#### **ATTACHMENTS TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### **SECTION B GENERAL**

**Attachment B1**: **Drawing 1** – Agglomeration Plan

Attachment B2: Drawing 2 - Site Plan & Site Boundary

**Attachment B3**: **Drawing 3** – Location of Primary Discharge

**Drawing 4** – Monitoring and Sampling Locations Associated with Primary

Discharge Point

Attachment B6: Part 8 Document

Attachment B8: Drawing 5 -Location of Site Notice

Site and Newspaper Notice

### SECTION C INFRASTRUCTURE & OPERATION

Attachment C1: Drawing 6 - Pumping Station Locations

**Drawing 7** – General Arrangement of Water Treatment Plant

**Drawing 8** – Schematic Plow Diagram of Plant

Attachment C2: Discharge Point Details

### SECTION D DISCHARGES TO THE AQUATIC ENVIRONMENT

**Attachment D1**: Table D.1 (i) (a) Emissions to Surface Water (Primary Discharge Point)

Table D.1 (i) (b) Emissions to Surface Water – Characteristics of the Emission (Primary Discharge Point)

Table D.1 (i) (c) Dangerous Substance to Surface Water – Characteristics of the Emission (Primary Discharge Point)

Table D.1 (iv) Influent Monitoring Data

Attachment D2: Tabular Data on Discharge Point

#### **SECTION E MONITORING**

**Attachment E.1:** Waste Water Frequency and Quantity of Discharge

Attachment E.2: Accreditation Certificate – Euro Environmental Services Ltd.

Attachment E.3: Tabular Data on Monitoring and Sampling Points

Attachment E.4: Sampling Data

#### <u>SECTION F</u> <u>EXISTING ENVIRONMENT & IMPACT OF THE DISCHARGE(S)</u>

Attachment F.1: Table F.1 (i)(a) Surface Water Monitoring (Primary Discharge Point –

Downstream

Table F.1 (i)(b) Surface Water Monitoring (Dangerous Substances- Primary

Discharge Point) - Downstream

Table F.1 (i)(a) Surface Water Monitoring (Primary Discharge Point – Upstream

Table F.1 (i)(b) Surface Water Monitoring (Dangerous Substances- Primary


Discharge Point) - Upstream


OPW Moyles Mill Station Details


### SECTION G PROGRAMME OF IMPROVEMENTS


**Attachment G.2**: Draft River Basin Management Plan for the Neagh Bann International River Basin District

Monaghan County Councils Phosphate Implementation Report 2006









MONAGHAN COUNTY COUNCIL PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT REPORT ON THE EXTENSION TO INNISKEEN WASTE WATER TREATMENT WORKS COMPRISING; NEW ABOVE GROUND TANKS AND ACCESS GANTRY, HIGH LEVEL SAND FILTER, PLANT AND CARETAKER BUILDING, NEW ENTRANCE, PALLISADE FENCING TO BE PROVIDED AROUND TREATMENT PLANT AND ASSOCIATED SITE WORKS AT LACKLOM, INNISKEEN, CO. MONAGHAN

This report has been prepared in accordance with Part VIII of the Planning and Development Regulations (S.I. No. 600 of 2001) and is being submitted to the members of Monaghan County Council in the course of compliance with Section 179 of the Planning & Development Act 2000

A. Nature and extent of the proposed development and the principal features thereof:

The development consists of an extension to the Inniskeen wastewater treatment plant comprising of new above ground tanks and access gantry, high level sand filter, plant and caretaker building, new entrance, fencing to be provided around treatment plant and associated works at Lacklom, Inniskeen.

B. Evaluation of the likely implication of the proposed development with respect to the proper planning and development of the area:

The proposed development is adjoining the existing wastewater treatment plant. Access to the site is proposed via a new roadway. Views of the existing and proposed site/structures are available, by virtue of the topography of the land. To mitigate the visual impacts a landscaping scheme has been proposed. The visual impact of the proposal will be limited, having regard to these points and the restricted size and form of the structures proposed.

The proposal involves the relocation of the access route to the plant, creating a new access point to the south west it is not considered that this will impact unduly upon the dwelling to the south west of this access point and will in fact improve road safety from the development

The nearest dwelling is located to the south west of the application site. The current distance between the dwelling and the existing sludge bed is 90 metres approximately. The distance between the dwelling and the new structures of the sludge tank, plant building and settling tank will be 77m, 84m and 87m respectively. It is considered that subject to the satisfactory environmental safeguards being implemented in conjunction with the development the development will not have a significant material impact upon the dwelling. Although not a determining factor it is noted that the applicant constructed the dwelling in full knowledge of the positioning of the existing waste water treatment system.

The proposed infrastructure will allow the further development of the area which is in accordance with the development strategy for the County

C. List of the persons/bodies who made submissions/observations with respect to the proposed development:

ERFB has submitted a response. The submission includes the following points -: welcomes the proposal to upgrade the treatment plant and note that the proposal includes the chemical precipitation of phosphorus in the treatment process. Recommend that all chemicals be adequately stored, that the board is consulted in relation to backside or in stream works, and precautions are taken during construction works to prevent discharges of solids or matters to the watercourse. Important to ensure that the development does not have a negative impact on the River Fane.

D. Summary of the issues with respect to the proper planning and development of the area raised by persons/bodies who made submissions/observations and the Council's response thereto:

Five submissions received in connection with the proposal. These were received from

- 1. Inniskeen Tidy Town Committee (2)
- 2. Inniskeen Development Group
- 3. Noel McArdle who attached a technical submission by Frank Aitken and Associates, an endorsement of the submission by 3 elected representatives (M Carthy, V Martin and N Keelan), and an endorsement by 10 Inniskeen residents
- 4. Joseph and Martina McArdle

The main issues included in the submissions related to the visual impacts of the proposed development. The following points are included

- It is important that plenty of trees should be planted as a surround for the development to screen the works from public view. Consideration should be given to carrying these works out in the shortest time possible.
- Tanks should be lowered by two metres and the screen planting should be put on a raised bank to screen the tanks. Suggested that the soil bank should be raised 1.5 metres over the existing road level on the south side and continued all the way around on both east side and west side
- Submission of details/photographs of other similar developments in Monaghan and the impact on villages thereon
- The importance of this is based on promoting the Inniskeen area, retaining the existing character of the area and that the site is located at an entrance point into the village

It is considered reasonable that the development should be landscaped and screened from public view. The current proposal incorporates a 2.4metres high palisade fence, with a five metre swath of trees, in three rows. Whilst generally acceptable on a flat site with limited views it is acknowledged that the road does overlook the site and views would be available from the roadside particularly when entering the village from a south eastern direction. The form of mitigation (lowering of the tanks, planting and earth mounding) proposed by the objectors does however appear excessive particularly upon viewing sections through the site. These indicate that when viewed from straight in front of the site, due to the lower nature of the development, only glimpses of the sludge tank (highest structure) should be available. Views will be available of the western elevation and it is this area that the additional mounding is particularly required. In the interests of further restricting views additional mounding is recommended to the whole roadside area. This matter should be conditioned. Should this work be carried out it is not considered necessary to require the tanks to be further lowered as the landscaping/mounding provisions would screen the structures satisfactorily

### E. Conclusions: No objections.

Having considered the proper planning and sustainable development of the area I recommend Monaghan County Council proceed with the development as proposed, subject to the attached conditions:

P.a Call

2 Feb 2006

Paul Clifford Director Of Services Date

### P05/8021 - Monaghan Co Co - Lacklom, Inniskeen, Co Monaghan

- 1. Appropriate procedures to detect pump failure/blockage etc and prevent any discharge of polluting matter to surface or ground waters to be put in place.
- 2. Spill containment measures for the delivery of bulk chemicals shall be installed prior to commencement of further works on site.
- 3. a. The new entrance shall form a bellmouth of 6 metres radius with edge of new boundary. Entrance gates shall open inwards only. Recessed entrance shall be provided of sufficient dimensions to contain a stationary vehicle off the public road.
  - b. Sight distance of 80 metres in each direction shall be provided from a point in the entrance 3 metres from the road edge and 1 metre above ground level. Sight distances shall be measured to the nearside road edge in both directions. Where it is necessary to remove hedges in order to achieve this sight distance, the new boundary shall be located clear of sightlines.
  - c. The Area within the visibility splays shall be cleared to provide a level surface no higher than 250mm above the level of the adjoining carriageway and shall be retained and kept clear thereafter. Any pole or column materially affecting visibility shall also be removed. No work shall commence on site until the visibility splays have been provided.
  - d. Entrance/Access road to be surfaced with concrete or bitmac from edge of public road for a minimum of 5 metres.
  - e. Provision to be made within the site for surface water drainage and no surface water to be allowed flow onto the public roadway.
- 4. Measures to be taken during construction to prevent the discharge of suspended solids or other harmful matter to the watercourse
- 5. A revised site layout plan plans shall be submitted and implemented that incorporates the following provisions:
  - a) A 1.5 metre high mound shall be constructed along the south western (lateral) boundary and south eastern (roadside) boundary of the site. The mound is to incorporate a five metre swathe of trees comprising of thorn, beech, ash, oak, hazel, sycamore and holly and the 2.4 metre palisade fence as proposed on the submitted plans

The mounding and landscaping scheme shall be implemented in the first planting season following this decision

- 6. a. All trees and hedgerows bounding the site, existing and proposed, to be permanently retained in this development, and to be reinforced with additional planting and to be protected from damage at all times, particularly during building operations.
  - b. Planting as required above to be carried out in the first planting season following permission being granted and permanently retained thereafter. Any plant which fails in the first planting season to be replaced.

### P05/8021 - Monaghan Co Co - Lacklom, Inniskeen, Co Monaghan

7. Subject to the above conditions, development shall be carried out in strict conformity with lodged plans and specifications.

### REASONS FOR THE IMPOSITION OF THE ABOVE CONDITIONS ARE:

- 1. In the interests of proper planning and the protection of public health.
- 2. In the interests of proper planning and the protection of public health.
- 3. In the interest of proper planning and traffic safety.
- 4. To protect the amenity of the area.
- 5. To protect the amenity of the area
- 6. To protect the amenity of the area
- 7. To prevent unauthorised development.

Paul Clifford Date
Director of Services

Lot integration purpose only any other task.


Lot integration purpose only to the first part of t



### Eastern Regional Fisheries Board

Bord Iascaigh Réigiúnach an Oirthir

Planning Department Monaghan County Council County Offices Glen Road Monaghan



Fisheries Ireland Our Natural Heritage

25<sup>th</sup> January, <u>2</u>006

Our Ref: MK/Fane/Planning

Re: Planning Application - Monaghan County Council

Ref: 05/8021

application for extension to Inniskeen Wastewater Treatment Plant at Lacklomania includes the Chemical precipite.

The Board welcomes the proposal to upgrade the treatment plant. We note the proposal includes the Chemical precipitation of Phosphorous in the treatment process.

We would ask that the following issues are taken on board when determining the

- All chemicals should be stored in adequately bunded areas.
- The Board should be consulted in relation to any bandside or instream works that may take place during the construction phase.
- All precautions should be taken during the construction phase to prevent discharges of suspended solids or any other deleterious matter to watercourses.

The treated effluent from the plant discharges to the River Fane. As this river is a valuable salmonid river, it is important to ensure that the proposed development does not have a negative impact on the aquatic habitat.

Please inform the Board of your full decision in due course.


Yours faithfully

Michaela Kirrae BEED.

Pat Doherty

**Acting Chief Executive Officer** 

The Eastern Regional Fisheries Board 15a Main Street Blackrock Co. Dublin T: [01] 278 7022 F: (01) 278 7025 E: info@erfb.ie www.fishingireland.net



### SITE NOTICE



### Monaghan County Council

### APPLICATION TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR A WASTE WATER DISCHARGE LICENCE

Notice is hereby given that Monaghan County Council of County Offices, The Glen, Monaghan, Co. Monaghan is applying to the Environmental Protection Agency for a Waste Water Discharge Licence for the Inniskeen Waste Water Works at Lackdom, Co. Monaghan in accordance with the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007).

The Waste Water Works comprises a network of gravity sewers, a number of small pumping stations and associated rising main and a Waste Water Treatment Plant serving Inniskeen and its environs.

The primary discharge from the Waste Water Treatment Works is directly to the Fane River (at National Grid Reference 293924E 306703N) in the townland of Lacklom, Co. Monaghan. The associated Waste Water Treatment Plant is located at National Grid Reference 293924E 306661N also in the townland of Lacklom, Co. Monaghan.

A copy of the waste water discharge licence application shall, as soon as practicable after receipt by the Environmental Protection Agency, be available for inspection or purchase at the

• Environmental Protection Agency, PO Box 3000, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford, Lo Call 1980 335599 Telephone: 053-9160600 Fax: 053-9160699 Email: <a href="mailto:info@epa.ie">info@epa.ie</a>.

#### and at

 Monaghan County Council Offices, The Glen, Monaghan, Co Monaghan, Telephone: 047-30500, Fax: 047-82739

Submissions in relation to this application may be made to the Environmental Protection Agency at its headquarters described above.

Signed: David Fallon Director of Services Date: 26/03/2009

## Public Notices Announce

# Comhairle Contae Mhuineacháin www. MONAGHAN COUNTY

### APPLICATION TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR A WASTE WATER DISCHARGE LICENCE

Notice is hereby given that Monaghan County Council of County Offices, The Glen, Monaghan, Co. Monaghan is applying to the Environmental Protection Agency for a Waste Water Discharge Licence for the Emyvale Waste Water Works at Derrygasson Upper, Co. Monaghan in accordance with the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007).

The Waste Water Works comprises a network of gravity sewers, a number of small pumping stations and associated rising main and a Waste Water Treatment Plant serving Emyvale and its environs.

The primary discharge from the Waste Water Treatment Works is directly to the Mountain Water River (at National Grid Reference 267964 E, 343554N) in the townland of Derrygasson Upper, Co. Monaghan. The associated Waste Water Treatment Plant is located at National Grid Reference 267951E, 343612N also in the townland of Derrygasson Upper, Co. Monaghan.

A copy of the waste water discharge licence application shall, as soon as practicable after receipt by the Environmental Protection Agency, be available for inspection or purchase at the

- Environmental Protection Agency, PO Box 3000, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford, Lo Call 1980 335599
   Telephone: 053-9160600 Fax: 053-9160699 Email: info@epaie.
- Monaghan County Council Offices, the Glen, Monaghan, Co Monaghan, Telephone: 047-30500, Fax: 047 82739
   Submissions in relation to this application may be made to the Environmental Protection Agency at its headquarters described above.
   Signed: David Fallon Date: 26/03/2009

Director of Services

### APPLICATION TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR A WASTE WATER DISCHARGE LICENCE

Notice is hereby given that Monaghan County Council of County Offices, The Glen, Monaghan, Co. Monaghan is applying to the Environmental Protection Agency for a Waste Water Discharge Licence for the existing Waste Water Works at Glaslough, Glaslough Village, Co. Monaghan in accordance with the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007).

The Waste Water Works comprises an Integrated Constructed Wetlands for the treatment of municipal sewerage serving Glaslough Village.

The primary discharge from the Waste Water Treatment Works is directly to the Mountain Water River (at National Grid Reference 272194E 342230N) in the townland of Glaslough, Co. Monaghan. The associated Integrated Constructed Wetlands is located at National Grid Reference 272027E 342135N also in the townland of Glaslough, Co. Monaghan.

A copy of the waste water discharge licence application shall, as soon as practicable after receipt by the Environmental Protection Agency, be available for inspection or purchase at the

- Environmental Protection Agency, PO Box 3000, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford, Lo Call 1980 335599 Telephone: 053-9160600 Fax: 053-9160699 Email: info@epa.ie.
- Monaghan County Council Offices, The Glen, Monaghan, Co Monaghan, Telephone: 047-30500, Fax: 047-82739
   Submissions in relation to this application may be made to the Environmental Protection Agency at its headquarters described above.

Signed: David Fallon Date: 26/03/2009

### APPLICATION TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY FOR A WASTE WATER DISCHARGE LICENCE

Notice is hereby given that Monaghan County Council of County Offices, The Glen, Monaghan, Co. Monaghan is applying to the Environmental Protection Agency for a Waste Water Discharge Licence for the Inniskeen Waste Water Works at Lacklom, Co. Monaghan in accordance with the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulations 2007 (S.I. No. 684 of 2007).

The Waste Water Works comprises a network of gravity sewers, a number of small pumping stations and associated rising main and a Waste Water Treatment Plant serving Inniskeen and its environs.

The primary discharge from the Waste Water Treatment Works is directly to the Fane River (at National Grid Reference 293957E, 306683N) in the townland of Lacklom, Co. Monaghan. The associated Waste Water Treatment Plant is located at National Grid Reference 293924E, 306661N also in the townland of Lacklom, Co. Monaghan.

A copy of the waste water discharge licence application shall, as soon as practicable after receipt by the Environmental Protection Agency, be available for inspection or purchase at the

 Environmental Protection Agency, PO Box 3000, Johnstown Castle Estate, Co. Wexford, Lo Call 1980 335599

Telephone: 053-9160600 Fax: 053-9160699 Email: info@epa.ie. and at

· Monaghan County Council Offices, The Glen, Monaghan,

Co Monaghan, Telephone: 047-30500, Fax: 047-82739
Submissions in relation to this application may be made to the Environmental Protection Agency at its headquarters described above.

Signed: David Fallon Date: 26/03/2009
Director of Services

Monaghan County Council Environment Section www.monaghan.ie

# ENVIRONMENTAL AND RECREATION IMPROVEMENT FUND

Applications are now being sought from Tidy Towns Groups, Resident Associations, Youth Groups and other community based organisations wishing to avail of funding from Monaghan County Council's Environmental and Recreation Improvement Fund 2009.

The aim of this fund is to assist community groups engaged in environmental work in their locality. The type of work would typically include:-

- · Maintenance of open spaces
- Tree, shrub, hedge and flower planting
- · Litter management
- Painting
- Repair of fences, walls etc.

Application Form and Guidelines are available from the Environment Section. Contact 047 30593 or e-mail <u>imaguir2@monaghancoco.ie</u> or download from <u>www.monaghan.ie.</u>

Applications will only be accepted from members of the Tidy Towns & Residents Association Network.

Closing date for receipt of completed applications is 24th April 2009.

### Roads Act 1993 Tempo


Temporary closing of Roads pursuant to Section 75 of Roads Act 1993 at to public traffic, the road described hereunder from **Thursday 9th Apr** Works. 2017


### Road Closed

1. LS06340 & LS06341

EPA Export 26-07-2013:13:09:30







Discharge Point/Storm Over Flow Code	orm Over Name Easting Northing Type		Туре	Receiving Waters	Receiving Water System Type	
SW1(P)	Inniskeen WWTW	293924	306703	Open Pipe Discharge	Mountain Water River	Pipe to Open Channel

Consent of convirgition burgers only any other use.

### Table D.1(i)(a): EMISSIONS TO SURFACE/GROUND WATERS (Primary Discharge Point)

Discharge Point Code: SW-1

Local Authority Ref No:	
Source of Emission:	Inniskeen Waste Water Treatment Works
Location:	Inniskeen, Lacklom, Co. Monaghan
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	293924 / 306703
Name of Receiving waters:	River Fane
Water Body:	River Water Body
River Basin District	Neagh Bann IRBD
Designation of Receiving Waters:	Not Applicable
Flow Rate in Receiving Waters:	4.027 m <sup>3</sup> .sec <sup>-1</sup> Dry Weather Flow
	0.2 m <sup>3</sup> .sec <sup>-1</sup> 95% Weather Flow
Additional Comments (e.g. commentary on zero flow or other information deemed of value)	

### **Emission Details:**

Emission Details.					
			115°.		
(i) Volume emitted			other		
Normal/day	173 m³	Maximum/daysity and	490 m³		
Maximum	20.4 m <sup>3</sup>	Period of emission	60 min/hr	24 hr/day	365 day/yr
rate/hour		(avg) Notice (avg)			
Dry Weather Flow	0.002 m³/sec	section net			

WWD Licence Application - Inniskeen Waste Water Treatment Works - Page: 2

### Table D.1(i)(b): EMISSIONS TO SURFACE/GROUND WATERS - Characteristics of The Emission (Primary Discharge Point)

Discharge Point Code: SW-1

Substance		,	As discharged	
	Unit of Measurement	Sampling Method	Max Daily Avg.	kg/day
рН	рН	24 hr flow proportional	= 7.5	
Temperature	°C	24 hr flow proportional	= 8	
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)	μS/cm	24 hr flow proportional	= 617	
Suspended Solids	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 13	2.249
Ammonia (as N)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 3.2	0.5536
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 6.5	1.1245
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 34	5.882
Total Nitrogen (as N)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 21.3	3.649
Nitrite (as N)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 0.02	0.003
Nitrate (as N)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 20.16	3.487
Total Phosphorous (as P)	mg/l	24 hr flaw ard proportional	= 3.3	0.571
OrthoPhosphate (as P)	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 1.4	0.244
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )	mg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 43.41	7.5
Phenols (Sum)	mg/l μg/l μg/l μg/l μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.1	0

For Orthophosphate: this monitoring should be undertaken on a sample filtered on 0.45 $\mu$ m filter paper For Phenols: USEPA Method 604, AWWA Standard Method 6240, or equivalent.

### Table D.1(i)(c): DANGEROUS SUBSTANCE EMISSIONS TO SURFACE/GROUND WATERS - Characteristics of The Emission (Primary Discharge Point)

Discharge Point Code: SW-1

Substance	As discharged								
	Unit of Measurement	Sampling Method	Max Daily Avg.	kg/day					
Atrazine	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.01	0					
Dichloromethane	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 1	0					
Simazine	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.01	0					
Toluene	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 1	0					
Tributyltin	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.02	0					
Xylenes	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 1	0					
Arsenic	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.96	0					
Chromium	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.93	0					
Copper	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 4.8	0.3					
Cyanide	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	< 5	0					
Flouride	μg/l	24 hr flow of proportional	= 0.08	0.005					
Lead	μg/l	24 hr. flow proportional	= 0.4	0.025					
Nickel	μg/l μg/l μg/l talingles	hr flow proportional	< 0.47	0					
Zinc	μg/l : Itsq t	24 hr flow proportional	< 4.6	0					
Boron	μg/l scott	24 hr flow proportional	< 4.2	0					
Cadmium	μg/L <sub>t</sub> tt d	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.09	0					
Mercury	μg/I	24 hr flow proportional	< 0.2	0					
Selenium	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 1	0.063					
Barium	μg/l	24 hr flow proportional	= 61.8	3.902					

For Orthophosphate: this monitoring should be undertaken on a sample filtered on 0.45µm filter paper For Phenols: USEPA Method 604, AWWA Standard Method 6240, or equivalent.

Location	Date of Sampling	Sample Type (C or G)	BOD mg/l	COD mg/l	TSS mg/l	Total P mg/l P	Ortho P mg/l P	Total N mg/l N	NH <sub>3</sub> -N mg/l N	Nitrite	TON mg/l N	TKN mg/l N	Nitrate	Conductivity uscm	DO %	DO mg/l	рН	Phenols Total	Sulphate	Temp
Influent	24/01/2008	С	178.0	412	210	2.54		4.58	5.22											
Influent	29/02/2008	С	230.0	1209	720	3.89		< 0.09	48.46											
Influent	19/03/2008	С	102.0	376	176	1.76		0.19	33.98											
Influent	24/04/2008	С	90.0	402	182	3.64		<0.09	29.08											
Influent	31/05/2008	С	217.0	541	245	2.77		0.77	18.99											
Influent	28/06/2008	С	420.0	785	346	1.86		6.90	54.87											
Influent	23/07/2008	С	278.0	632	219	2.44		3.98	23.98											
Influent	28/08/2008	С	189.0	423	176	2.77		3.24	18.98											
Influent	25/09/2008	С	341.0	765	299	4.66		0.44	34.44											
Influent	28/10/2008	С	299.0	651	298	13.22		2.22	43.33											
Influent	30/11/2008	С	240.0			5.90		5.12	6.70											
Influent	02/10/2009	С	38.0	75	30	0.95	0.558	13.67	3.90	0.332	6.39	7.28	6.07	608						
Influent	25/02/2009	С	185.0	278	138	7.52	6.1	50.99	48.5	0.01	0.03	50.96	<0.09		22.3	2.58	7.7	<.01	69.03	8.3



PT_CD	PT_TYPE	LA_NAME	RWB_TYPE	RWB_NAME	DESIGNATION	EASTING	NORTHING	VERIFIED
SW1(P)	Primary	Monaghan County Council	River	Mountain Water	Not Designated	293924	306703	N

### TABLE E.1(i): WASTE WATER FREQUENCY AND QUANTITY OF DISCHARGE – Primary and Secondary Discharge Points

Identification Code for Discharge point	Frequency of discharge (days/annum)	Quantity of Waste Water Discharged (m³/annum)
SW-1	365	63145



Wilton Park House, Wilton Place, Dublin 2, Ireland. Tel +353 1 6073003 Fax +353 1 6073109 E-mail inab@inab.ie Web www.inab.ie

# **ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE**

# **Euro Environmental Services**

Unit 35, Boyne Business Park, Drogheda, Co Louth

**Testing Laboratory** 

**Registration Number** 

only, any on

is accredited by the Irish National Accreditation Board (INAB) to undertake testing as detailed in the Schedule bearing the Registration Number detailed above, in compliance with the International Standard

ISO/IEC 37025:2005 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition

"General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories"

(This Certificate must only be read in Conjunction with the Annexed Schedule of Accreditation)

Date of ward of Accreditation: 16:08:2002 Date of last renewal of Accreditation: 14:09:2007 Expiry Date of this certificate of Accreditation: 14:09:2012

This Accreditation shall remain in force until further notice subject to continuing compliance with INAB accreditation criteria, ISO/IEC 17025 and any further requirements specified by the Irish National Accreditation Board.

Manager: Tom Dempsey

**Mr Tom Dempsey** 

Chairperson: Mare C Wall

Dr Máire Walsh

Issued on 14 September 2007

Organisations are subject to annual surveillance and are re-assessed every five years. The renewal date on this Certificate confirms the latest date of renewal of accreditation. To confirm the validity of this Certificate please contact the Irish National Accreditation Board.

The INAB is a signatory of the European co-operation for Accreditation (EA) Testing Multilateral Agreement (MLA) and the International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC) Mutual Recognition Arrangement.

Edition 10 14 - 09 - 2007

119T

Page 1 of 6



Wilton Park House Wilton Place Dublin 2

Tel: 353-1-607 3003 Fax: 353-1-607 3109 Email: inab@inab.ie http://www.inab.ie



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

# Schedule of Accreditation

# **EURO** environmental services

Chemical Testing Laboratory

Initial Accreditation Date: 09-10-2000

Postal Address: Unit 35

Boyne Business Park

Drogheda Co Louth

**Telephone:** + 353 41 984 5440

**Fax:** + 353 41 984 6171

Email: info@euroenv.ie

Web: www.euroenv.ie

Contact: Natalie O'Brien

Facilities: Public Testing Facility



Wilton Park House Wilton Place Dublin 2

Tel: 353-1-607 3003 Fax: 353-1-607 3109 Email: inab@inab.ie http://www.inab.ie



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

THE IRISH NATIONAL ACCREDITATION BOARD (INAB) is the Irish organisation for the accreditation of organisations including laboratories.

Laboratory accreditation is available to testing and calibration facilities operated by manufacturing organisations, government departments, educational institutions and commercial testing/calibration services. Indeed, any organisation undertaking testing, measurement or calibration in any area of technology can seek accreditation for the work it is undertaking.

Each accredited laboratory has been assessed by skilled specialist assessors and found to meet criteria which are in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 or ISO/IEC 15189 (medical laboratories). Frequent audits, together with periodic inter-laboratory test programmes, ensure that these standards of operation are maintained.

#### GLOSSARY OF TERMS

#### Facilities:

**Public calibration/testing service:** Commercial operations which actively seek work from others.

**Conditionally available for public calibration/testing:** Established for another primary purpose but, more commonly than not, is available for outside work.

**Normally not available for public calibration/testing:** Unavailable for public calibration/testing more often than not.

#### **Testing and Calibration Categories:**

**Category A:** Permanent laboratory calibration and testing where the laboratory is erected on a fixed location for a period expected to be greater than three years.

**Category B:** Site calibration and testing that is performed by staff sent out on site by a permanent laboratory that is accredited by the Irish National Accreditation Board.

Category C: Site calibration and testing that is performed in a site/mobile laboratory or by staff sent out by such a laboratory, the operation of which is the responsibility of a permanent laboratory accredited by the Irish National Accreditation Board.

Category D: Site calibration and testing that is performed on site by individuals and organisations that do not have a permanent calibration/testing laboratory. Testing may be performed using

- (a) portable test equipment
- (b) a site laboratory
- (c) a mobile laboratory or
- (d) equipment from a mobile or site laboratory

#### **Standard Specification or Test Procedure Used:**

The standard specification or test procedure that is accredited is the issue that is current on the date of the most recent visit, unless otherwise stated.

Laboratory users wishing to obtain assurance that calibration or test results are reliable and carried out to the Irish National Accreditation Board criteria should insist on receiving an accredited calibration certificate or test report.

Users should contact the laboratory directly to ensure that this scope of accreditation is current. INAB will on request verify the status and scope.

# **EURO** environmental services

# **Chemical Testing Laboratory**



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

#### **SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION**

INAB Classification number  Materials/products tested	Type of test/properties measured Range of measurement	Standard specifications Equipment/techniques used
766 Waters		Documented in-house methods based on
.01 Waters for potable and domestic purposes	PH(4-13) pH units	Standard Methods for the examination of Water and Wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 4500-H <sup>+</sup> B – SOP 110
05 Trade Wastes Industrial Waters	Conductivity (5μs – 100,000 μscm <sup>-1</sup> ) solity and other than	Standard Methods for the examination of Water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 2510B – SOP 112
	BOD (2-6mg/L) Ection Republication (2-6mg/L)	Standard Methods for the examination of Water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 5210B – SOP 113
	PH(4-13) pH units  Conductivity (5µs - 100,000 µscm <sup>-1</sup> )  BOD (2-6mg/L)  COD  For instanting production production in the constant of the cons	Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 5220D – SOP 107
766 Waters	c oftsetil	
.01 Waters for potable and domestic purposes .04 Sewage	Chloride (20 –10,000mg/L)	Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 4500 – C-E – SOP 100
.05 Trade Wastes Industrial Waters .99 Other Waters Surface Waters	Ammonia (0.2 – 1000 mg/L as N)	Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 4500 NH <sub>3</sub> F – SOP 114
Groundwaters	Total Oxidised Nitrogen (TON) (1 – 8 mg/L as N)	Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 4500 N0 <sub>3</sub> H – SOP 151

# **EURO** environmental services

# **Chemical Testing Laboratory**



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

#### **SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION**

	COI E OI ACCREDITATIO	
INAB Classification number  Materials/products tested	Type of test/properties measured Range of measurement	Standard specifications Equipment/techniques used
766 Waters		Documented in-house methods based on
.01 Waters for potable and domestic purposes .04 Sewage .05 Trade Wastes Industrial Waters	Orthophosphate (0.1 – 1000 mg/L as P)  Sulphate (10 – 30 mg/L as SO <sub>4</sub> )  Total Phosphate (0.1 – 0.5 mg/L as P)	Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition.  Method 4500 – P E – SOP 117
.99 Other Waters Surface Waters Groundwaters	Sulphate (10 – 30 mg/kg as SO <sub>4</sub> )	Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition. Method 4500-S O <sub>4</sub> <sup>2</sup> E- SOP 119
	Total Phosphate (0.1 – 0.5 mg/L as P) (0.5 – 4 mg/L as P)	Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition. Method 4500-P B – SOP 166
	Na, Ca, K and Mg ICP-MS run (0.5 – 100 ppm)	Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition. Method 3120 B – SOP 184
	BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes): Benzene (5 – 100μg/L) Ethylbenzene (5 – 100μg/L) Toluene (5 – 100μg/L) o-xylene (5 – 100μg/L) m,p-xylene (10 – 200μg/L)	Based on USEPA methods, 524.2 SOP 179
	THMs (Trihalomethanes): Chlororform Bromochloromethane Dibromocloromethane Bromoform (5 - 200µg/L)	Based on USEPA methods, 524.2 SOP 186

# **EURO** environmental services

# **Chemical Testing Laboratory**



Permanent Laboratory: Category A

# **SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION**

INAB Classification number  Materials/products tested	Type of test/properties measured Range of measurement	Standard specifications Equipment/techniques used
766 Waters  .01 Waters for potable and domestic purposes .05 Trade Wastes	Hardness (Total) (100 – 400 mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> ) (100 – 400 mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )  Cache de la cache de l	Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 2340 C SOP 111
	(50 in 10,000 mg/L CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 2320 B SOP 102
.04 Sewage .05 Trade Wastes Industrial Waters .99 Other Waters Surface Waters Groundwaters	Colour (Apparent) (10 – 500ptCo Units)	Standard Methods for the Examination Of Water and Wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 2120 B SOP 108
	Turbidity (0.01 – 1100 NTU)	Standard Methods for the Examination Of Water and Wastewater, 20 <sup>th</sup> Edition Method 2130 A SOP 109

# **Attachment E.3**

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

PT_CD	PT_TYPE	MON_TYPE	EASTING	NORTHING	VERIFIED
SW1(P)s	Primary	S	293918	306697	N
aSW1(P)u	Primary	М	293917	306705	N
aSW1(P)d	Primary	М	293934	306697	N

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use

# **Attachment E.4**

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

#### TABLE 1- ATTACHMENT E4

#### Inniskeen Effluent

Location	Date of Sampling	Sample Type (C or G)	BOD mg/l	COD mg/l	TSS mg/l	Total P mg/l P	Ortho P mg/l P	Total N mg/l N	NH3-N mg/l N	Nitrite	TON mg/l N	TKN mg/l N	Nitrate	Conductivit y uscm	DO %	DO mg/l	рН	Phenols Total	Sulphate	Temp
Discharge	24/01/2008	С	6.5	32	11	1.11		5.71	3.16											
Discharge	29/02/2008	С	<2	32	4	1.34		<0.09												
Discharge	19/03/2008	С	<2	19	6	0.23		1.23	1.67											
Discharge	24/04/2008	С	<2	12	<3	1.44		17.41	<0.09											
Discharge	31/05/2008	С	3.0	31	9	0.32		2.99	0.76											
Discharge	28/06/2008	С	<2	26	5	0.22		5.13	1.82											
Discharge	23/07/2008	С	<2	5	3	0.62		9.51	0.09											
Discharge	28/08/2008	С	<2	24	8	0.19		0.77	0.21											
Discharge	25/09/2008	С	<2	22	13	0.19		3.23	0.19											
Discharge	28/10/2008	С	4.5	34	10	3.33		5.88	0.22		٠٠.									
Discharge	30/11/2008	С	<2	8	3	0.76		7.83	0.24		112									
Discharge	02/10/2009	С	<2	9	5	0.51	0.5	8.03	0.12	0.02	6.91	1.12	6.89	611			7.4	<0.1	30.3	7.1
Discharge	25/02/2009	С	<2	16	<3	1.581	1.387	21.28	0.12	. 0.008	20.16	1.12	20.16	617	47	5.63	7.5	<.01	43.41	8.8

EPA Export 26-07-2013:13:09:33

TABLE 2 - ATTACHMENT E4

#### aSW1(P)u Upstream Monitoring Point

Location	Date of Sampling	Sample Type (C or G)	BOD mg/l	COD mg/l	TSS mg/l	Total P mg/l P	Ortho P mg/l P	Total N mg/l N	NH <sub>3</sub> -N mg/l N	Nitrite	TON mg/l N	TKN mg/l N	Nitrate	Conductivity uscm	DO %	DO mg/l	pН	Phenols Total	Sulphate	Temp
Upstream	24/01/2008	G	<2	21	8	0.16		2.32	0.14											
Upstream	29/02/2008	G	<2	21	10	0.18		2.24	0.12											
Upstream	19/03/2008	G	<2	21	5	0.16		2.45	0.09											
Upstream	24/04/2008	G	<2	17	4	0.02		1.38	<0.09											
Upstream	31/05/2008	G	2.0	21	8	0.18		2.33	0.19											
Upstream	28/06/2008	G	<2	11	3	0.03		0.61	0.09											
Upstream	23/07/2008	G	<2	24	3	0.08		0.66	0.09											
Upstream	28/08/2008	G	<2	17	6	0.19		0.09	0.11											
Upstream	25/09/2008	G	<2	25	5	0.12		0.67	0.16				్థ.							
Upstream	28/10/2008	G	<2	17	5	0.17		1.88	0.19			3	3.							
Upstream	30/11/2008	G	<2	17	3	0.06		1.17	0.06			ille								
Upstream	02/10/2009	G	<2	20	6	0.56	0.023	2.84	<0.06	0.01	1.72	1.12	1.71	247			7.7	<.1	18.94	3.5
Upstream	25/02/2009	G	<2	24	4	0.08	0.013	3.54	<.06	0.004	386	1.68	1.85	235	72.6	8.85	7.9	<.1	23.65	6.6

TABLE 3- ATTACHMENT E4

#### aSW1(P)d Downstream Monitoring Point

Location	Date of Sampling	Sample Type (C or G)	BOD mg/l	COD mg/l	TSS mg/l	Total P mg/l	Ortho P mg/l P	Total N mg/l	NH3-N mg/l N	Nitrite	TON mg/l N	TKN mg/l N	Nitrate	Conductivit	DO %	DO mg/l	pН	Phenols Total	Sulphate	Temp
Location	Sampling	or G)	BOD IIIg/I	COD IIIg/I	133 mg/i		Offilio F Ilig/I F	14	NITS-N IIIg/I N		TON IIIg/TN	TKN IIIg/TN		y uscm				Total		
Downstream	24/01/2008	G	<2	22	8	0.17		2.43	0.27											
Downstream	29/02/2008	G	<2	19	10	0.17		2.20	0.15											
Downstream	19/03/2008	G	<2	20	5	0.15		2.46	0.09											
Downstream	24/04/2008	G	<2	9	4	0.16		3.67	0.10											
Downstream	31/05/2008	G	2.0	22	9	0.19		2.35	0.21											
Downstream	28/06/2008	G	<2	13	3	0.04		0.70	0.09											
Downstream	23/07/2008	G	<2	24	3	0.06		0.61	0.09											
Downstream	28/08/2008	G	<2	18	5	0.18		0.09	0.12											
Downstream	25/09/2008	G	<2	26	5	0.12		0.77	0.17											
Downstream	28/10/2008	G	<2	19	5	0.19		1.92	0.19											
Downstream	30/11/2008	G	<2	22	3	0.08		1.50	0.06											
Downstream	02/10/2009	G	<2	24	4	0.06	0.023	2.24	<0.06	0.01	1.68	<1	1.68	237			7.7	<0.1	20.11	3.6
Downstream	25/02/2009	G	<2	29	3	<0.1	0.052	7.38	<0.06	0.01	2.34	5.04	2.24	248	70	9.66	7.8	<0.1	25.12	6.5

# **Attachment F.1**

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

#### TABLE F.1(i)(a): SURFACE/GROUND WATER MONITORING

#### **Primary Discharge Point**

Discharge Point Code:	SW-1
MONITORING POINT CODE:	aSW-1d
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	293934 / 306697

Parameter		Result	s (mg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	24/01/08	29/02/08	19/03/08	24/04/08			
рН					Grab	0.01	Method 4500 H+/Electrometr y
Temperature					Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)					Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 8	= 10	= 5	= 4	Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.27	= 0.15	= 0.09	= 0.1	Grab	0.06	Method 4500 NH3F/Colorim etry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2	< 2	< 2	<2 cheruse	Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 22	= 19	= 20	ा विश्वाप	Grab	5	Method 5220 D/ Spectrometry
Dissolved Oxygen			170° ii	e e	Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)			an Pit ted		Grab	0	0
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 2.43	= 2.2	= 2.4610	= 3.67	Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)		Çot <sup>i</sup>	Span of State of Stat		Grab	0.003	Method 4500 NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)		nsent of cool			Grab	0.09	Method 4500 NO3-H Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.17	= 0.17	= 0.15	= 0.16	Grab	0.042	Method 4500 P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)					Grab	0.004	Method 4500 P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )					Grab	1.39	Method 4500 SO42- E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)					Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

Additional Comments:	No Hardness Data Available

Parameter		Res	sults (mg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	31/05/08	28/06/08	23/07/08	28/08/08			
рН					Grab	0.01	Method 4500 H+/Electrometr y
Temperature					Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)					Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 9	= 3	= 3	= 5	Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.21	= 0.09	= 0.09	= 0.12	Grab	0.06	Method 4500 NH3F/Colorim etry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	= 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 22	= 13	= 24	= 18	Grab	5	Method 5220 D/ Spectrometry
Dissolved Oxygen					Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)					Grab	0	0
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 2.35	= 0.7	= 0.61	= 0.09	Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)					Grab	0.003	Method 4500 NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)				ی	Grab	0.09	Method 4500 NO3-H Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.19	= 0.04	= 0.06	= 0.18 of other 150	Grab	0.042	Method 4500 P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)			70°5	ited st.	Grab	0.004	Method 4500 P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )			= 0.06	,	Grab	1.39	Method 4500 SO42- E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)		<b>\$</b>	of treat		Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

 Additional Comments:	No Hardness Data Available	

Parameter		Res	method Quantitation method				Analysis method / technique
	25/09/08	28/10/08	30/11/08	10/02/09			
рН				= 7.7	Grab	0.01	Method 4500 H+/Electrometr y
Temperature				= 3.6	Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)				= 237	Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 5	= 5	= 3	= 4	Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.17	= 0.19	= 0.06	< 0.06	Grab	0.06	Method 4500 NH3F/Colorim etry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 26	= 19	= 22	= 24	Grab	5	Method 5220 D/ Spectrometry
Dissolved Oxygen					Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)					Grab	0	0
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 0.77	= 1.92	= 1.5	= 2.24	Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)				= 0.01	Grab	0.003	Method 4500 NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)				= 1.68	Grab	0.09	Method 4500 NO3-H Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.12	= 0.19	= 0.08	= 0.06 ther lies	Grab	0.042	Method 4500 P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)			, 70°5°	0.023	Grab	0.004	Method 4500 P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )			S. Helito Whet lea	= 20.11	Grab	1.39	Method 4500 SO42- E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)		<b>\$</b> (0)	of Hight o	< 0.1	Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

Additional Comments:	No Hardness Data Available

Parameter		Result	s (mg/l)		Sampling method	Analysis method / technique	
	25/02/09						•
рН	= 7.8				Grab	0.01	Method 4500 H+/Electrometr y
Temperature	= 6.5				Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)	= 248				Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 3				Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	< 0.06				Grab	0.06	Method 4500 NH3F/Colorim etry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2				Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 29				Grab	5	Method 5220 D/ Spectrometry
Dissolved Oxygen	= 9.66				Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)	= 0				Grab	0	0
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 7.38				Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)	= 0.008				Grab	0.003	Method 4500 NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)	= 2.34			.ق.	Grab	0.09	Method 4500 NO3-H Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.115			si. oy other its	Grab	0.042	Method 4500 P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)	= 0.052		oosite	tot at	Grab	0.004	Method 4500 P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )	= 25.12		Bedion purposes of		Grab	1.39	Method 4500 SO42- E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)	< 0.1	çoi i	tight of		Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

 Additional Comments:	No Hardness Data Available	

# TABLE F.1(i)(b): SURFACE/GROUND WATER MONITORING (Dangerous Substances)

#### **Primary Discharge Point**

Discharge Point Code:	SW-1
MONITORING POINT CODE:	aSW-1d
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	293934 / 306697

Parameter		Resu	lts (µg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	10/02/09						
Atrazine	< 0.01				Grab	0.01	USEPA Method 610 HPLC
Dichloromethane	< 1				Grab	1	USEPA Method 524 GCMS
Simazine	< 0.01				Grab	0.01	USEPA Method 610 HPLC
Toluene	< 1				Grab	1	USEPA Method 524.2 GCMS
Tributyltin	< 0.1			her lise.	Grab	0.02	Subcontracted Test GCMS
Xylenes	< 1		۾ و	Ad and other use.	Grab	1	USEPA Method 524.2 GCMS
Arsenic	< 0.96		authosolite	3	Grab	0.96	Method 3125B ICPMS
Chromium	< 0.93		Petion purplishing		Grab	0.93	Method 3125B ICPMS
Copper	= 5.6	ogis	Specificante Specificante		Grab	0.2	Method 3125B ICPMS
Cyanide	< 5	Consent of con			Grab	5	Hach Water Analysis Handbook 2nd edition
Flouride	= 0.1	Course			Grab	0.03	Method 4500 F E Colorimetry
Lead	= 1.1				Grab	0.38	Method 3125B ICPMS
Nickel	= 1.7				Grab	0.47	Method 3125B ICPMS
Zinc	< 4.6				Grab	4.6	Method 3125B ICPMS
Boron	< 4.2				Grab	4.2	Method 3125B ICPMS
Cadmium	< 0.09				Grab	0.09	Method 3125B ICPMS
Mercury	< 0.2				Grab	0.2	Method 3125B ICPMS
Selenium	= 1				Grab	0.74	Method 3125B ICPMS
Barium	= 19.9				Grab	0.74	Method 3125B ICPMS

Additional Comments:	

#### TABLE F.1(i)(a): SURFACE/GROUND WATER MONITORING

#### **Primary Discharge Point**

Discharge Point Code:	SW-1
MONITORING POINT CODE:	aSW-1u
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	293917 / 306705

Parameter		Result	s (mg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique	
	24/01/08	10/02/08	29/02/08	19/03/08				
рН					Grab	0.01	Method 4500- H+/Electrometr y	
Temperature					Grab	0	0	
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)					Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry	
Suspended Solids	= 8		= 10	= 5	Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C	
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.14	< 0.06	= 0.12	= 0.09	Grab	0.06	Method 4500NH3F/Col orimetry	
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2		< 2	<2 all other use	Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry	
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 21		= 21	17 217 For	Grab	5	Method 5220 D/Spectrophot ometry	
Dissolved Oxygen			atposite	1	Grab	0	DO Meter	
Hardness (as CaCO₃)			all Prite Chile		Grab	0	0	
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 2.32	= 2.84	= 2:2418	= 2.45	Grab	1	Calculation	
Nitrite (as N)		Fort	ight of		Grab	0.003	Method 4500- NO2- B/Colorimetry	
Nitrate (as N)		= 2.84	*		Grab	0.09	Method 4500- NO3- H/Colorimetry	
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.16	= 0.56	= 0.18	= 0.16	Grab	0.042	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry	
OrthoPhosphate (as P)					Grab	0.004	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry	
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )					Grab	1.39	Method 4500- SO42- E/Colorimetry	
Phenols (Sum)					Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS	

Additional Comments:	No Hardness Data Available

Parameter		Res	sults (mg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	24/04/08	31/05/08	26/06/08	28/06/08			
рН					Grab	0.01	Method 4500- H+/Electrometr y
Temperature					Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)					Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 4	= 8		= 3	Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	< 0.09	= 0.19		= 0.09	Grab	0.06	Method 4500NH3F/Col orimetry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2	= 2		< 2	Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 17	= 21	= 11		Grab	5	Method 5220 D/Spectrophot ometry
Dissolved Oxygen					Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)					Grab	0	0
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 1.38	= 2.33		= 0.61	Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)					Grab	0.003	Method 4500- NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)				ري	Grab	0.09	Method 4500- NO3- H/Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.02	= 0.18		= 0.03 other use	Grab	0.042	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)			,70°5°	y of for the	Grab	0.004	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )			S. H. Reitlander leit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Grab	1.39	Method 4500- SO42- E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)		<b>\$</b> (	of Helph O		Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

Additional Comments:	No Hardness Data Available

Parameter		Res	sults (mg/l)	Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique	
	23/07/08	28/08/08	25/09/08	28/10/08			
рН					Grab	0.01	Method 4500- H+/Electrometr y
Temperature					Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)					Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 3	= 6	= 5	= 5	Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.09	= 0.11	= 0.16	= 0.19	Grab	0.06	Method 4500NH3F/Col orimetry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2	< 2	< 2	< 2	Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 24	= 17	= 25	= 17	Grab	5	Method 5220 D/Spectrophot ometry
Dissolved Oxygen					Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)					Grab	0	0
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 0.66	= 0.09	= 0.67	= 1.88	Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)					Grab	0.003	Method 4500- NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)				ږو	Grab	0.09	Method 4500- NO3- H/Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.08	= 0.19	= 0.12	= 0.17 offer its	Grab	0.042	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)			,70°5	isted for are	Grab	0.004	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )			ection put red	= 0.17 the tree	Grab	1.39	Method 4500- SO42- E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)		<b>\$</b> (	of tight o		Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

Additional Comments:	No Hardness Data Available

Parameter	Results (mg/l)				Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	30/11/08	10/02/09	25/02/09				
рН		= 7.7	= 7.9		Grab	0.01	Method 4500- H+/Electrometr y
Temperature		= 3.5	= 6.6		Grab	0	0
Electrical Conductivity (@ 25°C)		= 247	= 235		Grab	0.5	Method 2510 B/Electrometry
Suspended Solids	= 3	= 6	= 4		Grab	3	Method 2540 D/Filtration/Dry in 104C
Ammonia (as N)	= 0.06		< 0.06		Grab	0.06	Method 4500NH3F/Col orimetry
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	< 2	< 2	< 2		Grab	2	Method 5210 B/Electrometry
Chemical Oxygen Demand	= 17	= 20	= 24		Grab	5	Method 5220 D/Spectrophot ometry
Dissolved Oxygen			= 8.85		Grab	0	DO Meter
Hardness (as CaCO₃)			= 0		Grab	0	0
Total Nitrogen (as N)	= 1.17		= 3.54		Grab	1	Calculation
Nitrite (as N)		= 0.01	= 0.004		Grab	0.003	Method 4500- NO2- B/Colorimetry
Nitrate (as N)		= 1.71	= 1.85	يق.	Grab	0.09	Method 4500- NO3- H/Colorimetry
Total Phosphorous (as P)	= 0.06		= 0.081	y. oy other it.	Grab	0.042	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
OrthoPhosphate (as P)		= 0.023	= 0.013	joi	Grab	0.004	Method 4500-P E/Colorimetry
Sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> )		= 18.94	= 0.081 = 0.013 = 23.69 trother = 23.69 trother		Grab	1.39	Method 4500- SO42- E/Colorimetry
Phenols (Sum)		< 0.1	10 2 0.1 31 11 0.1		Grab	0.1	EPA Method 525 GCMS

Additional Comments:	No Hardness Data Available

# TABLE F.1(i)(b): SURFACE/GROUND WATER MONITORING (Dangerous Substances)

#### Primary Discharge Point

Discharge Point Code:	SW-1
MONITORING POINT CODE:	aSW-1u
Grid Ref (12 digits, 6E, 6N)	293917 / 306705

Parameter		Resu	lts (µg/l)		Sampling method	Limit of Quantitation	Analysis method / technique
	10/02/09						•
Atrazine	< 0.01				Grab	0.01	USEPA Method 610 HPLC
Dichloromethane	< 1				Grab	1	USEPA Method 524 GCMS
Simazine	< 0.01				Grab	0.01	USEPA Method 610 HPLC
Toluene	< 1				Grab	1	USEPA Method 524.2 GCMS
Tributyltin	< 0.02			her use.	Grab	0.02	Subcontracted Test GCMS
Xylenes	< 1		Spection Putpose Section Parties in the Secti	kot any oth	Grab	1	USEPA Method 524.2 GCMS
Arsenic	< 0.96		a purposite		Grab	0.96	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Chromium	< 0.93	<u> </u>	Specific when		Grab	0.93	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Copper	= 1.7	For S			Grab	0.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Cyanide	< 5	Consent of con			Grab	5	Hach Water Analysis Handbook 2nd Edition
Flouride	= 0.09				Grab	0.03	Method 4500 F - E Colorimetry
Lead	= 0.4				Grab	0.38	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Nickel	< 1.8				Grab	0.47	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Zinc	< 4.6				Grab	4.6	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Boron	< 4.2				Grab	4.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Cadmium	< 0.09				Grab	0.09	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Mercury	< 0.2				Grab	0.2	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS
Selenium	< 0.74				Grab	0.74	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS

Barium	= 18.2		Grab	0.74	USEPA Method 3125B ICPMS

I	
Additional Commonto:	
HAGGILIONAL COMMENIS.	

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

• Hydro-Data Home • Contact Us • Search Query • Search Results • Map-Finder • Online Questionnaire

#### **Summary Statistics Data**

• Daily Mean Flow Data • Daily Mean Level Data • Annual Maxima Data

GENERAL STATION DETAILS							
Station Name: Moyles Mill	Station No: 06011	Watercourse: Fane	NGR: H 920 078				
Catchment Area (km²): 230	Catchment: Fane	Gauge Type: AR	Datum: Poolbeg				

SUMMARY HYDROMETRIC STATISTICS				
Annual Average Rainfall (mm) <sup>1</sup> : 1073				
Est'd Annual Losses (mm) <sup>1</sup> : 455				
Mean Annual Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s): 4.027 (Data derived for the period 1972 to 2001)				

STATION HISTORY
Period of Continuous Hardcopy Records: 1957 to 2005
Period of Digitised Record: 1972 to 2002

Note 1: Data extracted from the Environmental Protection Agency publication 'Hydrological Data', July 1997

DURATION	DURATION PERCENTILES								
Flows equalled or exceeded for the given percentage of time (m <sup>3</sup> /s) (Data derived for the period 1972 to 2001)									
1%	5%	10%	50%	80%	90%the	95%	99%		
14.9	14.9 11.5 9.58 2.87 0.59 0.35 0.20 0.02								
	Levels equalled or exceeded for the given percentage of time (MAOD Poolbeg) (Data derived for the period 1972 to 2002)								
1%	5%	10%	50%	2000 80%	90%	95%	99%		
57.32	57.17	57.09	56.69	tigh 55.55	55.28	55.16	55.02		

COMMENTS / NOTES	
Poor quality low flow data - to be used for indicative purposes only.	

# **Attachment G.2**


Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

# water matters



"Help us plan!"

# Summary Leaflet Draft River Basin Management Plan for the Neagh Bann International River Basin District December 2008




#### The Draft River Basin District Management Plan

The European Union Water Framework Directive was adopted in 2000. It requires governments to manage all of their waters: rivers, canals, lakes, reservoirs, groundwaters, wetlands, estuaries and coastal waters. Member States must ensure that their waters achieve at least good status by 2015 and that their status doesn't deteriorate.

The Directive requires the preparation of a management plan for all of the waters in an area called a River Basin District. Some 400 river basins on the island of Ireland have been grouped and assigned to a total of eight River Basin Districts; one of these lies wholly in Northern Ireland, four lie wholly in Ireland and three are International River Basin Districts, one of which is the Neagh Bann District.

Since 2000, the local authorities and the Northern Ireland Environment Agency have been working on the implementation of the Water Framework Directive. We have met all of the deadlines and our performance has been amongst the best in the European Union.



We have actively sought people's views at every stage of the implementation process. Management plans are considered by the District's Advisory Council (Ireland) and by the Catchment and National Stakeholder Groups (Northern Ireland). We produced a series of consultation documents and we discussed significant water management issues with interest groups, public authorities and local authorities at a series of public consultation events in 2007 and 2008.

The next stage is the production of a River Basin District Management Plan. It will cover the six-year period from 2009 until 2015; any remaining issues or new problems will be tackled in two further six year plans, 2015–2021 and 2021–2027.

We have produced a draft of the plan and we are beginning a process of consultation to elicit views on the draft. In Ireland the final version of this plan must be adopted by all local authorities in the district, whilst in Northern Ireland the plan must be approved by the Environment Minister. The plan will come into effect at the end of 2009.



# How the plan was developed

We followed a nine-step process in developing the plan. Our approach was structured: find out the issues, decide what action to take and make a plan.

What are our key water issues?	We investigated which water issues are causing problems, what actions we could take to solve them and where we should focus these actions.
What is the status of our waters?	Comprehensive monitoring established the condition of our waters; identifying where they are satisfactory and where they must be improved.
What do we plan to achieve?	We identified sustainable objectives for our waters.
What measures must we take?	The Water Framework Directive stipulates mandatory measures. We identified actions under these measures, setting out existing and new plans and programmes to ensure full and effective implementation.
What will these mandatory measures achieve?	We assessed how effective where extra effort may be needed to improve our waters.
What further measures can we take?	We identified supplementary measures for the cases where the mandatory measures alone would not be sufficient to achieve our objectives.
What will supplementary measures achieve?	We assessed whether the combination of measures would achieve our objectives and how long it would take.
What are our objectives in the Neagh Bann District?	We outline the objectives we plan to achieve and specify where extended timescales or lower objectives are necessary.
What is our action plan for the Neagh Bann District?	The outcome of this planning process is a tailored action plan for the Neagh Bann District. We have proposed a detailed suite of measures setting out what, where and when actions are needed and who will do them.

#### **Neagh Bann District: current status**

The status of our surface waters and groundwaters is summarised in these two tables. These tables include the whole international district's waters: those in Northern Ireland, those in Ireland and the shared waters (those water bodies which lie along the border).

#### Surface water status in the Neagh Bann District

Surface Water Category	High	Good	Moderate	Poor	Bad	Yet to be Determined
Rivers and canals (number)	(0)	(76)	(149)	(71)	(15)	(18)
% of total	0	23.1	45.3	21.6	4.6	5.5
Lakes and reservoirs (km²)	(0)	(0.17)	(3.48)	(6.63)	(388.7)	(0)
% of total	0	0.04	0.87	1.66	97.42	0
Estuaries (km²)	(0)	(0)	(41.72)		(O)	
% of total	0	0	100		O	
Coastal (km²)	(0)	(184.56)	(108.39)		(38.45)	
% of total	0	55.7	32.7		11.6	

#### **Groundwater status in the Neagh Bann District**

Groundwater	Good	Poor
Chemical Status (km²)	(6,683)	(843)
% of total	88.8	11.2
Quantitative Status (km²)	(6,759) 15 <sup>g</sup> .	(767)
% of total	89.8 15 <sup>g</sup> .	10.2
Combined Status (km²) % of total	(6,683) at 30.	(843) 11.2



#### **Protected Areas**

Protected areas must achieve good or high status to support their designations, with specific targets for protection of priority species or habitats. There are 94 protected areas amongst the shared waters of the Neagh Bann International River Basin District. In the whole District there are 650 protected areas. These include drinking water sources such as Monalty Lough and Spelga Dam; the shellfish waters include Carlingford Lough; the bathing waters include Portstewart and Castlerock beaches. Nutrient-sensitive areas include Lough Muckno, the River Blackwater and Lough Neagh, Special Areas of Conservation include the Bann Estuary and Slieve Gullion and Special Protection Areas include Carlingford Lough and Lough Neagh/Lough Beg.

#### **Pressures**

The main pressures on our waters come from:

- wastewater and industrial discharges
- landfills, quarries, mines and contaminated land
- agriculture
- wastewater from unsewered properties
- forestry
- usage and discharge of dangerous substances
- physical modifications
- abstractions
- local and future issues. In the Neagh Bann District, they include climate change, aquaculture and invasive alien species, as well as the need to protect high quality areas and to manage shared waters issues properly.

We can achieve the greatest gain by concentrating our efforts on those issues that pose the greatest threat to our water environment. Two key sectors stand out, agriculture and the water industry. Both Northern Ireland Environment Agency and the Environmental Protection Agency have identified the need to take action in response to these sectors in the River Basin Management Plan. "Discharges from municipal wastewater treatment works and from agricultural activities are the principal suspected causes of less than satisfactory water in the State. Industrial discharges and discharges from several other activities have also been identified as contributing to a lesser extent". (Environmental Protection Agency, 2008)



#### **Measures and objectives**

The measures to improve our waters fall into three categories:

- the implementation of 11 key directives, specified under the Water Framework Directive and already transposed into domestic legislation
- the implementation of other stipulated measures required by the Water Framework Directive
- the use of additional or supplementary measures.

#### **Basic measures**

The first two categories are referred to as **basic measures**. They are:

The 11 key EU Directives	Other stipulated measures	
Bathing waters	Cost recovery for water use	
Birds	Promotion of efficient and sustainable water use	
Habitats	Protection of drinking water sources	
Drinking waters	Control of abstraction and impoundment	
Major accidents	Control of point source discharges	
Environmental impact assessment	Control of diffuse source discharges	
Sewage sludge	Authorisation of discharges to groundwaters	
Urban wastewater treatment	Control of priority substances	
Plant protection products	Controls on physical modifications to surface waters	
Nitrates	Controls on other activities impacting on water status	
Integrated pollution prevention control	Prevention or reduction of the impact of accidental pollution incidents	
Supplementary measures  Consert of control to the c		
A range of possible supplementary measu	ures has been identified by a series of technical studies. Some are already being	

#### **Supplementary measures**

A range of possible supplementary measures has been identified by a series of technical studies. Some are already being taken: they include farm based environmental protection schemes and implementation of a suite of forestry good practice guidelines. Other possible measures are codes of practice, voluntary agreements, demand reduction and rehabilitation programmes and legal, administrative and economic instruments.


Supplementary measures range from reducing the pressure at source through remediation by technical or engineering solutions to **relocation** of the pressure. They have to be technically feasible; the combination of supplementary measures must be the most cost-effective and the cost of these combinations of measures must not be significantly greater than the benefits gained. The impacts of the supplementary measures on the wider environment have to be considered, through Strategic Environmental Assessment, to ensure that they are sustainable.

#### Our objectives for each category of waters

These charts show the improvements we expect in each category of waters over three cycles of the river basin district planning process.

GES or GEP means good ecological status or good ecological potential, in other words compliant with the Water Framework Directive. The standard of good ecological potential is applied to artificial and heavily modified waters (such as canals and reservoirs) where the benefits to humans need to be retained.

LSO means less stringent objective, which means that the waters won't achieve good status or good potential before 2027.



#### What happens next



The full text of the draft plan is available on www.wfdireland.ie. along with background documents including technical studies into our key water issues, our register of protected areas, and documents detailing monitoring programmes and status development, economics, objectives, programmes of measures and links to plans and programmes. There is also a list of the District's relevant authorities and stakeholders, as well as documents on climate change and Strategic Environmental Assessment. Our interactive webmap viewing tool can also be accessed at www.wfdireland.ie.

Comments, views and suggestions may be sent by 22 June 2009 to:

Martin Murray Monaghan County Council **Environment Section** County Offices The Glen Monaghan Co Monaghan mpmurray@monaghancoco.ie

Early responses would be appreciated to allow more time to clarify and resolve issues that may arise.



We will comply with data protection requirements and will use information that you provide to compile a digest of responses. Please let us know if you wish your response to remain anonymous: if you do, we will include your comments in the digest without saying who made them. If you want to add new comments or information you can contact our website at any stage (www.nbirbd.com)

#### **Implementation**



entation
The Neagh Bann International River Basin District is cross-border; partly in Ireland and partly in Northern Ireland. This leaflet refers to the draft River Basin Management Plans for the District which were issued by the county councils of Monaghan, Cavan, Louth, and Meath and by the Northern Ireland Environment Agency. Preparation of the draft plans has been closely coordinated between the two jurisdictions and these coordinated arrangements are outlined in the document entitled Working Together (www.wfdireland.ie).

The task of implementing the management plans will fall mainly to the statutory authorities. In the case of the Neagh Bann District, it is envisaged that a unit will be set up by Monaghan County Council to coordinate the work of Ireland's statutory authorities and to coordinate work with the Northern Ireland Environment Agency. In Ireland, implementation of the plans will be coordinated by the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, working together with the local authorities, the Environmental Protection Agency and other relevant public authorities. In Northern Ireland, work will be coordinated by the Department of the Environment and Northern Ireland Environment Agency, through the Interdepartmental Working Group, which includes the four main government departments responsible for implementing the plan.



# Local Government (Water Pollution) Act 1977 (Water Quality Standards for Phosphorus) Regulations, 1998



# 4<sup>th</sup> Implementation Report

**July 2006** 

# Local Government (Water Pollution) Act 1977 (Water Quality Standards for Phosphorus) Regulations, 1998.

### 4th Implementation Report

#### Monaghan Co Council.

#### **Introduction:**

The Phosphorus Regulations (1998) require the Monaghan Co Council to protect satisfactory waters and to improve unsatisfactory waters. Water quality interim targets have been set for 2007. However Monaghan Co Council has applied for an extension to 2013. (In the 2004 EPA audit, an EPA officer advised against reliance on the 2013 deadline as the more stringent Water Framework Directive deadline of 2015 for both good chemical and biological status will also need to be complied with.) The Phosphorus Regulations require Monaghan Co Council to submit a biennial implementation report to the Environment Protection Agency. The 4th Implementation Report is due for submission to the Agency on 31,7/2006.

# Section 1. Water Quality in Co Monaghan

### **River Monitoring**

#### **Baseline Data**

The baseline data for the County, established from the EPA's Biological Monitoring Programme (Q Ratings) since 1995 indicates that 30% of river stations monitored were of satisfactory quality (Q rating  $\geq 4$ ) while 70% of stations monitored were unsatisfactory (Q rating  $\leq 3-4$ ). Table 1.1 refers.

#### **Current Status Rivers**

This 4<sup>th</sup> Implementation Report relates to the reporting period Jan 2004 to Dec 2005. Reference to physio-chemical data in this document relates to water quality monitoring carried out by Monaghan Co Council in the period Jan 2004 to Dec 2005. Reference to river <u>current</u> Q ratings, relate to the Q rating assigned by the EPA. The 06 Hydrometric area was monitored in 2003 while the 03 and 36 Hydrometric areas were monitored in 2004. Table 1.1.refers

Current data indicates

- 33% (22 out of 66) of river stations monitored are classified as unpolluted (Q ratings > 4 2003/04 data). This figure is low by national standards.
- 24% (16No.) of river monitoring stations show an improvement in Q rating from baseline data

- 27% (18No.) of stations monitored show a decline in Q rating.
- 71% (55 out of 77) of stations with Q data and/or Median P values achieve standards set out in Section 3(2) of the Phosphorus Regulations. Section 3(2) allows compliance with the Phosphorus Regulation targets by achieving either the standards set for Q rating or MRP (Molybdate Reactive Phosphate) value.

### **Water Quality Trends: Rivers**

### **Q** Ratings

Since the 95-97 baseline period no significant improvement in overall biological water quality in the County is apparent. Although 24% of sites monitored in the 2003 and 2004 do show an improvement from baseline data, a further 27% of sites monitored show a decline in quality. Since the mid 90's there are no longer any pristine sites (Q 5) recorded in Co Monaghan. However the improvements in the Erne catchment noted in 2004 are promising.

### **Physio-chemical Data:**

Water quality data does provide some information on water quality trends in the county. A decline in river phosphate levels has been noted in some rural areas. However, many other river stations do not show a similar decline as yet.

Noticeable water quality improvements have followed the upgrading of urban wastewater treatment plants and upgrading/removal of industrial treatment plant discharges. The Blackwater River below Monaghan Town and the Proules River below Carrickmacross have improved from baseline quality. However water quality in these river stretches – designated as "sensitive waters" under the Brban Waste Water Regulations, remains unsatisfactory (as defined by the EPA). Continued urban development is placing additional pressures on infrastructure and water quality downstream of urban areas. Discharges of untreated or partially treated urban waste waters via storm overflows or overloaded collection systems can have significant effects on water quality and these problems have been referred to Water Services for examination and appropriate remediation.

Since Jan. 2004 the frequency of river monitoring has been increased to 12 samples per annum every 2 years. Median P values are now available for almost all EPA Q rated sites. Results for Median P levels are shown in Table 1.1.

# **Lake Monitoring**

Monaghan Co Council's lake monitoring programmes for 2004 and 2005 have been completed and results reported to the EPA. A total of 50 lakes have been monitored at sampling frequency of one lake sample per annum for the smaller lakes and two to four lake samples for the larger lakes. Lake sampling is resource intensive and Monaghan's sampling frequency has remained low. However the new Water Framework Directive Monitoring Programme, due to commence in Dec 2006 requires a review of sampling frequency – final details have yet to be decided.

Currently lake sampling is carried out in the summer months with the assistance of Civil Defence staff (2 persons) and equipment (boat and pickup truck) and a summer student. The current sample collection takes 10 to 12 days approximately. Water samples are analysed by the EPA Laboratory in Monaghan Town.

Due to low frequency of lake sampling only the Chlorophyll level can be used for classification purposes and compliance checking. If the Total Phosphorus (TP) parameter were to be included a minimum of 10 samples per annum would be required.

### **Lake Water Supply Sources**

Work is currently ongoing to integrate the protection of the 23 lakes used as water supply sources into development planning and control. Maps of surface water sources are included in the current Draft Co Development Plan for Co Monaghan.

### **Current Status - Lakes**

### Lake Trophic Status (2004/2005)

The lake trophic status shown in Table 1.2 is derived from the maximum Chlorophyll level measured in the period 2004 to 2005. Current data indicates 46% of lakes comply with requirements of the Phosphorus Regulations based on chlorophyll levels only. Table 1:2 refers

### Note:

Lake chlorophyll levels can <u>fluctuate significantly</u> throughout the year. Chlorophyll levels do not always indicate the same degree of eutrophication as do the available Total Phosphorus levels. Total Phosphorus evels in some lakes in Co Monaghan are extremely high.

### **Water Quality Trends: Lakes**

The 2004/2005 lake data indicates an increased number of lakes in the satisfactory category (i.e oligotrophic and mesotrophic). However the high number of lakes (over 80%) with elevated Total Phosphorus levels is a cause for concern.

Tables A and B below show a comparison of current lake trophic status with baseline status and Lake Total Phosphorus (TP) levels for the 2001 to 2005 period..

Table A: Comparison of Lake Data 1995-2003

Annual Max Chlorophyll level ppb	Trophic Status	Baseline data 95-2001 (no. of lakes)	Current Trophic (2004/2005) Classification (no. of lakes)
<8	Oligotrophic		6
≥8 <25	Mesotrophic	11	9
≥25<75	Eutrophic	19	24
≥75	Hypertrophic	16	11

Table B: Lake Total Phosphorus Levels –Average Value of 2001 to 2005 data

Total Phosphorus Average Conc (ppb) 2001-2005 (MCC data)	No. of Lakes in each category
<30	8
30-59	16
60-99	17
>100	10

Water Framework Directive lakes:
Lakes proposed for additional monitoring under the Proposed WFD Monitoring Programme and their current trophic status includes:

Lake	Currrent Status
Avaghon lake	Mesotrophic (– but algal blooms noted in recent years)
Drumlona	Eutrophic
Emy	Mesotrophic
Egish	Eutrophic.
Inner	Hypertrophic
Naglack	Hypertrophic
Monalty	Hypertrophic
Muckno	Hypertrophic
White	Eutrophic
Dromore	Status unknown

### **Groundwater Quality**

The Phosphorus Regulations 1998 deal with surface waters and although ground water quality may impact on surface waters sufficient data is not available in relation to this aspect. The situation regarding groundwater quality will be addressed as the Water Framework Directive is rolled out. A Groundwater Protection Scheme for Co Monaghan has been produced by the GSI. Work is currently ongoing to integrate the Groundwater Protection Scheme into planning decision making and maps of groundwater sources and resources are included in the current Draft Co Development Plan.

# **Section 2. Implementation of Measures**

Monaghan Co Council's Measures Report in 1999 identified a need for additional resources to implement proposals to protect and improve water quality. Although additional staff were recruited following completion of negotiations under BLG (Better Local Government) in 2001, the Phosphorus Team has since lost 2 experienced Environmental Officers. One Environmental Officer (temp) is now in training.

### **Use of Consultants**

In 2005 additional resources were allocated to employ consultants (RPS Consultant Engineers) to carry out farm surveys and to highlight farms that will require follow up action by the Council staff. However, without experienced field staff in-house catchment survey work and the necessary follow up of problem premises is currently suspended with a review of the situation due in October 2006.

Monaghan Co Council continue to use the services of Conservation Services to carry out detailed Biological Surveys of rivers. This work highlights "hot spots" and a number of such "hot spots" are awaiting follow-up surveys.

In the 2004-2005 period the Phosphorus Team has been involved in a number of specific work areas as follows:

- Catchment surveys initially work has been consentrated moderately polluted river stretches and unsatisfactory lakes. Work has progressed well but extensive areas of the County are as yet not surveyed. See Map in Appendix 1 outlining Progress.
- Database management and updating GIS programme
- Review and updating of water quality monitoring programmes and the introduction of additional Biological Monitoring using a private consultant.
- A survey and report of Urban Wastewater Treatment plants and collection systems.
- Continued enforcement of Water Pollution Acts and Waste Management Act.
- Awareness raising to achieve sectoral involvement in protection and improvement of water quality.

The Phosphorus Team also tries to work closely with Planning control staff, other Environment Section staff and Water Services Section although more integration in this area is deemed necessary.

### Future Developments in the area of Water Management

During 2004 a number of Projects relating to water quality issues in Co Monaghan have been initiated. These include:

- North South SHARE Project on River Basin Management Planning.
- Blackwater Regional Partnership TRACE Project on the Definition and Mitigation of Excessive Multi-source Nutrient Loss to Water, lead by University of Ulster and Queens University, Belfast.
- Churchill Oram Source Protection Pilot Scheme led by the National Federation of Group Water Schemes and the Freshwater Studies Unit at DKIT.
- Blackwater Vital Signs Schools project .

- Monaghan Co Council has participated in the Erne Blackwater Surface Waters Working Group.
- The County Development Board has included the Improvement of Water Quality in Co Monaghan as an Action in the CDB Strategy for Co Monaghan.

Monaghan Co Council will provide available water quality data for the Project leaders and is involved as Steering Group members and/or in an advisory role. The benefits of such projects are expected to be increased knowledge of water quality issues, improved water quality management, improved public and sectoral participation. and increased awareness.

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

# Section 3. Progress to Date

There is evidence that the biological quality of rivers continues to decline in Co Monaghan. Of particular concern is the recent loss of pristine and high quality sites in upland areas. It is expected that resource intensive catchment survey work, additional monitoring, enforcement and in some cases changes in land use or other measures will be required to bring about water quality improvements. It is expected that the turn-around period between intensive catchment survey work, enterprise improvements and water quality improvements could be a minimum of 2-3 years depending on catchment characteristics.

### **Improvements in Hydrometric Area 36 (Erne Catchment)**

Promising results were evident in the 2004 EPA Biological Monitoring of Hydrometric Area 36 (Erne Catchment).

Although Monaghan Co Council have completed catchment survey work in subcatchments of the Erne River (Bunnoe and Maghery Rivers have been surveyed in 2002 and 2003) improvements are also noted in other sub-catchments. It is suspected that the intensive surveillance and enforcement work carried out by the Northern Regional Fisheries Board (NRFB) for several years has contributed significantly to improvements in water quality in this area. Discussions with the Eastern and Northern Regional Fisheries Boards have revealed that additional resources have been dedicated to surveillance and enforcement work in the NRFB area for a number of years.

Progress has been made in pursuing measures set out to tackle water pollution in Co Monaghan. Improvement in the chemical data at some river stations is evident, upgrading of industrial treatment plants continues and investment in Water Services is ongoing. Progress in various work areas is detailed below and in Table 3,4, and 5 attached in Appendix 2. However additional resources are considered necessary to successfully identify and follow up on pollution sources in the county.

# **Catchment Surveys**

Catchment surveys commenced in May 2002 with a focus on small rural catchments where water quality was classified as moderately polluted. All agricultural, industrial and commercial premises were surveyed in each catchment. Communal septic tanks and village areas were also surveyed. The aim of catchment surveys was to identify and eliminate point sources of pollution and identify potential diffuse sources of pollution for further attention.

Over the period Jan 2004 to Dec 2005, a total of 352 premises (mainly agricultural) were surveyed. 110 advisory letters were issued, 21 Section 12 Notices were issued. A total of 450 reinspections of silage making facilities (including facilities surveyed pre 2004) and 158 reinspections of medium and high-risk wintering facilities were reinspected in the summer and winter periods respectively.

# **Current Status of Catchment Survey Work Table (refer to Map of progress Appendix 1)**

Table C

Catchment /River	Survey By	Comments
Emy Lough catchment	2003, MCC Staff	Limited follow up of due
Mountain Water Tributary	2004/05 -TRACE Project	Pollution mitigation
		measures due to be installed
		in 2006
Scotstown River	2004, MCC Staff	Follow up inspections due
Blackwater (upper reaches)	2004, MCC Staff	Follow up inspections due
Maghery, Kilcoran and	2002 MCC	Limited follow up due
Magherarney Lakes		
Lough Oony	2003 MCC	Follow up on 1 farm due
Conawary River	2005/06 MCC	Follow up inspections due
Ballagh lake	2006 MCC	Follow up inspections due
Clontibret Stream	2003/04 MCC	Follow up inspections due
Bunnoe River and	2003 MCC	Limited follow up due
Annamakerrig Lake		<b>2.</b> *
Drum lake	2003 MCC	Limited follow up due
Avaghon lake Stream,	2002 MCC	Limited follow up due
Mullanary and Corkeeran	oully ait,	
Lakes	2003 MCC 2002 MCC  2002 MCC  2002 MCC  2002 MCC  2002 MCC  2003 MCC  2004 MCC  2005 MCC  2005 MCC  2006 MCC  2007 MCC  2007 MCC  2007 MCC  2008 MC	
Namachree Lake	2002 MCC ANTENT	Follow up on 1 septic tank
	2002 MCC purper to a constant of the constant	due
Milltown lake Catchment	2005/06 Windalk Inst Of	Extensive monitoring
	Techrologies	completed – Farm and
	Tech of convint	septic tank survey due 2006
Rossdreenagh River	2006 RPS on behalf of	All follow up outstanding.
	MCC	(MCC to carry out follow
		up
Inner Lough	2003 NRFB	

### **Database Management and Mapping**

Consultants completed a GIS Mapping Project and Sludge Management Plan for Co Monaghan in Spring of 2002. The GIS Project provides a comprehensive mapping tool for catchment survey work. As the catchment surveys progress it is intended that data on all enterprises are entered on an access database and mapped using GPS.

Due to the extent of agricultural activities in the County and their potential impact on the environment, work commenced in 1999 on collection of relevant agricultural data. A comprehensive database on intensive agricultural enterprises, soil phosphorus returns, and a poultry manure waste tracking system has been established.

## **Monitoring Programmes**

### **Lake Monitoring Programme**

As detailed in Section 2 page 3.

### **River Monitoring**

From Jan 2004 monthly river water samples have been collected and each river monitored for one 12 month period every two years. This work is contracted out to the EPA Regional Lab, Monaghan Town. The river sampling programme has been extended to include all river stations Q rated since 1995.

### **Additional Monitoring**

Additional Monitoring Programmes carried out to identify "Hot Spots" and provide additional information of water quality in selected catchments and their tributaries are shown on Table D below.

Table D

River	Type of Monitoring	Comments		
R Blackwater (03/B/01),	Physio-chemical, flow and biological monitoring in officers	Partial catchment survey		
and Tributaries including	biological monitoring in solution	work carried out in 2004–		
03/S/02, 03/S01,	2002-2003 period only and	survey follow up due.		
Mountain Water (03 M01)	Physio-chemical and	Some problem areas		
and Tributaries	biological monitoring –	identified – catchment		
	2003-2004 period	awaiting survey		
Emy Lough Stream	Physio-chemical and	Mini catchment survey		
	biological monitoring in	completed 2003.		
	2004			
Finn River (36/F/01) and	Physio-chemical monitoring	Not yet scheduled for		
Tributaries	2004	catchment Survey		
Avaghon Lake Stream (36	Post survey Biological	Catchment surveyed 2002,		
A07)	Monitoring	Lake outflow identified as		
		significant		
Maghery River (36/M/03)	Post survey Biological	Both catchment survey in		
	Monitoring	2002 and Biological		
		Monitoring 2005 failed to		
		pinpoint source of low Q		
		values in the upper reaches.		
Knappagh (36/K/01)	Biological Monitoring	Suspected source ceased,		
	(partial survey)	River Q improved.		
Conawary Lower (03/C/01)	Physiochemical Monitoring	Catchment Survey 2005/06.		
and tributaries		Follow up due.		
Proules (06/P/01)	Biological Monitoring	Mini catchment Survey-		
	(partial Survey)	urban sources of pollution		
		identified		

# General Activities under the Water Pollution and Waste Management

General activities of the Environment Section in the reporting period 2004 to 2005 Monaghan Co Council include the following enforcement work under the Water Pollution and Waste Management Acts.

11 cases referred for prosecution under of the WPA and WMA

28 Section 12 notices have been issued

17 Section 55 Notices have been issued.

The Council's Environment Section continues to investigate environmental complaints. Approximately 800 environmental complaints were received in From Jan 2004 to Dec 2005, many of which related to illegal dumping and litter. 58 water pollution complaints were investigated in same period.

### **Industrial Discharges**

Significant improvements have been carried out by Industry in Co Monaghan. There are currently 22 "active" Licences issued under Section 4 of the Water Pollution Act. The are currently Section 4 Licence applications under consideration Almost all active Licences have been inspected at least once in the 2004 to 2005 period and monitoring of discharges is ongoing.

No prosecution cases for breaches of Section 4 of the WPA were taken in this period.

Landfill
Monaghan Co Council's Landfill being operated under a Licence from the EPA.

# Awareness Raising During 2004 and 2005

The Phosphorus Teams Awareness Raising Programme has included the following activities:

Information / Public Meetings, During the reporting period a total of 5 meetings with the following groups were organized, IFA, Northern and Eastern Regional Fisheries Boards, and an Industry Group. Council staff gave presentations at 3 meetings organized by the IFA Co Executive and IFA Waste Management Committee. Presentations were given at 4 REPS meetings at the request of a REPS Planner. The Co Development Board Environment and Agriculture Working Group, the Erne Blackwater Surface Water working Group and TRACE Steering Group Meetings have also increased networking and information sharing between Council, stakeholders and research bodies. **Catchment Information Leaflets** 

Individual information leaflets with local water quality information have been produced for each catchment surveyed. Leaflets are distributed to each premises surveyed. See Appendix 2.

Information Leaflets on Managing Phosphorus in Farming (2 No) and Prevent Silage Pollution have been produced and pre 2004 were circulated via the Dairy CoOps. We continue to send out these leaflets where a need /problem is identified. A leaflet on Septic Tank and Wastewater Treatment System Guidelines has been produced and is distributed

to households with problem or suspect septic tanks. From June 2006 it is proposed to circulate the "Septic Tank/Treatment System "leaflet with planning approval notices. Press Articles and Adverts/Radio A total of 25 articles and adverts relating to water quality appeared on local press. Adverts related to Good Farming Practice, slurry spreading and silage making. Articles on general water quality were placed in 2 Council Environment Bulletins.

<u>Advisory Letters</u>. Approximately 80 farmers were sent advisory letters in relation to Soil Testing for Phosphorus. Over 110 advisory letters have been issued following catchment surveys.

One to one Site meetings Staff have carried out over 400 site visits in relation to catchment surveys and water pollution complaints during the 2004 and 2005 period.

### Liaison with the Planning Section

Environment section staff continues to liaise with the Planning Section regarding environmental assessment and control of new developments. A very substantial (two and a half fold) increase in the number of planning files examined by the environment section was recorded in the 2004-2005 period. In the period 2004 to 2005 the environment section have examined and reported on 761 planning files that include 205 agricultural, 201 housing schemes, 364 industrial/commercial developments and 9 public schemes. Contributions have been submitted to the proposed Development Plan to improve aspects of sustainable development.

Period	Agricultural	Comm./Industrial	Housing	Other	Totals
		Coringin	Dev/ other		
2003-2003	113	93	52		258
2004-2005	205	3460	201	9	761
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		1		

### **Liaison with Water Services:**

A member of the Phosphorus Team surveyed 21 local authority operated waste water treatment plants in early 2005. A report is currently in preparation and will be presented to management and discussed with Water Services in late 2006.

### **Problems Encountered**

The continued decline in water quality is still evident.

Development pressures are a cause for concern. A very substantial increase in development activity is evident. Criteria for sustainable development would be useful. Monitoring of new developments to ensure compliance with planning conditions attached to protect waters is considered necessary but as yet not undertaken.

Staff Retention – the Phosphorus Team lost 2 fully trained members of staff one in May 2004 and the second in May 2006. Some slow down in catchment survey work is evident as a result. In addition to replacement of staff members with trained officers it is essential that further resources will be required to achieve the targets set in the Phosphorus Regulations and to build capacity within the council to implement the required programme of measures that will accompany the River Basin Management Plans under the Water Framework Directive.

Lack of integration of environmental protection policies into the activities of various sectors (particularly in the recent past).

Cross border pollution incidents can be more difficult to resolve.

It would be beneficial to develop a reliable risk assessment tool for diffuse source pollution.

Computer facilities/tools for the interrogation of environmental data and trend analysis are also considered necessary.

The local authority role of "poacher" and "gamekeeper" can give rise to concerns by the public of the effectiveness of local authority's pollution control role.

### Successes to date

The review of CAP and the changes in farm payments from production based payments to single payments scheme is likely to benefit water quality in the medium to long term. Information meetings resulted in offers of cooperation and are considered very beneficial. Good relationships with industry and improvements in industrial wastewater treatment in recent years.

Cooperation with the IFA is resulting in regular contacts with farming groups. Staff are encountering a positive response to site inspections on farms.

The EPA biological monitoring for one of the three catchments surveyed by council staff in the 2002/2003 period indicated significant improvements in water quality in 2004. The other two catchments remain as yet moderately polluted – requiring further investigation. Improvements in the Biological Quality of the Erne Catchment are promising (page 8 refers)

Participation in projects described on page 6 should result in better knowledge of activities contributing to water pollution, effective mitigation measures and improved participation.

## **Summary**

Co Monaghan faces a particular set of problems in relation to water quality, which to some extent are unique to this county. It is a drumlin county, with heavy soils in many areas resulting in high runoff risk. The extent of intensive agricultural activities in Co Monaghan poses problems for the recovery /disposal of agricultural waste in an environmentally sustainable manner. In addition many of the county's rivers have low assimilative capacity.

Rapidly expanding industrial and commercial activities, refal housing and expansion of urban areas need to be controlled and monitored. Monaghan Co Council need a fully resourced and dedicated Team to progress measures set out in the Measures and Implementation Reports

There will be ongoing review of measures to maximize effectiveness of measures to improve water quality in the county.

TABLE 1.1	I: RIVER W	ATER QUAL	ALITY STANDARDS TO BE ACHIEVED BY 2007 Monaghan County Council									
Implementation Report Year		ort Year	2006									
River Name	River Code	Biological Monitoring Station	Station Location Name	Baseline Q-value	Is Baseline Quality Satisfact ory? Yes/No	Current Q- Value (2003- 2005)	MRP Value	Standard to be Achieved by 2007 Q Value	Achieved by 2007	Has Either Standard Been Achieved ?	Does an Article 3(9) Extensio n Apply?	
								ge.				
FANE	06F01	0650	Br in Inniskeen	4	у	3-4	40 👏 s	Nother C	30	n	Yes	Agric/diffuse rura sources and lake discharge