

SILLIOT HILL INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY, KILCULLEN, COUNTY KILDARE WASTE LICENCE REF. W0014-01 ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT – 2008 ORIGINAL APRIL 2009



SILLIOT HILL INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY, KILCULLEN, COUNTY KILDARE

WASTE LICENCE REF. W0014-01 ANNUAL ENVIRONMENTAL REPORT - 2008

REVISION CONTROL TABLE

User is Responsible for Checking the Revision Status of This Document

| Rev. Nr. | Description of Changes | Prepared by: | Checked by: | Approved by: | Date: |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------|
| 0 | Issue to Client | RL | DD | the | 14/04/09 |

Client: Kildare County Council

- Keywords: Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility, Annual Environmental Report (AER), landfill monitoring
- Abstract: This report presents the monitoring results for Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility to the Environmental Protection Agency. The report covers the annual reporting period of 2008.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

| 1. | IN | TRODUCTION1 |
|---|---|--|
| 2. | SIT | E DESCRIPTION AND ACTIVITIES2 |
| 2 | .1. | WASTE ACTIVITIES CARRIED OUT AT THE FACILITY |
| з. | WA | ASTE QUANTITIES AND COMPOSITION4 |
| 4. | SE | TTLEMENT AND SLOPE STABILITY |
| 4 4 5. | .1. .2. SU | SETTLEMENT |
| 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 | .1. .2. .3. .4. .5. .6. .7. .8. .9. | LANDFILL GAS8SURFACE WATER10GROUNDWATER QUALITY12LEACHATE15NOISE16ASSESSMENT OF TONAL COMPONENTS17DUST DEPOSITION AND PM10 MONITORING21COMPOST21CLIMATE21 |
| 6. | EM | ISSIONS23 |
| 6 6 6 6 6 | .1. .2. .3. .4. .5. .6. | LANDFILL GAS QUANTITIES23LEACHATE QUANTITIES23INDIRECT EMISSIONS TO GROUNDWATER24MONTHLY WATER BALANCE CALCULATIONS24SITE DEVELOPMENT WORKS DURING 200825PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WORKS FOR 200926 |
| 7. | EN | VIRONMENTAL TARGETS27 |
| 7 7 | .1. .2. | PROPOSED OBJECTIVES & TARGETS |
| 8. | MI | SCELLANEOUS |
| 8 8 8 8 | .1. .2. .3. .4. | ENERGY CONSUMPTION AND GENERATION 28 INCIDENTS & COMPLAINTS SUMMARIES 28 FINANCIAL PROVISION 28 MANAGEMENT & STAFFING STRUCTURE 28 |

LIST OF FIGURES

PAGE

| FIGURE 5.1: | METHANE READINGS AT PERIMETER GAS WELLS (2008) | 9 |
|--------------|---|-----|
| FIGURE 5.2: | CARBON DIOXIDE READINGS AT PERIMETER GAS WELLS (2008) | 9 |
| FIGURE 5.3: | CONDUCTIVITY AT SURFACE WATER MONITORING POINTS (2008) | 10 |
| FIGURE 5.4: | CHLORIDE AT SURFACE WATER MONITORING POINTS (2008) | 11 |
| FIGURE 5.5: | AMMONIACAL NITROGEN AT SURFACE WATER MONITORING POINTS (2008) | 11 |
| FIGURE 5.6: | AMMONIACAL NITROGEN LEVELS AT UPGRADIENT GROUNDWATER MONITORING POINTS (2008) |)13 |
| FIGURE 5.7: | AMMONIACAL NITROGEN LEVELS AT DOWNGRADIENT GROUNDWATER MONITORING POINTS | |
| | (2008) | 13 |
| FIGURE 5.8: | CONDUCTIVITY LEVELS AT UPGRADIENT GROUNDWATER MONITORING POINTS (2008) | 14 |
| FIGURE 5.9: | CONDUCTIVITY LEVELS AT DOWNGRADIENT GROUNDWATER MONITORING POINTS (2008) | 14 |
| FIGURE 5.10: | Conductivity Levels at Leachate Monitoring Points (2008) | 15 |
| FIGURE 5.11: | LEACHATE LEVELS AT L1 AND L2 (2006 – 2008) | 16 |
| FIGURE 5.12: | N1: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis | 19 |
| FIGURE 5.13: | N2: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis | 19 |
| FIGURE 5.14: | N4: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis | 19 |
| FIGURE 5.15: | N5: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis | 19 |
| FIGURE 5.16: | N6: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis | 20 |
| FIGURE 5.17: | N7: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis | 20 |
| FIGURE 5.18: | 2008 RAINFALL AND TEMPERATURE | 22 |

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE

| TABLE 3.1: | SUMMARY OF RECYCLABLES RECOVERED (TONNES) FROM FACILITY (1999-2008) | 4 |
|------------|--|---------------|
| TABLE 3.2: | SUMMARY OF WASTE RECOVERED AND DISPOSED (TONNES) FROM SILLIOT HILL W | ASTE TRANSFER |
| | STATION 2008 | 5 |
| TABLE 5.1: | SURFACE WATER MONITORING LOCATIONS | |
| TABLE 5.2: | GROUNDWATER MONITORING LOCATIONS | |
| TABLE 5.3: | LEACHATE MONITORING LOCATIONS | |
| TABLE 5.4: | Noise Monitoring Locations | |
| TABLE 5.5: | Noise Results | |
| TABLE 5.6: | DUST MONITORING LOCATIONS | |
| TABLE 5.7: | MONTHLY RAINFALL, EVAPOTRANSPIRATION AND TEMPERATURE DATA – 2008 | |
| TABLE 6.1: | LANDFILL GAS GENERATION ESTIMATES FROM PHASES 1 AND 2 | |
| TABLE 6.2: | QUANTITY OF LEACHATE TANKERED OFF-SITE – 2008 | |
| TABLE 6.3: | LEACHATE VOLUMES FOR 2008 | |
| TABLE 7.1: | OBJECTIVES AND TARGETS 2008 | |
| | | |

APPENDICES

| Append | lix | Ι | Dra | awir | ngs | | |
|--------|-----|---|-----|------|-----|---|--|
| | | | | | | _ | |

- Appendix IIDrawnigsAppendix IIIMonitoring ResultsAppendix IIIWater BalancesAppendix IVIncidents & ComplaintsAppendix VStaffing Structure
- Appendix VI Slope Stability Reports

1. INTRODUCTION

The Environmental Protection Agency issued Kildare County Council a waste licence for their integrated waste management facility located at Silliot Hill, Kilcullen, County Kildare, on 17th May 2002. The waste licence reference number is W0014-01. Kildare County Council retained Fehily Timoney & Company (FTC) to assist in the implementation of the conditions of the licence and to submit the required reports to the Agency. This report addresses Condition 11.6 of the waste licence for the facility which states:

Within six months of the date of grant of this licence, the licensee shall:

- *(i)* Submit to the Agency for its agreement, by 31st December 2002 and within one month of the end of each year thereafter, an Annual Environmental Report (AER)
- (ii) The AER shall include as a minimum the information specified in Schedule G: Content of Annual Environmental Report of this licence and shall be prepared in accordance with any relevant written guidance issued by the Agency.

This report addresses the items listed in Schedule G (Content of the Annual Environmental Report) of the waste licence for the facility. This AER covers the reporting period from 1^{st} January 2008 up to 31^{st} December 2008.

2. SITE DESCRIPTION AND ACTIVITIES

2.1. Waste Activities carried out at the Facility

Waste activities at Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility (IWMF) are restricted to those outlined in *Part 1 - Activities Licensed* of the Waste Licence.

County Council vehicles, private contractors and members of the public access the facility. In summary, the site is divided into three active areas; the waste transfer station, civic waste facility and in-vessel composting area. The landfill and sludge treatment facility make up the forth and fifth components. The activities carried out at each area are described in the subsections below.

2.1.1.Waste Transfer Station

The waste transfer station commenced operation in 2001. Construction of the enclosure of this transfer station commenced in October 2005 and was completed in June 2006. The facility is currently in use. It comprises an open floor area, where vehicles enter from the east of the area and deposit waste material in dedicated areas with bunker walls.

These dedicated areas in the waste transfer station collect timber, bulky material, green material and metal. All mixed municipal waste is deposited in a single area.

There is a shredder in the transfer station. Green waste is shredded on-site and stockpiled for disposal off-site. Timber waste is also shredded on-site and stockpiled until removal off-site. Bulky waste is shredded on-site and mixed with municipal waste prior to off-site disposal.

Members of the public are not permitted in the transfer station area.

2.1.2.Civic Waste Facility

The activities in the civic waste facility are licensed under Classes 3, 4 and 13 of the Fourth Schedule of the Waste Management Act 1996.

The operation of the civic waste facility is described as follows:

Recycling, baling and shredding of paper, cardboard and plastics takes place in a building at the north of the site. A hard-standing area has been provided for the storage of these materials prior to their packaging within the building. Adjacent to the site access road, a concrete hardstanding area is used for the deposition, collection and handling of bulky goods including green waste, white goods, glass and tyres. An area for the storage of household hazardous waste is located adjacent to this area. Members of the public can deposit waste at a designated area provided at the civic amenity facility.

The "polluter pays" principle operates at the site whereby household recyclables are not tolled. These items include glass bottles, plastic bottles, cans and paper. Household electronic goods are also disposed of free of charge under the WEEE Regulations. Commercial white goods are charged. Bulky recyclables are tolled at a reduced rate. These items include tyres and scrap metal. Residual waste taken to the transfer station is charged at full toll.

Construction of the re-organisation of the civic waste facility commenced in August 2005 and was completed in mid-2006. The facility opened to the public in February 2007.

2.1.3. Greenstar In-Vessel Composting Area

Kildare County Council entered into a contract with SITA Recycling Ltd (now Greenstar) for the operation of a pilot in-vessel composting facility. These activities are licensed under Classes 6, 7 and 13 of the Third Schedule and Classes 2, 10, 11 and 13 of the Fourth Schedule of the Waste Management Act, 1996. The system was brought to site in April 2002 and was located adjacent to the waste transfer station. The pilot in-vessel composting unit was relocated in September 2004 to a dedicated area including a composting building and yard area. The building and yard were completed in September 2004 and the newly relocated system was subsequently commissioned.

The technology used in the vertical compost units (VCUs) are of modular construction. There are 4 units in operation at Silliot Hill, each with a capacity of 25 m³, giving a total capacity of 100 m³. Each module can be operated and monitored independently of the others. With a 14-day cycle, the units can process 115 tonnes of food waste in that period (or 3,000 tonnes of food waste per annum).

Currently this composting facility is not in operation, and has not been functioning since 2007. As such, no results are reported here.

2.1.4.Old Landfill Site

The landfill site is located in an area previously used as an old gravel quarry. Landfilling operations ceased at the site in March 2002 following the commissioning of the waste transfer station. The landfill is no longer licensed by the Agency. Landfilling commenced in the early 1980s, with the opening of a 'dilute and disperse' type landfill (referred to as Phase 1).

Phase 1 covers an area of approximately 79,000 m^2 . Waste thickness is approximately 18 m. Lined cells were constructed in 1997 (referred to as Phase 2). Phase 2 covers an area of approximately 24,000 m^2 . Waste thickness in Phase 2 is also approximately 18 m.

Phase 1 was capped in 1997/1998 with over 1 m of low permeability clay and 300 mm of topsoil. The phase 1 area is divided into Phase 1a (the large area to the east of the transfer station) and Phase 1b (the isolated area to the north of the transfer station).

Phase 1a was generally found to have a significant clay cap, and the EPA have agreed that this cap provides adequate protection to groundwater, provided that localised areas receive augmented clay capping material, and grading to control surface water runoff. Regrading and augmentation of clay cap and insulation of gas and leachate infrastructure and other associated infrastructure, was completed during 2008. 47 No. 1 m diameter were installed during 2008 throughout Phase 1A and Phase 2 and connected into the facility's gas extraction system, to further improve gas extraction.

Phase 1B received a fully engineered capping system inclusive of an integrated landfill gas extraction infrastructure, this was completed in early 2008.

Construction of a fully engineered capping system commenced in Phase 2 in mid 2008. Substantial progress has been made, and the work will be completed in March 2009. The outstanding works are adding topsoil and grass seeding of Phase 2 cap.

Activities at the landfill area are now limited to the capping and restoration, collection of landfill gas, collection of leachate from Phase 1 and Phase 2 and the monitoring of environmental media.

2.1.5.Sludge Treatment Facility

The sludge treatment facility has ceased the intake of sludge since November 2002. The facility was constructed for the composting of stabilised sludge from Osberstown and Leixlip WWTPs.

Planning permission was granted to TEG Environmental in 2005 for the composting of food waste at the facility. This composting facility is complete, but not being used at present, and there is no commitment from the operators to return to the facility.

Section 3

3. WASTE QUANTITIES AND COMPOSITION

The quantity and composition of material received for recovery at the facility from 1999 to the end of the 2008 reporting period is outlined in Table 3.1.

| Material | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 |
|------------------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Shredded Greens | 103.62 | 103.49 | 58.23 | 72.2 | 29.48 | | | | - | 339.34 |
| Scrap Metal | 382.74 | 653.96 | 392.9 | 472.9 | 448.31 | 522.94 | 428.48 | 570.88 | 427 | 415.54 |
| Bottles | 8.57 | 16.08 | 15.5 | 46.01 | 69.26 | 93.31 | 108.83 | 107.16 | 109 | 112.58 |
| Cans | | | | | 8.54 | 16.38 | 14.68 | 12.42 | 9.428 | 3.62 |
| Batteries | 2.36 | 4.55 | 7.8 | 12.1 | 17.27 | 19.46 | 21.9 | 21.9 | 25.28 | 16.94 |
| Glass Flat | | 4.32 | 19.26 | 8.09 | 30.79 | 45.43 | 38.11 | 30.84 | 27 | 15.86 |
| Cardboard | 69.06 | 168.74 | 158.37 | 187.99 | 216.91 | 294.5 | 297.5 | 274.58 | 349 | 278.66 |
| Newsprint | | 47.01 | 51 | 39.57 | 125.71 | 153.17 | 135.2 | 115.76 | 129 | 128.36 |
| Shredded Paper | | | | | 237.77 | 133.83 | 120.5 | 114.14 | 30 | 41.56 |
| Waste Oil & Filters | 8.17 | 3.91 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 6.28 | 6.37 | 5.77 | 7.38 | 4 | 2.86 |
| Electrical Goods | | 0.22 | 63.86 | 201.55 | 303.17 | 306.12 | 424.04 | 433.8 | 320.487 | 458.88 |
| Gas Bottles | | 2.03 | 0.1 | 0.69 | 7.92 | 3.02 | 3.62 | 1.4 | 0 | 1.08 |
| Clothes | | 4.61 | | 4.28 | 7.74 | 10.46 | 17.69 | 31.64 | 46 | 47.22 |
| Household Hazardous | | | | | 12.93 | 23.96 | 24.44 | 30.18 | 36.28 | 29.76 |
| Plastics | | | | | 20.72 | 47.79 | 55.21 | 41.66 | 19 | 27.66 |
| Ink Cartridges | | | | | 0.04 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tyres | | 0.71 | | | | 4.9 | 15.01 | 0 | 8.28 | 19.53 |
| Fluorescent Tubes | | | | | | | | 0.38 | 0.28 | 0.34 |
| Polystyrene | | | | | | | | | | 2.52 |
| Gypsum | | | | | | | | 8.64 | 20.6 | 14.18 |
| Totals | 574.52 | 1,009.63 | 738.92 | 1,048.88 | 1,542.84 | 1,681.64 | 1,710.98 | 1,793.74 | 1,560.635 | 1956.48 |

Table 3.1: Summary of Recyclables Recovered (Tonnes) from Facility (1999-2008)

Section 3

Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility Kilcullen, County Kildare Annual Environmental Report – 2008

Table 3.2: Summary of Waste Recovered and Disposed (Tonnes) from Silliot Hill Waste Transfer Station 2008

| Waste Type | January | February | March | April | Мау | June | July | August | Sept | October | Nov | Dec | TOTALS |
|-----------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Green Waste | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Out | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 293.56 | 0 | 45.78 | 339.34 |
| | 26.96 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Scrap Metal | | 40.78 | 27.04 | 49.58 | 39.42 | 28.64 | 42.44 | 29.78 | 57.26 | 25.92 | 29.64 | 18.08 | 415.54 |
| Bottles | 13.52 | 10.62 | 6.86 | 12.82 | 6.42 | 12.84 | 6.84 | 12.98 | 0 | 6.2 | 11.08 | 12.4 | 112.58 |
| Waste Oil | 0 | 0 | 1.04 | 0 | 0 | 1.04 | 0 | 0 | 0.78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.86 |
| Batteries | 1.2 | 0.54 | 1.26 | 0 | 2.2 | 1.78 | 2.5 | 0 | 1.82 | 1.26 | 3.18 | 1.2 | 16.94 |
| Bulk to KTK | 232.56 | 242.54 | 263.54 | 241.46 | 27.66 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1007.76 |
| Fluorescent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.34 |
| Tures | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.10 | 12.96 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 6 56 | 0 | 19 52 |
| Gas Bottles | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.82 | 0 | 0 | | 0.26 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 08 |
| Clothes | 5.72 | 2.34 | 2.04 | 5.28 | 4.3 | 2.94 | 7.2 | 1.16 | 4.76 | 2.18 | 2 | 4 | 47.22 |
| Flat Glass | 0 | 6.24 | 0 | 0 | 5.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.86 |
| Shredded Paper | 6.2 | 3.58 | 0 | 5.26 | 0 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 0 | 6.22 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 0 | 41.56 |
| Cans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.62 | 3.62 |
| Polystyrene | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.06 | 1.46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.52 |
| Plastics | 0 | 0 | 12.58 | 0 | 6.6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.48 | 27.66 |
| Electrical | 45.16 | 37.54 | 35.6 | 47.22 | 41.28 | 32.4 | 44.16 | 33.58 | 42.02 | 24.74 | 31.28 | 43.9 | 458.88 |
| Household Haz. | 1.32 | 1.44 | 3.54 | 3.36 | 1.32 | 2.48 | 3.86 | 3.28 | 3.82 | 2.58 | 1.56 | 1.2 | 29.76 |
| Gypsum | 0 | 0 | 2.16 | 0 | 2.5 | 0 | 2.14 | 2.84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.54 | 14.18 |
| Cardboard | 20.48 | 41.46 | 15.08 | 24.38 | 31.08 | 18.62 | 24.68 | 12.62 | 24.82 | 13.9 | 31.94 | 19.4 | 278.66 |
| Leachate | 1154.42 | 757.10 | 868.92 | 772.4 | 73.16 | 294.96 | 416.44 | 999.2 | 639.66 | 923.44 | 693.06 | 602.96 | 8195.72 |
| Newsprint | 10.7 | 11.18 | 10.58 | 15.96 | 5.64 | 11.96 | 17.26 | 12.32 | 5.68 | 5.95 | 10.63 | 10.48 | 128.36 |
| Compost | 0 | 81.74 | 0 | 244.08 | 6.34 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 5.68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 338.64 |
| Waste Cooking | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oil | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Waste to Baling | 21/12/76 | 1025 32 | 1828.06 | 1833 30 | 2249.46 | 1585 22 | 1818 58 | 1817 // | 2002.22 | 1763.3 | 183/172 | 1600 76 | 22591.06 |
| Waste Direct to | 2142.70 | 1925.52 | 1020.90 | 1055.50 | 2249.40 | 1303.22 | 1010.50 | 1017.44 | 2092.22 | 1705.5 | 1054.72 | 1099.70 | 22391.00 |
| Thorntons | 502. | 278.60 | 247.02 | 148.48 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 1176.1 |
| Timber | 13.6 | 7.62 | 8.06 | 5.48 | 6.82 | 6.74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.4 | 0 | 9.58 | 66.3 |
| Tetrapak | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 4176.60 | 3448.64 | 3334.28 | 3409.22 | 2523.08 | 2003.4 | 1945.94 | 2930.86 | 2889.52 | 3077.78 | 2660.24 | 2485.38 | 34884.94 |

J\2006\114\01\KCC-EM_Rpt021-0 - AER.doc

Page 5 of 28

The figures in Table 3.1 and 3.2 above outline the trend in recovery of recyclables for the period 1999 to 2008. The total for 2008 shows an increase in overall material handled since the previous year (mainly influenced by the WEEE, shredded paper, plastics and tyre increases).

Table 3.2 details the material disposed and recovered onsite.

Bulky waste recovered from the transfer station which is unsuitable for baling is transferred to the nearby KTK landfill for disposal up until June 2008. This amounted to 1,007.76 tonnes in 2008 (from the Waste Transfer Station). From June on the newly installed shredding machine has been used to reduce particle size such that the materials are admissible at the baling station.

Approximately 12,938 m³ of leachate was removed from the site. The leachate quantities are described in greater detail in section 5.2.

There was no waste delivered to the Greenstar In-Vessel composting facility during the reporting period. The composting facility was closed down by the Department of Agriculture and will remain closed for the foreseeable future.

All waste quantities handled at each of the areas are within the tonnages licensed for Silliot Hill.

4. SETTLEMENT AND SLOPE STABILITY

4.1. Settlement

As previously documented in submitted AERs, annual topographical surveys carried out since the granting of the Waste Licence indicate that Phase 1 has stabilised (i.e. little or no settlement is occurring).

In Phase 2, in the 15-month interval between surveys in July 2005 and October 2006, the average annual settlement rate was recorded as 190 mm/year. This number represented a decrease in the settlement level experienced at Phase 2 in 2005 which was estimated at 530 mm.

In preparation for the capping of Phase 2 and its respective gas extraction infrastructure a surcharge of clay material was installed early 2007. The purpose of the surcharge was to accelerate onsite consolidation facilitating installation of the capping works. As result of the ongoing installation of the Phase 2 capping system and the change in topographical profile, accurate settlement comparisons are not possible. On completion of the capping system a base-line topographical survey will be undertaken for the Phase 2 area enabling settlement calculations at year end.

4.2. Slope Stability

A slope stability analysis was conducted for the site in 2008. Factors of safety for potential slope failure ranged from 1.62 to 2.86. Out of the total eight case scenarios, all of the analyses have factors of safety of 1.5 or above. Factors of safety values against deep-seated failure of the landfill embankment within the waste material ranged from 1.62 to 2.83. The lengths of the potential deep-seated failures are in the range of 15 to 34 m. It is noted that the geotechnical parameters adopted are for fresh waste and are therefore considered conservative for this site. By adopting parameters for old waste, the minimum factor of safety for the slopes will increase further.

Based on the analyses, the landfill side slopes were considered stable.

The slope stability assessment is included in Appendix VI.

5. SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING

Condition 8 and Schedule D of the waste licence specifies the environmental monitoring requirement of the facility. Conditions 8.5 to 8.11 list the parameters to be monitored. The following sections (5.1 to 5.7) discuss the results from the four quarterly monitoring and annual monitoring events during the reporting period.

5.1. Landfill Gas

The licence requires that the licensee conduct monthly monitoring in the gas boreholes/vents/wells in order to detect off-site gas migration and weekly monitoring in the site office, shed and canteen in order to detect accumulation of landfill gas. The gas is monitored using an "LMSxi" or 'GA94' automatic infra-red analyser/electrochemical cell which detect temperature, atmospheric pressure and levels of carbon dioxide, methane and oxygen.

The location of the monitoring positions is shown on Drawing 2001-114-01-003-Rev D contained in Appendix 1. The monitoring results for 2008 are outlined in Appendix II attached.

5.1.1.Interpretation of Results

Site Buildings

Kildare County Council staff monitor gas concentrations in the site buildings on a weekly basis. To date, methane and carbon dioxide levels recorded have been zero. Oxygen has been recorded at normal levels. Weekly monitoring log sheets are maintained at the site office. The Council installed automatic gas detection/alarm systems in the site offices in November 2003. No incidences have been recorded.

Gas Boreholes and Wells

Lateral migration of landfill gas at Silliot Hill has been recorded since late 1997, following the capping of Phase 1 (the unlined portion of the landfill site). Gas migration has been brought under control by the installation of an active gas abstraction system in 1998. The gas collected was used to fuel two open flare systems until November 2003. An enclosed flare which had been installed as part of a new landfill gas management programme was then brought on line and the two open flares decommissioned. This enclosed flare received the gas from the perimeter of the landfill. In March 2004, the landfill gas utilisation plant was put into operation to receive the gas from the core of the landfill. However, no gas was flared in 2008 owing to the onsite construction works.

Monthly monitoring has indicated that gas migration is occurring in a number of locations around the site. The trend of the gas migration has changed slightly since the installation of the new system in March 2004.

A period of monitoring has taken place since 5 no. landfill gas monitoring wells were installed along the Carnalway Road, to the south of the Silliot Hill IWMF boundary in July/August 2005. Results indicate landfill gas is present at depth along the southern boundary.

A number of new perimeter gas wells were bored and installed around the site during 2007 to replace some of the older existing wells which have been identified as performing poorly and some other wells that have been damaged during construction works on-site. Kildare County Council submitted a letter to the EPA requesting permission to start monitoring these new wells as replacements for older poor performing and destroyed wells, and are awaiting feedback from the Agency. If the new monitoring regime is agreed to the number of gas wells will reduce from 55 to 44.

During the 2008 reporting period the areas of significance are:

- 1. Southern Boundary wells. Gas levels above the trigger level are most prevalent at gas wells along the southern boundary of the landfill. Persistent raised methane levels have been recorded, at the TEG building and close to the entrance to KTK landfill at G105, G106(s), G104(s), G104(d) and G105. Carbon dioxide was recorded predominantly in G103, G106, G108 and G77.
- 2. One well, G85 opposite the entrance to KTK Landfill, has exceeded the acceptable methane levels on a number of occasions throughout the year. This well has been found to flood on occasion during sampling and has very restricted flow. This suggests that the well is not getting a representative sample of gas from the area and sampling is only analysis standing gas in the well.
- 3. On the Northern edge of the site G74 was the well that exceeded the trigger level more than any other, on five occasions.

Continued monitoring of G25, G84 and G85 have been discussed in communication between KCC and the Agency requesting a change to the monitoring infrastructure.



Figure 5.1: Methane readings at perimeter gas wells (2008)





9

5.2. Surface Water

Surface water monitoring was carried out at the seven locations (SW1 to SW7) as outlined in

Table 5.1 and shown on Drawing 2001-114-01-003, Rev. D. SW5 was found to be dry during every site visit in 2008. The results of the monitoring are presented in Appendix II.

Location

Westerly drain from Cannon's Bog

Drain downgradient of SW5

Drain downgradient of SW4

Drain nearest the site -200m

Drain near the site – 250m

Upgradient in River Liffey

Downgradient in River Liffey

Table 5.1: Surface Water Monitoring Locations

Easting

285216

285368

285835

285789

285444

285690

285278

Northing

210323

210422

210674

211010

210963

210079

210178

5.2.1.Interpretation of Results

Station

SW1

SW2

SW3

SW4

SW5

<u>SW6</u> SW7

The surface water results have been compared to limits as outlined in the Surface Water Regulations, 1989, for comparative purposes only. It can be seen from the results that over the course of the year, several parameters were in above the trigger level as specified in the regulations. List I/II organic compounds were not detected in any of the samples.

SW1 and SW2 have higher indicator values than those of SW3, SW4, SW6 and SW7. Two of these parameters, conductivity and chloride are plotted for quarterly data in Figures 5.3 and 5.4 respectively. These parameters were chosen because they are indicators of leachate impact, but they also may demonstrate impact by other sources, such as sewage or industrial effluent.



Note: U.S. – Upstream D.S. – Downstream

Figure 5.3: Conductivity at Surface Water Monitoring Points (2008)

The above figure indicates a possible impact from the landfill for SW1 and SW2. However, these surface water channels are known to receive inputs from an industrial estate outside Kilcullen which is upstream of the landfill and thus may have contributed to the quality downstream. There is no discernable deterioration of the River Liffey at the downstream monitoring point SW7.



Note: U.S. – Upstream D.S. – Downstream

Figure 5.4: Chloride at Surface Water Monitoring Points (2008)

The elevated levels of Chloride are caused by the same pollution sources as those that raise the conductivity.



Figure 5.5: Ammoniacal Nitrogen at Surface Water Monitoring Points (2008)

Levels of ammoniacal nitrogen as an indicator of pollution were also examined over the year. In contrast to 2007 surface water has shown levels of ammoniacal nitrogen above the MAC of 0.23 mg/l. The raised levels at location SW4 is likely to be owing to the high organic content of the water at this site. The raised level at SW7 is from an unknown source.

There was an excursion at SW2 in Q4. No cause has been attributed to this and it is being monitored in 2009, it is however upstream of the

Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility Kilcullen, County Kildare Annual Environmental Report – 2008

landfill and therefore the cause is unlikely to be the landfill.

5.3. Groundwater Quality

Groundwater monitoring is carried out at the locations outlined in Table 5.2. New monitoring infrastructure is awaiting sign off as well, and this would increase the number of groundwater wells monitored from 18 to 20.

Table 5.2:Groundwater Monitoring Locations

| Station | Easting | Northing | Location |
|---------|---------|----------|---------------------|
| BH1 | 285823 | 211804 | Upgradient |
| BH2 | 286040 | 211673 | Adjacent |
| BH3 | 285591 | 211719 | Adjacent |
| BH4 | 285739 | 211445 | Down gradient |
| KTK20 | 285663 | 211082 | Down gradient |
| BH9D | 285797 | 211904 | Upgradient - Dry |
| BH10D | 285422 | 211548 | Down gradient |
| BH11D | 285136 | 211307 | Down gradient |
| BH12D | 285125 | 211743 | Upgradient – Dry |
| BH13D | 285956 | 211756 | Upgradient – Dry |
| BH14D | 285940 | 211977 | Upgradient – Buried |
| BH15 | 285770 | 211800 | Upgradient – Dry |
| BH16(R) | 285902 | 211404 | Down gradient |
| PW2 | 285769 | 212262 | Upgradient |
| PW4 | 285603 | 211798 | Upgradient |
| PW9 | 285940 | 210264 | Down gradient |
| PW11 | 285495 | 210638 | Down gradient |
| PW15 | 285663 | 211835 | Upgradient |

These groundwater monitoring locations are illustrated on Drawing 2001-114-01-003 Rev D (Appendix I). It is noteworthy that all on-site wells upgradient of the landfill (i.e. BH9D, BH15, BH12D and BH13D), have been dry, or had insufficient water to purge and sample during groundwater monitoring events. BH14D was found to have been buried by resurfacing works at a neighbouring truck yard resulting in an absence of data for this borehole from October 2004 onwards.

5.3.1.Interpretation of Results

The groundwater results have been compared with the relevant Interim Guideline Value (IGV) set out in the EPA report '*Towards Setting Guideline Values for the Protection of Groundwater in Ireland'.* Groundwater upgradient of the site is impacted upon by agricultural and septic tank point sources as demonstrated by elevated levels of total coliforms at all wells, and faecal coliforms in PW15. Throughout the year ammoniacal nitrogen and chloride at PW2, PW4 and PW15 substantiate this interpretation.

Groundwater beneath the landfill and directly downgradient of it shows impact from the unlined portion of the landfill. BH1, BH2, BH3 and BH4 all have elevated levels of leachate indicator parameters including ammoniacal nitrogen, chloride, magnesium, potassium and faecal and total coliforms.

BH16R also has indicator parameters, however the well often has insufficient water volumes present to purge properly and it is considered that standing water in the well, rather than a representative sample for the area is sampled in this well.



Figure 5.6: Ammoniacal Nitrogen Levels at Upgradient Groundwater Monitoring Points (2008)



Figure 5.7: Ammoniacal Nitrogen Levels at Downgradient Groundwater Monitoring Points (2008)

The contamination resulting from the unlined portion of the landfill does not extend a significant distance from the landfill, as shown by the results from Quarter 1 recorded in the well BH11D which is located greater than 100m south of the landfill. Construction works at KTK Landfill have restricted the access to KTK20 from Quarter 2. Regaining access has been completed and sampling will restart in Quarter 1, 2009.



Figure 5.8: Conductivity Levels at Upgradient Groundwater Monitoring Points (2008)

None of the private wells down gradient appear to be affected by the landfill (see the results for PW9 to PW11 in Appendix II), though there instances of high coliform counts and failure of other water quality standards. Local sources of contamination (farmyards, septic tanks or poor well protection, etc.) are believed to be the causes of this microbiological and physio-chemical contamination.

New and replacement groundwater wells have been installed on-site and the surrounding area. The new wells were installed for assessment of the groundwater quality around the site, as referred to in section 5.1.1 above and illustrated in Environmental Monitoring Point Location Map; 2006-114-01-003 (Appendix 1) which was sent to the Agency by KCC.

Some of the new and replacement wells have been sampled as part of a recent Groundwater Assessment study undertaken by FTC on behalf of Kildare County Council to address the ongoing risk to groundwater from the landfill at Silliot Hill. The results of the monitoring for the new and replacement wells are included in the Groundwater & Landfill Gas Risk Assessment Study. This report was submitted to the Agency in July 2008.



Figure 5.9: Conductivity Levels at Downgradient Groundwater Monitoring Points (2008)

5.4. Leachate

Leachate monitoring was carried out at the six locations (L1 to L6) outlined in Table 5.3 and shown on Drawing 2001-114-01-003 Rev D in Appendix I. The results of the monitoring are presented in Appendix II.

Table 5.3: Leachate Monitoring Locations

| Location | Eastings | Northings |
|----------|----------|-----------|
| L1 | 285607 | 211587 |
| L2 | 285775 | 211483 |
| L3 | 285750 | 211685 |
| L4 | 285717 | 211753 |
| L5 | 285747 | 211664 |
| L6 | 285834 | 211587 |

L4 was not sampled during the year owing to the well being dry. L5 and L6 are also dry and have been damaged during the recent capping works. L2 has frequently been inaccessible owing to the capping work.

5.4.1.Interpretation of Results

Figure 5.9 shows the levels of conductivity measured at leachate wells throughout the year and these are typical concentrations for leachate. Construction works on the landfill cap interfered with the performance of L2, L5 and L6. This work has prevented a complete set of samples being taken.



Figure 5.10: Conductivity Levels at Leachate Monitoring Points (2008)

The results for L3 & L4 indicate a more attenuated leachate than that found at the other leachate monitoring points. L3 also has a high total coliform count.

L1 has a high faecal coliform count because it receives wastewater pumped up from L3. For this reason, the leachate in L1 (from the Phase 2 area, as well as the hard-standing and foul areas) is also relatively attenuated compared to that from L2, which is from the Phase 2 area only.

L1 results show an increase in conductivity levels during the summer months. This is probably owing to less storm water being present in the system during drier weather, and consequently less dilution of the more concentrated leachate from Phase 2.

5.4.2.Leachate Level Results

Leachate levels are monitored on a monthly basis at L1 and L2, to assess the head of leachate above the liner at these locations. Condition 5.9.2 of the Waste Licence states that '*leachate levels in the waste shall not exceed a level of 1.0 m over the top of the liner at the base of the landfill in Phase 2'*. Figure 5.10 illustrates the levels of leachate at L1 and L2 from 2006 to 2008.



Figure 5.11: Leachate Levels at L1 and L2 (2006 – 2008)

Leachate levels recorded at L1 in December 2006 are decreasing slightly by approximately 5 mOD compared to the levels recorded in December 2008. However L2 leachate levels have decreased by approximately 3 mOD since December 2006.

High temperatures (typically in the region of greater than 20°C) in the leachate sump results in high condensate levels. The combination of high temperatures and humidity in the leachate sumps, producing high levels of condensate causes interference with the monitoring equipment producing "false" readings. Even with high dip levels, leachate pumps are frequently noted pumping dry from the sumps, suggesting that the sumps are empty. Additionally the leachate sumps are suspected to be at slight angles, owing to subsidence in the landfill. If the dip meter hits the side wall of the sump as a result of the angle with the levels of condensate in the sump, false readings can also be produced.

The false readings give the impression that the leachate levels are higher in the landfill than they are in reality. This was confirmed during the year by the use of CCTV in the leachate well, which demonstrated the true level of the leachate in the wells.

A SCADA system has been installed during 2008. This system automatically and continuously monitors the levels of leachate in the L1 and L2 sumps. The leachate extraction pumps are connected to the SCADA system and cut in automatically to ensure the level of leachate in the landfill system is maintained at the required level presented in Condition 5.9.2 of the Waste Licence. During capping works the SCADA system has been off. In 2009 it is expected that the system will be fully commissioned and operational on a full-time basis.

5.5. Noise

Noise monitoring was carried out at the seven locations (N1 to N7) outlined in Table 5.4 and shown on Drawing 2001-114-01-003 Rev D. Noise measurements were taken for 30 minutes at each location. A summary of the monitoring results are presented in Table 5.5.

Table 5.4: Noise Monitoring Locations

| Location | Eastings | Northings |
|----------|----------|-----------|
| N1 | 285651 | 211809 |
| N2 | 285930 | 211815 |
| N3 | 286083 | 211704 |
| N4 | 285938 | 211554 |
| N5 | 285838 | 211494 |
| N6 | 285540 | 211617 |
| N7 | 285633 | 211489 |

5.5.1.Interpretation of Results

Six of the seven noise stations monitored had levels recorded above the EPA limit of 55 dB (A) for daytime noise. With the exception of N1, the dominant sources of noise at these locations were not caused by activities at the Silliot Hill facility. Traffic on the R448, and to and from the KTK landfill are the main contributors to noise levels in the area. The dominant noise source at N4, N5 and N7 were vehicles on the Carnalway Road and those accessing KTK landfill.

All the L_{AF90} readings are under the EPA limit for dB. This indicates that the intermittent noise for less than 10% of the monitoring period caused the greatest impact. Traffic sounds would normally fall into the L_{AF10} range.

5.6. Assessment of Tonal Components

All noise measurements were subject to a one-third octave band analysis to identify tonal components within the noise measured and the raw results of this analysis are presented in Appendix 2. Below the decibel level is plotted against frequency as the output of the tonal analysis. Tonal noise was recorded only at monitoring N2 at 100Hz. The cause of this noise was not identified during the monitoring event, and it is a low noise. It could be audible, but is not in the range where the human ear is most sensitive.

At monitoring point N3 the noise was all below the range for the Octave Band Analysis. This demonstrates that the sound was predominantly lower than 39.8 dB(A).

Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility Kilcullen, County Kildare Annual Environmental Report – 2008

Section 5

Table 5.5: Noise Results

| Location | Date | Time | 2006 L(A) _{EQ} | 2007 L(A) _{EQ} | 2008 L(A) _{EQ} | 2008 L(A)F ₁₀ | 2008 L(A)F ₉₀ | Noise Source |
|----------|----------|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| N1 | 11/12/08 | 08:35 | 63 | 62 | 65 | 69 | 51 | Traffic on R448 is the dominant noise source at this location. On site noise from traffic in the civic amenity area and distant traffic on the cap could be heard in the background. |
| N2 | 11/12/08 | 11:55 | 52 | 57 | 58 | 55 | 45 | Construction vehicles at the capping works is the Dominant noise. There is a persistent hum from the electricity pylons, traffic and birdsong in the background |
| N3 | 11/12/08 | 12:30 | 51 | 49 | 47 | 48 | 40 | Dominant noise is the electricity pylon. Background noise is from traffic on the Carnalway Road and capping works (including reversing sirens) and birdsong. |
| N4 | 11/12/08 | 13.45 | 66 | 63 | 59 | 55 | 45 | Dominant noise is traffic on the R448 and traffic accessing KTK landfill. Background is coming from the gas extractor at KTK, some birdsong and heavy plant operating at the capping works at Silliot Hill. |
| N5 | 11/12/08 | 09:45 | 62 | 67 | 66 | 61 | 44 | Dominant noise was traffic on the Carnalway Road to KTK Landfill and Silliot Hill capping works (27 no.) with traffic on the R448 providing persistent background noise. Noise of plant working at SIlliot Hill capping was audible. |
| N6 | 11/12/08 | 09:10 | 58 | 62 | 57 | 59 | 49 | Traffic on R448, with some background birdsong. Noise from the capping works were audible: reversing sirens, JCB bucket banging, engines revving. |
| N7 | 11/12/08 | 10:50 | 63 | 60 | 62 | 64 | 47 | Traffic on the Carnalway Road to KTK Landfill and on R448 provide the dominant noise sources. Some noise from construction vehicles on the cap. Background noise came from birds and the electricity pylons. |











Figure 5.13: N2: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis



Figure 5.15: N5: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis

Section 5



Figure 5.16: N6: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis



Figure 5.17: N7: 1/3 Octave Band Analysis

Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility Kilcullen, County Kildare Annual Environmental Report – 2008

Drawing 2001-114-01-003 Rev D. The results of

On completion of the works the D5 monitoring

location will also be reinstated and monitoring will

the monitoring are presented in Appendix II.

5.7. Dust Deposition and PM₁₀ Monitoring

Dust monitoring was carried out in accordance with the licence at the six locations (D1 to D4 & D6-D7) as outlined in Table 5.6 and shown on

Table 5.6: Dust Monitoring Locations

Station Easting Northing Location $D1/PM_{10}1$ 285707 211809 Entrance to facility D2 285931 211815 Northern perimeter of facility D3 286083 211704 NE perimeter of old (Phase 1) landfill D4 285938 211554 Eastern perimeter of old (Phase 1) landfill 285838 D5 211494 SE perimeter of old (Phase 1) landfill 211617 Western perimeter of Phase 2 landfill D6/PM₁₀2 285540 D7/PM₁₀3 285633 211489 Southern perimeter of landfill.

5.7.1.Interpretation of Results

Dust standards (350 mg/m²/day) were not exceeded at any stage during the 2008 monitoring period (June or July or August 2008).

All PM_{10} results were within the 50 µg/m³ limit as recommended in the Air Quality Standards Regulations (S.I. No. 271 of 2002).

No waste material was taken into the site for composting during the monitoring period. Consequently compost quality has not been analysed during this year and the composting facility remains closed for the foreseeable future.

5.9. Climate

re-commence.

The annual rainfall figures recorded at Casement Aerodrome are presented in table 5.7, and a bar chart is included as Figure 5.18.

5.8. Compost

| Month | Rainfall (KTK) (mm) | Evapotranspiration (Casement) (mm) | Evaporation (Casement) (mm) | Average Monthly Temperature (KTK) (°C) |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| January | 129.8 | 15 | 4.6 | 6.55 |
| February | 22.0 | 26 | 11.1 | 6.80 |
| March | 115.5 | 43 | 21.6 | 6.68 |
| April | 31.5 | 56 | 46.7 | 9.30 |
| Мау | 16.6 | 89 | 79.6 | 13.42 |
| June | 70.8 | 83 | 61.6 | 13.47 |
| July | 100.4 | 81 | 71.6 | 15.64 |
| August | 153 | 63 | 70.0 | 15.51 |
| September | 91.2 | 43 | 30.1 | 13.03 |
| October | 110.6 | 27 | 17.7 | 9.59 |
| November | 74.8 | 11 | 3.2 | 7.25 |
| December | 46.2 | 13 | 5.6 | 5.35 |
| Total | 962.4 | 550 | 423.4 | 10.21 (Tot. Av) |

Table 5.7: Monthly Rainfall, Evapotranspiration and Temperature data – 2008

Evapotranspiration for the site is estimated to be approximately 550 mm (based on a 25 year average of potential evapotranspiration records for Casement Aerodrome).



Figure 5.18: 2008 Rainfall and Temperature

Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility Kilcullen, County Kildare Annual Environmental Report – 2008

6. EMISSIONS

migration from the site and a gas prediction model. It also outlines the proposed augmentation of gas control infrastructure. Table 6.1 below summarises the methane generation estimates from the site from 1999 to 2008.

6.1. Landfill Gas Quantities

A landfill gas management plan was submitted to the Agency on the 12^{th} November 2002. The plan contained a review on the controls on landfill gas,

Table 6.1: Landfill Gas Generation Estimates from Phases 1 and 2

| YEAR | PH | ASE 1 | PHASE 2 | | |
|------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Landfill Gas Rate m³/yr | Methane (m³/yr) | Landfill Gas Rate m³/yr | Methane (m³/yr) | |
| 1999 | 5.44 x 10 ⁶ | 2.72 x 10 ⁶ | 7.50 x 10⁵ | 3.75 x 10 ⁵ | |
| 2000 | 5.23 x 10 ⁶ | 2.62 x 10 ⁶ | 1.27 x 10 ⁶ | 6.37 x 10 ⁵ | |
| 2001 | 5.03 x 10 ⁶ | 2.51 x 10 ⁶ | 1.74 x 10 ⁶ | 8.71 x 10 ⁵ | |
| 2002 | 4.83 x 10 ⁶ | 2.42 x 10 ⁶ | 2.22 x 10 ⁶ | 1.11 x 10 ⁶ | |
| 2003 | 4.64 x 10 ⁶ | 2.32 x 10 ⁶ | 2.13 x 10 ⁶ | 1.06 x 10 ⁶ | |
| 2004 | 4.46 x 10 ⁶ | 2.23 x 10 ⁶ | 2.05 x 10 ⁶ | 1.02 x 10 ⁶ | |
| 2005 | 4.28 x 10 ⁶ | 2.14 x 10 ⁶ | 1.97 x 10 ⁶ | 9.83 x 10 ⁵ | |
| 2006 | 4.12 x 10 ⁶ | 2.06 x 10 ⁶ | 1.89 x 10 ⁶ | 9.44 x 10 ⁵ | |
| 2007 | 3.95 x 10 ⁶ | 1.98×10^{6} | 1.81 x 10 ⁶ | 9.07 x 10 ⁵ | |

Irish Power Systems installed a gas collection system in 2003. This consisted of a gas utilisation plant and an enclosed gas flare. The enclosed gas flare was put into operation in October 2003 and the gas utilisation plant was commissioned and opened in March 2004.

Owing to landfill capping works on-site the flare did not run during the 2008 monitoring period. As a result there is insufficient data available at the time of writing to update and re-run the landfill gas generation model. It is anticipated that with the completion of the on-site cap and the newly installed gas infrastructure, which will be operational in 2009, the collation of more accurate date will enable the revision of the existing landfill gas generation in the future.

Using the LandGEM model for the site it is estimates that 3.33×10^6 m³ of landfill gas was generated in 2008. Assuming that 30% of the landfill gas is methane gives an estimate of 1.0 $\times 10^6$ m³ methane emitted in 2008.

6.2. Leachate Quantities

Leachate produced on-site is currently removed by tanker to Athy Wastewater Treatment works daily. The design of a leachate rising main from the facility to connect into the existing sewerage scheme for Kilcullen is currently underway. The Kilcullen sewerage scheme is linked, via a rising main, to Osberstown WWTP. Construction of the leachate rising main which will discharge to the Kilcullen sewer is complete and it was commissioned during Quarter 2, 2007. Leachate has been put through the new system manually since November 2007 and it is expected to be completely automated by March 2009.

The volumes removed from the site monthly are provided in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2:Quantity of Leachate TankeredOff-Site - 2008

| MONTH | QUANTITY (tonnes) | монтн | QUANTITY (tonnes) |
|----------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| January | 1154. | July | 416.44 |
| February | 757. | August | 999.20 |
| March | 868.92 | September | 936.66 |
| April | 772.40 | October | 923.44 |
| Мау | 73.16 | Nov | 693.06 |
| June | 294.96 | Dec | 602.96 |
| | | Total | 8195.72 |

The total amount of leachate removed from site in 2008 (8,195.72 m³) compares favourably to a figure of 12,938.24 m³ in 2007, 12,625 m³ in 2006, 9,788 m³ in 2005 and a figure of 8,831 m³ in 2004, indicating capping works are preventing rainwater infiltration at the site.

6.3. Indirect Emissions to Groundwater

Volumes of rainfall entering Phase 1 have been minimised in the past 5 years following the installation of a capping system, comprising at least 1 m of boulder clay and 300 mm of topsoil, and the directing of the surface water away from the waste body. Since then there has been a significant decrease in the volume of leachate being generated, from an estimated 66,260 m³ per annum in 1997 (based on long-term monthly mean rainfall values) to 4,440 m³ per annum in 2008. Groundwater quality beneath and down-gradient of the site is being closely monitored to assess trends.

The following section sets out a water balance calculation for the site as a whole. However, it is important to state at the outset the assumptions being used in these calculations:

- Waste placed in Phase 1 of Silliot Hill landfill was deposited in a disused quarry. This area did not receive an artificial lining system with the result that leachate can enter the local groundwater. Leachate generated from all other areas of the facility is collected and tankered to Athy Wastewater Treatment Plant for treatment. It has therefore been assumed for the purposes of these calculations that indirect emissions to groundwater from the facility are generated only from Phase 1 of the landfill.
- It should be noted that evapotranspiration was not taken into account for the purposes of the calculations for the intermediately capped areas, in accordance with the recommendations made in the EPA Manual on Landfill Site Design. Evapotranspiration was included for the calculations completed for the infiltration through the permanent cap.
- A quantity of leachate percolates into manhole L3 from Phase 1. The volumes removed have been included in the figures provided in the table.

The calculated volume of leachate generated from Phase 1 in 2008 has been estimated at 4,440 m³. 8,195.72 m³ was collected and tankered off-site for treatment from the lined portion of the site. In unlined areas the leachate dilutes and disperses in the subsurface environment.

6.4. Monthly Water Balance Calculations

The monthly water balance calculations have been calculated as outlined in Appendix III. The results are summarised in Table 6.3. The predicted amount of leachate can be compared with the actual amount tankered off-site for each month.

Water balance calculations were carried out for the different elements of the facility, as follows:

- Phase 1 unlined portion of landfill
- Phase 2 lined portion of landfill
- Waste transfer station
- Civic amenity facilities
- Others; septic tank etc.

6.4.1.Phase 1 – Unlined Portion of Landfill

Phase 1 of the landfill relies on the dilute and disperse method for dealing with leachate. The area received a final cap in 1997. Recent augmentation works to improve the clay cap was completed mid 2008. The water balance calculations carried out for Phase 1 assumed that 10% of the incident rainfall percolated through this final cap into the waste body. Potential evapotranspiration (PE) is also taken into account.

6.4.2.Phase 2 – Lined Portion of Landfill

Phase 2 of the landfill accepted waste from October 1997 to March 2002. The cells received a 300 mm. intermediate cap of clay following their closure. They received a final cap during 2007 and 2008. The water balance calculation for this area assumed that 10% of the incident rainfall percolated through the synthetic cap into the waste body. All of this leachate was collected in the lined cells and pumped into the tankers prior to removal for treatment at Athy WWTP. Potential Evapotranspiration was not taken into account to provide a safety factor, as per the guidelines given in the EPA Manual on Landfill Site Design.

6.4.3. Waste Transfer Station

Runoff from the entire area of the waste transfer station is collected into the leachate collection network. The leachate is collected into a pump sump and is pumped to leachate abstraction point L1.

6.4.4.Civic Amenity Centres

All rainfall incidents on the civic amenity area are directed to a soak pit located at the northern corner of the facility and therefore does not affect leachate levels.

6.4.5.Septic Tank

Leachate is also generated from the septic tank facilities on site. The volumes generated are

The following table outlines the predicted and actual volumes of leachate generated at the

6.4.7.Leachate Volumes

facility.

negligible when compared with other elements of the facility.

6.4.6.Sludge Treatment Facility

Leachate is not generated by the activities associated with the composting facility, which was inactive for the 2008 monitoring period.

Table 6.3:Leachate Volumes for 2008

| Location | Leachate Generated (m ³) |
|---|---|
| Phase 1 Landfill Area | 4,440 |
| Phase 2 Landfill Area | 2,366 |
| Waste Transfer Station | 2,416 |
| Total Predicted Volume of Leachate (Excluding Phase 1) | 9,222 (4,440) |
| Predicted Total Volume of Leachate Removed | 4,782 |

The volume of leachate removed in 2008 $(8,195.72 \text{ m}^3)$ is compared to $4,782 \text{ m}^3$ (predicted volume of leachate generated for the site, less that predicted for Phase 1). This is a difference of $3,414 \text{ m}^3$. This may mean that the Phase 2 of the landfill is producing more leachate than predicted.

6.5. Site Development Works during 2008

- Phase 2 has been capped during 2008. A fully engineered cap with wells for gas extraction has been installed.
- 47 number of 1 m diameter wells have been installed in both Phase 2 and Phase 1A
- The staff car park has been upgraded and resurfaced
- The leachate and gas extraction infrastructure in Phase 2 have been completed and hooked up.

6.5.1.Gas Management Infrastructure

The gas collection system is being upgraded in conjunction with the restoration of the landfill. 47 No. large 1 meter diameter gas bore-holes have been drilled and placed into the body of the landfill. These new wells will be used for the extraction of landfill gas and they are connected into the landfill gas utilisation system. A number of new perimeter landfill gas (LFG) monitoring wells were drilled and installed around the site during the 2007. These new wells, referred to in section 5.1.1 above served as new or replacement perimeter LFG monitoring wells. The new LFG wells bored during 2007 were to replace some older existing wells, which have been identified as performing poorly, and some other wells that have been damaged during construction works on-site. Kildare County Council submitted a letter to the EPA requesting permission to start monitoring these new wells as replacements for the older poorly performing wells and the destroyed wells. A response is awaited from the Agency to begin monitoring the new wells.

6.5.2.Leachate Management

Continuous monitoring of the levels of leachate in the two leachate sumps, L1 and L2 ensure that the leachate levels in the system are maintained as low as possible. The abstracted leachate is collected and taken off site for treatment.

The leachate pumps were replaced during 2007 and are inspected and serviced to ensure that they operate to their optimum.

Leachate dip levels from these two leachate sumps indicated levels of leachate which contrast with the information provided from leachate pumps. The leachate pumps run dry although the dip meter suggests greater levels of leachate in the system.

To address this conflicting data during 2007 KCC undertook a Close Circuit Television (CCTV) survey of the two leachate sumps to investigate the true levels of the leachate in the sumps at that time. The CCTV confirmed deeper depths to the leachate than the pumps were presenting.

The CCTV also highlighted the amount of humidity and condensate in the sumps. A copy of the results of the CCTV survey has already been presented to the EPA.

KCC have commenced putting the leachate through the new system manually and expect to be completely automated by March 2009.

6.5.3. Groundwater risk assessment

A risk assessment report, based on the sourcepathway-receptor methodology and incorporating a quantitative risk assessment model for the site was completed and submitted to the Agency during 2008.

6.5.4. Site Survey

Focus Surveys Ltd. carried out the annual topographical survey during 2008.

6.5.5.Civic Waste Facility

No upgrades took place during 2008.

6.6. Proposed Development Works for 2009

- Completion of topsoiling of the new cap, on Phase 2 and seeding of this will be carried out in 2009.
- A new and updated gas model of the site will be generated this year.
- The LFG infrastructure is now ready for a full site balancing in March 2009.
- Commissioning of the existing leachate treatment facility will be carried out in 2009.
- Connection to the leachate rising main is completed, but leachate removal is expected by March 2009.

6.6.1.Leachate Management

The construction of a leachate rising main for Silliot Hill to the Kilcullen Sewerage Scheme is completed. The site is now awaiting an ESB connection to operate the pumps such that this main will be operational. Leachate will continue to be removed by tanker in the interim.

An on-site leachate treatment facility is being commissioned and leachate will be piped into this. The leachate treatment facility will strip methane from the leachate.

When finalised, in 2009, the permanent cap for Phase 2 will further reduce the volume of leachate being generated.

Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility Kilcullen, County Kildare Annual Environmental Report – 2008

As previously referred to in section 5.4.2 a new SCADA system was installed on-site during 2008. Once commissioned this system will automatically and continuously monitor the levels of leachate in L1 and L2 sumps. The system will operate at all times and will be connected so the information can be viewed at the site offices. The leachate extraction pumps will be connected to the SCADA system and will cut in automatically to ensure the level of leachate in the landfill system is maintained at the required level presented in Condition 5.9.2 of the waste licence.

6.6.2.Landfill Site Restoration

The restoration plan for the entire site is as follows:

- Phase 1 B No further restoration of this area is planned,
- Phase 1 A No further restoration of this area is planned,
- Phase 2 The cap will be completed during 2009.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL TARGETS

In compliance with Condition 2.3 of the waste licence, an Environmental Management Programme (EMP) has been established for the facility.

The EMP includes the timescale for achieving the Objectives and Targets and the designation of responsibility for achieving the Objectives and Targets.

7.1. Proposed Objectives & Targets

The Objectives and Targets proposed for 2009 are:

- 1 Increase the throughput of domestic customers where possible at the Civic amenity site.
- 2 Increase awareness in recycling by more advertising and pamphlets.
- 3 Continue School Tour programme and increases numbers where possible.

Table 7.1:Objectives and Targets 2008

- 4 Continue efforts to source new markets for recyclable products.
- 5 Build a garden made from recyclable materials for display purposes.
- 6 Increase recycling rates where possible.
- 7 To endeavour to reduce energy consumption
- 8 Start monitoring the newly installed groundwater and perimeter landfill gas wells.
- 9 Commissioning of the existing leachate treatment facility in 2009.
- 10 Final commission of the new SCADA to record levels of leachate in the landfill.
- 11 Connection to the leachate rising main is completed, but leachate removal by the rising main is expected to be fully operational by March 2009.
- 12 Completion of topsoiling of the new engineered cap, on Phase 2 and seeding and landscaping of this will be carried out in 2009.
- 13 The LFG infrastructure is now ready for a full site balancing in March 2009
- 14 A new and updated Gas Model of the site will be generated this year.

| Objective/Target | Progress during 2007 |
|--|--|
| Increase the throughput of domestic customers where possible | On-going |
| Increase awareness in recycling by more advertising and pamphlets | |
| Continue out School Tour programme and increases numbers where possible | On-going |
| Continue efforts to source new markets for recyclable products | On-going |
| Build a garden made from recyclable materials for display purposes | Postponed due to the intensive capping works which were undertaken during the monitoring period |
| Increase out recycling rates where possible | On-going |
| Start monitoring the newly installed groundwater and perimeter landfill gas wells | Submitted request to monitor to the Agency |
| Present and deliver Groundwater risk assessment | Completed and Presented to the Agency |
| Connect up the new SCADA system to L1 and L2 to record levels of leachate in .the landfill | Installed and connected. |
| | Awaiting final commissioning |
| Complete the Phase B gas extraction system works | Completed |
| Complete the augmentation of clay cap and insulation of gas and leachate infrastructure and other associated infrastructure, including | On-going. |
| landscaping for Phase 1 A | Due for completion early 2009 |
| Finish the drilling and the instillation of the new 1 meter in-waste gas wells in Phase 1 B and Phase 2 | Completed |
| Finish the construction of engineered cap and associated infrastructure, including landscaping to commence for Phase 2 | On-going. |
| | Due for completion early 2009 |

7.2. Site Procedure & Forms

There have been no changes to the forms used on-site, as provided in the 2004 AER.

8. MISCELLANEOUS

8.1. Energy Consumption and Generation

The figures for energy use in 2008 are as follows:

- Electricity : 176,442 kW/hr (approximate)
- Fuel: 47,416 litres (approximate)
- Water: 1,000 m³ (approximate)

8.2. Incidents & Complaints Summaries

The facility manager records all site incidents and complaints on a register, which is held at the site office. The facility manager has reported 12 incidents of breaches of landfill gas trigger levels to the Agency. No landfill gas has been detected in the onsite buildings in 2008.

A copy of the letter sent to the Agency regarding the incident is included in Appendix IV.

One complaint was received from persons regarding the facility. The complaint was regarding the operation of the Civic Amenity area of the IWMF.

The complaint is contained in Appendix IV.

8.3. Financial Provision

As part of the waste licence for the facility, Kildare County Council pays an annual contribution of \in 21,669 towards the cost of monitoring the facility.

8.4. Management & Staffing Structure

The Management & Staffing Structure has been included in Appendix V.

Appendix I Drawings





















| | | | LAI | NDFILL G | AS MONIT | ORING FORM | |
|---|-----------------|------------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Site Name: | | Silliot Hill Landfill | | Date: | | January 16 2008 | |
| Operator: | | Kildare County Council | | Monitoring Personnel: | | Declan Duff & Nicola Hoare | |
| Site Address: | | Silliot Hill, | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS xi | |
| | | Kilcullen, Co. Kildare | | Next Calib | ration: | | |
| Grid Reference: | | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | Cold and wet |
| Sample | CH ₄ | CO ₂ | 02 | Temp. | Pressure | | Co |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | Comments |
| G9s | 0.0 | 0 | 21.3 | 54 | 978 | | |
| G10s | 0.0 | Ť | 2110 | 0.1 | | No tap | |
| G25 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 19.6 | 4.9 | 986 | | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 19.2 | 5.2 | 982 | | |
| G46 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 20.2 | 5.2 | 986 | | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 19.2 | 5.2 | 982 | | |
| G51 | | | | | | Removed/ Destr | oyed |
| G52 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 14.5 | 5.5 | 983 | Tap broken | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 12.5 | 5.9 | 985 | | |
| G54 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.5 | 5.2 | 984 | | |
| G56 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G57 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 20.1 | 5.1 | 984 | | |
| G58 | | | | | | Removed/ Destr | oyed |
| G59 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 19.5 | 5.1 | 983 | | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 5.1 | 982 | | |
| G61 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 17.2 | 5.3 | 983 | | |
| G62 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 19.9 | 5.3 | 983 | Restricted Flow- | well damaged |
| G63 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 18.2 | 5.2 | 984 | Tan brokon | |
| G64 C65 | 2.0 | 27 | 16.4 | 67 | 000 | Tap broken | |
| G65 G66 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 7 1 | 6.1 | 902 | Vory restricted f | 0.11 |
| G67 | 0.0 | 17 | 15.6 | 5.9 | 902 | very restricted in | 0₩ |
| G70 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.8 | 5.8 | 984 | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 11 | 17 | 5.3 | 984 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 18.9 | 5.9 | 978 | | |
| G74 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 13.2 | 5.1 | 984 | | |
| G75 | 0 | 1 | 19.6 | 5.1 | 982 | Repaired | |
| G76 | | | | | | Buried | |
| G77 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.9 | 5.2 | 983 | | |
| G78 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 5.2 | 983 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.0 | 5 | 983 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 18.3 | 5.6 | 983 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 17.5 | 4.9 | 982 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.5 | 5.3 | 983 | | |
| G83 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 5.2 | 984 | Tap loose | |
| G84 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 984 | | |
| G85 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 985 | Restricted Flow- | sounds of water in well |
| G88 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 13.2 | 4.1 | 984 | well repaired-fir | st time monitoring |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.4 | 4.8 | 984 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 4.7 | 984 | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 900 | | |
| G104 (c) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 904 | Cap cracked - W | enting |
| G104 (d) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 4.5 | 984 | Oap clacked - W | enting |
| G105 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.5 | 4.5 | | Flooded | |
| G106 (s) | 0 | 0 | 20.7 | 4.8 | 984 | | |
| G108 | Ť | Ť | | | | Flooded | |
| G109 (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 5.1 | 984 | Cap cracked - v | enting |
| G110 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 5.1 | 984 | | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 4.8 | 984 | | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 5 | 984 | | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 4.9 | 984 | Negative pressu | re at well |
| G114 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 5.0 | 984 | | |
| | | Exce | edences | of 1% Lin | nit for CH ₄ t | his period: | 5 |
| Exceedences of 1.5% Limit for CO ₂ this period: 11 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | LANDFIL | L GAS MOI | NTORING FORM | |
|------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Site Name | : | Silliot Hill L | andfill | - | Date: | | February 4, 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare Co | unty Counci | | Monitorin | g Personnel: | Declan Duff + Nicola Hoare |
| Site Addre | SS: | Silliot Hill, | | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMX si |
| Grid Dofor | 00001 | Folde No | 0. Kildare | | Next Call | ration: | 0 100% |
| Site Statue | ence: | Landfill Ro | stored | | Weather | larytical Range: | Dry bright & cool |
| Sample | з. СЦ | | | Tomp | Proceuro | | |
| Station | (0//) | (0//) | (0//) | remp. | Fiessure | Comm | ents |
| Station | (% V/V) | (% V/V) | (% V/V) | °C | mbar | | |
| G9S C10c | 0.0 | 1.3 | 19.0 | 7.1 | 980 | No top | |
| G105 G25 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 10.0 | 6.4 | 070 | no lap | |
| G25 G46 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.9 | 0.4 | 373 | Missed | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 17.8 | 71 | 979 | ivii33cu | |
| G51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | Removed/destroved | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 12.2 | 6.6 | 980 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 6.9 | 980 | | |
| G54 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.5 | 6.5 | 981 | | |
| G56 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.8 | 7.0 | 981 | | |
| G58 | | | 15.5 | | 070 | Destroyed/removed | |
| G59 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 15.5 | 6.9 | 978 | | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 7.1 | 9/8 | | |
| G62 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 16.4 | 7.1 | 970 | Bestricted Flow | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 29 | 17.8 | 6.8 | 979 | | |
| G64 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 5/5 | Tap broken | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 980 | • | |
| G66 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 8.3 | 980 | Slight restricted flow to well. H2S: | = 34.8 |
| G67 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 16.6 | 7.4 | 980 | Restricted Flow | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 12.3 | 6.9 | 980 | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 18.3 | 7.2 | 980 | | |
| G/2 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 19.6 | 9.8 | 977 | Di la la constru | |
| G74 G75 | 0.0 | 1 / | 18.0 | 60 | 079 | Didn't locate | |
| G76 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 10.9 | 0.9 | 570 | Buried | |
| G77 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 20.5 | 6.7 | 978 | Buildu | |
| G78 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 6.5 | 978 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.4 | 6.6 | 978 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 18.5 | 7.1 | 978 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 17.3 | 6.7 | 978 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 6.6 | 978 | a | |
| G83 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 070 | Covered/destroyed | |
| G84 | 0.0 | <u>6./</u> | 3.7 | <u>6.5</u> | 9/8 | N = 89.5 Restricted Flow flooded N _ 06.5 | 2 |
| G65 G88 | 0.9 | 6.8 | 2.0 11.4 | 6.1 | 970 | $\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$ | 2 |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 6.1 | 979 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 6.3 | 979 | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 6.1 | 979 | | |
| G103 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 6.3 | 979 | | |
| G104 (s) | 0.0 | 0.6 | 20.4 | 6.3 | 979 | | |
| G104 (d) | 11.5 | 8.8 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 979 | N = 71.5 | |
| G105 | | | 10.5 | | | Flooded, orange growth on tap | |
| G106 (d) | 0.2 | 1.1 | 19.5 | 6.9 | 978 | | |
| G106 (S) | | | | | | | |
| G108 G109 (c) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 67 | 978 | Flooded | |
| G110 (S) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 6.6 | 978 | | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 18.8 | 6.3 | 978 | | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 20.8 | 6.4 | 978 | | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 19.3 | 6.4 | 978 | | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.5 | 6.3 | 978 | | |
| | | Ex | ceedences | of 1% Lim | it for CH ₄ t | his period: | 3 |
| | | Exce | edences o | f 1.5% Lim | it for CO ₂ t | his period: | 12 |

| | | | LAND | FILL GAS | S MONITOR | RING FORM | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Site Name |): | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | March 11 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | g Personnel: | Nicola Hoare |
| Site Addro | ess: | Silliot Hill, | | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS xi |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | Cold and neavy showers |
| Sample Station | CH ₄ (% v/v) | CO ₂ (% v/v) | O ₂ (% v/v) | Temp. °C | Pressure mbar | | Comments |
| G9s | | | | | | Missed | |
| G10s | | | | | | No tap | |
| G25 | | | | | | Missed | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 15.9 | 5.2 | 965 | | |
| G46 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 19.6 | 6.6 | 966 | | |
| G51 | | | | | | Removed/ Destr | royed |
| G52 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 15.7 | 8.4 | 966 | Tap broken | • |
| G53 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 17.7 | 8.8 | 966 | | |
| G54 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 18.4 | 8.3 | 967 | | |
| G56 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 20.1 | 8.1 | 967 | | |
| G58 | | | | | | Removed/ Destr | royed |
| G59 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 8.4 | 5.7 | 965 | N2=81.9 | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 19.0 | 5 | 965 | | |
| G61 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 11.6 | 4.8 | 965 | | |
| G62 | | 0.4 | 10.0 | | 0.07 | Tap broken | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 19.0 | 4.4 | 967 | - | |
| G64 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 005 | Tap broken | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 13.8 | 9.2 | 965 | Tan damaanad N | |
| G66 | 1.1 | 4.3 | 16.7 | 10.4 | 965 | Tap damaged, N | 2=93.2 |
| G07 G70 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.7 | 9.7 | 965 | ND_97 9 | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 1 1 | 19.4 | 6.6 | 967 | 112-07.0 | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 19.8 | 10.4 | 966 | | |
| G74 | 0.0 | 9 | 9.4 | 8.2 | 967 | | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 18.2 | 7.5 | 965 | | |
| G76 | | | | | | Buried | |
| G77 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 20.3 | 6.6 | 965 | | |
| G78 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 17.7 | 6.3 | 965 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.1 | 6.6 | 965 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 18.5 | 5.1 | 965 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 17.5 | 5.3 | 965 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 5.6 | 965 | | |
| G83 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 19.6 | 12.5 | 965 | Tap loose | |
| G84 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 5.4 | 8.1 | 965 | | |
| G85 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 965 | N2=97.1 | |
| G88 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00.1 | | 007 | Water in tap | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.4 | 6.9 | 967 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.2 | /.1 | 966 | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 7.2 | 300 | + | |
| G104 (c) | 4.0 | 0.7 | 10.0 | 7.2 | 965 | Cap gragkod y | onting |
| G104 (S) | 15.5 | 17.0 | 19.9 | 67 | 965 | | enting |
| G104 (U) | 15.5 | 17.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 905 | Flooded | |
| G106 (s) | 0 | 23 | 174 | 10.1 | 965 | | |
| G108 | 0 | 2.0 | 17.7 | 10.1 | | Flooded | |
| G109 (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 7.1 | 965 | | |
| G110 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.8 | 7 | 965 | 1 | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 15.9 | 6.9 | 965 | | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 20.0 | 7.1 | 965 | | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.2 | 7.4 | 965 | Negative pressu | ire at well |
| G114 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 19.9 | 7.7 | 967 | | |
| | | Exce | edences of | of 1% Lin | nit for CH ₄ t | his period: | 4 |
| | | Exceed | lences of | 1.5% Lin | nit for CO ₂ t | his period: | 20 |

| | | | LAND | FILL GAS | S MONITOR | ING FORM | |
|------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Site Name | e: | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | April 25 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | g Personnel: | Nicola Hoare |
| Site Addr | ess: | Silliot Hill | , | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS xi |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | mild with rain |
| Sample | CH₄ | CO2 | O 2 | Temp. | Pressure | | |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | Comments |
| G9s | 0.0 | 16 | 19.3 | 95 | 1007.0 | | |
| G10s | 0.0 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 1007.0 | Gas tap missing | 1 |
| G25 | | | | | | Neighbour not p | resent |
| G29 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 12.5 | 9.1 | 1005.0 | | |
| G46 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 20.0 | 10.5 | 1005.0 | | |
| G51 | | | | | | Missed | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 14.3 | 9.7 | 1007.0 | | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 16.3 | 9.7 | 1007.0 | | |
| G54 | | | | | | Missed | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 18.2 | 9.9 | 1007.0 | | |
| G56 | | | | | | Cap missing | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 16.9 | 10.8 | 1007.0 | | |
| G58 | | | | | | Removed | |
| G59 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 16.9 | 9.5 | 1006.0 | | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 19.9 | 9.5 | 1005.0 | | |
| G61 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 13.4 | 9.5 | 1006.0 | | |
| G62 | | 4.4 | 10.0 | | 1007.0 | Cap missing | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 19.2 | 9.6 | 1007.0 | Tan bushan | |
| G64 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 10 E | 10.0 | 1007.0 | Tap broken | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 10.0 | 10.3 | 1007.0 | | 07.1 |
| G60 G67 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 10.3 | 1007.0 | NZ=93.9, NZ3=2 | 27.1 |
| G70 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 20.1 | 9.9 | 1007.0 | H23=2.9 | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 18.3 | 99 | 1007.0 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.4 | 97 | 1007.0 | | |
| G74 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 19.9 | 9.9 | 1007.0 | | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 19.1 | 9.4 | 1005.0 | | |
| G76 | | | | | | Buried | |
| G77 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 19.5 | 9.7 | 1005.0 | | |
| G78 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 16.9 | 9.2 | 1005.0 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 19.7 | 9.4 | 1005.0 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 18.6 | 8.9 | 1005.0 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 18.8 | 9.1 | 1005.0 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 9.2 | 1005.0 | | |
| G83 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 13.8 | 9.6 | 1005.0 | Tap broken | |
| G84 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 9.6 | 1005.0 | N2=89.8 | |
| G85 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 9.6 | 1005.0 | N2=97.8, restric | ted Flow |
| G88 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 12.9 | 9.5 | 1005.0 | water in tap | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.3 | 10.9 | 1005.0 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 9.6 | 1005.0 | <u> </u> | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 20.8 1/ 2 | 9./ | 1005.0 | Vontod 2mine C | 61-16 CO2-16 O2 55 |
| G103 | 0.2 | 11.0 | 14.3 | 9.0 | 1005.0 | vented zmins.c | 114=16, CO2=16, O2=5.5 |
| G104 (S) | 15.5 | 17.0 | 2.8 | 10.0 | 1005.0 | N2-64.6 | |
| G104 (u) | 11.5 | 17.0 | 2.0 | 9.8 | 1005.0 | N2=04.0 | |
| G106 (s) | 0.0 | 22 | 18.3 | 8.4 | 1005.0 | NZ=07.0 | |
| G108 | <u> </u> | | 10.0 | 0.7 | 1000.0 | Flooded | |
| G109 (s) | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.4 | 9.7 | 1005.0 | Cracked | |
| G110 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 19.9 | 9.7 | 1005.0 | | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.5 | 9.7 | 1005.0 | | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 19.9 | 9.6 | 1005.0 | | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.1 | 9.7 | 1005.0 | | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 19.5 | 9.6 | 1005.0 | | |
| | | Exce | edences | of 1% Lin | nit for CH ₄ t | his period: | 5 |
| | | Exceed | dences of | 1.5% Lin | nit for CO ₂ t | his period: | 21 |

| | | | LAND | FILL GA | S MONITO | RING FORM | |
|--------------|---------|-----------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Site Name |): | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | May 15 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | g Personnel: | Nicola Hoare & Declan Duff |
| Site Addr | ess: | Silliot Hill | , | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS xi |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | warm & sunny |
| Sample | CH₄ | CO ₂ | O 2 | Temp. | Pressure | | Comments |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | Comments |
| G9s | 0.0 | 1.7 | 19.0 | 15.3 | 996 | | |
| G10s | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G25 | | | | | | No access | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 16.3 | 994 | | |
| G46 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 20.0 | 15.0 | 994 | | |
| G51 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 16.2 | 19.8 | 996 | | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 9.8 | 18.9 | 996 | N2=83.4 | |
| G54 | | 0.4 | 10.0 | 00.5 | | Destroyed | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 18.0 | 20.5 | 996 | Tan miasing | |
| G56 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 17 5 | 10.0 | 000 | Tap missing | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 17.5 | 19.0 | 996 | Destroyed | |
| G50 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 004 | Destroyed | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 334 | Missod | |
| G61 | 0.0 | 81 | 94 | 16.7 | 994 | Vented for 2min | s same high results |
| G62 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 15.9 | 994 | Vented for Emil | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 12.2 | 15.8 | 994 | | |
| G64 | 0.0 | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 14.8 | 17.2 | 996 | | |
| G66 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 996 | N2=92.7, tap be | ent, restricted flow |
| G67 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 13.1 | 22.5 | 996 | · · · | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 13.3 | 17.1 | 996 | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 17.7 | 17.6 | 996 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 20.2 | 20.1 | 997 | | |
| G74 | 10.5 | 17.0 | 1.4 | 19.5 | 996 | | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 16.0 | 16.5 | 994 | | |
| G76 | | | | | | Buried | |
| G77 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 2.5 | 16.3 | 994 | N2=62.4, ventee | d for 1min same high results |
| G78 | 10.5 | 8.2 | 14.4 | 18.7 | 994 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 16.6 | 19.0 | 994 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 1./ | 17.1 | 14.6 | 994 | | |
| G01 G82 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 20.5 | 12.2 | 994 | | |
| G83 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 15.8 | 994 | | |
| G84 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 4.9 | 16.9 | 994 | N2=88 4 | |
| G85 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 18.6 | 994 | N2=96.6. restric | ted flow |
| G88 | 4.5 | 15.0 | 0.8 | 17.1 | 994 | | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 18.5 | 16.9 | 994 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 994 | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 20.1 | 17.7 | 994 | | |
| G103 | 22.5 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 994 | N2=55.9 | |
| G104 (s) | 19.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 994 | N2=61.9, tap cr | acked |
| G104 (d) | 6.8 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 19.4 | 994 | | |
| G105 | 35.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 994 | N2=37.2 | |
| G106 (d) | 38.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 994 | N2=33.9, H2S= | 11.9 |
| G108 | | | | | | Flooded | |
| G109 (s) | 0.0 | 2.1 | 17.0 | 15.1 | 994 | | |
| G110 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 14.4 | 15.8 | 994 | | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 994 | | |
| G112 G112 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 16.2 | 14.1 | 994 | | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 16.7 | 13.5 | 994 | | |
| un+ | 0.0 | Even | edences / | of 1% im | it for ∩⊔ + | his period. | 10 |
| | | Exce | democra of | | | his period. | 24 |
| i | | ⊏xcee0 | Jences of | 1.3% LIT | IL IOF CO ₂ I | ms perioa: | 34 |

| | | | LAN | FILL GA | S MONITOR | RING FORM | |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Site Name |): | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | June 17 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | g Personnel: | Nicola Hoare |
| Site Addro | ess: | Silliot Hill | , | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS xi |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal Analytical R | | e: 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | S: | Landfill R | estored | F | Weather: | | cool & damp |
| Sample | CH ₄ | CO ₂ | O ₂ | Temp. | Pressure | | Comments |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | 000 |
| G9s | 0.0 | 1.8 | 18.7 | 12.8 | 992 | | |
| G10s | | | | | | No tap | |
| G25 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 19.6 | 16.0 | 991 | | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 13.4 | 991 | | |
| G46 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.6 | 15.1 | 991 | | |
| G51 | | 0.4 | 10.0 | | | l ap broken | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 19.3 | 14.1 | 992 | | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 992 | Destroyed | |
| G54 G55 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 10.8 | 12.0 | 003 | Destroyed | |
| G56 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 19.0 | 15.9 | 335 | No tan | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 18 | 19.5 | 14.4 | 993 | | |
| G58 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 10.0 | 14.4 | | Destroved | |
| G59 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 15.2 | 14.8 | 991 | 200010900 | |
| G60 | 5.0 | 12.0 | 3.1 | 16.1 | 992 | | |
| G61 | 0.1 | 11.0 | 5.4 | 14.3 | 991 | Tap open, sar | npled after 140secs |
| G62 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 12.1 | 13.8 | 992 | | |
| G64 | | | | | | Tap broken | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 11.3 | 13.0 | 992 | | |
| G66 | 0.2 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 992 | Restricted flov | v |
| G67 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 11.6 | 14.9 | 992 | | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 14.7 | 993 | | |
| G/1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 19.6 | 14.1 | 992 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 20.8 | 18.4 | 990 | | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 15.2 | 13.2 | 993 | | |
| G76 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 10.2 | 10.2 | 330 | Buried | |
| G77 | 24.5 | 21.0 | 3.8 | 13.7 | 990 | Balloa | |
| G78 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 16.3 | 14.8 | 990 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 13.4 | 13.9 | 990 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 15.3 | 13.4 | 991 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 17.3 | 13.9 | 990 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 20.0 | 14.0 | 990 | | |
| G83 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 19.5 | 14.1 | 991 | Tap loose | |
| G84 | 1.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 990 | 5 | |
| G85 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 990 | Restricted flov | V |
| G88 | 0.4 | 14.0 | 0.9 | 14.1 | 990 | | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 990 | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.5 | 21.8 | 990 | | |
| G102 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 990 | | |
| G104 (s) | 19.5 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 990 | Tap cracked | |
| G104 (d) | 10.0 | 12.0 | 6.6 | 26.0 | 990 | | |
| G105 | 48.0 | 29.0 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 990 | Orange growt | h, sheen visible |
| G106 (d) | 64.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 990 | Gas haze visit | ble from top of well |
| G108 | | | | | | Flooded | |
| G109 (s) | 0.0 | 2.7 | 15.5 | 13.4 | 990 | | |
| G110 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 990 | | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 15.0 | 15.4 | 991 | | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 15.7 | 15.0 | 991 | ļ | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 12.0 | 17.5 | 991 | | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 16.2 | 15.1 | 992 | | 0 |
| | | Exce | eaences | | IIL TOP CH4 t | nis period: | 3 |
| 1 | | Exceed | cences of | 1.5% LIN | iit for CO ₂ t | nis period: | 33 |

| | | | LAND | FILL GA | S MONITOI | RING FORM | |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Site Name |): | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | July 23 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | g Personnel: | Nicola Hoare & Rose Lloyd |
| Site Addre | ess: | Silliot Hill | , | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS xi |
| _ | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | warm and dry |
| Sample | CH ₄ | CO ₂ | 02 | Temp. | Pressure | | Comments |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | |
| G9s | 0.0 | 1.4 | 19.5 | 22.1 | 1007 | | |
| G10s | 0.0 | 0.3 | 19.4 | 23.1 | 1003 | Broken tap | |
| G25 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 19.0 | 22.8 | 1000 | | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 13.8 | 23.3 | 1003 | | |
| G40 G47 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 19.9 | 22.1 | 1003 | 4 | |
| G51 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 21.0 | 1003 | Broken tan | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 16.4 | 27.0 | 1005 | Broken tap | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 13.7 | 25.5 | 1005 | | |
| G54 | | | | | | Destroyed | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 18.1 | 27.0 | 1005 | | |
| G56 | | | | | | Tap missing | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 16.0 | 26.6 | 1005 | | |
| G58 | | | | | | Destroyed | |
| G59 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 17.5 | 23.4 | 1003 | | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 16.8 | 22.5 | 1003 | | |
| G62 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 20.3 | 22.3 | 1003 | Tap open Brokon tan | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 22.0 | 1003 | Бюкентар | |
| G64 | 0.0 | <u><u></u></u> | 0.0 | 20.0 | 1000 | Broken tap | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 15.4 | 25.4 | 1005 | | |
| G66 | 0.2 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 1005 | Restricted flow | |
| G67 | 0.0 | 4.9 | 11.3 | 24.8 | 1005 | | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 19.7 | 26.6 | 1005 | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.2 | 23.8 | 1005 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 19.9 | 22.7 | 1004 | | |
| G74 | 2.2 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 25.9 | 1005 | | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 16.2 | 23.2 | 1003 | Durind | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 0 / | 0.2 | 24.0 | 1002 | Buried | |
| G78 | 0.0 | 23 | 0.3 | 24.9 | 1003 | | |
| G79 | 0.2 | 1.8 | 17.4 | 22.8 | 1003 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 16.0 | 22.8 | 1003 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 15.7 | 22.8 | 1003 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 19.5 | 23.3 | 1003 | | |
| G83 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 19.9 | 23.1 | 1003 | Tap loose | |
| G84 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 1.5 | 24.1 | 1003 | | |
| G85 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 1003 | | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 18.5 | 23.8 | 1003 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 12.9 | 23.3 | 1003 | | |
| G102 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 20.3 | 24.4 | 1003 | | |
| G104 (s) | 10.5 | 13.0 | 5.2 | 25.1 | 1003 | Tan cracked | |
| G104 (d) | 6.2 | 6.9 | 12.3 | 26.2 | 1003 | | |
| G105 | 36.0 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 23.9 | 1003 | | |
| G108 | | | | | | Flooded | |
| G109 (s) | 0.0 | 1.8 | 16.0 | 22.1 | 1003 | | |
| G110 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 16.2 | 22.9 | 1003 | | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 16.4 | 22.9 | 1003 | ļ | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 17.3 | 22.6 | 1003 | ļ | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 14.3 | 22.2 | 1003 | | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 16.8 | 22.0 | 1003 | hia naric del | 6 |
| L | | Exce | dences of | 1 5% Lin | nt for CH ₄ t | his period: | 0 32 |
| 1 | | LYCCG | 10 6201104 | | | ma periou. | 52 |

| | | | LAND | DFILL GA | S MONITOR | RING FORM | |
|------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Site Name |): | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | August 28 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | Personnel: | Rose Lloyd |
| Site Addre | ess: | Silliot Hill | | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS xi |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | warm, drizzle clearing to dry |
| Sample | CH4 | CO ₂ | O ₂ | Temp. | Pressure | | · · · · |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | Comments |
| G9s | 0.0 | 14 | 18.9 | 20.6 | 1005 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| G10s | 0.0 | 0.3 | 19.6 | 25.0 | 1003 | Broken tan | |
| G25 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 1000 | No access | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 20.4 | 1003 | | |
| G46 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 196 | 23.0 | 1003 | | |
| G47 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 23.1 | 1003 | | |
| G51 | | | | | | Broken tap | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 18.9 | 25.3 | 1005 | Broken tap | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 8.3 | 22.1 | 1005 | | |
| G54 | | | | | | Destroyed | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 18.4 | 27.8 | 1005 | | |
| G56 | | | | | | Tap missing | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 15.7 | 24.6 | 1005 | | |
| G58 | | | | | | Destroyed | |
| G59 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 10.6 | 20.6 | 1003 | Tap open | |
| G60 | | | | | | Missed | |
| G61 | 0.0 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 20.7 | 1003 | | |
| G62 | | | | | | Broken tap | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 14.1 | 22.3 | 1004 | | |
| G64 | | | | | | No tap | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 16.6 | 21.0 | 1005 | | |
| G66 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 1005 | Restricted flow | |
| G67 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 18.9 | 22.2 | 1005 | | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 25.5 | 1003 | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.1 | 20.9 | 1005 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 18.4 | 23.3 | 1004 | | |
| G74 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 19.4 | 22.7 | 1004 | | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 13.0 | 21.1 | 1003 | | |
| G76 | | | | | | Buried | |
| G77 | 0.1 | 11.0 | 9.3 | 20.8 | 1003 | | |
| G78 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 26.9 | 1003 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 22.0 | 1003 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 12.2 | 20.3 | 1003 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 14.0 | 20.4 | 1003 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 19.9 | 20.6 | 1003 | | |
| G83 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.3 | 27.4 | 1003 | l ap loose | |
| G84 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 3.2 | 22.8 | 1003 | D | |
| G85 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 25.2 | 1003 | Restricted flow | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1/./ | 23.2 | 1003 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 19.7 | 24.2 | 1003 | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.3 | 24.4 | 1003 | | |
| G103 | 19.5 | 20.0 | 2.1 | 25.2 | 1003 | - | |
| G104 (s) | 6.7 | 16.0 | 0.4 | 24.2 | 1003 | Tap cracked | |
| G104 (d) | 21.0 | 18.0 | 0.1 | 22.7 | 1003 | | |
| G105 | 46.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 1003 | | d boforo mogouris - |
| | 60.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 23.8 00 5 | 1003 | riooded, draine | u beiore measuring |
| G109 (S) | 0.0 | 1./ | 17.9 | 22.5 | 1003 | | |
| | 0.0 | 2.4 | 1/.0 | 22.8 | 1003 | | |
| | 0.0 | 3.5 | 10.3 | 22.1 | 1003 | | |
| 0112 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 16.0 | 22.1 | 1003 | | |
| | 0.0 | 1.0 | 10.1 | 22.1 | 1003 | | |
| 0114 | 0.0 | <u> </u> | 0.01 | ∠1.ŏ | | hie menical. | í. |
| | | Exce | eaences | 01 1% Lin | int for CH ₄ t | nis period: | 6 |
| 1 | | ⊏xceed | Jences of | 1.5% LIN | IIL TOP UU_2 t | nis perioa: | 21 |

| | | | LAN | FILL GA | S MONITOR | RING FORM | |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Site Name |): | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | 8th September 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | g Personnel: | Rose Lloyd |
| Site Addre | ess: | Silliot Hill | , | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS xi |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | warm, dry & bright |
| Sample | CH ₄ | CO ₂ | 02 | Temp. | Pressure | | Commente |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | comments |
| G9s | 0.0 | 2.0 | 18.0 | 11.2 | 996 | | |
| G10s | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.7 | 15.7 | 995 | Broken tap | |
| G25 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 18.4 | 14.7 | 995 | | |
| G29 | 0.7 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 12.0 | 994 | | |
| G46 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 19.8 | 14.3 | 995 | | |
| G47 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 3.7 | 15.5 | 994 | | |
| G51 | | | | | | Broken tap | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 15.3 | 11.8 | 996 | Broken tap | |
| G53 | 0.2 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 996 | - | |
| G54 | | | | | | Destroyed | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 19.1 | 11.8 | 996 | - · · | |
| G56 | 0.0 | 10 | 10.7 | 44.5 | 000 | Tap missing | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 13.7 | 11.5 | 996 | Destaural | |
| G58 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 00.4 | Destroyed | |
| G59 C60 | 0.0 | 8.2 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 994 | | |
| G60 G61 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 995 | | |
| G61 G62 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 12.2 | 995 | Brokon tan | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 20 | 18.2 | 11 7 | 006 | Бюкен цар | |
| G64 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 11.7 | 330 | No tan | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 14.8 | 11.0 | 996 | | |
| G66 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 12.1 | 996 | Restricted flow | |
| G67 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 13.7 | 11.7 | 996 | i lestileted new | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 996 | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 15.5 | 11.1 | 996 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 17.7 | 25.2 | 993 | | |
| G74 | 1.6 | 4.6 | 13.9 | 12.0 | 996 | | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 12.8 | 12.2 | 994 | | |
| G76 | | | | | | Buried | |
| G77 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 16.1 | 12.0 | 994 | | |
| G78 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.3 | 12.5 | 994 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 16.9 | 15.4 | 994 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 994 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 14.6 | 11.8 | 994 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 18.9 | 11.8 | 994 | | |
| G83 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.4 | 13.1 | 994 | Tap loose | |
| G84 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 14.2 | 994 | Deets'sta 1.0 | |
| G85 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 14.1 | 994 | Restricted flow | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 14.3 | 995 | <u> </u> | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 10.4 | 990 | <u> </u> | |
| G102 | 25.5 | 23.0 | 20.0 | 15.4 | 994 | | |
| G104 (c) | 20.0 | 20.0 | 13.5 | 14.6 | 995 | Tap crackod | |
| G104 (3) | 22.0 | 17.0 | 0.2 | 14.0 | 994 | Tap Clacked | |
| G105 | 45.5 | 29.0 | 0.2 | 14.8 | 994 | | |
| G108 | 62.0 | 36.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 994 | Flooded draine | ed before measuring |
| G109 (s) | 0.0 | 12 | 18.1 | 14.4 | 994 | | |
| G110 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 19.3 | 12.9 | 994 | 1 | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 17.5 | 12.5 | 994 | 1 | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 19.8 | 13.0 | 994 | 1 | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 18.6 | 13.8 | 994 | 1 | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 12.7 | 994 | | |
| | - | Exce | edences | of 1% Lin | nit for CH₄ t | his period: | 5 |
| | | Exceed | dences of | 1.5% Lin | hit for CO ₂ t | his period: | 29 |

| | | | LAND | FILL GA | S MONITOR | RING FORM | |
|------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Site Name | e: | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | 2nd Oct 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cour | ncil | Monitoring | g Personnel: | Rose Lloyd & N Hoare |
| Site Addr | ess: | Silliot Hill, | I | | Instrument | t Used: | GA94 Analyser |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | Cool, dry, bright |
| Sample | CH ₄ | CO2 | O ₂ | Temp. | Pressure | | 0 |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | Comments |
| G9s | 0.0 | 21 | 19.1 | | 990 | | |
| G10s | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.4 | | 987 | Broken tap | |
| G25 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 19.3 | | 989 | | |
| G29 | 7.2 | 10.3 | 9.3 | | 987 | | |
| G46 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 19.8 | | 988 | | |
| G47 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 13.0 | | 989 | | |
| G51 | | | | | | Broken tap | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 18.0 | | 989 | Broken tap | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 18.9 | | 991 | | |
| G54 | | | | | | Destroyed | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 19.4 | | 990 | | |
| G56 | | | | | | Tap missing | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 18.6 | | 989 | - | |
| G58 | | | | | | Destroyed | |
| G59 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 17.1 | | 988 | | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 20.1 | | 988 | - | |
| G61 | 0.0 | 4./ | 15.5 | | 988 | Tap open | |
| G62 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | | 000 | Broken tap | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 13.8 | | 988 | No tan | |
| G64 C65 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 17.0 | | 090 | No tap | |
| G65 G66 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 14.6 | | 969 | Postricted flow | |
| G60 G67 | 0.2 | 3.3 | 14.0 | | 990 | Restricted now | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 19 | 19.6 | | 990 | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.4 | | 990 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 20.4 | | 991 | | |
| G74 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 17.0 | | 990 | | |
| G75 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 13.2 | | 987 | | |
| G76 | | | | | | Buried | |
| G77 | 3.8 | 12.9 | 12.5 | | 987 | | |
| G78 | 2.2 | 0.4 | 19.9 | | 988 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 17.8 | | 988 | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 15.3 | | 987 | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 17.7 | | 987 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 20.1 | | 987 | | |
| G83 | 2.3 | 0.2 | 20.2 | | 988 | Tap loose | |
| G84 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 18.6 | | 988 | | |
| G85 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 13.6 | | 987 | Restricted flow | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 18.4 | | 988 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.2 | | 988 | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.2 | | 988 | | |
| G103 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.1 | | 988 | Tana ana alia al | |
| G104 (s) | 0.0 | 4.3 | 17.2 | | 988 | Tap cracked | |
| G104 (d) | 0.3 | 8.2 | 11.7 | | 988 | | |
| G106 (0) | 24.4 | 10.0 | 60 | | 900 | | |
| G105 | 30.3 | 24.0 | 0.0 | | 300 | Flooded couldr | 't drain to measure |
| G100 (c) | 12 | 15 | 18.3 | | 097 | | |
| G1109 (S) | 1.3 | 25 | 18.6 | | 90/ 027 | | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 18.2 | | 987 | | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 12 | 18.2 | | 987 | | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.1 | | 988 | | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 14 | 18.9 | | 987 | | |
| | | Exce | edences of | of 1% Lin | nit for CH₄ t | his period: | 8 |
| | | Exceed | dences of | 1.5% Lin | nit for CO ₂ t | his period: | 25 |
| | | | | | 2 - | · · | |

| | | | LAN | DFILL GA | S MONITOR | RING FORM | | |
|------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Site Name |): | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | November 11, 2008 | |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | Monitoring Personnel: Rose Lloye | | |
| Site Addr | ess: | Silliot Hill | , | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS Gas Analyser | |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | Sep-09 | |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% | |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | Cool, dry, bright | |
| Sample | СН₄ | COa | 0, | Temp. | Pressure | | • | |
| Station | (9/ y/y/) | (9/ x/x/) | (9/ x/y) | °0 | mbar | | Comments | |
| <u></u> | (/0 V/V) | (/0 V/V) 0 1 | 10.0 | 70 | 002 | | | |
| G95 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 992 | | | |
| G105 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 9.4 | 992 | No Accoss | | |
| G20 G20 | 12.5 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 000 | NU ACCESS | | |
| G16 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.5 | 8.1 | 992 | | | |
| G47 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 12.5 | 7.4 | 991 | | | |
| G51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 7.4 | 331 | Broken tan | | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 41 | 15.1 | 89 | 992 | Broken tap | | |
| G53 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 71 | 77 | 992 | Diotonitap | | |
| G54 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 7.1 | 1.1 | - 55E | Destroyed | | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 19 | 19.3 | 74 | 992 | 20000000 | | |
| G56 | 0.0 | | | 7.7 | 002 | Tap missing | | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 20.2 | 67 | 992 | | | |
| G58 | 0.0 | 0 | | 0.7 | 002 | Destroved | | |
| G59 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 19.6 | 7.6 | 990 | | | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 8.2 | 990 | | | |
| G61 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 19.7 | 7.6 | 990 | Tap open | | |
| G62 | | | | | | Broken tap | | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 17.3 | 7.0 | 991 | | | |
| G64 | | | - | | | No tap | | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 11.2 | 6.4 | 992 | | | |
| G66 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 991 | Restricted flow | | |
| G67 | 0.0 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 11.3 | 991 | | | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 6.3 | 992 | | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 19.2 | 6.3 | 992 | Tap open | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 18.8 | 10.9 | 992 | | | |
| G74 | 11.0 | 16.2 | 2.9 | 7.4 | 992 | | | |
| G75 | 0.2 | 7.1 | 9.8 | 7.1 | 990 | | | |
| G76 | | | | | | Buried | | |
| G77 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 19.0 | 6.6 | 990 | | | |
| G78 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 7.0 | 990 | | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.3 | 7.0 | 990 | Tap cracked | | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.6 | 990 | | | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 13.8 | 6.1 | 990 | | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.5 | 6.6 | 990 | | | |
| G83 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 18.9 | 7.3 | 990 | Tap loose | | |
| G84 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 9.2 | 7.8 | 990 | | | |
| G85 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 8.1 | 991 | Restricted flow, | tap open | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 18.5 | 7.6 | 992 | | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 20.6 | 8.2 | 991 | | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.3 | 9.9 | 991 | - | | |
| G103 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 9.3 | 991 | Tap open | | |
| G104 (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 7.0 | 991 | Tap cracked | | |
| G104 (d) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 7.1 | 991 | Tap cracked | | |
| G106 (d) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 7.9 | 990 | Tap open | | |
| G105 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 7.0 | 990 | liap open | ura 9 tan anar | |
| | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.3 | /.0 | 990 | Tan and to meas | ure, & tap open | |
| G109 (S) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 9./ | 990 | Tap open | | |
| | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 7.9 | 990 | rap open | | |
| G110 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 7.4 | 990 | | | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 20.1 | 7.4 | 990 | | | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 1.4 | 990 | | | |
| 0114 | 0.0 | U.4 | edences / | 0.9 of 1% Lim | Dit for CH + | his period. | 3 | |
| | | EXCE | | | | his periou. | | |
| 1 | | ⊏xcee(| Jences of | 1.5% LIN | IIL FOR CO ₂ t | nis perioa: | 01 | |

| | | | LANI | DFILL GA | S MONITOR | RING FORM | |
|------------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Site Name | : : | Silliot Hill | Landfill | | Date: | | December 9, 2008 |
| Operator: | | Kildare C | ounty Cou | ncil | Monitoring | g Personnel: | Rose Lloyd |
| Site Addr | ess: | Silliot Hill, | , | | Instrumen | t Used: | LMS Gas Analyser |
| | | Kilcullen, | Co. Kildar | е | Next Calib | ration: | Sep-09 |
| Grid Refe | rence: | E2116 N2 | 2858 | | Normal An | alytical Range: | 0 - 100% |
| Site Statu | s: | Landfill R | estored | | Weather: | | Cold, dry, bright |
| Sample | CH₄ | CO2 | O 2 | Temp. | Pressure | | Comments |
| Station | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | (% v/v) | °C | mbar | | Comments |
| G9s | 0.0 | 1.6 | 18.7 | 2.4 | 1012 | | |
| G10s | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 2.0 | 1011 | | |
| G25 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 19.1 | 2.9 | 1011 | | |
| G29 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1011 | | |
| G46 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 19.9 | 2.7 | 1011 | | |
| G47 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 9.7 | 2.1 | 1011 | | |
| G51 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.5 | 1010 | Broken tap | |
| G52 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 16.2 | 2.5 | 1013 | | |
| G53 G54 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 2.5 | 1013 | Doctroved | |
| G55 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 10.3 | 24 | 1013 | Destroyed | |
| G56 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 13.5 | 2.4 | 1013 | Tan missing | |
| G57 | 0.0 | 21 | 174 | 26 | 1013 | rap missing | |
| G58 | 0.0 | <u> </u> | 17.1 | 2.0 | 1010 | Destroved | |
| G59 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 12.4 | 2.6 | 1011 | Doolloyou | |
| G60 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 20.3 | 2.6 | 1011 | | |
| G61 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 11.4 | 2.5 | 1011 | Tap open | |
| G62 | | | | | | Broken tap | |
| G63 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 19.0 | 2.9 | 1013 | | |
| G64 | | | | | | No tap | |
| G65 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 16.4 | 2.3 | 1012 | | |
| G66 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 1012 | Restricted flow | |
| G67 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 2.3 | 1012 | | |
| G70 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 2.6 | 1013 | | |
| G71 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 18.8 | 2.4 | 1013 | | |
| G72 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 19.0 | 8.7 | 1013 | | |
| G/4 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 12.3 | 2.7 | 1013 | | |
| G75 C76 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 10.2 | 2.6 | 1011 | Duried | |
| G76 G77 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 19.5 | 2.5 | 1011 | Buried | |
| G78 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 2.5 | 1011 | | |
| G79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28 | 27 | 1011 | Tap cracked | |
| G80 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 2.4 | 1011 | rup oracitou | |
| G81 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 14.3 | 2.2 | 1011 | | |
| G82 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 20.1 | 2.4 | 1011 | | |
| G83 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 18.3 | 2.1 | 1009 | Tap loose | |
| G84 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 74 | 2.3 | 1009 | | |
| G85 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 1010 | Restricted flow | |
| G100 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 19.9 | 2.1 | 1011 | | |
| G101 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 20.2 | 2.2 | 1011 | | |
| G102 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.4 | 2.4 | 1011 | | |
| G103 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 20.5 | 2.6 | 1011 | - - | |
| G104 (S) | 0.0 | 1.5 | 19.6 | 2.4 | 1011 | Tap cracked | |
| G104 (d) | 13.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 1011 | тар стаскео | |
| G106 (u) | 55.0 | 20.0 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 1009 | | |
| G103 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 20.7 | 2.0 | 1011 | Tan open | |
| G109 (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 3.4 | 1009 | | |
| G110 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 20.5 | 2.6 | 1009 | | |
| G111 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 19.0 | 2.4 | 1009 | | |
| G112 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 20.6 | 2.2 | 1009 | | |
| G113 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 19.1 | 2.2 | 1009 | | |
| G114 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 18.7 | 2.2 | 1009 | | |
| | | Exce | edences | of 1% Lin | nit for CH₄ t | his period: | 4 |
| | | Exceed | dences of | 1.5% Lin | nit for CO ₂ t | his period: | 23 |

| 1/3 Octave Band Analysis | |
|--------------------------|--|
| | |

| Hz | 16 | 20 | 25 | 31.5 | 40 | 50 | 63 | 80 | 100 | 12 | 25 1 | 60 | 200 | 250 | 0 3: | L5 | 400 |) |
|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|-------|
| ID | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N1 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | 40.5 | 42.7 | 40.4 | 43 | .3 4 | 5.1 | 48.1 | 49. | 2 49 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 7 |
| N2 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | 45.2 | <39 | 9.8 < | 39.8 | <39.8 | <39 | .8 <3 | 9.8 | <39. | .8 |
| N3 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39 | 9.8 < | 39.8 | <39.8 | <39 | .8 <3 | 9.8 | <39. | .8 |
| N4 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | 44.4 | <39.8 | <39 | 9.8 | 42 | <39.8 | 41. | 7 46 | .2 | 43.7 | 7 |
| N5 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | 47.9 | 43.3 | 41 | .3 4 | 5.3 | 47.8 | 49. | 7 49 | .2 | 50.5 | 5 |
| N6 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39 | 9.8 < | 39.8 | <39.8 | <39 | .8 <3 | 9.8 | <39. | .8 |
| N7 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | 40.9 | <39.8 | 40 | .2 4 | 1.7 | 41.8 | 43. | 3 44 | .0 | 43.3 | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hz | 500 | 630 | 800 | 1k | 1.2k | 1.6k | 2k | 2.5 | 5k 3. | 1k | 4k | 5 | ik | 6.3k | 8k | 1 | .0k | 12k |
| ID | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| N1 | 50.9 | 52.8 | 55.5 | 57.6 | 57.1 | 55.8 | 53.5 | 5 50. | 2 47 | '.O | 44.0 | 4(|).3 · | <39.8 | <39.8 | < | 39.8 | <39.8 |
| N2 | <39.8 | <39.8 | 42.1 | 43.9 | 44.4 | 41.9 | 40.6 | 5 44. | 2 47 | ' .0 | 47.7 | 49 | 9.9 | 47.9 | 47.2 | 4 | 7.7 | 46.9 |
| N3 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | 3 <39. | 8 < 39 | .8 <3 | 9.8 | <39.8 | <3 | 9.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <2 | 39.8 | <39.8 |
| N4 | 44.9 | 46.0 | 46.4 | 46.7 | 47.6 | 48.1 | 47.4 | 47. | 0 46 | 5.9 | 46.0 | 43 | 3.8 | 41.3 | <39.8 | <2 | 39.8 | <39.8 |
| N5 | 54.6 | 56.1 | 57.4 | 57.7 | 56.8 | 56.7 | 54.3 | 3 53. | 4 52 | 2.5 | 51.4 | 49 | 9.3 | 46.7 | 43.3 | 3 | 9.9 | <39.8 |
| N6 | 40.3 | 44.3 | 47.0 | 49.3 | 49.5 | 48.3 | 45.6 | 5 42. | 4 <3 | 9.8 | <39.8 | <3 | 9.8 | <39.8 | <39.8 | <2 | 39.8 | <39.8 |
| N7 | 46.6 | 49.0 | 52.1 | 53.9 | 53.9 | 53.2 | 51.6 | 5 50. | 4 49 | 9.2 | 47.9 | 40 | 5.0 | 43.2 | <39.8 | < | 39.8 | <39.8 |



OUR REF: RP 2008 / FEHILY TIMONEY & CO. / DUBLIN / 11

PAGE 1/1

ANALYSIS REPORT

| CUSTOMER: | FEHILY TIMONEY & COMPANY | SAMPLE TYPE: | BERGERHOFF DUST GAUGE |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ADDRESS: | Floor 2, Mill House, Ashtown Gate, Navan Road, Dublin 15 | CONDITION OF SAMPLE ON RECEIPT: | Satisfactory |
| | | DATE SAMPLED: | 17 June – 24 July 2008 |
| REPORT TO: | NICOLA HOARE | DATE RECEIVED: | 28 July 2008 |
| SAMPLED BY: | NICOLA HOARE | DATE ANALYSED: | 29 – 31 July 2008 |
| SAMPLING PT: | SILLIOT HILL | DATE REPORTED: | 31 July 2008 |
| PRDER NO: | 3895 | WORK NO.: | 20262 C |

TABLE OF RESULTS

| Method: | LAB REF: | YOUR REF: | TOTAL PARTICULATES mg/m²/day | ORGANIC PARTICULATES mg/m ² /day | INORGANIC PARTICULATES mg/m ² /day |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jul 428 | D1 | 271 | 71 | 200 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jul 429 | D2 | 167 | 81 | 86 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jul 430 | D3 | 173 | 109 | 64 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jul 431 | D4 | 219 | 84 | 135 |
| 4 Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jul 432 | D6 | 70 | 60 | <10 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jul 433 | D7 | 112 | 74 | 38 |

Chemistry Laboratory

| FEHIL | Y TIMONEY 8 DUBLIN OFFICE | CO. |
|--------------------|------------------------------|-----|
| Received | by: NH | |
| Distributio | 06 AUG 2008 | |
| Action: | |)/ |
| Action: Job No: | DE OG - IIH - C |) |
| Common | | |

- The results relate only to the items tested.
- The analysis report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

dunrine | killarney | county kerry | ireland | telephone +353 (0)64 33922 | fax +353 (0)64 39022 web site www.southernscientificireland.com | e-mail info@southernscientificireland.com

registered in ireland no 323196 | vat reg no IE 6343196 M

DEOG-114-01.



OUR REF: RP 2008 / FEHILY TIMONEY & CO. / DUBLIN / 15

PAGE 1/1

| ANALYSIS REPORT | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| CUSTOMER: | FEHILY TIMONEY & COMPANY | SAMPLE TYPE: | BERGERHOFF DUST GAUGE | |
| ADDRESS: | Floor 2, Mill House, Ashtown Gate, Navan Road, Dublin 15 | CONDITION OF SAMPLE ON RECEIPT: | Satisfactory | |
| | | DATE SAMPLED: | 24 July ~ 28 August 2008 | |
| REPORT TO: | ROSE LLOYD | DATE RECEIVED: | 02 September 2008 | |
| SAMPLED BY: | ROSE LLOYD | DATE ANALYSED: | 04 ~ 11 September 2008 | |
| SAMPLING PT: | SILLIOT HILL [DE0611401] | DATE REPORTED: | 11 September 2008 | |
| ORDER NO: | | WORK NO.: | 20455 C | |

TABLE OF RESULTS

| Method: | LAB REF: | YOUR REF: | TOTAL PARTICULATES mg/m²/day | ORGANIC PARTICULATES mg/m ² /day | INORGANIC PARTICULATES mg/m²/day |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------|---|--|
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Sep 031 | D1 | 149 | 113 | 36 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Sep 032 | D2 | 142 | 120 | 22 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Sep 033 | D3 | 211 | 178 | 33 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Sep 034 | D4 | 137 | 89 | 48 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Sep 035 | D6 | 77 | 40 | 37 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Sep 036 | D7 | 66 | 56 | <10 |

Kegne Jennifet Keane

Chemistry Laboratory

| FEHILY TIMONEY & CC DUBLIN OFFICE Received by: RL Distribution 15 SEP 2008 | |
|--|--|
| Action: Job No: Correspondence No: 7 | |

• The results relate only to the items tested.

The analysis report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

dunrine | killarney | county kerry | ireland | telephone +353 (0)64 33922 | fax +353 (0)64 39022 web site www.southernscientificireland.com | e-mail info@southernscientificireland.com

registered in ireland no 323196 | vat reg no IE 6343196 M

DE06-114-01



OUR REF: RP 2008 / FEHILY TIMONEY & CO. / DUBLIN / 07

PAGE 1/1

ANALYSIS REPORT

| CUSTOMER: | FEHILY TIMONEY & COMPANY | SAMPLE TYPE: | BERGERHOFF DUST GAUGE |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ADDRESS: | Floor 2, Mill House, Ashtown Gate, Navan Road, Dublin 15 | CONDITION OF SAMPLE ON RECEIPT: | Satisfactory |
| | | DATE SAMPLED: | 35 Days |
| REPORT TO: | NICOLA HOARE | DATE RECEIVED: | 20 June 2008 |
| SAMPLED BY: | Nicola Hoare | DATE ANALYSED: | 23 – 25 June 2008 |
| SAMPLING PT: | SILLIOT HILL [DE-06-114-01] | DATE REPORTED: | 25 June 2008 |
| ORDER NO: | | WORK NO.: | 20069 C |

TABLE OF RESULTS

| Method: | LAB REF: | YOUR REF: | TOTAL PARTICULATES mg/m²/day | ORGANIC PARTICULATES mg/m ² /day | INORGANIC PARTICULATES mg/m ² /day |
|------------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jun 381 | D1 | 99 | 32 | 67 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jun 382 | D2 | 34 | 24 | <10 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jun 383 | D3 | 84 | 74 | <10 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jun 384 | D4 | <10 | <10 | <10 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jun 385 | D6 | 86 | 40 | 46 |
| TA Luft VDI 2119 | C08-Jun 386 | D7 | 83 | 41 | 42 |

ane Tuulia Inkinen **Chemistry Laboratory**

• The results relate only to the items tested.

• The analysis report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

dunrine | killarney | county kerry | ireland | telephone +353 (0)64 33922 | fax +353 (0)64 39022 web site www.southernscientificireland.com | e-mail info@southernscientificireland.com

registered in ireland no 323196 | vat reg no IE 6343196 M



OUR REF: RP 2008 / FEHILY TIMONEY & CO. / DUBLIN / 03

PAGE 1/1

ANALYSIS REPORT

| CUSTOMER: | FEHILY TIMONEY & COMPANY | SAMPLE TYPE: | PM ₁₀ FILTER |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| ADDRESS: | Floor 2, Mill House, Ashtown Gate, Navan Road, Dublin 15 | CONDITION OF SAMPLE ON RECEIPT: | Satisfactory |
| | | DATE SAMPLED: | 05 February 2008 |
| REPORT TO: | DECLAN DUFF | DATE RECEIVED: | 14 February 2008 |
| SAMPLED BY: | Declan Duff | DATE ANALYSED: | 17-20 February 2008 |
| SAMPLING PT: | PM2 | DATE REPORTED: | 20 February 2008 |
| ORDER NO: | | WORK NO.: | 19357 C |

TABLE OF RESULTS

| Method: | LAB REF: | YOUR REF: | $PM_{10}, \mu g/m^3$ |
|--------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| SCP 033 | C08-Feb 284 | PM2 | 1.20 . 1. 1 8.3 (12 |
| Karo Cher | en Lavery mistry Laboratory | FEHILY TIMONEY DUBLIN OFFICE Received by: DD Distributior 25FEB 2008 Action: Job No: | & CU |

Correspondence No: 3

- The results relate only to the items tested.
- . The analysis report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

dunrine | killarney | county kerry | ireland | telephone +353 (0)64 33922 | fax +353 (0)64 39022 web site www.southernscientificireland.com | e-mail info@southernscientificireland.com



OUR REF: RP 2008 / FEHILY TIMONEY & CO. / DUBLIN / 18

PACE 1/1

| ANALYSIS REPORT | | | | |
|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| CUSTOMER: | FEHILY TIMONEY & COMPANY | SAMPLE TYPE: | PM ₁₀ FILTER | |
| ADDRESS: | Floor 2, Mill House, Ashtown Gate, Navan Road, Dublin 15 | CONDITION OF SAMPLE ON RECEIPT: | Satisfactory | |
| | | DATE SAMPLED: | $01 \sim 02$ October 2008 | |
| REPORT TO: | ROSE LLOYD | DATE RECEIVED: | 08 October 2008 | |
| SAMPLED BY: | ROSE LLOYD | DATE ANALYSED: | 08 ~ 14 October 2008 | |
| SAMPLING PT: | SILLIOT HILL | DATE REPORTED: | 20 October 2008 | |
| ORDER NO: | - | WORK NO.: | 20671 C | |

TABLE OF RESULTS

| LAB REF: | YOUR REF: | $PM_{10}, \mu g/m^3$ | |
|-------------|--|--|---|
| C08-Oct 162 | PM1 | 22.2 | ŝ |
| C08-Oct 163 | PM3 | 12.5 | |
| | LAB REF: C08-Oct 162 C08-Oct 163 | LAB REF: YOUR REF: C08-Oct 162 PM1 C08-Oct 163 PM3 | LAB REF: YOUR REF: PM ₁₀ , μg/m ³ C08-Oct 162 PM1 22.2 C08-Oct 163 PM3 12.5 |

Jennifer Keane

Chemistry Laboratory

| FEHILY TIMO | NEY & CU | |
|---|----------|---|
| Distribution | | * |
| 2 3 OCT | 2008 | |
| Action: Job No: Correspondence No: Comment | 2 - | 1 |

• The results relate only to the items tested.

• The analysis report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

dunrine | killarney | county kerry | ireland | telephone +353 (0)64 33922 | fax +353 (0)64 39022 web site www.southernscientificireland.com | e-mail info@southernscientificireland.com



18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Floor 2 Mill House Ashtowngate Navan Road Dublin 15

Attention: Declan Duff

Date: 29 February, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B00727/01

Your Reference: 2006-114-07

Location: SILLIOT HILL WASTE LICENCE IMPLEMENTATION

A total of 9 samples was received for analysis on Tuesday, 5 February 2008 and authorised on Friday, 29 February 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Signed

Loricine Nr Nomerica

Lorraine McNamara Laboratory Technical Manager

Paint Bang

Compiled By

Paul Barry





Test Schedule Summary

Ref Number: 08-B00727/01

Sample Type: WATER

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin) Date of Receipt: 05/02/2008

Location: SILLIOT HILL WASTE LICENCE IMPLEMENTATION Client Contact: Declan Duff

Client Ref: 2006-114-07

| SCHEDULE | METHOD | TEST NAME | TOTAL |
|----------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | | | |
| Х | 5 DAY ATU | BOD Unfiltered | 3 |
| Х | Calculation | Total Oxidised Nitrogen | 8 |
| Х | Filtration | Faecal Coliforms* | 6 |
| Х | Filtration | Total Coliforms* | 6 |
| Х | FLAME PHOTO | Potassium | 6 |
| Х | FLAME PHOTO | Sodium | 6 |
| Х | GRAVIMETRIC | Total Suspended Solids | 2 |
| Х | HPLC | Speciated Phenols by HPLC | 6 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Iron Low Level | 6 |
| Х | IR | Total Organic Carbon | 6 |
| Х | KONE | Chloride | 8 |
| Х | METER | Dissolved Oxygen | 7 |
| Х | METER | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | 9 |
| Х | METER | pH (Liquid) | 9 |
| Х | SPECTRO | Ammoniacal Nitrogen | 9 |
| Х | SPECTRO | COD Unfiltered | 3 |

Paul Barry

ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

Ref Number: 08-B00727/01

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Date of Receipt: 05/02/2008

(of first sample)

Location: SILLIOT HILL WASTE LICENCE IMPLEMENTATION

Client Contact: Declan Duff

Sample Type: WATER

Client Ref: 2006-114-07

| ſ | Detection Method | | 5 DAY ATU | Calculation | Filtration | Filtration | FLAME PHOTO | FLAME PHOTO | GRAVIMETRIC | HPLC | HPLC | HPLC | HPLC | HPLC | HPLC | HPLC | HPLC |
|---------------------|--|----------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Method Detecti | on Limit | <2mg/l | <0.3mg/l | <1cfu/100ml | <1cfu/100ml | <0.2mg/l | <0.2mg/l | <10mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.01mg/ |
| UKAS Accredite | UKAS Accredited [Testing Laboratory] No. 129 | | ✓ | √ | | | √ | ✓ ✓ | √ | | | | | | | | |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | BOD Unfiltered | Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N | Faecal Coliforms* | Total Coliforms* | Sodium | Potassium | Total Suspended Solids | 1 Naphthol | 2- Isopropyl Phenol | 2,3,5 -Trimethyl Phenol | Catechol | Phenol | Resorcinol | Total Cresols | Total Phenols |
| | | | mg/l | mg/l | cfu/100ml | cfu/100ml | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l |
| 08-B00727-S0001 | BH1 | UNKNOWN | - | 3.8 | <1 | 200 | 24.5 | 1.5 | - | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 08-B00727-S0002 | BH2 | UNKNOWN | - | <0.3 | <1 | <1 | 30.0 | 4.4 | - | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 08-B00727-S0003 | BH3 | UNKNOWN | - | 1.5 | <1 | <1 | 9.0 | 1.2 | - | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 08-B00727-S0004 | BH4 | UNKNOWN | - | < 0.3 | <1 | <1 | 340.0 | 89.0 | - | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 08-B00727-S0005 | BH16 | UNKNOWN | - | <0.3 | <1 | 49000 | 465.0 | 6.8 | 43 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 08-B00727-S0006 | PW11 | UNKNOWN | - | 2.0 | 300 | 1700 | 25.5 | 5.1 | - | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 | < 0.01 | < 0.01 | 0.03 |
| 08-B00727-S0007 | L1 | UNKNOWN | 7 | 1.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 08-B00727-S0008 | L2 | UNKNOWN | 295 | < 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 08-B00727-S0009 | SW4 | UNKNOWN | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 61 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

Checked By :

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Interim
Validated

Paul Barry

ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

Ref Number: 08-B00727/01

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Date of Receipt: 05/02/2008

(of first sample)

Client Contact: Declan Duff

Location: SILLIOT HILL WASTE LICENCE IMPLEMENTATION

Client Ref: 2006-114-07

Sample Type: WATER

| ſ | Detection Me | ethod | HPLC | ICP MS | IR | KONE | METER | METER | METER | SPECTRO | SPECTRO | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|----------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| | Method Detection | on Limit | <0.01ma/l | <2ua/l | <2ma/l | <1ma/l | <0.1ma/l | <0.014mS/cm | napH Units | <0.2ma/l | <15ma/l | | | |
| UKAS Accredite | d [Testing Laborator | v] No. 1291 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | soring, i | 1 | | | | | | |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | Total Xylenols | Dissolved Iron Low Level | Total Organic Carbon | Chloride | Dissolved Oxygen | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | рН | Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | COD Unfiltered | | | |
| - | | | mg/l | ug/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mS/cm | pH Units | mg/l | mg/l | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0001 | BH1 | UNKNOWN | < 0.01 | <2 | <2 | 76 | 5.5 | 0.979 | 7.45 | <0.2 | - | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0002 | BH2 | UNKNOWN | < 0.01 | <2 | 5 | 22 | 6.1 | 0.860 | 7.72 | 2.0 | - | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0003 | BH3 | UNKNOWN | < 0.01 | <2 | <2 | 16 | 6.9 | 0.802 | 7.43 | 0.4 | - | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0004 | BH4 | UNKNOWN | < 0.01 | <2 | 30 | 377 | 5.7 | 3.135 | 7.21 | 141.3 | - | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0005 | BH16 | UNKNOWN | < 0.01 | 11 | 11 | - | 5.7 | 1.782 | 8.96 | 3.6 | - | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0006 | PW11 | UNKNOWN | < 0.01 | <2 | <2 | 8 | 5.9 | 0.465 | 7.92 | 0.2 | - | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0007 | L1 | UNKNOWN | - | - | - | 568 | - | 7.000 | 7.69 | 517.9 | 449 | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0008 | L2 | UNKNOWN | - | - | - | 2758 | - | 30.000 | 8.07 | 2950.2 | 4241 | | | |
| 08-B00727-S0009 | SW4 | UNKNOWN | - | - | - | 24 | 5.1 | 0.285 | 7.22 | < 0.2 | 60 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | CIDCUMCT | | | CONTROL | | | | |

Notes: METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

Checked By :

NUP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Interim Validated \checkmark

| Validated 🗸 Preliminary | ALcontrol Laboratories Analytical Services Table Of Results | | | | | | | | | 7025 accred RTS accred ntracted tes | dited ited st |
|----------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|----------------|----------|----------------|---|---------------------|
| Job Number: | 08/0957 | 78/02/01 | L | | Matrix | : | LIQUII |) | » Shown | on prev. r | eport |
| Client: | ALcontrol Geochem Ireland Location: SILLIOT HIL | | | | | | | | | | |
| Client Ref. No.: | 08-B03 | 055/01 | | | Client | Contact | Ireland | Co-ordi | nators | | |
| Sample Identity | 2357559- 60 | 2357570- 71 | 2357581- 82 | 2357592- 93 | 2357603- 04 | 2357614-15 | 2357625- 26 | 2357649 | 2357658- 59 | | |
| Depth (m) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Μ | Ι |
| Sample Type | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | etho | .0D, |
| Sampled Date | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | d C | Uni |
| Sample Received Date | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | ode | ts |
| Batch | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Sample Number(s) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | <0.2 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 170 | <0.2 | 2.8 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | TM099 [#] | <0.2 mg/l |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Date 02.06.2008

| Validated 🗸 Preliminary | ALc | ontro | [#] ISO 17025 accredited ^M MCERTS accredited * Subcontracted test * Shown on prev. report | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|---------|------------|-------|--------------------|-----------|
| Job Number: Client: Client Ref. No.: | 08/0957 ALcont 08-B03 | 78/02/01Matrix:LIQUIDatrol Geochem IrelandLocation:SILLIOT HILL3055/01Client Contact: Ireland Co-ordi | | | | » Shown | on prev. r | eport | | |
| Sample Identity | 2357672- 73 | 2357686- 67 | 2357756 | | | | | | | |
| Depth (m) | - | - | - | | | | | | Μ | _ |
| Sample Type | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | | | | | | eth | LoD |
| Sampled Date | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | | | | | | od (| /Un |
| Sample Received Date | 27 05 08 | 27 05 08 | 27 05 08 | | | | | | ode | its |
| Batch | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Sample Number(s) | 10 | 11 | 12 | | | | | | | |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | < 0.2 | 2.3 | <0.2 | | | | | | TM099 [#] | <0.2 mg/l |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Date 02.06.2008

ALcontrol Laboratories Analytical Services Table Of Results - Appendix

Job Number: **Client: Client Ref. No.:** 08/09578/02/01 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland 08-B03055/01

Report Kev •

| керо | <u>It Key.</u> | | Results expressed as (e.g.) 1.03E -07 is equivalent to 1.03×10^{-7} |
|------|---------------------------|----|---|
| NDP | No Determination Possible | * | Subcontracted test |
| NFD | No Fibres Detected | * | Result previously reported (Incremental reports only) |
| # | ISO 17025 accredited | М | MCERTS Accredited |
| PFD | Possible Fibres Detected | EC | Equivalent Carbon (Aromatics C8-C35) |
| | | | |

Note: Method detection limits are not always achievable due to various circumstances beyond our control.

Summary of Method Codes contained within report :

| <u>Summa</u> | ary of Method Codes cont | tained within report : | ISC Acc | M(Acc | W | Su |
|---------------|--|---|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Method No. | Reference | Description |) 17025 redited | CERTS predited | et/Dry mple 1 | rrogate rrected |
| TM099 | BS 2690: Part 7:1968 / BS 6068: Part2.11:1984 | Determination of Ammonium in Water Samples using the Kone Analyser | ~ | | NA | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

ALcontrol Laboratories Analytical Services Table Of Results - Appendix

 Job Number:
 08/09578/02/01

 Client:
 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland

 Client Ref. No.:
 08-B03055/01

Summary of Coolbox temperatures

| Batch No. | Coolbox Temperature (°C) |
|-----------|--------------------------|
| 1 | 10 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



ALcontrol Laboratories (Dublin)

18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Floor 2 Mill House Ashtowngate Navan Road Dublin 15

Attention: Nicola Hoare

Date: 25 March, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B01544/01

Your Reference: 2006-114-01

Location: SILLIOT HILL

A total of 1 samples was received for analysis on Tuesday, 11 March 2008 and authorised on Tuesday, 25 March 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Signed

Loranine Mr Noncorry

Lorraine McNamara Laboratory Technical Manager

Paint Bang

Compiled By

Paul Barry



Printed at 12:22 on 26/03/2008

ALcontrol Geochem Treland is a trading division of ALcontrol UK Limited. Registered Office: Templeborough House, Mill Close, Rotherham, S60 1BZ. Registered in England and Wales No. 4057291



V

Validated

ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

| | Ref Number: 08-B01544/01 Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin) Date of Receipt: 11/03/2008 (of first sample) | | | | | | | Sample Type: WATER Location: SILLIOT HILL Client Contact: Nicola Hoare Client Ref: 2006-114-01 | |
|---------------------|--|------------|----------------|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| - | Detection Method 5 DAY ATU Calculation KONE METER SPECTRO SPECTRO | | | | | | | | |
| UKAS Accredite | Method Detection Limit <2mg/l <0.3mg/l <1mg/l <0.014ms/cm <0.2mg/l <15mg/l Testing Laboratory No. 1291 | | | | | | | | |
| ORAS ACCIEULE | u [resting Laboratory] | NO. 1291 | ~ | ~ | V | ✓ | ~ | ~ | |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | BOD Unfiltered | Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N | Chloride | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | COD Unfiltered | |
| 00 001544 00005 | | | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mS/cm | mg/l | mg/l | |
| 08-B01544-S0005 | L3U | NKNOWN | 6 | 6.0 | 77 | 1.171 | 27.8 | 45 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes : M | ETHOD DETECTION LIMIT | TS ARE NOT | ALWAYS A | CHIEVABLE | DUE TO | VARIOUS C | IRCUMSTA | NCES BEYO | ND OUR CONTROL. NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE |

Checked By : Paul Barry

Printed at 12:22 on 26/03/2008



ALcontrol Laboratories (Dublin)

18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Floor 2 Mill House Ashtowngate Navan Road Dublin 15

Attention: Nicola Hoare

Date: 19 June, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B03055/01

Your Reference: 2006-114-01

Location: Silliot Hill

A total of 23 samples was received for analysis on Friday, 16 May 2008 and authorised on Thursday, 19 June 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Signed

Loranine Mr Noncorres

Lorraine McNamara Laboratory Technical Manager

Dyken Halpin

Compiled By

Dylan Halpin



Printed at 11:32 on 20/06/2008

ALcontrol Geochem Ireland is a trading division of ALcontrol UK Limited. Registered Office: Templeborough House, Mill Close, Rotherham, S60 1BZ. Registered in England and Wales No. 4057291

Test Schedule Summary

Ref Number: 08-B03055/01

Sample Type: WATER

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin) Date of Receipt: 16/05/2008

Location: Silliot Hill Client Contact: Nicola Hoare Client Ref: 2006-114-01

| SCHEDUL | E METHOD | TEST NAME | TOTAL |
|---------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | | | |
| Х | 5 DAY ATU | BOD Unfiltered | 11 |
| Х | Calculation | Total Oxidised Nitrogen | 17 |
| Х | Filtration | Faecal Coliforms* | 3 |
| х | Filtration | Total Coliforms* | 3 |
| х | GRAVIMETRIC | Total Suspended Solids | 7 |
| X | HPLC | Total Phenols by HPLC | 12 |
| х | ICP MS | Dissolved Iron Low Level | 12 |
| Х | ICP OES | Dissolved Potassium | 12 |
| х | ICP OES | Dissolved Sodium | 12 |
| х | IR | Total Organic Carbon | 12 |
| х | KONE | Chloride | 22 |
| х | METER | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | 23 |
| X | SPECTRO | Ammoniacal Nitrogen | 11 |
| X | SPECTRO | Ammoniacal Nitrogen Low Level** | 12 |
| Х | SPECTRO | COD Unfiltered | 12 |
| | | | |

Table Of Results

Ref Number: 08-B03055/01 Sample Type: WATER Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin) Location: Silliot Hill Date of Receipt: 16/05/2008 Client Contact: Nicola Hoare (of first sample) Client Ref: 2006-114-01 **Detection Method** 5 DAY ATU Calculation Filtration GRAVIMETRIC HPLC ICP MS ICP OES ICP OES IR KONE METER SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO Method Detection Limit <2ma/l <0.3mg/l <1cfu/100ml <1cfu/100ml <10mg/l <0.01mg/l <2uq/l<0.2mg/l <0.2ma/l <2ma/l <1ma/l <0.014mS/cm <0.01mg/l <0.2mg/l <15mg/l UKAS Accredited [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Dissolved Electrical Total Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N Ammoniacal Nitrogen Ammoniacal Low Level Total Dissolved **ALcontrol Reference** Dissolved Faecal Coliforms **Total Coliforms*** COD Sample Identity **BOD Unfiltered** Suspended Solids **Total Phenols** I Organic Chloride Other Conductivity Iron Low Unfiltered 25C z Potassium Sodium l Nitrogen l as N** : Carbon Ð Leve as 0 mg/l ma/l cfu/100ml cfu/100ml ma/l ma/l uq/l ma/l mg/l mq/l mg/l mS/cm mq/l mg/l ma/l 08-B03055-S0001 L2 UNKNOWN 348 < 0.3 335 27.820 ---2496.3 4029 ------08-B03055-S0002 13 UNKNOWN 76 < 0.3 --------78 1.200 -65.0 49 L4 08-B03055-S0003 UNKNOWN 103 < 0.3 ------356 3.812 . 244.8 407 --08-B03055-S0004 L5 UNKNOWN 89 < 0.3 3624 15.330 ----721.4 998 -----08-B03055-S0005 BH1 UNKNOWN 3.9 735 1.2 27.9 <2 93 ----< 0.01 0.620 See Attached --08-B03055-S0006 BH2 UNKNOWN 1.8 1187 6.3 34 -< 0.01 52.5 5 0.776 ---See Attached --08-B03055-S0007 UNKNOWN BH3 -3.8 -< 0.01 4427 1.1 11.2 <2 19 0.670 See Attached ---. 08-B03055-S0008 BH4 UNKNOWN < 0.3 6132 110.9 32 ----< 0.01 394.4 491 3.299 See Attached --08-B03055-S0009 BH10D UNKNOWN 7.5 < 0.01 25 0.7 28.5 ----<2 44 0.687 See Attached --08-B03055-S0010 BH16R UNKNOWN 7.7 -< 0.3 ---< 0.01 815 494.3 9 52 1.689 See Attached --08-B03055-S0011 PW₂ UNKNOWN 6.4 < 0.01 0.3 12.1 <2 22 ---4 0.756 See Attached ---08-B03055-S0012 L1 UNKNOWN 68 < 0.3 ----..... 815 9.000 769.6 587 ---08-B03055-S0013 BH11D UNKNOWN 7.6 10 15 < 0.01 22 1.1 11.3 <2 19 0.701 --See Attached --08-B03055-S0014 PW4 UNKNOWN 6.3 ----< 0.01 137 1.0 11.4 <2 0.668 See Attached ---08-B03055-S0015 **PW11** UNKNOWN 0.7 <1 <1 0.7 --< 0.01 8 7.8 <2 10 0.169 See Attached --08-B03055-S0016 **PW15** UNKNOWN < 0.3 13 9800 < 0.01 879 2.3 11.3 7 --20 0.778 See Attached -08-B03055-S0017 SW1 3 UNKNOWN ---<10 -----154 1.285 0.3 <15 -08-B03055-S0018 SW2 UNKNOWN 2 --<10 118 1.022 -------< 0.2 <15 08-B03055-S0019 SW3 UNKNOWN <2 -. 1203 15 0.722 -2 0.3 967 -----08-B03055-S0020 SW4 UNKNOWN 548 6 --4 4 --21 0.635 -2.2 757

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Checked By : Dylan Halpin

Printed at 11:32 on 20/06/2008

Interim

Validated

Table Of Results

page12 / 16 Ref Number: 08-B03055/01 Sample Type: WATER Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin) Location: Silliot Hill Date of Receipt: 16/05/2008 **Client Contact: Nicola Hoare** (of first sample) Client Ref: 2006-114-01 **Detection Method** 5 DAY ATU Calculation Filtration GRAVIMETRIC HPLC ICP MS ICP OES ICP OES IR KONE METER SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO Method Detection Limit <2ma/l <0.3mg/l <1cfu/100ml <1cfu/100ml <10mg/l <0.01mg/l <2ug/l <0.2mg/l <0.2mg/l <2mg/l <1mg/l <0.014mS/cm <0.01mg/l <0.2mg/l <15mg/ UKAS Accredited [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 **Dissolved Iron Low** Electrical Conductivity 25C Ammoniacal Nitrogen N Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N **Total Suspended Solids Dissolved Potassium Total Organic Carbon** Ammoniacal Nitrogen Low Level as N** ALcontrol Reference **Dissolved Sodium** Faecal Coliforms Total Coliforms* Sample Identity **BOD Unfiltered** COD Unfiltered **Total Phenols** Chloride Other ID Leve as 0 mg/l ma/l cfu/100ml cfu/100ml mg/l mg/l ug/l mq/l mg/l mg/l mg/l mS/cm mg/l mq/l mg/l 08-B03055-S0021 SW6 UNKNOWN 2 <10 ---14 0.340 < 0.2 <15 ------08-B03055-S0022 SW7 UNKNOWN <2 -<10 17 0.322 -----2 --1.1 <15 08-B03055-S0023 PW9 UNKNOWN 0.9 ---35 < 0.01 220 5.7 13.5 4 12 0.585 See Attached 22 -

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Checked By : Dylan Halpin

Printed at 11:32 on 20/06/2008

* SUBCONTRACTED TO OTHER LABORATORY / ** SAMPLES ANALYSED AT THE CHESTER LABORATORY

Interim Validated

 \checkmark

| Validated | |
|-----------|--|
|-----------|--|

(F

| Validated | , |
|-------------|---|
| Preliminary | Γ |

ALcontrol Laboratories Analytical Services # ISO 17025 accredited **Table Of Results**

^M MCERTS accredited * Subcontracted test

Job Number: **Client: Client Ref. No.:**

08/09578/02/01 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland 08-B03055/01

» Shown on prev. report LIQUID Matrix: Location: SILLIOT HILL Client Contact: Ireland Co-ordinators

| Sample Identity | 2357559- 60 | 2357570- 71 | 2357581- 82 | 2357592- 93 | 2357603- 04 | 2357614-15 | 2357625- 26 | 2357649 | 2357658- 59 | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Depth (m) | in a second s | - | - | | - | | - | - | - | M | LoD/Uni |
| Sample Type | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | leth | |
| Sampled Date | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | od C | |
| Sample Received Date | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | ode | its |
| Batch | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Sample Number(s) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | 1.0 |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | <0.2 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 170 | <0.2 | 2.8 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | TM099 [#] | <0.2 mg/l |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $(\cdots, m)/m$ is a second | | | | | | - | | | | | wanter and a balance and |
| e en | and any amount models with the even | | V Parate Streementstering | | | | | • | | | |
| a service and service and a | jew stanson and stars a.c. | a - Alfred an Tarih I name instandal an | | an and a second second second | an al alama sa sa sa sa sa sa sa s | | r v samen indensitier (m | | | | |
| an a | | | | | | l • es man alaris sur consistence | | | | | |
| a and and a new statement based on a second s | | | | | () en (provident "grindel administration en | a men di manin men debagia promoto para di anta an | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| an sta a shina da ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana an | | | | | h second consistenting and a | | | | | | |
| ······································ | | | | | | | | 5 - W - 7. S | t | | |
| | | | | | | , a para ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an | | ····· | Construction of the second | al Communication (Science) (Science) | |
| | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| and the second part of | | | | _ | | | | | | | |

02.06.2008 Date

| Validated 🗸 Preliminary | ALc | ontro | ISO 17 M MCER * Subcor | [#] ISO 17025 accredited ^M MCERTS accredited * Subcontracted test | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|
| Job Number: Client: Client Ref. No.: | 08/09578/02/01 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland 08-B03055/01 | | | eland | Matrix Locatic Client | :)n: Contact: | LIQUII SILLIC Ireland | » Shown on prev. report | | | | |
| Sample Identity | 2357672- 73 | 2357686- 67 | 2357756 | | | | | | | | | |
| Depth (m) | - 1 | | | | | ale dipatriation and a set of a set | | - | | Σ | | |
| Sample Type | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | | | | a and the second se | | | leth | LoD | |
| Sampled Date | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | | | | | | | od (| /Un | |
| Sample Received Date | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | and all the set of the | | | | | | Cod | iits | |
| Batch | 1 | 1 | 1 | | a - a A - an () a tan a - anna - ad | | ······································ | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 9 | | |
| Sample Number(s) | 10 | 11 | 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | <0.2 | 2.3 | <0.2 | | | | | | | TM099 [#] | <0.2 mg/l | |
| | | | | | | | | | | TM099" | <0.2 mg/l | |
| (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) | | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| F. S. S. | · | | | | | | · • • · •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | | | |
| | |) (C. 2011 - 20 Y V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V | | | | - a monthamilitat at insee birate give a p | | | · · | | | |
| and the second descent descent and the second | | | | | a | | | | | | | |
| | | * | | a composition of the constraint of the | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A set of a static set of the se | - the billing of the second second second second | - tunoranon and an and | | | | | | | | | | |
| an an adam way way a adam - | | • | | | | | a kanta dari dari kata manda ak era d | | a a construction of the second s | ta kangene nangene serger dagan s | | |
| ······ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | l | L | | | L | | | () | L | 0.000 | |

Date 02.06.2008



ALcontrol Laboratories (Dublin)

18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Floor 2 Mill House Ashtowngate Navan Road Dublin 15

Attention: Nicola Hoare

Date: 27 June, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B03055/02

Your Reference: 2006-114-01

Location: Silliot Hill

A total of 23 samples was received for analysis on Friday, 16 May 2008 and authorised on Friday, 27 June 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Signed

horaine Mr Noncares

Lorraine McNamara Laboratory Technical Manager

Dylan Harlpin

Compiled By

Dylan Halpin



Printed at 10:51 on 30/06/2008

ALcontrol Geochem Ireland is a trading division of ALcontrol UK Limited. Registered Office: Templeborough House, Mill Close, Rotherham, S60 1BZ. Registered in England and Wales No. 4057291

Test Schedule Summary

Ref Number: 08-B03055/02

Sample Type: WATER

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin) Date of Receipt: 16/05/2008

Location: Silliot Hill Client Contact: Nicola Hoare Client Ref: 2006-114-01

| SCHEDULE | METHOD | TEST NAME | TOTAL |
|----------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| | | | |
| Х | 5 DAY ATU | BOD Unfiltered | 11 |
| Х | Calculation | Total Oxidised Nitrogen | 17 |
| х | Filtration | Faecal Coliforms* | 12 |
| Х | Filtration | Total Coliforms* | 12 |
| х | GRAVIMETRIC | Total Suspended Solids | 7 |
| х | HPLC | Total Phenols by HPLC | 12 |
| х | ICP MS | Dissolved Iron Low Level | 12 |
| Х | ICP OES | Dissolved Potassium | 12 |
| х | ICP OES | Dissolved Sodium | 12 |
| Х | IR | Total Organic Carbon | 12 |
| х | KONE | Chloride | 22 |
| х | METER | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | 23 |
| Х | SPECTRO | Ammoniacal Nitrogen | 11 |
| Х | SPECTRO | Ammoniacal Nitrogen I ow Level** | 12 |
| х | SPECTRO | COD Unfiltered | 12 |
| 2 | KONE | Chloride | 1 |
| | | | |

Table Of Results

| Ref Number: 08-B03055/02 | | | | | | | | | | Sample Type: WATER | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|--|
| | Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin) | | | | | | | | | | Location: Silliot Hill | | | | | | | |
| | Date of Receipt: 16/05/2008 | | | | | | | | | Client Contact: Nicola Hoare | | | | | | | | |
| | (of first sample) | | | | | | | | | | Clie | ent Ref: | 2006-1 | 14-01 | | | | |
| | Detection M | 1ethod | 5 DAY ATU | Calculation | Filtration | Filtration | GRAVIMETRIC | HPLC | ICP MS | ICP OFS | ICP OFS | IR | KONE | METER | SPECTRO | SPECTRO | CDECTRO | |
| | Method Detect | tion Limit | <2mg/l | <0.3mg/l | <1cfu/100ml | <1cfu/100ml | <10ma/l | <0.01mg/l | <2110/1 | <0.2mg/l | <0.2mg/l | <2ma/l | | | SFLCTRO | SPECIRO | SPECTRO | |
| UKAS Accredite | ed [Testing Laborato | ory] No. 1291 | ~ | ✓ × | | | 1 | | ·Lug/i | suzing/i | <0.2mg/1 | <2mg/r | | <0.014m3/cm | | <0.2mg/1 | <15mg/1 | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | v | V | V | V | ✓ | ~ | |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | BOD Unfiltered | Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N | Faecal Coliforms* | Total Coliforms* | Total Suspended Solids | Total Phenols | Dissolved Iron Low Level | Dissolved Potassium | Dissolved Sodium | Total Organic Carbon | Chloride | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | Ammoniacal Nitrogen Low Level as N** | Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | COD Unfiltered | |
| | | | mg/l | mg/l | cfu/100ml | cfu/100ml | mg/l | mg/l | ug/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mS/cm | mg/l | ma/l | ma/l | |
| 08-B03055-S0001 | <u>L2</u> | UNKNOWN | 348 | <0.3 | - | - | | - | - | - | - | - | 335 | 27.820 | - 1 | 2496.3 | 4029 | |
| 08-B03055-S0002 | L3 | UNKNOWN | 76 | <0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 78 | 1.200 | - | 65.0 | 49 | |
| 08-803055-50003 | L4 | UNKNOWN | 1.03 | <0.3 | - | - | | | - | - | - | - | 356 | 3.812 | - | 244.8 | 407 | |
| 08-B03055-S0004 | L5 | UNKNOWN | 89 | <0.3 | - | - | - | | - | - | - | - | 3624 | 15.330 | - | 721.4 | 998 | |
| 08-B03055-S0005 | BH1 | UNKNOWN | - | 3.9 | <1 | 10 | - | <0.01 | 735 | 1.2 | 27.9 | <2 | 93 | 0.620 | See Attached | - | - | |
| 08-803055-50006 | BH2 | UNKNOWN | - | 1.8 | <1 | 48 | - | < 0.01 | 1187 | 6.3 | 52.5 | 5 | 34 | 0.776 | See Attached | - | - | |
| 08-B03055-S0007 | BH3 | UNKNOWN | - | 3.8 | <1 | <1 | - | < 0.01 | 4427 | 1.1 | 11.2 | <2 | 19 | 0.670 | See Attached | - | - | |
| 08-B03055-S0008 | BH4 | UNKNOWN | - | < 0.3 | <1 | 7 | - | < 0.01 | 6132 | 110.9 | 394.4 | 32 | 491 | 3.299 | See Attached | - | - | |
| 08-B03055-S0009 | BH10D | UNKNOWN | - | 7.5 | <1 | 60 | - | < 0.01 | 25 | 0.7 | 28.5 | <2 | 44 | 0.687 | See Attached | - | - | |
| 08-B03055-S0010 | BH16R | UNKNOWN | - | <0.3 | <1 | 42 | - | < 0.01 | 815 | 7.7 | 494.3 | 9 | 52 | 1.689 | See Attached | - | - | |
| 08-B03055-S0011 | PW2 | UNKNOWN | - | 6.4 | <1 | 20 | - | < 0.01 | 4 | 0.3 | 12.1 | <2 | 22 | 0.756 | See Attached | - | - | |
| 08-803055-50012 | L1 | UNKNOWN | 68 | <0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 815 | 9.000 | - | 769.6 | 587 | |
| 08-803055-50013 | BH11D | UNKNOWN | - | 7.6 | 10 | 15 | - | < 0.01 | 22 | 1.1 | 11.3 | <2 | 19 | 0.701 | See Attached | - | | |
| 08-B03055-S0014 | PW4 | UNKNOWN | - | 6.3 | 184 | 2800 | - | < 0.01 | 137 | 1.0 | 11.4 | <2 | 17 | 0.668 | See Attached | - | - | |
| 08-803055-50015 | PW11 | UNKNOWN | - | 0.7 | <1 | <1 | | < 0.01 | 8 | 0.7 | 7.8 | <2 | 10 | 0.169 | See Attached | - | | |
| 08-803055-50016 | PW15 | UNKNOWN | - | <0.3 | 13 | 9800 | - | < 0.01 | 879 | 2.3 | 11.3 | 7 | 20 | 0.778 | See Attached | - | | |
| 08-803055-50017 | SW1 | UNKNOWN | 3 | - | - | - | <10 | - | - | - | - | - | 154 | 1.285 | - | 0.3 | <15 | |
| 08-803055-50018 | SW2 | UNKNOWN | 2 | - | - | - | <10 | - | - | - | - | - | 118 | 1.022 | | <0.2 | <15 | |
| 08-803055-50019 | SW3 | UNKNOWN | <2 | - | - | - | 1203 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 0.722 | - | 0.3 | 967 | |
| 08-803055-50020 | SW4 | UNKNOWN | 6 | | - | - | 548 | - | - | - | | - | 21 | 0.635 | | 22 | 757 | |

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Checked By : Dylan Halpin

Printed at 10:51 on 30/06/2008

* SUBCONTRACTED TO OTHER LABORATORY / ** SAMPLES ANALYSED AT THE CHESTER LABORATORY

Interim Validated
ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

| | | Ref N | umber: | 08-B0 | 3055/02 | 2 | | | | Sample | Type: | WATE | R | ana ni sana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana an | | <u> </u> | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------|--|---|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | | | Client: | Fehily ⁻ | Timoney | & Com | pany (D | ublin) | | | L | ocation: | Silliot H | Hill | | | |
| | | Date of I | Receipt: | 16/05/2 | 2008 | | | | | | Client C | Contact: | Nicola | Hoare | | | |
| | | (0) III | st sample) | | | | | | | | Clie | ent Ref: | 2006-1 | 14-01 | | | |
| | Detection M | ethod | 5 DAY ATU | Calculation | Filtration | Filtration | GRAVIMETRIC | HPLC | ICP MS | ICP OES | ICP OES | IR | KONE | METER | SPECTRO | SPECTRO | SPECTRO |
| | Method Detect | ion Limit | <2mg/l | <0.3mg/l | <1cfu/100ml | <1cfu/100ml | <10mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <2ug/l | <0.2mg/l | <0.2mg/l | <2mg/l | <1mg/l | <0.014mS/cm | <0.2mg/l | <0.2mg/l | <15mg/l |
| UKAS ACCIEDITE | d Liesting Laborato | ry] NO. 1291 | ~ | ~ | | | V | ~ | ~ | | | ~ | ~ | 1 | 1 | 1 | \checkmark |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | BOD Unfiltered | Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N | Faecal Coliforms* | Total Coliforms* | Total Suspended Solids | Total Phenols | Dissolved Iron Low Level | Dissolved Potassium | Dissolved Sodium | Total Organic Carbon | Chloride | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | Ammoniacal Nitrogen Low Level as N ⁺⁺ | Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | COD Unfiltered |
| 00 000055 00004 | 011/6 | | mg/l | mg/l | cfu/100ml | cfu/100ml | mg/l | mg/l | ug/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mS/cm | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l |
| 08-B03055-S0021 | SW6 | UNKNOWN | 2 | - | - | - | <10 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 0.340 | - | <0.2 | <15 |
| 08-B03055-S0022 | 5VV7 | | <2 | - | - | - | <10 | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 0.322 | - | 1.1 | <15 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.505 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Checked By : Dylan Halpin

Printed at 10:51 on 30/06/2008

* SUBCONTRACTED TO OTHER LABORATORY / ** SAMPLES ANALYSED AT THE CHESTER LABORATORY

page14 / 26

Interim Validated

6

| 1 | |
|--------------|--|
| \checkmark | |
| | |

ALcontrol Laboratories Analytical Services # ISO 17025 accredited **Table Of Results**

^M MCERTS accredited

* Subcontracted test

» Shown on prev. report

Job Number: **Client: Client Ref. No.:**

08/09578/02/01 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland 08-B03055/01

Matrix: LIQUID Location: SILLIOT HILL

Client Contact: Ireland Co-ordinators

| Sample Identity | 2357559- 60 | 2357570- 71 | 2357581- 82 | 2357592- 93 | 2357603- 04 | 2357614-15 | 2357625- 26 | 2357649 | 2357658- 59 | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Depth (m) | - | - | | | | | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | - | | | |
| Sample Type | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIOUID | | LIQUID | Aetl | Lo |
| Sampled Date | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | hod | D/U |
| Sample Received Date | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.09 | 27.05.00 | Cod | nits |
| Batch | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 27.05.08 | e | |
| Sample Number(s) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | 8 | | | 1 |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | <0.2 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 170 | <0.2 | 2.8 | <0.2 | <0.2 | <0.2 | TM000 [#] | <0.2 mg/l |
| an an a tha Barray Array and an | | | ******* | | | | | | | 11/1099 | <0.2 mg/1 |
| | | | | | | a man a station in product a stationaria and and | | | | And the second state of the second | |
| an aktor som ver de Kale for versyne anverse men er | ann falain a' su chlainn, sa su chlai (an b | an a shake can be a subsequence of | | an an an air chaile a ru | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | kanan di kanan kang di panan ang kanan | |
| | | | | | | and the second | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | and the second se | () | | | |
| | | | | | | | | n - 19 To Constant Congression (Constant Constant | | an o generation and an oral of | |
| | | | (c) (a the set of t | | | | | | a a second description and an a second s | | - All (|
| | | | | | 10-19-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00 | | | | | | ······································ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| an an an 1974 an an an Apparator (1986) and an an an an | | | | | | | and the second second second second | | | a - and the second provide a second data. | |
| | | | | alang ala sa ganagina | and the second state of th | | | | | and the second s | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | and a second second second second second | and a strategy light second second second | | | | | | |
| n (natur) | | | | and a second second as a | No | | | a to be pairs of the set to remove have a | | 10 Tani (1999) (1997) (1997) (1997) | |
| - P = m (r (r)) (m (r)) (m (r)) (m (r)) (m (r)) (m (r)) (m (r)) (r)) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - Mitty date | | | ···· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| a a second se | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | and active a second and devices of the second burners of | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | ana fisikana - sanata nada cinak | |
| | | | | | - Simple | | | | | | |
| a a construction of the second se | | | | | | Colline of the principle of the second | | and the second s | The second s | | and a second |
| | | | | | | | | | | | a and the first of the second se |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | - | | Contraction of the | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | There was not a set of a | | | | | ann chaolanth à though a na suite ann an t | | * * · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | ······ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

02.06.2008 Date

| Validated 🖌 Preliminary | ALc | ontro | l Labo T | orato: able | ries A Of Re | nalyti sults | cal Se | rvices | [#] ISO 1 ^M MCE. * Subco | 7025 accre RTS accrea | dited lited st |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|----------------------|
| Job Number: Client: Client Ref. No.: | 08/095 ALcont 08-B03 | 78/02/01 trol Geo 055/01 | l chem Ir | eland | Matrix Locati Client | : on: Contact | LIQUI SILLIC | D DT HILI . Co-ord: | » Show | n on prev. | report |
| Sample Identity | 2357672- 73 | 2357686- 67 | 2357756 | | | | | | | | |
| Depth (m) | - | - | - | | | | | | | 2 | |
| Sample Type | LIQUID | LIQUID | LIQUID | | | ana | | | | Aetl | Lo |
| Sampled Date | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | 28.05.08 | | | | - | | | lod | D/U |
| Sample Dessived Date | 17.05.09 | 27.05.00 | 27.05.00 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | - 1 Aran - 10- | | Co | nits |
| Sample Received Date | 27.03.08 | 27.05.08 | 27.05.08 | | - | a 1 .1 (100) (100) | | | | le | |
| Sample Number(s) | 10 | | 1 | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | <0.7 | 21 | -0.2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and an analysis of the second | | | and and a summariant of the | | | | | | | | |
| | - iter education and | | and the second | | | | | | | and a second | |
| | | | | | | | | | | and the second se | |
| | | | | | | - | | | | | |
| and a second | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| and a second state of the | | | | | ca. A series and a transmission of the | | | Non-International Academic Street | | | |
| 1-1. | | | | - Internet of the second second second second | ·· | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ******** | | |
| | • # den - part y. | | and a state of the | | (an addition of the second state and | | | | 1 - Approximate Approximation and the second | | |
| | | | | | | | | 1-1-2-Mar | | and the state of the state of the | |
| | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | and Rowald and contraction of the second second | | | | | 10 |
| and a second second state of the second s | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a | | | | | | Construction of the second seco | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Date 02.06.2008



18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Cork)

Core House Pouladuff Road Cork Ireland

Attention: Nicola Hoare

Date: 18 August, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B04455/01

Your Reference: 2006-114-01

Location: Silliot Hill Waste Licence Implementation

A total of 13 samples was received for analysis on Wednesday, 23 July 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Signed

Dylen Halpin

Dylan Halpin Team Leader Project Co-ordination

Loraine Nr Nousana

<u>Lorraine McNamara</u> General Manager Mack fuller

Compiled By

Mark Butler

Printed at 17:24 on 18/08/2008 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland is a trading division of ALcontrol UK Limited. Registered Office: Templeborough House, Mill Close, Rotherham, S60 1BZ. Registered in England and Wales No. 4057291



ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Test Schedule Summary

Ref Number: 08-B04455/01

Sample Type: WATER

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Cork) Date of Receipt: 23/07/2008 Location: Silliot Hill Waste Licence Implementation Client Contact: Nicola Hoare Client Ref: 2006-114-01

* SUBCONTRACTED TO OTHER LABORATORY / ** SAMPLES ANALYSED AT THE CHESTER LABORATORY

| SCHEDULE | METHOD | TEST NAME | TOTAL |
|----------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | | | |
| Х | 5 DAY ATU | BOD Unfiltered | 9 |
| Х | Calculation | Total Oxidised Nitrogen | 13 |
| Х | CV AA | Dissolved Mercury Low Level | 13 |
| Х | Filtration | Faecal Coliforms* | 7 |
| Х | Filtration | Total Coliforms* | 7 |
| Х | GCMS | Semi Volatile Organics | 2 |
| Х | GCMS | Volatile Organic Compounds | 2 |
| Х | GRAVIMETRIC | Total Suspended Solids | 6 |
| Х | HPLC | Total Phenols by HPLC | 2 |
| Х | ICP IRIS | Total Chromium | 13 |
| Х | ICP IRIS | Total Phosphorus | 13 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Boron Low Level | 7 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Cadmium Low Level | 13 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Calcium Low Level | 13 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Copper Low Level | 13 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Iron Low Level | 13 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Lead Low Level | 13 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Magnesium Low Level | 13 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Manganese Low Level | 13 |
| Х | ICP MS | Dissolved Zinc Low Level | 13 |
| Х | ICP OES | Dissolved Potassium | 13 |
| Х | ICP OES | Dissolved Sodium | 13 |
| Х | IR | Total Organic Carbon | 4 |
| Х | KONE | Chloride | 13 |
| Х | KONE | Fluoride | 7 |
| Х | KONE | ortho Phosphate | 13 |
| Х | KONE | Sulphate | 13 |
| Х | METER | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | 13 |
| Х | METER | Total Dissolved Solids Meter | 4 |
| Х | SPECTRO | Ammoniacal Nitrogen | 13 |
| Х | SPECTRO | COD Unfiltered | 9 |
| Х | SPECTRO | Total Cyanide | 7 |
| Х | TITRATION | Total Alkalinity | 10 |
| 2 | HPLC | Total Phenols by HPLC** | 4 |

Printed at 17:24 on 18/08/2008

Table Of Results

Sample Type: WATER

Location: Silliot Hill Waste Licence Implementation

page12 / 16

Client Contact: Nicola Hoare

Client Ref: 2006-114-01

| Г | Detection Me | ethod | 5 DAY ATU | Calculation | CV AA | Filtration | Filtration | GCMS | GCMS | GRAVIMETRIC | HPLC | HPLC | ICP IRIS | ICP IRIS | ICP MS | ICP MS | ICP MS |
|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Method Detection | on Limit | <2ma/l | <0.3ma/l | <0.05ua/l | <1cfu/100ml | <1cfu/100ml | n/a | n/a | <10ma/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <0.05mg/l | <0.05mg/l | <3ua/l | <0.4ua/l | <120ua/ |
| UKAS Accredite | d [Testing Laborator | y] No. 1291 | ✓ | ✓ ✓ | | | | , | \checkmark | ✓ | ✓ ✓ | ✓ ✓ | ✓ ✓ | \checkmark | ✓. | ✓ ✓ | ✓ <u>,</u> |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | BOD Unfiltered | Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N | Dissolved Mercury Low Level | Faecal Coliforms* | Total Coliforms* | Semi Volatile Organics | Volatile Organic Compounds | Total Suspended Solids | Total Phenols | Total Phenols** | Total Chromium | Total Phosphorous | Dissolved Boron Low Level | Dissolved Cadmium Low Level | Dissolved Calcium Low Level |
| | | | mg/l | mg/l | ug/l | cfu/100ml | cfu/100ml | | | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | ug/l | ug/l | ug/l |
| 08-B04455-S0001 | PW4 | UNKNOWN | - | 5.7 | <0.05 | 61 | 160000 | - | - | - | | < 0.01 | <0.05 | <0.05 | <3 | <0.4 | 120400 |
| 08-B04455-S0002 | PW9 | UNKNOWN | - | 0.5 | < 0.05 | <1 | <1 | Done | Done | - | - | < 0.01 | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | <3 | <0.4 | 25420 |
| 08-B04455-S0003 | PW11 | UNKNOWN | - | 1.0 | < 0.05 | 6 | 30000 | Done | Done | - | - | < 0.01 | < 0.05 | 0.33 | 24 | <0.4 | 111700 |
| 08-B04455-S0004 | PW15 | UNKNOWN | - | < 0.3 | < 0.05 | 140000 | 220000 | - | - | - | - | 0.08 | < 0.05 | 0.39 | <3 | <0.4 | 161800 |
| 08-B04455-S0005 | SW1 | UNKNOWN | <2 | 1.5 | <0.05 | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | - | <0.4 | 175600 |
| 08-B04455-S0006 | SW2 | UNKNOWN | <2 | 2.0 | < 0.05 | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | - | <0.4 | 164300 |
| 08-B04455-S0007 | SW3 | UNKNOWN | <2 | 2.7 | < 0.05 | - | - | - | - | 81 | - | - | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | - | < 0.4 | 149000 |
| 08-B04455-S0008 | SW4 | UNKNOWN | 14 | < 0.3 | < 0.05 | - | - | - | - | 3236 | - | - | < 0.05 | 3.20 | - | < 0.4 | 45180 |
| 08-B04455-S0009 | SW6 | UNKNOWN | <2 | 1.0 | < 0.05 | - | - | - | - | <10 | - | - | < 0.05 | < 0.05 | - | < 0.4 | 44700 |
| 08-B04455-S0010 | SW7 | UNKNOWN | <2 | 0.9 | < 0.05 | - | - | - | - | 26 | - | - | < 0.05 | 0.08 | - | < 0.4 | 34720 |
| 08-B04455-S0011 | L1 | UNKNOWN | 132 | < 0.3 | < 0.05 | <1 | 130000 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.07 | 8.50 | 3139 | < 0.4 | 86620 |
| 08-B04455-S0012 | L3 | UNKNOWN | 12 | < 0.3 | < 0.05 | 100000 | 2100000 | - | - | - | - | - | < 0.05 | 1.85 | 160 | <0.4 | 108500 |
| 08-B04455-S0013 | L4 | UNKNOWN | 26 | < 0.3 | < 0.05 | <1 | 60000 | - | - | - | - | - | < 0.05 | 0.69 | 738 | 1.3 | 239300 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

* SUBCONTRACTED TO OTHER LABORATORY / ** SAMPLES ANALYSED AT THE CHESTER LABORATORY

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

Ref Number: 08-B04455/01

Date of Receipt: 23/07/2008

(of first sample)

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Cork)

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Interim

✓ Validated

Mark Butler

Table Of Results

Ref Number: 08-B04455/01

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Cork)

Date of Receipt: 23/07/2008

(of first sample)

Location: Silliot Hill Waste Licence Implementation

Client Contact: Nicola Hoare

Sample Type: WATER

Client Def. 2006-111-01

| | | | | | | | | | | | | ent Kei. | 2000-1 | 14-01 | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Detection Me | ethod | ICP MS | ICP MS | ICP MS | ICP MS | ICP MS | ICP MS | ICP OES | ICP OES | IR | KONE | KONE | KONE | KONE | METER | METER |
| | Method Detecti | on Limit | <1ug/l | <2ug/l | <1ug/l | <100ug/l | <1ug/l | <1ug/l | <0.2mg/l | <0.2mg/l | <2mg/l | <1mg/l | <0.1mg/l | <3mg/l | <0.03mg/l | <0.014mS/cm | <5mg/l |
| UKAS Accredite | ed [Testing Laborator | ry] No. 1291 | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | Dissolved Copper Low Level | Dissolved Iron Low Level | Dissolved Lead Low Level | Dissolved Magnesium Low Level | Dissolved Manganese Low Level | Dissolved Zinc Low Level | Dissolved Potassium | Dissolved Sodium | Total Organic Carbon | Chloride | Fluoride | Sulphate | ortho Phosphate as PO4 | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | Total Dissolved Solids |
| | | | ug/l | ug/l | ug/l | ug/l | ug/l | ug/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mS/cm | mg/l |
| 08-B04455-S0001 | PW4 | UNKNOWN | 43 | 70 | 2 | 16520 | 2 | 68 | 1.3 | 9.6 | <2 | 16 | 0.3 | 24 | 0.05 | 0.092 | 307 |
| 08-B04455-S0002 | PW9 | UNKNOWN | 50 | 71 | 2 | 1796 | 7 | 60 | 0.5 | 6.5 | <2 | 62 | 0.7 | 27 | < 0.03 | 0.051 | 75 |
| 08-B04455-S0003 | PW11 | UNKNOWN | 7 | 158 | 6 | 8432 | 4 | 42 | 5.7 | 9.7 | 2 | 9 | 0.3 | 21 | < 0.03 | 0.124 | 259 |
| 08-B04455-S0004 | PW15 | UNKNOWN | 3 | 2343 | 1 | 14100 | 87 | 28 | 1.2 | 9.7 | <2 | 18 | 0.3 | 10 | < 0.03 | 0.215 | 358 |
| 08-B04455-S0005 | SW1 | UNKNOWN | 4 | 46 | 1 | 23380 | 7 | 17 | 2.5 | 113.0 | - | 171 | - | 19 | 0.12 | 0.252 | - |
| 08-B04455-S0006 | SW2 | UNKNOWN | 5 | 61 | <1 | 21490 | 17 | 26 | 2.2 | 60.2 | - | 102 | - | 22 | < 0.03 | 0.162 | - |
| 08-B04455-S0007 | SW3 | UNKNOWN | 2 | 108 | <1 | 17010 | 78 | 16 | 0.3 | 8.6 | - | 18 | - | 16 | < 0.03 | 0.725 | - |
| 08-B04455-S0008 | SW4 | UNKNOWN | 2 | 675 | 1 | 3838 | 2076 | 30 | 6.0 | 8.7 | - | 24 | - | 58 | 2.05 | 0.126 | - |
| 08-B04455-S0009 | SW6 | UNKNOWN | 2 | 85 | <1 | 3833 | 23 | 18 | 0.8 | 7.0 | - | 12 | - | 8 | < 0.03 | 0.094 | - |
| 08-B04455-S0010 | SW7 | UNKNOWN | 1 | 81 | 1 | 3189 | 20 | 20 | 0.4 | 7.8 | - | 12 | - | 7 | < 0.03 | 0.072 | - |
| 08-B04455-S0011 | L1 | UNKNOWN | 4 | 3436 | 1 | 92740 | 634 | 26 | 438.4 | 1214.0 | - | 1483 | 3.6 | 608 | 11.23 | 14.500 | - |
| 08-B04455-S0012 | 13 | UNKNOWN | 2 | 397 | 1 | 11450 | 186 | 27 | 25.4 | 47.6 | - | 54 | 0.6 | 24 | 4.42 | 0.637 | - |
| 08-B04455-S0013 | 14 | UNKNOWN | 4 | 812 | 1 | 45390 | 1365 | 168 | 83.3 | 168.6 | _ | 163 | 0.5 | 44 | < 0.03 | 0.620 | - |
| | | 0 | | 012 | | | 1000 | 200 | 00.0 | 10010 | | 200 | 0.0 | | | 01020 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

Checked By :

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Interim

 \checkmark

Validated

Mark Butler

ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

Validated

Ref Number: 08-B04455/01

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Cork)

Date of Receipt: 23/07/2008

(of first sample)

Location: Silliot Hill Waste Licence Implementation Client Contact: Nicola Hoare

Sample Type: WATER

Client Ref[.] 2006-114-01

| | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| Detection M | ethod | SPECTRO | SPECTRO | SPECTRO | TITRATION | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Method Detecti | ion Limit | <0.05mg/l | <0.2mg/l | <15mg/l | <1mg/l | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ed [Testing Laborato | ry] No. 1291 | | | \checkmark | \checkmark | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample Identity | Other ID | Total Cyanide | Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | COD Unfiltered | Total Alkalinity as CaCO3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PW4 | UNKNOWN | < 0.05 | <0.2 | - | 290 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PW9 | UNKNOWN | < 0.05 | <0.2 | - | 20 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PW11 | UNKNOWN | < 0.05 | <0.2 | - | 260 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PW15 | UNKNOWN | < 0.05 | 2.3 | - | 340 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SW1 | UNKNOWN | - | <0.2 | <15 | 340 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SW2 | UNKNOWN | - | <0.2 | <15 | 280 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SW3 | UNKNOWN | - | <0.2 | 79 | 260 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SW4 | UNKNOWN | - | 3.0 | 635 | 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SW6 | UNKNOWN | - | < 0.2 | <15 | 120 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SW7 | UNKNOWN | - | < 0.2 | 43 | 70 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | UNKNOWN | < 0.05 | 1191.5 | 1856 | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | UNKNOWN | <0.05 | 49.2 | 40 | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | UNKNOWN | <0.05 | 151.6 | 95 | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of a down | 10105 | 15110 | 55 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | CIDCUMCT | | | CONTROL | | | | | | | |
| | Detection M Method Detection d [Testing Laborato Campute dentity PW4 PW9 PW11 PW15 SW1 SW2 SW3 SW4 SW2 SW3 SW4 SW6 SW7 L1 L1 L3 L4 | Detection Method Method Detection Limit Id [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291 Sam Other Detection Limit Iteration Id [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291 Other Sam Other Detection Iteration Idea Iteration PW4 UNKNOWN PW9 UNKNOWN PW11 UNKNOWN SW1 UNKNOWN SW2 UNKNOWN SW3 UNKNOWN SW4 UNKNOWN SW7 UNKNOWN SW7 UNKNOWN L1 UNKNOWN L3 UNKNOWN L4 UNKNOWN L4 UNKNOWN | Detection MethodSPECTROMethod Detection Limit<0.05mg/led [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291Total CyanideSample IdentityOther IDIdentityIdentityPier IdentityIdentityPW4UNKNOWNPW9UNKNOWNPW11UNKNOWNPW15UNKNOWNSW2UNKNOWNSW3UNKNOWNSW4UNKNOWNSW3UNKNOWNSW4UNKNOWNSW6UNKNOWNSW7UNKNOWNL1UNKNOWNSW7UNKNOWNL1UNKNOWNCUCUCUCUCUCUSW6UNKNOWNSW7UNKNOWNCUC | Detection MethodSPECTROSPECTROMethod Detection Limit<0.05mg/l<0.2mg/lId [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291IterIterSample Id endOther IDTotal QyanideNamoniacal QyanideNamoniacal RSample Id endOther IDTotal QyanideNamoniacal RNamoniacal Namoniacal RNamoniacal Namoniacal RNamoniacal Namoniacal RNamoniacal Namoniacal RNamoniacal Namoniacal RNamoniacal Namoniacal RNamoniacal Namoniacal RNamoniacal | Detection MethodSPECTROSPECTROSPECTROMethod Detection Limit<0.05mg/l | Detection Method SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO ITTRATION Method Detection Limit <0.05mg/l | Detection MethodSPECTROSPECTROSPECTROTITRATIONMethod Detection Limit<0.05mg/l<0.2mg/l<15mg/l<1mg/ld [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291 </td <td>Detection Method SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO TITRATION Method Detection Limit <0.05mg/l</td> <0.2mg/l | Detection Method SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO TITRATION Method Detection Limit <0.05mg/l | Detection MethodSPECTROSPECTROSPECTROITIRATIONMethod Detection Limit<0.05mg/l | Detection Method SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO ITITRATION Image: Constraint of the second of the s | Detection Method SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO ITIRATION Iteration Method Detection Limit <0.05mg/l | Detection Method SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO TITRATION Image: Constraint of the second | Detection Method SPECTRO SPECTRO | Detection Method SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO ITRATION Image: Section Limit SPECTRO SPECTRO ITRATION Image: Section Limit Section Limit <ths< td=""><td>Detection Method Method Detection Limit d [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291 SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO Closing/l subject Closing/l</td><td>Detection Method Method Detection Limit SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO TITRATION Image: Sectro spectro sp</td></ths<> | Detection Method Method Detection Limit d [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291 SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO Closing/l subject Closing/l | Detection Method Method Detection Limit SPECTRO SPECTRO SPECTRO TITRATION Image: Sectro spectro sp |

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

Checked By :

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE



 \checkmark



18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Floor 2 Mill House Ashtowngate Navan Road Dublin 15

Attention: Rose Lloyd

Date: 15 September, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B05035/01

Your Reference: 2006-114-01

Location:

A total of 1 samples was received for analysis on Thursday, 28 August 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Mark Gutler

Signed

Dylen Halpin

Team Leader Project Co-ordination

Lonaine Nr Noncare

Lorraine McNamara General Manager

Compiled By

Dylan Halpin

Mark Butler

Printed at 12:00 on 16/09/2008 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland is a trading division of ALcontrol UK Limited. Registered Office: Templeborough House, Mill Close, Rotherham, S60 1BZ. Registered in England and Wales No. 4057291

| | Interim |
|--------------|-----------|
| \checkmark | Validated |

ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

| Ref Number: 08-B05035/01 Sample Ty | | | | | | | | | | | | | WATE | R | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|--|---------|----------|----------|--------|-------|----------|--|
| | | | Client: | Fehily T | imoney | & Com | bany (D | ublin) | | | L | ocation: | | | | |
| | | Date of F | Receipt: | 28/08/2 | 008 | | | | | | Client (| Contact: | Rose L | loyd | | |
| | | (of fir | st sample) | | | | | | | | Cli | ent Ref: | 2006-1 | 14-01 | | |
| | Detection Me | ethod | Filtration | Filtration | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Method Detecti | on Limit | <1cfu/100ml | <1cfu/100ml | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UKAS Accredite | ed [Testing Laborato | ry] No. 1291 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | Faecal Coliforms* | Total Coliforms* | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | cfu/100ml | cfu/100ml | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 08-B05035-S0005 | BH10D | UNKNOWN | <1 | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes ' | METHOD DETECTION L | IMITS ARE NO | T AI WAYS | ACHIEVAB | | VARIOUS | CTRCUMST | ANCES BEY | | CONTROL | | | | | ASSTRI F | |

Checked By : Mark Butler



ALcontrol Laboratories (Dublin)

18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Cork)

Core House Pouladuff Road Cork Ireland

Attention: Nicola Hoare

Date: 16 October, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B05810/01

Your Reference: 2006-114-01

Location: Silliott Hill Waste Licence Implementation

A total of 11 samples was received for analysis on Wednesday, 1 October 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Signed

Dylen Halpin

<u>Dylan Halpin</u> Team Leader Project Co-ordination

Loraine Mr Noncorres

Lorraine McNamara General Manager

Compiled By

Caoinche McLoughlin Caoimhe McLoughlin



Printed at 12:27 on 17/10/2008 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland is a trading division of ALcontrol UK Limited.

Registered Office: Templeborough House, Mill Close, Rotherham, S60 18Z. Registered in England and Wales No. 4057291

ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

Sample Type: WATER

Ref Number: 08-B05810/01

HPLC

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Cork)

ICP MS

ICP OES ICP OES

Date of Receipt: 01/10/2008

(of first sample) Calculation Location: Silliott Hill Waste Licence Implementation

Client Contact: Nicola Hoare

Client Ref: 2006-114-01

| | | | | **** | | | |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--|
| IR | KONE | Membrane Filtration | Membrane Filtration | METER | METER | SPECTRO | |
| <2mg/l | <1mg/l | <1cfu/250ml | <1cfu/250ml | <0.014mS/cm | napH Units | <0.2mg/l | |
| \checkmark | \checkmark | | | 1 | \checkmark | | |
| Tota | | Fa | H | Electr | | Amm | |

| | Method Detect | ion Limit | <0.3mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <2ug/l | <0.2mg/l | <0.2mg/l | <2mg/l | <1mg/l | <1cfu/250ml | <1cfu/250ml | <0.014mS/cm | napH Units | <0.2mg/l | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|---|
| UKAS Accredite | d [Testing Laborato | ory] No. 1291 | 1 | 1 | \checkmark | | | ~ | 1 | | | ~ | 1 | | | | 1 |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N | Total Phenols | Dissolved Iron Low Level | Dissolved Potassium | Dissolved Sodium | Total Organic Carbon | Chloride | Faecal Coliforms* | Total Coliforms* | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | рн | Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N | | | |
| | | | mg/l | mg/l | ug/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | cfu/250ml | cfu/250ml | mS/cm | pH Units | mg/l | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0024 | BH1 | UNKNOWN | 4.3 | 0.02 | 56 | 2.7 | 55.0 | <2 | 83 | <1 | 100 | 0.958 | 7.47 | 1.9 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0025 | BH2 | UNKNOWN | 0.7 | 0.02 | 53 | 5.6 | 35.4 | 7 | 19 | 86 | 300 | 1.089 | 7.51 | 2.0 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0026 | BH3 | UNKNOWN | 4.6 | < 0.01 | 55 | 0.8 | 9.3 | <2 | 22 | <1 | <1 | 0.864 | 7.46 | 0.8 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0027 | BH4 | UNKNOWN | < 0.3 | 0.01 | 72 | 111.2 | 394.7 | 37 | 435 | <1 | <1 | 3.750 | 7.56 | 299.5 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0028 | BH10D | UNKNOWN | 6.3 | < 0.01 | 83 | 1.1 | 16.5 | <2 | 32 | 100 | 1400 | 0.653 | 7.56 | 1.7 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0029 | BH11D | UNKNOWN | 6.9 | 0.03 | 58 | 0.8 | 8.1 | <2 | 15 | <1 | 2200 | 0.734 | 7.69 | 1.4 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0030 | BH16R | UNKNOWN | < 0.3 | 0.02 | 64 | 9.9 | 395.6 | 6 | 39 | <1 | 100 | 1.396 | 8.86 | 2.8 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0031 | PW2 | UNKNOWN | 6.7 | 0.02 | 46 | 0.7 | 9.5 | <2 | 20 | <1 | 400 | 0.756 | 7.33 | 1.5 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0032 | PW4 | UNKNOWN | 6.6 | 0.01 | 59 | 1.1 | 9.0 | <2 | 17 | <1 | 6 | 0.675 | 7.88 | 1.5 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0033 | PW9 | UNKNOWN | 1.0 | 0.01 | 50 | 0.7 | 5.5 | <2 | 10 | <1 | 2 | 0.166 | 7.70 | 1.6 | | | |
| 08-B05810-S0034 | PW11 | UNKNOWN | 1.0 | 0.01 | 42 | 5.2 | 10.3 | <2 | 10 | <1 | 14 | 0.408 | 7.92 | 1.4 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes : 1 | 1ETHOD DETECTION L | IMITS ARE NO | T ALWAYS | ACHIEVABL | E DUE TO | VARIOUS | CIRCUMSTA | NCES BEY | | CONTROL. | | | NDP = NO | DETERMIN | NATION PC | SSIBI F | |

Checked By : Caoimhe McLoughlin

Interim

 \checkmark

Validated

Detection Method



ALcontrol Laboratories (Dublin)

18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

> Floor 2 Mill House Ashtowngate Navan Road Dublin 15

Attention: Rose Lloyd

Date: 13 October, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B05808/01

Your Reference: 2006-114-01

Location: Silliot Hill IWMF

A total of 2 samples was received for analysis on Wednesday, 1 October 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Signed

Dylen Halpin

Team Leader Project Co-ordination

Loraine Mr. Numerous

Lorraine McNamara General Manager

Compiled By

Dylan Halpin

Mark Butler



Mark gutter

Printed at 12:10 on 15/10/2008 ALcontrol Geochem Ireland is a trading division of ALcontrol UK Limited. Registered Office: Templeborough House, Mill Close, Rotherham, S60 1BZ. Registered in England and Wales No. 4057291



ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

Sample Type: WATER Ref Number: 08-B05808/01 Location: Silliot Hill IWMF Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin) Date of Receipt: 01/10/2008 Client Contact: Rose Llovd (of first sample) Client Ref: 2006-114-01 **Detection Method** 5 DAY ATU Calculation KONE KONE METER METER SPECTRO SPECTRO Method Detection Limit <2mg/l <0.3mg/l <1mq/l <0.3mg/l <0.014mS/cm napH Units <15mg/l <0.2mg/l UKAS Accredited [Testing Laboratory] No. 1291 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Electrical Conductivity 25C Ammoniacal Nitrogen N Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N ALcontrol Reference **BOD Unfiltered** Sample Identity Nitrate as NO3 COD Filtered Chloride Other ID 먼 as 0 mq/l mq/l ma/l mg/l mS/cm pH Units mg/l mg/l 08-B05808-S0017 L3 UNKNOWN 193.7 8 1.0 63 3.0 1.315 7.58 44 08-B05808-S0018 L4 UNKNOWN 11 < 0.3 135 < 0.3 2.637 7.33 92 71.7

Notes : METHOD DETECTION LIMITS ARE NOT ALWAYS ACHIEVABLE DUE TO VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES BEYOND OUR CONTROL.

NDP = NO DETERMINATION POSSIBLE

Checked By : Mark Butler

Interim

V

Validated



ALcontrol Laboratories (Dublin)

18a Rosemount Business Park, Ballycoolin, Dublin 11 Ireland Tel: +353 (0) 1 8829893 Fax: +353 (0) 1 8829895

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Floor 2 Mill House Ashtowngate Navan Road Dublin 15

Attention: Rose Lloyd

Date: 21 October, 2008

Our Reference: 08-B05794/01

Your Reference: 2006-114-01

Location: Silliot Hill IWMF

A total of 2 samples was received for analysis on Thursday, 2 October 2008. Accredited laboratory tests are defined in the log sheet, but opinions, interpretations and on-site data expressed herein are outside the scope of ISO 17025 accreditation. We are pleased to enclose our final report, it was a pleasure to be of service to you, and we look forward to our continuing association.

Should this report require incorporation into client reports, it must be used in its entirety and not simply with the data sections alone.

Mark Gutler

Signed

Dyken Halpin

<u>Dylan Halpin</u> Team Leader Project Co-ordination

Loraine Ne Nomera

Lorraine McNamara General Manager



Mark Butler



Printed at 17:14 on 22/10/2008 ALcontrol Geochem Treland is a trading division of ALcontrol UK Limited. Registered Office: Templeborough House, Mill Close, Rotherham, S60 1BZ. Registered in England and Wales No. 4057291

ALcontrol Laboratories Ireland

Table Of Results

Ref Number: 08-B05794/01

Client: Fehily Timoney & Company (Dublin)

Sample Type: WATER Location: Silliot Hill IWMF

Date of Receipt: 02/10/2008

(of first sample)

Client Contact: Rose Lloyd Client Ref: 2006-114-01

| | Detection Method | | 5 DAY ATU | Calculation | HPLC | ICP MS | ICP OES | ICP OES | IR | KONE | KONE | Membrane Filtration | Membrane Filtration | METER | METER | SPECTRO | SPECTRO |
|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| | Method Detecti | on Limit | <2mg/l | <0.3mg/l | <0.01mg/l | <2ug/l | <0.2mg/l | <0.2mg/l | <2mg/l | <1mg/l | <0.3mg/l | <1cfu/250ml | <1cfu/250ml | <0.014mS/cm | napH Units | <15mg/l | <0.2mg/ |
| UKAS Accredite | ed [Testing Laborato | ry] No. 1291 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \checkmark | | | \checkmark | 1 | ✓ | | | \checkmark | 1 | 1 | |
| ALcontrol Reference | Sample Identity | Other ID | BOD Unfiltered | Total Oxidised Nitrogen as N | Total Phenols | Dissolved Iron Low Level | Dissolved Potassium | Dissolved Sodium | Total Organic Carbon | Chloride | Nitrate as NO3 | Faecal Coliforms* | Total Coliforms* | Electrical Conductivity @ 25C | рН | COD Filtered | Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N |
| | | | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | ug/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | mg/l | cfu/250ml | cfu/250ml | mS/cm | pH Units | mg/l | mg/l |
| 08-B05794-S0003 | L1 | UNKNOWN | 26 | 0.6 | - | 11-11-1 | - | - | - | 700 | 2.1 | - | - | 6.500 | 8.18 | 451 | 518.1 |
| 08-B05794-S0004 | PW15 | UNKNOWN | - | <0.3 | 0.20 | 1968 | 2.3 | 10.3 | 5 | 32 | | 152 | 1000 | 0.813 | 7.81 | - | 5.1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes ' | METHOD DETECTION I | MITS ARE NO | T ALWAYS | ACHIEVAR | LE DUE TO | VARIOUS | CIRCUMST | ANCES BEY | OND OUR | CONTROL | | | NDP = NO | DETERM | INATION PO | SSTBLE | |

Checked By : Mark Butler

Interim

Validated

 \checkmark

* SUBCONTRACTED TO OTHER LABORATORY / ** SAMPLES ANALYSED AT THE CHESTER LABORATORY

Appendix III Water Balances









| Month | Rainfall (mm/month) | Area (m²) | Effective rainfall (% of actual) | Effective Rainfall | Leachate generated (m ³ /month) |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| January | 111.10 | 79,000 | 57 | 63.33 | 500 |
| February | 18.70 | 79,000 | 57 | 10.66 | 84 |
| March | 98.90 | 79,000 | 57 | 56.37 | 445 |
| April | 33.00 | 79,000 | 57 | 18.81 | 149 |
| Мау | 37.10 | 79,000 | 57 | 21.15 | 167 |
| June | 70.20 | 79,000 | 57 | 40.01 | 316 |
| July | 102.00 | 79,000 | 57 | 58.14 | 459 |
| August | 172.20 | 79,000 | 57 | 98.15 | 775 |
| September | 123.50 | 79,000 | 57 | 70.40 | 556 |
| October | 112.30 | 79,000 | 57 | 64.01 | 506 |
| November | 53.80 | 79,000 | 57 | 30.67 | 242 |
| December | 53.20 | 79,000 | 57 | 30.32 | 240 |
| Total | | | | | 4,440 |

Estimated volume of leachate generated in Phase 1 in 2008

<u>Notes</u>

1. Infiltration is estimated at 10%

2. The effective rainfall percentage was determined from the mean values for the period from 1961 - 1990.

| Year | Month | Rainfall (mm/month) | Area of old Civic Amenity Centre (m ²) | Area of new Civic Amenity Building (m ²) | Leachate generated (m ³ /month) |
|------|-----------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| 2006 | January | 111.10 | 870 | 160 | 114.4 |
| | February | 18.70 | 870 | 160 | 19.3 |
| | March | 98.90 | 870 | 160 | 101.9 |
| | April | 33.00 | 870 | 160 | 34.0 |
| | Мау | 37.10 | 870 | 160 | 38.2 |
| | June | 70.20 | 870 | 160 | 72.3 |
| | July | 102.00 | 870 | 160 | 105.1 |
| | August | 172.20 | 870 | 160 | 177.4 |
| | September | 123.50 | 870 | 160 | 127.2 |
| | October | 112.30 | 870 | 160 | 115.7 |
| | November | 53.80 | 870 | 160 | 55.4 |
| | December | 53.20 | 870 | 160 | 54.8 |
| | Total | | | | 960.8 |

Estimated volume of leachate generated from the Civic Amenity Centre in 2008

Estimated volume of leachate generated from the Transfer Station in 2008

| Year | Month | Rainfall (mm/month) | Area of Transfer Station (m ²) | Leachate generated (m ³ /month) |
|------|-----------|------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | |
| 2006 | January | 111.10 | 2,450 | 272.20 |
| | February | 18.70 | 2,450 | 45.82 |
| | March | 98.90 | 2,450 | 242.31 |
| | April | 33.00 | 2,450 | 80.85 |
| | Мау | 37.10 | 2,450 | 90.90 |
| | June | 70.20 | 2,450 | 171.99 |
| | July | 102.00 | 2,450 | 249.90 |
| | August | 172.20 | 2,450 | 421.89 |
| | September | 123.50 | 2,450 | 302.58 |
| | October | 112.30 | 2,450 | 275.14 |
| | November | 53.80 | 2,450 | 131.81 |
| | December | 53.20 | 2,450 | 130.34 |
| | Total | | | 2,416 |

| | | | Area of Waste Acceptance (m ²) | | | | | | | Volume of Rainfal | I Infiltration (m ³ |) | | |
|-------|-------------------|----------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Year | Total Rainfall | Tonnages of waste | Total | Active area | Intermediately capped | P | ermanently capp | ed | Active area | Intermediately capped | Permanently capped | Total Volume | Volume Absorbed by Waste | Infiltration through to Groundwat |
| | (mm.) | (t) | | | | | | | | | | | (m ³) | (m ³) |
| | | | | A (m2) | RCA | Phase 1A | Phase 1B | Phase 2 | А | А | А | | aW | IRCA |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1988 | 824.4 | 76,000 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | | 8,244 | 17,065 | - | 25,309 | 7,600 | 17,7 |
| 1989 | 718 | 80,750 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | | 7,180 | 14,863 | - | 22,043 | 8,075 | 13,9 |
| 1990 | 8/1.1 | 85,500 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | | 8,711 | 18,032 | - | 26,743 | 8,550 | 18,1 |
| 1991 | ///.6 | 85,500 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | | /,//6 | 16,096 | - | 23,872 | 8,550 | 15,3 |
| 1992 | 699.3 | 85,500 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | | 6,993 | 14,476 | - | 21,469 | 8,550 | 12,9 |
| 1993 | 971.3 | 102,243 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | | 9,713 | 20,100 | - | 29,819 | 10,224 | 19,5 |
| 1994 | 872.0 | 94,370 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | | 8,720 7,070 | 16,003 | - | 20,789 | 9,437 | 17,5 |
| 1995 | 191.9 847 0 | 86 728 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | | 1,919 8 472 | 10,517 | - | 24,490 | 8,010 | 10,4 |
| 1990 | 758 5 | 75,000 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 69,000 | - | | _ | 7 585 | 17,337 | - | 20,009 | 7 500 | 17,5 |
| 1997 | 1011.5 | 75,000 | 79,000 | 10,000 | 09,000 | | 79.000 | - | 7,565 | 15,701 | 4 555 | 23,200 | 7,500 | 45 |
| 1999 | 887 | _ | 79,000 | _ | - | | 79,000 | | _ | - | 3 994 | - | _ | 3.9 |
| 2000 | 942 | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79,000 | | - | - | 4.242 | - | _ | 4.2 |
| 2001 | 541.9 | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79,000 | | - | - | 2.440 | - | - | 2.4 |
| 2002 | 986.0 | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79.000 | | - | - | 4.440 | - | - | 4.4 |
| 2003 | | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79,000 | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2004 | | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79,000 | | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | 624.2 | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79,000 | | - | - | 2,811 | - | - | 2,8 |
| 2006 | 676.5 | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79,000 | | - | - | 3,046 | - | - | 3,0 |
| 2007 | 811.0 | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79,000 | | - | - | 3,652 | - | | 3,6 |
| 2008 | 986.0 | - | 79,000 | - | - | | 79,000 | 24000.00 | - | - | 6,806 | - | - | 6,8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| TOTAL | 12,506 | 851,959 | | | | | | | | | | Total | Volume Produced | 200,6 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 |

Volume of Rainfall Infiltration through to Groundwater from Phase 1 of Silliot Hill Landfill

Assumptions:

Areas of active and intermediate capping have been assumed 1 -

2 100.00% Infiltration through active areas

3

4

30.00% Infiltration through active areas 30.00% Infiltration through permanently soil capped areas 10.00% Infiltration through permanently synthetic capped areas 57.00% Evapotranspiration from permanently capped areas 4

5

| Year | Month | Rainfall (mm/month) | Volume of Leachate generated from Phase 1 | Volume of Leachate generated from Phase 2 | Volume of Leachate generated from Civic Amenity Centre | Volume of Leachate generated from Transfer Station | Total estimated volume of leachate generated on site | Leachate removed (m3/month) |
|------|-----------|------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2008 | January | 111.10 | 500 | 800 | 114 | 272 | 1,687 | 1,728 |
| | February | 18.70 | 84 | 135 | 19 | 46 | 284 | 1,828 |
| | March | 98.90 | 445 | 712 | 102 | 242 | 1,502 | 1,962 |
| | April | 33.00 | 149 | 238 | 34 | 81 | 501 | 811 |
| | May | 37.10 | 167 | 267 | 38 | 91 | 563 | 742 |
| | June | 70.20 | 316 | 505 | 72 | 172 | 1,066 | 1,085 |
| | July | 102.00 | 459 | 734 | 105 | 250 | 1,549 | 1,732 |
| | August | 172.20 | 775 | 1,240 | 177 | 422 | 2,615 | 716 |
| | September | 123.50 | 556 | 889 | 127 | 303 | 1,875 | 323 |
| | October | 112.30 | 506 | 809 | 116 | 275 | 1,705 | 293 |
| | November | 53.80 | 242 | 387 | 55 | 132 | 817 | 783 |
| | December | 53.20 | 240 | 383 | 55 | 130 | 808 | 938 |
| | TOTAL | 986 | 4,440 | 7,099 | 1,016 | 2,416 | 14,970 | 12,938 |
| | | | - | - | | - | • | |

Actual volume of leachate removed from facility during 2008

Appendix IV Incidents & Complaints









Lumville

FAX: (045) 441020

CURRAGH, CO. KILDARE. TELEPHONE: (045) 441376



21/12/07 Dear Mr. Titzpatrick KILDARE CO COUNCIL can not be serious about recycling let me explain. We have a commercial premises, I sort tetra pack, plastic milk/cream containers, soft plastic, tins, mineral bottles into individual CLEAR plastic bags, so at a glance one can see exactly what each bag contains. The powers that be in Silliot Hill insist that the recycler post through a hole, or a slot (not much bigger than a letter box) for tetra each individual item, sometimes for me 300 or more units. NO exceptions made, no matter how many or how carefully the items are sorted. What STUPIDITY only Kildare Co. Council would think it up, to put one off recycling. As a small service to commercial customers who want to recycle, and who pay rates, in our case 6,900 euro per year, plus pay to recycle, it would be so nice if an official would glance at the clear plastic bag, see its contents take it and tip it into the bins provided, or as an alternative let me tip it into the bin myself, but NO WAY that courtesy would be given, I just met the BRICK WALL. Today 19th. Dec. 07 I did not have time to play the game of posting items through holes courtesy of Kildare Co. Council so I dumped tetra pack, plastic bottles, and soft plastic. The manager of the facility told me it was my privilege to do so. My question is, where is the sense in this....or where is the encouragement to recycle? No provision by Kildare Co Council for small business recycling. May I wish you and your family a happy Christmas. Sincerely. uala tambe Nuala Lambe

Proprietors: Michael Lambe, Nuala Lambe.

Kildare North tor K to Se KILDARE COUNTY COUNCIL 0 + JAN 2008 3 January 2008 ÁRAS CHILL DARA DEVOY PARK, NAAS. County Secretary Kildare County Council Aras Chill Dara **Devoy Park** Naas Attn: Environment Section Re: Recycling KCC Facilities -Nuala Lambe, Lumville House, The Cyrragh £ 1. Dear Secretary (IOA Please see attached letter from Ms Nuala Lambe. The issues raised are very important and I would appreciate your comments on her letter as soon as possible. We all need to help provide better facilities for recycling in Kildare.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Yours sincerely.

()

Michael fits Potenth

Michael Fitzpatrick T.D.

iCe1 Ref No: 77-284 04-2



Constituency Office: South Main Street, Naas, Co. Kildare. Tel: 045 888438 Fax: 045 888437 Dáil Éireann: Leinster House, Kildare Street, Dublin 2 Tel: 01 6183712 Fax: 01 6184196



Kildare Co. Council. Indegrated Waste Management Facility. Silliothill, Killeullen, Phone 045/482229, Fax. 045/482230, E-Mail gcrehan@kildarecoco.ie 10/01/2008.

Mr. M. Holligan Senior Engineer. Environment.

Re: Letter from Nula Lambe, Lumville House,

With reference to Ms. Lambe's letter dated 21/12/2007 to Michael Fitzpatrick T.D. which I received today, I wish to point out the following:-

The facility here is a Civic Amenity Site which was built for the use of members of the Public and not for the use by the Commercial Sector. However we do accept waste and recycling from both. With regard to recycling materials, we here at Silliot Hill had to put in place a system where the customer separates and segregates the recyclate. The reason for this was brought about due to health and safety reasons. When we accepted bags of recyclate previously from people we found on numerous occasions that the bags contained the following items:-

- (a). Broken Glass.
- (b). Broken Pottery.
- ©. Syringes.
- (d) Dirty Nappies.
- (e) Used Paper hankies.
- (f) Other Sanitary Items.

On other occasions we found bags of rubbish which should have gone to landfill among the bags of recycling.

Had any of our operatives got cut with broken glass, stuck by a syringe or contacted some disease from dirty nappies etc. both Kildare Co. Council and me as Facility Manager would be held responsible by the Health and Safety Authority.

The Health and Safety of all employees on site is paramount and all necessary steps to keep it so will be put in place by me.

Since we opened the new facility and the recycling hub all customers must separate and segregate their recycling into the various portholes. The Staff have been informed on numerous occasions not to take bags of recycling from any member of the public. If we were to make an exception to this rule for Ms. Lambe it would not be fair to

other users who do not have any difficulty in using the system.

Ms. Lambe points out in her letter that she is bringing material from a commercial premises and has large quantities of recyclate and should be allowed to empty it into the cages on site. As she is coming from a commercial premises, I will allow her to use the facility in a different manner than the ordinary public. I will require that Ms. Lambe books in her waste in advance and she will be given a time that is suitable to our operations. This facility is afforded to the commercial sector who bring WEEE to our sites. Ms. Lambe will have to empty the recyclate herself and should there be any foreign matter contained within she will have to remove it. The charge for Commercial recycling of \notin 22/Tonne will apply. The Staff at Silliot Hill will not be allowed to handle by hand any of this material.

I hope this meets with your satisfaction.

Gerard V. Crehan M.Sc. M.C.I.W.M. Facility Manager.





Officer Weighbridge











SLOPE STABILITY REPORT

SILLIOT HILL INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY, KILCULLEN, COUNTY KILDARE

WASTE LICENCE W0014-01

Original

February 2009

CLIENT: KILDARE COUNTY COUNCIL







SLOPE STABILITY REPORT

SILLIOT HILL INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY, KILCULLEN, COUNTY KILDARE

WASTE LICENCE W0014-01

Сору

February 2009

CLIENT: KILDARE COUNTY COUNCIL







SLOPE STABILITY REPORT

SILLIOT HILL INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT FACILITY, KILCULLEN, COUNTY KILDARE

WASTE LICENCE W0014-01

User is Responsible for Checking the Revision Status of This Document

| Rev. Nr. | Description of Changes | Prepared by: | Checked by: | Approved by: | Date: |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|
| 0 | Issue to client | AG/MG | 4 | - iR | 03/02/09 |

Client: Kildare County Council.

Keywords: Silliot Hill, landfill, capping, slope stability.

Abstract: This slope stability report was carried out in order to comply with the waste licence. Analyses of deep rotational and shallow translational slip failures of the waste slopes are presented.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

| 1. INT | | 1 |
|--|--|---|
| 1.1. 1.2. 1.3. 1.4. 1.5. | Purpose Site Description Slope Stability Analysis Method Limitations of Slope Stability Analyses Factors Controlling the Stability of Landfill Slopes | 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 2. DES | SIGN CRITERIA | 2 |
| 2.1. 2.2. 2.3. 2.4. 2.5. 2.6. 2.7. | SLOPE GEOMETRY GEOLOGY PHYSICAL MAKE-UP WASTE PARAMETERS PROPERTIES OF THE SUPPORTING SOIL AND CAPPING LAYER LEACHATE LEVELS WITHIN THE WASTE MATERIAL SURCHARGE | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 |
| 3. RES | SULTS | 4 |
| 3.1. 3.2. | SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSES FACTORS OF SAFETY | 4 |
| 4. DIS | SCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS | 10 |
| REFER | RENCES | 11 |

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE

| TABLE 2.1: | SHEAR STRENGTH PARAMETERS FOR WASTE MATERIALS | 2 |
|------------|--|---|
| TABLE 2.2: | SHEAR STRENGTH PARAMETERS FOR SUPPORTING MATERIALS | 2 |
| TABLE 2.3: | SHEAR STRENGTH PARAMETERS FOR SUPPORTING MATERIALS | 3 |
| TABLE 3.1: | SLOPE ANALYSES RESULTS | 5 |

LIST OF FIGURES & DRAWINGS

| FIGURE 3.1: | Typical deep slope failure for Section A-A (NW) (Morgensten-Price | |
|-------------|--|---|
| | METHOD) | 6 |
| FIGURE 3.2: | TYPICAL SHALLOW SLOPE FAILURE FOR SECTION A-A (SE) (BISHOP METHOD) | 7 |
| FIGURE 3.3: | TYPICAL DEEP SLOPE FAILURE FOR SECTION B-B (JANBU METHOD). | 8 |
| FIGURE 3.4: | TYPICAL DEEP SLOPE FAILURE FOR SECTION C-C (MP METHOD) | 9 |

Appendix 1: Drawing 2006-114-01-005 Rev A

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Purpose

This report presents the results of a slope stability assessment carried out for Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management facility. This is in accordance with condition 8.10.2 of the EPA waste licence issued to the site (reference: W0014-01).

1.2. Site Description

Silliot Hill Waste Management Facility was developed in a former gravel pit and operates under Waste Licence Reg. No. W0014-01.

1.3. Slope Stability Analysis Method

SLOPE/W software of **GEO-SLOPE** International Ltd. was used to assess the stability of Silliot Hill Waste Management Facility's waste embankments. SLOPE/W is a general software tool for the slope stability analysis of earth structures. It uses the limit equilibrium method of analysis by using the idea of dissecting a potential sliding mass into vertical slices. It assesses the factor of safety for both, moment and force equilibrium based on various methods, including Bishops, Janbu and Morgenstern-Price.

Using this software, it is possible to deal with complex stratigraphy, highly irregular pore-water pressure conditions, a variety of linear and nonlinear shear strength models, virtually any kind of slip surface shape, concentrated loads and pressure lines. Limit equilibrium formulations based on the method of slices are also being applied more and more to the stability analysis of structures such as tieback walls, nail or fabric reinforced slopes, and even the sliding stability of structures subjected to high horizontal loading arising, for example, from ice flows.

Traditionally, the factor of safety is defined as that factor by which the shear strength of the soil must be reduced in order to bring the mass of soil into a state of limiting equilibrium along a selected slip surface. The results of the analysis show the overall stability of the embankment expressed as a factor of safety. The definition of factor of safety used within SLOPE/W is:

 $F = \frac{\text{Available restoring moment (or forces)}}{\text{Total disturbing moment (or forces)}}$

1.4. Limitations of Slope Stability Analyses

Updated shear strength parameters for the landfill waste has been estimated based on parameters used by Kolsch (1995).

Groundwater or leachate in landfills may occur in irregular perched bodies as opposed to interconnected liquid bodies. For the purposes of this analysis a natural groundwater/leachate table only has been assumed in analyses based on maximum 2007 leachate levels within the nearest available monitoring locations. At the time of writing, leachate levels for 2008 were not yet available.

1.5. Factors Controlling the Stability of Landfill Slopes

The factors controlling the stability of landfill slopes are:

- Slope geometry
- Geology
- Properties of the landfill wastes
- Properties of the supporting soil
- Groundwater/leachate levels within the waste
- Groundwater levels in the supporting soil
- Surcharge.
2. DESIGN CRITERIA

2.1. Slope Geometry

Using the latest topographical survey by Focus Surveys Ltd (Drawing No. 02-081_1,Rev K, typical cross-sections through the waste slopes of the site were taken at the locations shown on FTC Drawing 2006-114-01-005 Rev A. The side slopes analysed comprised both the steepest observed areas (Section A-A) and other typical slopes on the site (Slopes B-B and C-C).

Section A-A comprises slope two (northwest and southeast). The northwest slope is approximately 48 m long, 10 m high with a maximum slope of 1:1.5 (vertical : horizontal) while the southeast slope is approximately 43 m long, 7 m high, with a maximum slope of 1:2.3. Slope B-B is approximately 40 m long, 7 m high, with a maximum slope of 1:3.2. Slope C-C is approximately 60 m long, 6 m high, with a maximum slope of 1:5. The sections are presented in Figures 3.1 to 3.4. All of the slopes were capped and grassed at the time of writing.

2.2. Geology

The site is underlain by the Carrighill Formation, which comprises greywacke, siltstones and shales with a calcareous matrix of principally iron-rich dolomite.

Glacial and glaciofluvial deposits are generally very thick in this area. Deposits are commonly 30 m thick and can reach thicknesses in excess of 70 m in the Curragh and Blessington areas. In central and southern Kildare, these deposits are generally sands and gravels.

The waste facility is located in a former gravel pit and the site is underlain by coarse gravel deposits.

2.3. Physical Make-up

The slopes considered for analyses consist of the following layers, as outlined in Drawing 2006-114-01-005 Rev A.

- 0.5 to 1.5 m layer clay capping material
- Waste body
- Underlying Gravel

2.4. Waste Parameters

Table 2.1 below shows the parameters used for the landfill waste materials.

Table 2.1: Shear Strength Parameters for Waste Materials

| Material | Waste (Old) | Waste (Fresh) | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Cohesion (c') | 10 kN/m ² | 10 kN/m ² | | |
| Effective friction angle (\phi') | 22° | 15° | | |
| Unit weight γ | 11 kN/m ³ | 9.5 kN/m ³ | | |

The parameters shown in Table 2.1 above are the typical range of values from published papers on the properties of waste. For the purpose of this analysis, the parameters for fresh waste have been adopted as these will present the most conservative results.

2.5. Properties of the Supporting Soil and Capping Layer

Table 2.2 below shows the parameters used for the clay capping and the underlying coarse gravel.

Table 2.2: Shear Strength Parameters for Supporting Materials

| Material | Clay Capping | Gravel |
|---|-----------------|--------|
| Cohesion, c', kN/m ² | 4 | 0 |
| Friction angle, ϕ' , $^{\circ}$ | 29 | 35 |
| Bulk unit weight, γ, kN/m ³ | 18 | 21 |

2.6. Leachate Levels within the Waste Material

To assess the effects of leachate levels within the waste, the level of leachate at each slope location was obtained from monthly monitoring data for 2007 (2008 data was not available at the time of writing). This level was then set at the highest level recorded at each slope location in the various models. The leachate levels modelled were as follows:

Table 2.3: Shear Strength Parameters for Supporting Materials

| Slope | Slope - Toe to Leachate Depth (m) | Elevation (mAOD) | | |
|-------------|---|---------------------|--|--|
| A-A (NW) | 2.2 | 133.6 | | |
| A-A (SE) | 4.3 | 134.5 | | |
| B-B | 2.0 | 136.0 | | |
| C-C | 6.5 | 136.0 | | |

2.7. Surcharge

A surcharge 20 kN/m² was applied to the top of the slopes during the analyses to simulate the movement of vehicles on the slopes.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Slope Stability Analyses

Eight models were run for the representative sections to assess the slope stability of the landfill waste embankments. The results of those analyses are summarised in Table 3.1 with factors of safety calculated for Bishop, Janbu and Morgenstern-Price methods. Table 3.1 also gives the location of each slope, the material parameters applied, the leachate level simulated, and the length of the relevant slip.

Four typical slope analyses are presented graphically for each slopes and given in Figures 3.1 through 3.4.

3.2. Factors of Safety

Factors of safety for potential slope failures (Table 3.1) ranged from 1.56 to 2.86. A factor of safety of 1.0 indicates the slope is in equilibrium and on the point of failure. Factors of safety greater than 1.0 indicate a margin of safety against failure. A factor of safety of 1.3 or greater is appropriate for landfill interim side slopes, with this value increasing to 1.5 for final side slopes after capping is complete.

| Slope name | Waste parameters (C, γ & φ) | Leachate Level (mAOD) | Bishop FoS | Janbu FoS | Morgenstern -Price FoS | Slip Length (m) | Slip location |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| A-A (NW) | 10, 9.5, 15 | 133.6 | 1.91 | 1.85 | 1.91 | 30 | Shallow rotational slip in clay capping and waste |
| | 10, 9.5, 15 | 133.6 | 1.72 | 1.62 | 1.71 | 34 | Deep slip through capping and waste materials |
| A-A (SE) | 10, 9.5, 15 | 134.5 | 1.69 | 1.56 | 1.69 | 15 | Shallow rotational slip in clay capping and waste |
| | 10, 9.5, 15 | 134.5 | 1.84 | 1.65 | 1.84 | 21 | Deep slip through capping and waste materials |
| B-B | 10, 9.5, 15 | 136.0 | 2.28 | 2.16 | 2.28 | 17 | Shallow rotational slip in clay capping and waste |
| | 10, 9.5, 15 | 136.0 | 2.01 | 1.80 | 2.01 | 26 | Deep slip through capping and waste materials |
| C-C | 10, 9.5, 15 | 136.0 | 2.86 | 2.51 | 2.86 | 8 | Shallow rotational slip in clay capping and waste |
| | 10, 9.5, 15 | 136.0 | 2.83 | 2.57 | 2.83 | 30 | Deep slip through capping and waste materials |

Table 3.1: Slope Analyses Results



Figure 3.1: Typical deep slope failure for Section A-A (NW) (Morgensten-Price method).

 Material #: 1
 Description: Clay Capping
 Wt: 18
 Cohesion: 4
 Phi: 29

 Material #: 2
 Description: Concrete

Material #: 4 Description: Gravel Wt: 21 Cohesion: 0 Phi: 35







Figure 3.3: Typical deep slope failure for Section B-B (Janbu method).



Figure 3.4: Typical deep slope failure for Section C-C (MP method).

 Material #: 1
 Description: Clay Capping
 Wt: 18
 Cohesion: 4
 Phi: 29

 Material #: 2
 Description: Waste Material
 Wt: 9.5
 Cohesion: 10
 Phi: 15

 Material #: 3
 Description: Gravel
 Wt: 21
 Cohesion: 0
 Phi: 35

4. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Factors of safety for potential slope failure ranged from 1.62 to 2.86. Out of the total eight case scenarios, all of the analyses have factors of safety of 1.5 or above.

Factors of safety values against deepseated failure of the landfill embankment within the waste material ranged from 1.62 to 2.83. The lengths of the potential deep-seated failures are in the range of 15 to 34 m.

It is noted that the geotechnical parameters adopted are for fresh waste and are therefore considered conservative for this site. By adopting parameters for old waste, the minimum factor of safety for the slopes will increase further.

Based on the analyses presented the landfill side slopes are considered stable.

In order to maintain a factor of safety 1.5 or greater for final capped slopes, leachate and groundwater levels must be regularly monitored to prevent a build up of levels within the waste body and cause potential instability of the landfill slopes.

REFERENCES

- Kolsch (1995) Material values for some mechanical properties of domestic waste, Proceedings 5th Sardinia International Landfill Symposium, Vol 2, pp 711-729.
- E Kavazanjian, JR, N Matasovic & R C Bachus (1999), Large diameter static and cyclic laboratory testing of municipal solid waste, Vol 3, Sardinia Landfill Symposium pp 437-444.
- S Thomas, A A Aboura, J P Gourc, P Gotteland, H Billard, T Delineau, T Gisbert, J F Ouvry and M Vuillemin, (1999), Vol 3, Sardinia Landfill Symposium, pp 445-452.
- 4. Slope Stability Report (FTC, 2008). Silliot Hill Integrated Waste Management Facility, Kilcullen, Co. Kildare.
- Survey provided by Focus Surveys Ltd., Silliot Hill Landfill Site, dated July 2005, updated December 2007 (Focus drawing no. 02-081_1 Rev K)

Appendix 1

Existing Topographic Survey showing Section Lines for Slope Stability Analysis

Drawing 2006-114-01-005 Rev A









