information deemed of value)	DWF or 95%ile flow of receiving water unknown. Volumes based on 306PE (Current PE) Design PE 150		

Emission Details:

Emission Details.						
			ruse.			
(i) Volume emitted						
Normal/day	55 m³	Maximum/daysity and	55 m³			
Maximum	2.29 m³	Period of emission	60 min/hr	24 hr/day	365 day/yr	
rate/hour		(avg)				
Dry Weather Flow	0.0006 m ³ /sec	section net				

WWD Licence Application - Clontibret Waste Water Treatment Works - Page: 2

Table D.1(i)(b): EMISSIONS TO SURFACE/GROUND WATERS - Characteristics of The Emission (Primary Discharge Point)

Discharge Point Code: SW-1

Substance			As discharged	
	Unit of Measurement	Sampling Method	Max Daily Avg.	kg/day
рН	рН	24 hr flow proportional	= 7.3	
Temperature	°C	24 hr flow proportional	= 13.7	
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)	μS/cm	24 hr flow proportional	= 1176	
Suspended Solids	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 32	1.76
Ammonia (as N)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 26.1	1.435
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 66.5	1.89
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 25	4.7
Total Nitrogen (as N)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 1.4	0.0148
Nitrite (as N)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.008	0
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.27	0
Total Phosphorous (as P)	mg/l	24 hr flaw ard proportional	= 3.2	0.198
OrthoPhosphate (as P)	mg/l	24 hr. flow proportional	= 11.1	0.6105
Sulphate (SO ₄)	mg/l μg/l γg/l γg/l γg/l γg/l γg/l γg/l γg/l γ	24 hr flow proportional	= 66.5	3.657
Phenols (Sum)	μg/l install	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.1	0

For Orthophosphate: this monitoring should be undertaken on a sample filtered on 0.45 μ m filter paper For Phenols: USEPA Method 604, AWWA Standard Method 6240, or equivalent.

Table D.1(i)(c): DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE EMISSIONS TO SURFACE/GROUND WATERS - Characteristics of The Emission (Primary Discharge Point)

Discharge Point Code: SW-1

Substance	As discharged							
	Unit of Measurement	Sampling Method	Max Daily Avg.	kg/day				
Atrazine	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.01	0				
Dichloromethane	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 1	0				
Simazine	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.01	0				
Toluene	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 8.279	0.0000154				
Tributyltin	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.02	0				
Xylenes	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 1	0				
Arsenic	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 1.5	0.0000825				
Chromium	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.93	0				
Copper	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 29.1	0.0016				
Cyanide	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 5	0				
Flouride	μg/l	24 hr flow of proportional	= 700	0.0385				
Lead	μg/l	24 hr. flow proportional	= 0.4	0.00022				
Nickel	µg/l	hr flow proportional	= 2.4	0.000132				
Zinc	μg/l kg/n hg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 28.3	0.00155				
Boron	μg/l ξοδί	24 hr flow proportional	= 131.6	0.007238				
Cadmium	μg/J _{cht} d	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.09	0				
Mercury	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	24 hr flow < 0.03					
Selenium	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 1.3	0.0000715				
Barium	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 8.3	0.00004565				

For Orthophosphate: this monitoring should be undertaken on a sample filtered on $0.45\mu m$ filter paper For Phenols: USEPA Method 604, AWWA Standard Method 6240, or equivalent.

Attachment E.1

TABLE E.1(i): WASTE WATER FREQUENCY AND QUANTITY OF DISCHARGE – Primary and Secondary Discharge Points

Identification Code for Discharge point	Frequency of discharge (days/annum)	Quantity of Waste Water Discharged (m³/annum)
SW-1	365	20075



Attachment E.2

Revision History						
Rev. No.	Reason for Revision					
00	Initial Release					
	not lise.					
	es of for any of					
	the state of the s					

Circulation List							
Name	Consent	Signature	Date				

	Monaghan County Council Water Services	
Prepared By:	Approved By:	Date:
Rev.00	Last printed 16 December 2009	Page 1 of 1

1. SAFETY PRECAUTIONS

The following safety precautions shall be followed at all times:

1. 1. Sampling using Boats

Always wear a lifejacket while boating on waters of any kind.

Always wear non-slip footwear (studded waders should not be worn).

Always have more than one person on board. At least one person must be fully familiar with boating techniques and competent to handle the boat.

1. 2. Sampling in Water

Operations requiring personnel to enter water that is more than knee deep or where the water velocity is sufficiently fast (e.g. flood conditions) or where the substratum is slippery or unstable notwithstanding the depth of water, should be carried out by a team of two or more people. Biological sampling should never be carried out under flood conditions.

1. 3. Sampling from Road Bridges

Always exercise care when taking water samples from road bridges irrespective of width and ensure vehicles are parked in suitable parking spaces. Reflective clothing hould be worn even in full daylight.

1. 4. Sampling after Dark

If taking samples during the hours of darkness, this should always be done by a team of two people, properly equipped with reflective clothing and adequate lighting for samples from road bridges and further equipped with lifejackets if sampling from river banks. If sampling in a river, one person should remain on shore adjacent to the sampler and in a position to offer immediate assistance if required. The use of a lifeline is recommended.

1. 5. Sampling of effluents/polluted waters

When sampling domestic, agricultural, industrial or sewage discharges or when taking samples from suspect or polluted rivers protective gloves should be worn. All cuts/abrasions should be covered and antiseptic wipes should be used to clean exposed parts of the skin after sampling.

2. 1. Sampling devices

Any sampling devices used e.g. buckets, depth samplers, telescopic samplers etc. must be clean before use and should if possible be rinsed with an aliquot of the material to be sampled before the final sample is taken.

	Monaghan County Council Water Services	
Prepared By:	Approved By:	Date:
Rev.00	Last printed 16 December 2009	Page 2of 2

2. 2. Sample Containers

The appropriate container must be used for each type of analysis required. Sample containers must be chemically clean or sterile as required and should be filled as follows:

General chemical parameters: 1 or 2 litre plastic bottle – rinse bottle and cap with sample.

Coliforms, Total Counts, Faecal Streptococci : Sterile 300ml glass bottle containing sodium thiosulphate - DO NOT RINSE

Oil/Diesel (DRO's, TPH's): 1 litre glass bottle – DO NOT RINSE

Volatile Organic Compounds: 40ml amber bottle with added ascorbic acid - DO NOT RINSE and fill to overflowing with no air space

Pesticides & other organics: 2 x 1 litre amber glass bottles - DO NOT RINSE

If Phenols or cyanides are required the sample must be returned to the lab within 4 hours of sampling in order to properly preserve the sample.

3. 1. Sampling from Rivers/streams following a pollution incident

If a probable source of the pollution has been ascertained, the river samples should be taken in the following order:- downstream sample, upstream sample and discharge. The downstream sample should be taken at the end of the mixing zone (where the pollution stream is fully mixed with the main body of the water). This can be easy to see if the discharge is very discoloured but can be difficult to establish for clearer discharges. DO measurements taken across the width of the stream/river can help map the presence of the plug of pollution. If necessary more than one downstream sample should be taken. Every effort should be made to ensure no mud/bottom debris is taken up with the water sample as this can affect the analytical results. The sampling container should be rinsed with an aliquot of sample which is then discarded. Take care when discarding this initial sample not to disturb the bottom sediment. Refill the sampling container, rinse the sample bottle if specified above and then fill with sample. DO and temperature should be measured if required either in the stream itself or in the sampling container (bucket) and the details recorded. The sample bottle should be unambiguously marked with the sample location details and a record kept of the date and time of sampling. If a microbiological sample is required, immerse the bottle under the surface of the water before removing the cap and re-stopper under water. If the discharge is from a point source e.g. pipe, manhole, it is possible to estimate a rough flow rate/volume by timing how long it takes to fill the bucket/sample container. If the sample is taken from a specific premises with an unambiguously polluting discharge and a prosecution is envisaged, a duplicate sample should be offered to the polluter for private analysis.

3. 2. Sampling Drinking Water

It is usual to take drinking water samples from taps in private houses/public buildings etc. at various locations along a distribution system and not directly at the water treatment plant. It is important to ensure that the water sampled is coming directly from the mains supply and not from a holding tank/hot water tank. Samples should not be taken from mixer taps as there is a possibility of cross contamination from the hot water system. The tap should be thoroughly sterilised using either a flame or sterile wipes/spray and then left to run for several minutes to ensure a fresh sample.

Monaghan County Council Water Services								
Prepared By:	Approved By:	Date:						
Rev.00	Last printed 16 December 2009	Page 3of 3						

3. 2. Sampling Drinking Water - continued

Usually a microbiological sample and a chemical sample are taken for analysis. A sterile sampling bottle must be used for the microbiological sample and care should be taken when sampling to ensure that the sample is taken aseptically. Do not rinse the sterile bottle as it contains a chemical to destroy any chlorine present in the water. The chemical sample bottle may be rinsed with the sample and then filled. Both bottles should be clearly and unambiguously marked.

4.1. Laboratory Requirements

The laboratory should be notified in advance that it is planned to take samples especially if it is necessary that the samples be analysed on the day taken (e.g. Friday). If the laboratory has been notified and subsequently no samples are taken, it is also important that the laboratory be informed, otherwise they may be holding up some analyses to wait for the samples to arrive. If it will not be possible to deliver the samples within normal working hours (9.15 - 17.15), the laboratory must be contacted and an arrangement made to deliver the samples out of hours. All samples arriving at the laboratory must be accompanied by a chain of custody sheet signed both by the sampler and the laboratory staff member receiving the samples. This sheet should have full details of all the samples taken, location/unique identifying details, date and time of sampling etc. so that no uncertainty can arise in the event of prosecution.

Monaghan County Council Water Services						
Prepared By:	Approved By:	Date:				
Rev.00	Last printed 16 December 2009	Page 4of 4				

Attachment E.4

TABLE 1- ATTACHMENT E4

Clontibret Effluent

Effluent		Sample Type (C or G)	BOD mg/l	COD mg/l	TSS mg/l		MRP (mg P/I)	Ortho P mg/l	Total N mg/l N	NH ₃ -N mg/l N	Nitrate NO₃	Nitrite NO ₂	TON mg/l N	TKN mg/l N	Conductivity uscm	рН	Phenols Total	Sulphate	Temp
Effluent	24/01/2008	С	29.3	87	37	5.32	1.733	16.31	1.12	24.14									1
Effluent	19/03/2008	С	32.4	74	42	2.98	0.971	9.14	1.76	21.54									
Effluent	31/05/2008	С	63.2	82	49	5.87	1.912	18.00	0.11	21.22									1
Effluent	23/07/2008	С	21.0	51	15	3.33	1.085	10.21	0.19	23.33									
Effluent	25/09/2008	С	28.0	65	21	1.09	0.355	3.34	3.65	23.54									
Effluent	30/11/2008	С	17.0	37	17	1.24	0.404	3.80	3.54	19.43									
Effluent	30/01/2009	С	24.7	66	28	2.88	0.938	8.83	1.65	19.54									
Effluent	30/04/2009	С	45.0	67	22	1.99	0.648	6.10	2.23	27.89									
Effluent	26/08/2009	С	29.0	78	35	3.32	1.081	10.18	0.23	21.22									
Effluent	29/07/2009	С	18.0	33	18	3.54	1.153	10.86	0.54	18.97	126.								
Effluent	06/10/2009	С	70	301	68	8.1	2.64	24.84	67.20	66.38	. €0.27	<0.008	< 0.27	67.2	1176	7.3	< 0.10	66.5	13.7

EPA Export 26-07-2013:18:11:39

TABLE 2 - ATTACHMENT E4

aSW1(P)u Upstream Monitoring Point

- / -	Date of Sampling	Sample Type (C or G)	BOD mg/l	COD mg/l	TSS mg/l	Total P mg/l P	MRP (mg P/l)	Ortho P mg/l P	Total N mg/l N	NH ₃ -N mg/l N	Nitrate NO ₃	Nitrite NO ₂	TON mg/l N	TKN mg/l N	Conductivity uscm	рН	Phenols Total	Sulphate	Temp
USW	24/01/2008	G G	4.3	32	19	0.17	0.055	0.52	3.33	0.23									
USW	19/03/2008	G G	4.4	37	34	0.150	0.049	0.46	3.33	0.43									
USW			4.3	24	8	0.22	0.072	0.67	3.34	0.09									
USW	23/07/2008	G G	2.8	27	9	0.13	0.042	0.40	3.33	0.13									
USW	25/09/2008	G G	3.2	29	17	0.23	0.075	0.71	2.11	0.19									
USW	30/11/2008	G G	<2	22	12	0.23	0.075	0.71	2.32	0.23									
USW	30/01/2009	G	3.4	31	21	0.19	0.062	0.58	3.54	0.22									
USW	30/04/2009	G	3.9	32	23	0.18	0.059	0.55	3.43	0.41									
USW	26/08/2009) G	3.5	29	18	0.23	0.075	0.71	3.76	0.09									
USW			3.2	33	13	0.18	0.059	0.55	3.56	0.21									
USW	06/10/2009) G	<2	32	12	0.08	0.03	0.236	3.34	0.50	1.09	0.008	1.10	2.24	394.00	8.00	<0.1	21.44	13.30

0.236 3.34 0.50 1.09 0.0

O.236 3.34 0.50 1.09 0.0

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use

TABLE 3- ATTACHMENT E4

aSW1(P)d Downstream Monitoring Point

	Date of	Sample Type (C or		COD	TSS	Total P	MRP (mg	Ortho P	Total N mg/l	NH ₃ -N	Nitrate	Nitrite	TON	TKN	Conducti vity	рН	Phenols Total	Sulphate	Temp
DSW	Sampling	G)	BOD mg/l	mg/l	mg/l	mg/l P	P/I)	mg/l P	N	mg/l N	NO ₃	NO ₂	mg/I N	mg/l N	uscm		TOLAI		
DWS	24/01/2008	G	4.6	33	22	0.18	0.059	0.55	3.43	0.25									
DWS	19/03/2008	G	4.5	39	54	0.170	0.055	0.52	3.76	0.45									
DWS	31/05/2008	G	5.4	34	12	0.22	0.072	0.67	3.44	0.19									
DWS	23/07/2008	G	2.9	28	11	0.14	0.046	0.43	3.37	0.19									
DWS	25/09/2008	G	3.3	33	19	0.27	0.088	0.83	2.18	0.21									
DWS	30/11/2008	G	<2	22	14	0.25	0.081	0.77	2.43	0.35									
DWS	30/01/2009	G	3.5	32	21	0.19	0.062	0.58	3.56	0.24									
DWS	30/04/2009	G	4.1	33	25	0.19	0.062	0.58	3.65	0.45									
DWS	26/08/2009	G	3.5	31	21	0.25	0.081	0.77	3.87	0.19									
DWS	29/07/2009	G	3.3	33	14	0.20	0.065	0.61	3.54	0.23									
DSW	06/10/2009	G	<2	34	10	0.248	0.08	0.20	3.86	1.54	1.00	0.061	1.06	2.8	412	7.9	< 0.10	22.98	13.4

Attachment F.1

TABLE F.1(i)(a): SURFACE/GROUND WATER MONITORING

Primary Discharge Point

Discharge Point Code:	SW-1
MONITORING POINT CODE:	aSW-1d
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	275441 / 330435

Parameter		Result	ts (mg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	30/01/09	30/04/09	29/07/09	26/08/09			
рН					Grab	0.01	Method 4500- H+/Electrometr y
Temperature					Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)					Grab	0.05	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 21	= 25	= 14	= 21	Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.24	= 0.45	= 0.23	= 0.19	Grab	0.06	Method 4500NH3F/Col orimetry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	= 3.5	= 4.1	= 3.3	= 3.5 other use	Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 32	= 33	= 33	on 314	Grab	5	Method 5220 D/Spectrophot ometry
Dissolved Oxygen			170° 1	je.	Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)			an Philippi		Grab		Colorimetry
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 3.56	= 3.65	= 3.547	= 3.87	Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)		Fort	= 3.54ret		Grab	0.003	Method 4500- NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)		a sent of cor	*		Grab	0.09	Method 4500- NO3- H/Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.19	= 0.79	= 0.2		Grab	0.042	Method 4500- P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)					Grab	0.004	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO ₄)					Grab	1.39	Method 4500- SO42 E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)					Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

For Orthophosphate: this monitoring should be undertaken on a sample filtered on $0.45\mu m$ filter paper For Phenols: USEPA Method 604, AWWA Standard Method 6240, or equivalent.

Additional Comments:	

WWD Licence Application Annex I

Parameter		Resu	ults (mg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	06/10/09	26/08/20					
рН	= 7.9				Grab	0.01	Method 4500- H+/Electrometr y
Temperature	= 13.4				Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)	= 421				Grab	0.05	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 10				Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 1.54				Grab	0.06	Method 4500NH3F/Col orimetry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2				Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 34				Grab	5	Method 5220 D/Spectrophot ometry
Dissolved Oxygen	= 3.34				Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)	= 165				Grab		Colorimetry
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 3.86				Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)	= 0.061				Grab	0.003	Method 4500- NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)	= 1			ی.	Grab	0.09	Method 4500- NO3- H/Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.248	= 0.25		A. A officer it	Grab	0.042	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)	= 0.2		70 ⁵ E5	for	Grab	0.004	Method 4500- P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO ₄)	= 22.98		ikerion purposes o		Grab	1.39	Method 4500- SO42 E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)	< 0.1	¢oʻ	in tight o		Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

For Orthophosphate: this monitoring should be undertaken on a sample filtered on 0.45µm filter paper For Phenols: USEPA Method 604, AWWA Standard Method 6240, or equivalent.

Additional Comments:	

TABLE F.1(i)(b): SURFACE/GROUND WATER MONITORING (Dangerous Substances)

Primary Discharge Point

Discharge Point Code:	SW-1
MONITORING POINT CODE:	aSW-1d
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	275441 / 330435

Parameter		Results (μg/l)				Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	06/10/09						•
Atrazine	< 0.01				Grab	0.01	USEPA Method 610 HPLC
Dichloromethane	< 1				Grab	1	USEPA Method 524 GCMS
Simazine	< 0.01				Grab	0.01	USEPA Method 610 HPLC
Toluene	< 0.28				Grab	1	USEPA Method 524.2 GCMS
Tributyltin	< 0.02			her use.	Grab	0.02	Subcontracted Test GCMS
Xylenes	< 1		్దర	id. and other ree.	Grab	1	USEPA Method 524.2 GCMS
Arsenic	= 1.4		Pecitor Purpose of	,	Grab	0.96	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Chromium	< 0.93		Specific when		Grab	0.93	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Copper	= 1.1	For i			Grab	0.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Cyanide	< 5	Consent of con			Grab	5	Hach Water Analysis Handbook 2nd Edition
Flouride	= 200				Grab	0.03	Method 4500 F - E Colorimetry
Lead	< 0.38				Grab	0.38	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Nickel	= 1.9				Grab	0.47	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Zinc	< 4.6				Grab	4.6	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Boron	= 112.5				Grab	4.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Cadmium	< 0.09				Grab	0.09	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Mercury	< 0.03				Grab	0.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Selenium	= 1.5				Grab	0.74	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS

WWD Licence Application Annex I

Barium	= 15.6		Grab	0.74	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS

Additional Commonto:		
Additional Comments:		

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

TABLE F.1(i)(a): SURFACE/GROUND WATER MONITORING

Primary Discharge Point

Discharge Point Code:	SW-1
MONITORING POINT CODE:	aSW-1u
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	275456 / 330405

Parameter		Results (mg/l)			Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	30/01/09	30/04/09	29/07/09	26/08/09			
рН					Grab	0.01	Method 4500- H+/Electrometr y
Temperature					Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)					Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 21	= 23	= 13	= 18	Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.22	= 0.41	= 0.21	= 0.09	Grab	0.06	Method 4500NH3F/Col orimetry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	= 3.4	= 3.9	= 3.2	= 3.5 other 15°	Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 31	= 32	= 33	A 29 Office	Grab	5	Method 5220 D/Spectrophot ometry
Dissolved Oxygen			~	1	Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)			on Pull redit		Grab	0	Colorimetry
Total Nitrogen (as N)			= 3.561 [©]		Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)		Forty	tight of		Grab	0.003	Method 4500- NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)		For it			Grab	0.09	Method 4500- NO3- H/Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 3.54	= 3.43	= 0.18	= 3.76	Grab	0.042	Method 4500- P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)					Grab	0.004	Method 4500- P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO ₄)					Grab	1.39	Method 4500- SO42 E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)					Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

For Orthophosphate: this monitoring should be undertaken on a sample filtered on $0.45\mu m$ filter paper For Phenols: USEPA Method 604, AWWA Standard Method 6240, or equivalent.

Additional Comments:	

WWD Licence Application Annex I

Parameter		Result	s (mg/l)	Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique	
	06/10/09						
рН	= 8				Grab	0.01	Method 4500- H+/Electrometr y
Temperature	= 13.3				Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)	= 394				Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 12				Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.5				Grab	0.06	Method 4500NH3F/Col orimetry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2				Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 32				Grab	5	Method 5220 D/Spectrophot ometry
Dissolved Oxygen	= 3.9				Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)	= 162				Grab	0	Colorimetry
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 3.34				Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)	= 0.008				Grab	0.003	Method 4500- NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)	= 1.09			.ق	Grab	0.09	Method 4500- NO3- H/Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.08			W. ow other its	Grab	0.042	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)	= 0.236		rdo ^{ses} so	foi at	Grab	0.004	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO ₄)	= 21.44		Bedion purposes of		Grab	1.39	Method 4500- SO42 E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)	< 0.1	tiot is	tight o		Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

For Orthophosphate: this monitoring should be undertaken on a sample filtered on 0.45µm filter paper For Phenols: USEPA Method 604, AWWA Standard Method 6240, or equivalent.

Additional Comments:	

TABLE F.1(i)(b): SURFACE/GROUND WATER MONITORING (Dangerous Substances)

Primary Discharge Point

Discharge Point Code:	SW-1
MONITORING POINT CODE:	aSW-1u
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	275456 / 330405

Parameter		Resu	lts (µg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique	
	06/10/09							
Atrazine	< 0.01				Grab	0.01	USEPA Method 610 HPLC	
Dichloromethane	< 1				Grab	1	USEPA Method 524 GCMS	
Simazine	< 0.01				Grab	0.01	USEPA Method 610 HPLC	
Toluene	< 0.28				Grab	1	USEPA Method 524.2 GCMS	
Tributyltin	< 0.02			her use.	Grab	0.02	Subcontracted Test GCMS	
Xylenes	< 1		్దర	id. and other ree.	Grab	1	USEPA Method 524.2 GCMS	
Arsenic	= 1.2		Pecitor Purpose of	,	Grab	0.96	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Chromium	< 0.93		Specific when		Grab	0.93	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Copper	= 3.3	For i			Grab	0.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Cyanide	< 5	Consent of con			Grab	5	Hach Water Analysis Handbook 2nd Edition	
Flouride	= 170				Grab	0.03	Method 4500 F - E Colorimetry	
Lead	< 0.38				Grab	0.38	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Nickel	= 2.6				Grab	0.47	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Zinc	< 4.6				Grab	4.6	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Boron	= 211.8				Grab	4.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Cadmium	< 0.09				Grab	0.09	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Mercury	< 0.03				Grab	0.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	
Selenium	= 2.2				Grab	0.74	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS	

WWD Licence Application Annex I

Barium	= 24.1		Grab	0.74	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS


Additional Comments:

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.





Full Report for Waterbody



Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





neagh bann international river basin district

Summary Information:

WaterBody Category: Subbasin Waterbody

WaterBody Name:

WaterBody Code: IE_XB_03_9

Overall Status: Poor

Overall Objective: Restore

Overall Risk: 1a At Risk

Applicable Supplementary

Measures:

Unsewered; Urban & Industrial; Morphology;

Report data based upon Draft RBMP, 22/12/2008.

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





Status Report

WaterBody Category: Subbasin Waterbody

WaterBody Name:

WaterBody Code: IE_XB_03_9

Overall Status Result: Poor



	Status Element Description	Result
EX	Status from Monitored or Extrapolated Waterbody	
	Biological Elements	
Q	Macroinvertebrates (Q-Value)	Poor
F	Fish	n/a
DI	Phytobenthos (Diatoms)	n/a
FPM	Status value as determined by Margartifera	n/a
	Supporting Elements	
MOR	Hydromorphology	n/a
SP	Specific Pollutants	n/a
PC	General Physico-Chemical	Fail
	Chemical Status	
PAS	Phytobenthos (Diatoms) Status value as determined by Margartifera Supporting Elements Hydromorphology Specific Pollutants General Physico-Chemical Chemical Status Chemical Status Overall Ecological Status	n/a
	Overall Ecological Status	
0	Overall Ecological Status	Poor

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





Risk Report

WaterBody Category: Subbasin Waterbody

WaterBody Name:

WaterBody Code: IE_XB_03_9

Overall Risk Result: 1a At Risk

RDO Diffuse Overall -Worst Case (2008)



Risk Test Description Risk **Point Risk Sources** RP1 WWTPs (2008) Not At Risk RP2 CSOs Not At Risk Nons **For inspection the reactive different and other tasks **For inspection the reactive different and other tasks **Op** RP3 IPPCs (2008) RP4 Section 4s (2008) RPO Overall Risk from Point Sources - Worst Case (2008) **Diffuse Risk Sources** RD1 EPA diffuse model (2008) RD2a Road Wash - Soluble Copper RD2b Road Wash - Total Zinc RD2c Road Wash - Total Hydrocarbons RD3 Railways RD4a Forestry - Acidification (2008) RD4b Forestry - Suspended Solids (2008) RD4c Forestry - Eutrophication (2008) RD5a Unsewered Areas - Pathogens (2008) At Risk RD5b Unsewered Phosphorus (2008) Not At Risk RD5 Overall Unsewered (2008) 1a At Risk RD6a Arable RD6b Sheep Dip **RD6c Forestry - Dangerous Substances**

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008

1a At Risk

water matters Help us plan!



_		
N	Morphological Risk Sources	
RM1 C	Channelisation (2008)	2b Not At Risk
RM2 E	Embankments (2008)	2b Not At Risk
RM3 I	mpoundments	
RM4 V	Vater Regulation	
RMO M	Morphology Overall - Worst Case (2008)	2b Not At Risk
c	Q/RDI or Point/Diffuse	
1 -	Q class/EPA Diffuse Model or worst case of Point and Diffuse (2008)	1a At Risk
н	Hydrology	
RHY1 V	Vater balance - Abstraction	
c	Overall Risk	
RA R	Rivers Overall - Worst Case (2008)	1a At Risk

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





Objectives Report

WaterBody Category: Subbasin Waterbody

WaterBody Name:

WaterBody Code: IE_XB_03_9

Overall Objective: Restore



	Objectives Description	Result
	Objectives	
OB1	Objective 1 - Protected Areas	Not Applicable
OB2	Objective 2 - Protect High and Good Status	Not Applicable
OB3	Objective 3 - Restore Less Than Good Status	Restore
OB4	Objective 4 - Reduce Chemical Pollution	Not Applicable
ОВО	Overall Objective	Restore
	Overall Objective Deadline Default Year by which the objective must be method with the objective must be method.	
YR	Default Year by which the objective must be met.	2015
EX	Revised Objective Deadline	2015
ОВО	Overall Objective and Deadline	Restore - 2015
	Default Year by which the objective must be meth and of the Revised Objective Deadline Overall Objective and Deadline Consent of Confernite C	

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





Basic Measures Report

WaterBody Category: Subbasin Waterbody

WaterBody Name:

WaterBody Code: IE_XB_03_9



	Basic Measures Description	Applicable
	Key Directives	
BA	Bathing Waters Directive	No
BI	Birds Directive	No
НА	Habitats Directive	No
DW	Drinking Waters Directive	Yes
SEV	Major Accidents and Emergencies (Seveso) Directive	Yes
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment Directive	Yes
SE	Sewage Sludge Directive	Yes
UW	Sewage Sludge Directive Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive Plant Protection Products Directive Nitrates Directive Integrated Pollution Prevention Control Directive Other Stipulated Measures Cost recovery for water use Protection of drinking water sources	No
PL	Plant Protection Products Directive	Yes
NI	Nitrates Directive	Yes
IP	Integrated Pollution Prevention Control Directive	Yes
	Other Stipulated Measures	
CR	Cost recovery for water use	Yes
SU	Promotion of efficient and stainable water use	Yes
DWS	Protection of drinking water sources	Yes
AB	Control of abstraction and impoundments	Yes
PT	Control of point source discharges	Yes
DI	Control of diffuse source discharges	Yes
GWD	Authorisation of discharges to groundwater	No
PS	Control of priority substances	Yes
MOR	Control of physical modifications to surface waters	Yes
OA	Controls on other activities impacting on water status	Yes
AP	Prevention or reduction of the impact of accidental pollution incidents	Yes

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





Urban and Industrial Discharges Supplementary Measures Report

WaterBody Category: Subbasin Waterbody

WaterBody Name:

WaterBody Code: IE_XB_03_9



	Point discharges to waters from municipal and industrial sources	Result
PINDDIS	Is there one or more industrial discharge (Section 4 licence issued by the local authority or IPPC licence issued by the EPA) contained within the water body?	No
PINDDISR	Are there industrial discharges (Section 4 licence issued by the local authority or IPPC licence issued by the EPA) that cause the receiving water to be 'At Risk' within the water body?	No
PB1	Basic Measure 1 - Measures for improved management.	No
PB2	Basic Measure 2 - Optimise the performance of the waste water treatment plant by the implementation of a performance management system.	No
PB3	Basic Measure 3 - Revise existing Section 4 license conditions and reduce allowable pollution load.	No
PB4	Basic Measure 4 - Review existing IPPC license conditions and reduce allowable pollution load.	No
PB5	Basic Measure 5 - Investigate contributions to the collection system from unlicensed discharges.	No
PB6	Basic Measure 6 - Investigate contributions to the collection system of specific substances known to impact ecological status.	No
PB7	Basic Measure 7 - Upgrade WWTP to increase capacity.	No
PB8	Basic Measure 8 - Upgrade WWTP to provide nutrient removal treatment.	No
PS1	Supplementary Measure 1 - Measures intended to reduce loading to the treatment plant.	No
PS2	Supplementary Measure 2 - Impose development controls where there is, or is likely to be in the future, insufficient capacity at treatment plants.	No
PS3	Supplementary Measure 3 - Initiate investigations into characteristics of treated wastewater for parameters not presently required to be monitored under the urban wastewater treatment directive.	No
PS4	Supplementary Measure 4 - Initiate research to verify risk assessment results and determine the impact of the discharge.	No
PS5	Supplementary Measure 5 - Use decision making tools in point source discharge management.	No
PS6	Supplementary Measure 6 - Install secondary treatment at plants where this level of treatment is not required under the urban wastewater treatment directive.	No
PS7	Supplementary Measure 7 - Apply a higher standard of treatment (stricter emission controls) where necessary.	No

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





PS8	Supplementary Measure 8 - Upgrade the plant to remove specific substances known to impact on water quality status.	No
PS9	Supplementary Measure 9 - Install ultra-violet or similar type treatment.	No
PS10	Supplementary Measure 10 - Relocate the point of discharge.	No



Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





Physical Modifications Supplementary Measures Report

WaterBody Category: Subbasin Waterbody

WaterBody Name:

WaterBody Code: IE_XB_03_9



	Physical Modifications Supplementary Measures	Applicable
	Reduce	
SM1	Codes of Practice	Yes
SM2	Support for voluntary initiatives	Yes
	Remediate	
SM3	Channelisation impact remediation schemes	No
SM4	Channelisation investigation	No
SM5	Overgrazing remediation	No
SM6	Impassable barriers, impact confirmed, investigation into feasibility of remediation required	No
SM7	Impassable barriers investigation	Yes
	Impassable barriers, impact confirmed, investigation into feasibility of remediation required Impassable barriers investigation Impassable barriers investi	

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008





Unsewered Properties Supplementary Measures Report

WaterBody Category: Subbasin Waterbody

WaterBody Name:

WaterBody Code: IE_XB_03_9



	Supplementary Measures for	Applicable
	Unsewered Properties	
SP1	Amend building regulations	Yes
SP2	Establish certified expert panels for site investigation and certification of installed systems	Yes
SP3	Assess applications for new unsewered systems by applying risk mapping/decision support systems and codes of practice	Yes
SP4	Carry out an inspection programme in prioritised locations for existing systems and record results in an action tracking system	Yes
SP5	Enforce requirements for percolation	Following inspection
SP6	Enforce requirements for de-sludging	Yes
SP7	Enforce requirements for de-sludging Consider connection to municipal systems of the land that the	Where feasible
	Cot its per court	

Date Reported to Europe: 22/12/2008

Attachment G.2

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

water matters "Help us plan!"



Summary Leaflet Draft River Basin Man<mark>ageme</mark>nt Plan for the Neagh Bann International River Basin District

December 2008




The Draft River Basin District Management Plan

The European Union Water Framework Directive was adopted in 2000. It requires governments to manage all of their waters: rivers, canals, lakes, reservoirs, groundwaters, wetlands, estuaries and coastal waters. Member States must ensure that their waters achieve at least good status by 2015 and that their status doesn't deteriorate.

The Directive requires the preparation of a management plan for all of the waters in an area called a River Basin District. Some 400 river basins on the island of Ireland have been grouped and assigned to a total of eight River Basin Districts; one of these lies wholly in Northern Ireland, four lie wholly in Ireland and three are International River Basin Districts, one of which is the Neagh Bann District.


Since 2000, the local authorities and the Northern Ireland Environment Agency have been working on the implementation of the Water Framework Directive. We have met all of the deadlines and our performance has been amongst the best in the European Union.



We have actively sought people's views at every stage of the implementation process. Management plans are considered by the District's Advisory Council (Ireland) and by the Catchment and National Stakeholder Groups (Northern Ireland). We produced a series of consultation documents and we discussed significant water management issues with interest groups, public authorities and local authorities at a series of public consultation events in 2007 and 2008.

The next stage is the production of a River Basin District Management Plan. It will cover the six-year period from 2009 until 2015; any remaining issues or new problems will be tackled in two further six year plans, 2015–2021 and 2021–2027.

We have produced a draft of the plan and we are beginning a process of consultation to elicit views on the draft. In Ireland the final version of this plan must be adopted by all local authorities in the district, whilst in Northern Ireland the plan must be approved by the Environment Minister. The plan will come into effect at the end of 2009.



How the plan was developed

We followed a nine-step process in developing the plan. Our approach was structured: find out the issues, decide what action to take and make a plan.

What are our key water issues?	We investigated which water issues are causing problems, what actions we could take to solve them and where we should focus these actions.
What is the status of our waters?	Comprehensive monitoring established the condition of our waters; identifying where they are satisfactory and where they must be improved.
What do we plan to achieve?	We identified sustainable objectives for our waters.
What measures must we take?	The Water Framework Directive stipulates mandatory measures. We identified actions under these measures, setting out existing and new plans and programmes to ensure full and effective implementation.
What will these mandatory measures achieve?	We assessed how effective where extra effort may be needed to improve our waters.
What further measures can we take?	We identified supplementary measures for the cases where the mandatory measures alone would not be sufficient to achieve our objectives.
What will supplementary measures achieve?	We assessed whether the combination of measures would achieve our objectives and how long it would take.
What are our objectives in the Neagh Bann District?	We outline the objectives we plan to achieve and specify where extended timescales or lower objectives are necessary.
What is our action plan for the Neagh Bann District?	The outcome of this planning process is a tailored action plan for the Neagh Bann District. We have proposed a detailed suite of measures setting out what, where and when actions are needed and who will do them.

Neagh Bann District: current status

The status of our surface waters and groundwaters is summarised in these two tables. These tables include the whole international district's waters: those in Northern Ireland, those in Ireland and the shared waters (those water bodies which lie along the border).

Surface water status in the Neagh Bann District

Surface Water Category	High	Good	Moderate	Poor	Bad	Yet to be Determined
Rivers and canals (number) % of total	(0) 0	(76) 23.1	(149) 45.3	(71) 21.6	(15) 4.6	(18) 5.5
Lakes and reservoirs (km²) % of total	(0) 0	(0.17) 0.04	(3.48) 0.87	(6.63) 1.66	(388.7) 97.42	(0) 0
Estuaries (km²) % of total	(0) 0	(0) 0		(41.72) 100		(0) 0
Coastal (km²) % of total	(0) 0	(184.56) 55.7	(108.39) 32.7		(38.45) 11.6

Groundwater status in the Neagh Bann District

Groundwater	Good	Poor
Chemical Status (km²)	(6,683)	(843)
% of total	88.8	11.2
Quantitative Status (km²)	(6,759) 15 ^g .	(767)
% of total	89.8 15 ^g .	10.2
Combined Status (km²) % of total	(6,683) at 30.	(843) 11.2



Protected Areas

Protected areas must achieve good or high status to support their designations, with specific targets for protection of priority species or habitats. There are 94 protected areas amongst the shared waters of the Neagh Bann International River Basin District. In the whole District there are 650 protected areas. These include drinking water sources such as Monalty Lough and Spelga Dam; the shellfish waters include Carlingford Lough; the bathing waters include Portstewart and Castlerock beaches. Nutrient-sensitive areas include Lough Muckno, the River Blackwater and Lough Neagh, Special Areas of Conservation include the Bann Estuary and Slieve Gullion and Special Protection Areas include Carlingford Lough and Lough Neagh/Lough Beg.

Pressures

The main pressures on our waters come from:

- wastewater and industrial discharges
- landfills, quarries, mines and contaminated land
- agriculture
- wastewater from unsewered properties
- forestry
- usage and discharge of dangerous substances
- physical modifications
- abstractions
- local and future issues. In the Neagh Bann District, they include climate change, aquaculture and invasive alien species, as well as the need to protect high quality areas and to manage shared waters issues properly.

We can achieve the greatest gain by concentrating our efforts on those issues that pose the greatest threat to our water environment. Two key sectors stand out, agriculture and the water industry. Both Northern Ireland Environment Agency and the Environmental Protection Agency have identified the need to take action in response to these sectors in the River Basin Management Plan. "Discharges from municipal wastewater treatment works and from agricultural activities are the principal suspected causes of less than satisfactory water in the State. Industrial discharges and discharges from several other activities have also been identified as contributing to a lesser extent". (Environmental Protection Agency, 2008)



Measures and objectives

The measures to improve our waters fall into three categories:

- the implementation of 11 key directives, specified under the Water Framework Directive and already transposed into domestic legislation
- the implementation of other stipulated measures required by the Water Framework Directive
- the use of additional or supplementary measures.

Basic measures

The first two categories are referred to as **basic measures**. They are:

The 11 key EU Directives	Other stipulated measures
Bathing waters	Cost recovery for water use
Birds	Promotion of efficient and sustainable water use
Habitats	Protection of drinking water sources
Drinking waters	Control of abstraction and impoundment
Major accidents	Control of point source discharges
Environmental impact assessment	Control of diffuse source discharges
Sewage sludge	Authorisation of discharges to groundwaters
Urban wastewater treatment	Control of priority substances
Plant protection products	Controls on physical modifications to surface waters
Nitrates	Controls on other activities impacting on water status
Integrated pollution prevention control	Prevention or reduction of the impact of accidental pollution incidents
Supplementary measures	Consent of core
A range of possible supplementary measu	res has been identified by a series of technical studies. Some are already being

Supplementary measures

A range of possible supplementary measures has been identified by a series of technical studies. Some are already being taken: they include farm based environmental protection schemes and implementation of a suite of forestry good practice guidelines. Other possible measures are codes of practice, voluntary agreements, demand reduction and rehabilitation programmes and legal, administrative and economic instruments.


Supplementary measures range from reducing the pressure at source through remediation by technical or engineering solutions to **relocation** of the pressure. They have to be technically feasible; the combination of supplementary measures must be the most cost-effective and the cost of these combinations of measures must not be significantly greater than the benefits gained. The impacts of the supplementary measures on the wider environment have to be considered, through Strategic Environmental Assessment, to ensure that they are sustainable.

Our objectives for each category of waters

These charts show the improvements we expect in each category of waters over three cycles of the river basin district planning process.

GES or GEP means good ecological status or good ecological potential, in other words compliant with the Water Framework Directive. The standard of good ecological potential is applied to artificial and heavily modified waters (such as canals and reservoirs) where the benefits to humans need to be retained.

LSO means less stringent objective, which means that the waters won't achieve good status or good potential before 2027.



What happens next



The full text of the draft plan is available on www.wfdireland.ie. along with background documents including technical studies into our key water issues, our register of protected areas, and documents detailing monitoring programmes and status development, economics, objectives, programmes of measures and links to plans and programmes. There is also a list of the District's relevant authorities and stakeholders, as well as documents on climate change and Strategic Environmental Assessment. Our interactive webmap viewing tool can also be accessed at www.wfdireland.ie.

Comments, views and suggestions may be sent by 22 June 2009 to:

Martin Murray Monaghan County Council **Environment Section** County Offices The Glen Monaghan Co Monaghan mpmurray@monaghancoco.ie

Early responses would be appreciated to allow more time to clarify and resolve issues that may arise.



We will comply with data protection requirements and will use information that you provide to compile a digest of responses. Please let us know if you wish your response to remain anonymous: if you do, we will include your comments in the digest without saying who made them. If you want to add new comments or information you can contact our website at any stage (www.nbirbd.com)

Implementation



entation
The Neagh Bann International River Basin District is cross-border; partly in Ireland and partly in Northern Ireland. This leaflet refers to the draft River Basin Management Plans for the District which were issued by the county councils of Monaghan, Cavan, Louth, and Meath and by the Northern Ireland Environment Agency. Preparation of the draft plans has been closely coordinated between the two jurisdictions and these coordinated arrangements are outlined in the document entitled Working Together (www.wfdireland.ie).

The task of implementing the management plans will fall mainly to the statutory authorities. In the case of the Neagh Bann District, it is envisaged that a unit will be set up by Monaghan County Council to coordinate the work of Ireland's statutory authorities and to coordinate work with the Northern Ireland Environment Agency. In Ireland, implementation of the plans will be coordinated by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, working together with the local authorities, the Environmental Protection Agency and other relevant public authorities. In Northern Ireland, work will be coordinated by the Department of the Environment and Northern Ireland Environment Agency, through the Interdepartmental Working Group, which includes the four main government departments responsible for implementing the plan.



Local Government (Water Pollution) Act 1977 (Water Quality Standards for Phosphorus) Regulations, 1998



4th Implementation Report

July 2006

Local Government (Water Pollution) Act 1977 (Water Quality Standards for Phosphorus) Regulations, 1998.

4th Implementation Report

Monaghan Co Council.

Introduction:

The Phosphorus Regulations (1998) require the Monaghan Co Council to protect satisfactory waters and to improve unsatisfactory waters. Water quality interim targets have been set for 2007. However Monaghan Co Council has applied for an extension to 2013. (In the 2004 EPA audit, an EPA officer advised against reliance on the 2013 deadline as the more stringent Water Framework Directive deadline of 2015 for both good chemical and biological status will also need to be complied with.) The Phosphorus Regulations require Monaghan Co Council to submit a biennial implementation report to the Environment Protection Agency. The 4th Implementation Report is due for submission to the Agency on 317/2006.

Section 1. Water Quality in Co Monaghan

River Monitoring

Baseline Data

The baseline data for the County, established from the EPA's Biological Monitoring Programme (Q Ratings) since 1995 indicates that 30% of river stations monitored were of satisfactory quality (Q rating \geq 4) while 70% of stations monitored were unsatisfactory (Q rating \leq 3-4). Table 1.1 refers.

Current Status Rivers

This 4th Implementation Report relates to the reporting period Jan 2004 to Dec 2005. Reference to physio-chemical data in this document relates to water quality monitoring carried out by Monaghan Co Council in the period Jan 2004 to Dec 2005.

Reference to river <u>current Q</u> ratings, relate to the Q rating assigned by the EPA. The 06 Hydrometric area was monitored in 2003 while the 03 and 36 Hydrometric areas were monitored in 2004. Table 1.1.refers

Current data indicates

- 33% (22 out of 66) of river stations monitored are classified as unpolluted (Q ratings > 4 2003/04 data). This figure is low by national standards.
- 24% (16No.) of river monitoring stations show an improvement in Q rating from baseline data

- 27% (18No.) of stations monitored show a decline in Q rating.
- 71% (55 out of 77) of stations with Q data and/or Median P values achieve standards set out in Section 3(2) of the Phosphorus Regulations. Section 3(2) allows compliance with the Phosphorus Regulation targets by achieving either the standards set for Q rating or MRP (Molybdate Reactive Phosphate) value.

Water Quality Trends: Rivers

Q Ratings

Since the 95-97 baseline period no significant improvement in overall biological water quality in the County is apparent. Although 24% of sites monitored in the 2003 and 2004 do show an improvement from baseline data, a further 27% of sites monitored show a decline in quality. Since the mid 90's there are no longer any pristine sites (Q 5) recorded in Co Monaghan. However the improvements in the Erne catchment noted in 2004 are promising.

Physio-chemical Data:

Water quality data does provide some information on water quality trends in the county. A decline in river phosphate levels has been noted in some rural areas. However, many other river stations do not show a similar decline as yet.

Noticeable water quality improvements have followed the upgrading of urban wastewater treatment plants and upgrading/removal of industrial treatment plant discharges. The Blackwater River below Monaghan Town and the Proules River below Carrickmacross have improved from baseline quality. However water quality in these river stretches – designated as "sensitive waters" under the Brban Waste Water Regulations, remains unsatisfactory (as defined by the EPA). Continued urban development is placing additional pressures on infrastructure and water quality downstream of urban areas. Discharges of untreated or partially treated urban waste waters via storm overflows or overloaded collection systems can have significant effects on water quality and these problems have been referred to Water Services for examination and appropriate remediation.

Since Jan. 2004 the frequency of river monitoring has been increased to 12 samples per annum every 2 years. Median P values are now available for almost all EPA Q rated sites. Results for Median P levels are shown in Table 1.1.

Lake Monitoring

Monaghan Co Council's lake monitoring programmes for 2004 and 2005 have been completed and results reported to the EPA. A total of 50 lakes have been monitored at sampling frequency of one lake sample per annum for the smaller lakes and two to four lake samples for the larger lakes. Lake sampling is resource intensive and Monaghan's sampling frequency has remained low. However the new Water Framework Directive Monitoring Programme, due to commence in Dec 2006 requires a review of sampling frequency – final details have yet to be decided.

Currently lake sampling is carried out in the summer months with the assistance of Civil Defence staff (2 persons) and equipment (boat and pickup truck) and a summer student. The current sample collection takes 10 to 12 days approximately. Water samples are analysed by the EPA Laboratory in Monaghan Town.

Due to low frequency of lake sampling only the Chlorophyll level can be used for classification purposes and compliance checking. If the Total Phosphorus (TP) parameter were to be included a minimum of 10 samples per annum would be required.

Lake Water Supply Sources

Work is currently ongoing to integrate the protection of the 23 lakes used as water supply sources into development planning and control. Maps of surface water sources are included in the current Draft Co Development Plan for Co Monaghan.

Current Status - Lakes

Lake Trophic Status (2004/2005)

The lake trophic status shown in Table 1.2 is derived from the maximum Chlorophyll level measured in the period 2004 to 2005. Current data indicates 46% of lakes comply with requirements of the Phosphorus Regulations based on chlorophyll levels only. Table 1:2 refers

Note:

Lake chlorophyll levels can <u>fluctuate significantly</u> throughout the year. Chlorophyll levels do not always indicate the same degree of eutrophication as do the available Total Phosphorus levels. Total Phosphorus levels in some lakes in Co Monaghan are extremely high.

Water Quality Trends: Lakes

The 2004/2005 lake data indicates an increased number of lakes in the satisfactory category (i.e oligotrophic and mesotrophic). However the high number of lakes (over 80%) with elevated Total Phosphorus levels is a cause for concern.

Tables A and B below show a comparison of current lake trophic status with baseline status and Lake Total Phosphorus (TP) levels for the 2001 to 2005 period...

Table A: Comparison of Lake Data 1995-2003

Annual Max Chlorophyll level ppb	Trophic Status	Baseline data 95-2001 (no. of lakes)	Current Trophic (2004/2005) Classification (no. of lakes)
<8	Oligotrophic		6
≥8 <25	Mesotrophic	11	9
≥25<75	Eutrophic	19	24
≥75	Hypertrophic	16	11

Table B: Lake Total Phosphorus Levels –Average Value of 2001 to 2005 data

Total Phosphorus Average Conc (ppb) 2001-2005 (MCC data)	No. of Lakes in each category
<30	8
30-59	16
60-99	17
>100	10

Water Framework Directive lakes:
Lakes proposed for additional monitoring under the Proposed WFD Monitoring Programme and their current trophic status includes:

Lake	Current Status
Avaghon lake	Mesotrophic (– but algal blooms noted in recent years)
Drumlona	Eutrophic
Emy	Mesorophic
Egish	Eutrophic.
Inner	Hypertrophic
Naglack	Hypertrophic
Monalty	Hypertrophic
Muckno	Hypertrophic
White	Eutrophic
Dromore	Status unknown

Groundwater Quality

The Phosphorus Regulations 1998 deal with surface waters and although ground water quality may impact on surface waters sufficient data is not available in relation to this aspect. The situation regarding groundwater quality will be addressed as the Water Framework Directive is rolled out. A Groundwater Protection Scheme for Co Monaghan has been produced by the GSI. Work is currently ongoing to integrate the Groundwater Protection Scheme into planning decision making and maps of groundwater sources and resources are included in the current Draft Co Development Plan.

Section 2. Implementation of Measures

Monaghan Co Council's Measures Report in 1999 identified a need for additional resources to implement proposals to protect and improve water quality. Although additional staff were recruited following completion of negotiations under BLG (Better Local Government) in 2001, the Phosphorus Team has since lost 2 experienced Environmental Officers. One Environmental Officer (temp) is now in training.

Use of Consultants

In 2005 additional resources were allocated to employ consultants (RPS Consultant Engineers) to carry out farm surveys and to highlight farms that will require follow up action by the Council staff. However, without experienced field staff in-house catchment survey work and the necessary follow up of problem premises is currently suspended with a review of the situation due in October 2006.

Monaghan Co Council continue to use the services of Conservation Services to carry out detailed Biological Surveys of rivers. This work highlights "hot spots" and a number of such "hot spots" are awaiting follow-up surveys.

In the 2004-2005 period the Phosphorus Team has been involved in a number of specific work areas as follows:

- Catchment surveys initially work has been concentrated moderately polluted river stretches and unsatisfactory lakes. Work has progressed well but extensive areas of the County are as yet not surveyed. See Map in Appendix 1 outlining Progress.
- Database management and updating of programme
- Review and updating of water quality monitoring programmes and the introduction of additional Biological Monitoring using a private consultant.
- A survey and report of Urban Wastewater Treatment plants and collection systems.
- Continued enforcement of Water Pollution Acts and Waste Management Act.
- Awareness raising to achieve sectoral involvement in protection and improvement of water quality.

The Phosphorus Team also tries to work closely with Planning control staff, other Environment Section staff and Water Services Section although more integration in this area is deemed necessary.

Future Developments in the area of Water Management

During 2004 a number of Projects relating to water quality issues in Co Monaghan have been initiated. These include:

- North South SHARE Project on River Basin Management Planning.
- Blackwater Regional Partnership TRACE Project on the Definition and Mitigation of Excessive Multi-source Nutrient Loss to Water, lead by University of Ulster and Queens University, Belfast.
- Churchill Oram Source Protection Pilot Scheme led by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes and the Freshwater Studies Unit at DKIT.
- Blackwater Vital Signs Schools project .

- Monaghan Co Council has participated in the Erne Blackwater Surface Waters Working Group.
- The County Development Board has included the Improvement of Water Quality in Co Monaghan as an Action in the CDB Strategy for Co Monaghan.

Monaghan Co Council will provide available water quality data for the Project leaders and is involved as Steering Group members and/or in an advisory role. The benefits of such projects are expected to be increased knowledge of water quality issues, improved water quality management, improved public and sectoral participation. and increased awareness.

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

Section 3. Progress to Date

There is evidence that the biological quality of rivers continues to decline in Co Monaghan. Of particular concern is the recent loss of pristine and high quality sites in upland areas. It is expected that resource intensive catchment survey work, additional monitoring, enforcement and in some cases changes in land use or other measures will be required to bring about water quality improvements. It is expected that the turn-around period between intensive catchment survey work, enterprise improvements and water quality improvements could be a minimum of 2-3 years depending on catchment characteristics.

Improvements in Hydrometric Area 36 (Erne Catchment)

Promising results were evident in the 2004 EPA Biological Monitoring of Hydrometric Area 36 (Erne Catchment).

Although Monaghan Co Council have completed catchment survey work in subcatchments of the Erne River (Bunnoe and Maghery Rivers have been surveyed in 2002 and 2003) improvements are also noted in other sub-catchments. It is suspected that the intensive surveillance and enforcement work carried out by the Northern Regional Fisheries Board (NRFB) for several years has contributed significantly to improvements in water quality in this area. Discussions with the Eastern and Northern Regional Fisheries Boards have revealed that additional resources have been dedicated to surveillance and enforcement work in the NRFB area for a number of years.

Progress has been made in pursuing measures set out to tackle water pollution in Co Monaghan. Improvement in the chemical data at some river stations is evident, upgrading of industrial treatment plants continues and investment in Water Services is ongoing. Progress in various work areas is detailed below and in Table 3,4, and 5 attached in Appendix 2. However additional resources are considered necessary to successfully identify and follow up on pollution sources in the county.

Catchment Surveys

Catchment surveys commenced in May 2002 with a focus on small rural catchments where water quality was classified as moderately polluted. All agricultural, industrial and commercial premises were surveyed in each catchment. Communal septic tanks and village areas were also surveyed. The aim of catchment surveys was to identify and eliminate point sources of pollution and identify potential diffuse sources of pollution for further attention.

Over the period Jan 2004 to Dec 2005, a total of 352 premises (mainly agricultural) were surveyed. 110 advisory letters were issued, 21 Section 12 Notices were issued. A total of 450 reinspections of silage making facilities (including facilities surveyed pre 2004) and 158 reinspections of medium and high-risk wintering facilities were reinspected in the summer and winter periods respectively.

Current Status of Catchment Survey Work Table (refer to Map of progress Appendix 1)

Table C

Catchment /River	Survey By	Comments
Emy Lough catchment	2003, MCC Staff	Limited follow up of due
Mountain Water Tributary	2004/05 -TRACE Project	Pollution mitigation
		measures due to be installed
		in 2006
Scotstown River	2004, MCC Staff	Follow up inspections due
Blackwater (upper reaches)	2004, MCC Staff	Follow up inspections due
Maghery, Kilcoran and	2002 MCC	Limited follow up due
Magherarney Lakes		
Lough Oony	2003 MCC	Follow up on 1 farm due
Conawary River	2005/06 MCC	Follow up inspections due
Ballagh lake	2006 MCC	Follow up inspections due
Clontibret Stream	2003/04 MCC	Follow up inspections due
Bunnoe River and	2003 MCC	Limited follow up due
Annamakerrig Lake		Q.*
Drum lake	2003 MCC	Limited follow up due
Avaghon lake Stream,	2002 MCC	Limited follow up due
Mullanary and Corkeeran	only all,	
Lakes	2003 MCC 2002 MCC 2002 MCC 2002 MCC 2002 MCC 2003 MCC 2004 MCC 2004 MCC 2005 MCC 2005 MCC 2006 MCC 2006 MCC 2007 MCC 2007 MCC 2008 MCC	
Namachree Lake	2002 MCC ANTENT	Follow up on 1 septic tank
	2002 MCC purple to the second	due
Milltown lake Catchment	1 2005/06 Dundalk Inst. Of	Extensive monitoring
	Tech	completed – Farm and
	Tech, of convine	septic tank survey due 2006
Rossdreenagh River	2006 RPS on behalf of	All follow up outstanding.
	MCC	(MCC to carry out follow
		up
Inner Lough	2003 NRFB	

Database Management and Mapping

Consultants completed a GIS Mapping Project and Sludge Management Plan for Co Monaghan in Spring of 2002. The GIS Project provides a comprehensive mapping tool for catchment survey work. As the catchment surveys progress it is intended that data on all enterprises are entered on an access database and mapped using GPS.

Due to the extent of agricultural activities in the County and their potential impact on the environment, work commenced in 1999 on collection of relevant agricultural data. A comprehensive database on intensive agricultural enterprises, soil phosphorus returns, and a poultry manure waste tracking system has been established.

Monitoring Programmes

Lake Monitoring Programme

As detailed in Section 2 page 3.

River Monitoring

From Jan 2004 monthly river water samples have been collected and each river monitored for one 12 month period every two years. This work is contracted out to the EPA Regional Lab, Monaghan Town. The river sampling programme has been extended to include all river stations Q rated since 1995.

Additional Monitoring

Additional Monitoring Programmes carried out to identify "Hot Spots" and provide additional information of water quality in selected catchments and their tributaries are shown on Table D below.

Table D

River	Type of Monitoring	Comments			
R Blackwater (03/B/01),	Physio-chemical, flow and biological monitoring in the biological monitori	Partial catchment survey			
and Tributaries including	biological monitoring in	work carried out in 2004–			
03/S/02, 03/S01,	2002-2003 period only and	survey follow up due.			
Mountain Water (03 M01)	Physio-chemical and	Some problem areas			
and Tributaries	biological monitoring –	identified – catchment			
	2003-2004 period	awaiting survey			
Emy Lough Stream	Physio-chemical and	Mini catchment survey			
	biological monitoring in	completed 2003.			
	2004	_			
Finn River (36/F/01) and	Physio-chemical monitoring	Not yet scheduled for			
Tributaries	2004	catchment Survey			
Avaghon Lake Stream (36	Post survey Biological	Catchment surveyed 2002,			
A07)	Monitoring	Lake outflow identified as			
		significant			
Maghery River (36/M/03)	Post survey Biological	Both catchment survey in			
	Monitoring	2002 and Biological			
		Monitoring 2005 failed to			
		pinpoint source of low Q			
		values in the upper reaches.			
Knappagh (36/K/01)	Biological Monitoring	Suspected source ceased,			
	(partial survey)	River Q improved.			
Conawary Lower (03/C/01)	Physiochemical Monitoring	Catchment Survey 2005/06.			
and tributaries		Follow up due.			
Proules (06/P/01)	Biological Monitoring	Mini catchment Survey-			
	(partial Survey)	urban sources of pollution			
		identified			

General Activities under the Water Pollution and Waste Management

General activities of the Environment Section in the reporting period 2004 to 2005 Monaghan Co Council include the following enforcement work under the Water Pollution and Waste Management Acts.

11 cases referred for prosecution under of the WPA and WMA

28 Section 12 notices have been issued

17 Section 55 Notices have been issued.

The Council's Environment Section continues to investigate environmental complaints. Approximately 800 environmental complaints were received in From Jan 2004 to Dec 2005, many of which related to illegal dumping and litter. 58 water pollution complaints were investigated in same period.

Industrial Discharges

Significant improvements have been carried out by Industry in Co Monaghan. There are currently 22 "active" Licences issued under Section 4 of the Water Pollution Act. The are currently Section 4 Licence applications under consideration Almost all active Licences have been inspected at least once in the 2004 to 2005 period and monitoring of discharges is ongoing.

No prosecution cases for breaches of Section 4 of the WPA were taken in this period.

Landfill
Monaghan Co Council's Landfill being operated under a Licence from the EPA.

Awareness Raising During 2004 and 2005

The Phosphorus Teams Awareness Raising Programme has included the following activities:

<u>Information / Public Meetings</u>, During the reporting period a total of 5 meetings with the following groups were organized, IFA, Northern and Eastern Regional Fisheries Boards, and an Industry Group. Council staff gave presentations at 3 meetings organized by the IFA Co Executive and IFA Waste Management Committee. Presentations were given at 4 REPS meetings at the request of a REPS Planner. The Co Development Board Environment and Agriculture Working Group, the Erne Blackwater Surface Water working Group and TRACE Steering Group Meetings have also increased networking and information sharing between Council, stakeholders and research bodies.

Catchment Information Leaflets

Individual information leaflets with local water quality information have been produced for each catchment surveyed. Leaflets are distributed to each premises surveyed. See Appendix 2.

Information Leaflets on Managing Phosphorus in Farming (2 No) and Prevent Silage Pollution have been produced and pre 2004 were circulated via the Dairy CoOps. We continue to send out these leaflets where a need /problem is identified. A leaflet on Septic Tank and Wastewater Treatment System Guidelines has been produced and is distributed

to households with problem or suspect septic tanks. From June 2006 it is proposed to circulate the "Septic Tank/Treatment System "leaflet with planning approval notices. Press Articles and Adverts/Radio A total of 25 articles and adverts relating to water quality appeared on local press. Adverts related to Good Farming Practice, slurry spreading and silage making. Articles on general water quality were placed in 2 Council Environment Bulletins.

<u>Advisory Letters</u>. Approximately 80 farmers were sent advisory letters in relation to Soil Testing for Phosphorus. Over 110 advisory letters have been issued following catchment surveys.

One to one Site meetings Staff have carried out over 400 site visits in relation to catchment surveys and water pollution complaints during the 2004 and 2005 period.

Liaison with the Planning Section

Environment section staff continues to liaise with the Planning Section regarding environmental assessment and control of new developments. A very substantial (two and a half fold) increase in the number of planning files examined by the environment section was recorded in the 2004-2005 period. In the period 2004 to 2005 the environment section have examined and reported on 761 planning files that include 205 agricultural, 201 housing schemes, 364 industrial/commercial developments and 9 public schemes. Contributions have been submitted to the proposed Development Plan to improve aspects of sustainable development.

Period	Agricultural	Comm./Industrial	Housing	Other	Totals
		COLIDERA	Dev/ other		
2003-2003	113	93	52		258
2004-2005	205	346	201	9	761

Liaison with Water Services:

A member of the Phosphorus Team surveyed 21 local authority operated waste water treatment plants in early 2005. A report is currently in preparation and will be presented to management and discussed with Water Services in late 2006.

Problems Encountered

The continued decline in water quality is still evident.

Development pressures are a cause for concern. A very substantial increase in development activity is evident. Criteria for sustainable development would be useful. Monitoring of new developments to ensure compliance with planning conditions attached to protect waters is considered necessary but as yet not undertaken.

Staff Retention – the Phosphorus Team lost 2 fully trained members of staff one in May 2004 and the second in May 2006. Some slow down in catchment survey work is evident as a result. In addition to replacement of staff members with trained officers it is essential that further resources will be required to achieve the targets set in the Phosphorus Regulations and to build capacity within the council to implement the required programme of measures that will accompany the River Basin Management Plans under the Water Framework Directive.

Lack of integration of environmental protection policies into the activities of various sectors (particularly in the recent past).

Cross border pollution incidents can be more difficult to resolve.

It would be beneficial to develop a reliable risk assessment tool for diffuse source pollution.

Computer facilities/tools for the interrogation of environmental data and trend analysis are also considered necessary.

The local authority role of "poacher" and "gamekeeper" can give rise to concerns by the public of the effectiveness of local authority's pollution control role.

Successes to date

The review of CAP and the changes in farm payments from production based payments to single payments scheme is likely to benefit water quality in the medium to long term. Information meetings resulted in offers of cooperation and are considered very beneficial. Good relationships with industry and improvements in industrial wastewater treatment in recent years.

Cooperation with the IFA is resulting in regular contacts with farming groups. Staff are encountering a positive response to size inspections on farms.

The EPA biological monitoring for one of the three catchments surveyed by council staff in the 2002/2003 period indicated significant improvements in water quality in 2004. The other two catchments remain as yet moderately polluted – requiring further investigation. Improvements in the Biological Quality of the Erne Catchment are promising (page 8) refers)

Participation in projects described on page 6 should result in better knowledge of activities contributing to water pollution, effective mitigation measures and improved participation.

Summary

Co Monaghan faces a particular set of problems in relation to water quality, which to some extent are unique to this county. It is a drumlin county, with heavy soils in many areas resulting in high runoff risk. The extent of intensive agricultural activities in Co Monaghan poses problems for the recovery /disposal of agricultural waste in an environmentally sustainable manner. In addition many of the county's rivers have low assimilative capacity.

Rapidly expanding industrial and commercial activities, refal housing and expansion of urban areas need to be controlled and monitored. Monaghan Co Council need a fully resourced and dedicated Team to progress measures set out in the Measures and Implementation Reports

There will be ongoing review of measures to maximize effectiveness of measures to improve water quality in the county.

TABLE 1.1: RIVER WATER QUALITY STANDARDS TO BE ACHIEVED BY 2007												
Monaghan Implementation Report Year		2006										
River Name	River Code	Biological Monitoring Station	Station Location Name	Grid Reference		Baseline Q-value		Current Q-Value (2003- 2005)	MRP Value ug/l P	Standard to be Achieved by 2007 Q Value	to be	Has Either Standard Been Achieved ?
				Easting	Northing	ş	ner use					
CLONTIBRET STREAM	03C01	0600	Br in Clontibret	275730	328830	43,74	n	3	40	3-4	50	у
CLONTIBRET STREAM	03C01	0900	3rd Br d/s Clontibret	275420	330470	Off OB IT	n		80	3-4	50	n