TEST REPORT

Client: Oxigen Environmental

BHP Ref No.: 80429 Order No.: Date Received: 27th February 2008 Date Completed: 31st March 2008 Test Specification: Nil Analysing Testing Consulting Calibrating



BHP New Road Thomondgate Limerick Ireland Tel +353 61 455399 Fax + 353 61 455447 E Mail bhpcem2@bhp.ie

Item: Corranure Landfill Site

Annual Report covering surface water sediment monitoring



FTAO: Joan Harrington

Report on Corranure Landfill for annual surfacewater sediment monitoring for 2008

For and on behalf of BHP Ltd.

Pat O'Sullivan Date Issued: 01st April 2008

Test results relate only to this item. This test report shall not be duplicated except in full and with the permission of the test laboratory

Table of Contents

	1.0	Introduction
	2.0	Sampling / Analysis, 158
	3.0	Quality Assurance
	4.0	Results
	5.0	Discussion
		Sectomer
	Append	ix : Site map showing sampling locations
	Mot co,	
ف	USer.	

1.0 Introduction :

BHP were contracted by Cavan County Council to carry out environmental monitoring at Corranure Landfill site which is located outside Cavan town, Co.Cavan. This landfill is operational and is operated under waste license no. 77-1, which was issued to Cavan Co. Co. by the EPA.

This report covers surfacewater sediment monitoring for all available samples at Corranure for the annual monitoring event of 2008.

2.0 <u>Sampling</u>:

This monitoring is a continuation of an established monitoring program at Corranure Landfill. As such, the locations are as on previously drafted site maps. A site map is attached in the appendix showing the surface water sediment locations. BHP sampled at 6 locations. Their individual references are as shown in table 1.

	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Reference	A Location (stream)
B1	Lismagratty
B2	Lismagratty
B5	Lismagratty
A1	Corranure
A2	Corranure
A3	Corranure

Table 1 : Surfacewater reference points and stream locations.

All samples received by the Laboratory were stored between 0 and 4°C. Subsequent analysis of all samples was carried out in accordance with Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th Edition, 1998, published by the American public health association.

The methods and limits of detection are listed in the results section.

### 3.0 Quality Assurance :

The Chemical and Environmental Monitoring laboratory (CEM) operates a rigorous approach to quality assurance. The central elements of the quality control system are outlined.

a) Chain of Custody and Client Instruction

Every sample received at BHP laboratories is inspected by the laboratory manager Pat O'Sullivan or by laboratory administrator, Mary Hehir. A client instruction is required to start analysis.

All samples are then given a unique BHP reference number before storage between 0 and  $4^{\circ}$ C.

b) Training and Competence

All analysts conducting work at BHP are fully trained. Training involves demonstration of accuracy and precision of analysis. All analysts are subject to periodic reviews in their training. All training is fully documented and retrievable.

c) Validation

BHP procedures are subjected to a rigorous validation which includes the following;

- Evaluation of instrument detection limits and limits of detection.
- Evaluation of operator characteristics including bias, precision and uncertainty of measurement.
- Demonstration of Linearity.
- Evaluation of the standard error on the mean and evaluation of any systematic biases.

- Evaluation of total uncertainty and uncertainty budgets. _
- Evaluation of the uncertainty in measurement at a regulatory limit. _
- Demonstration of repeatability. _
- Evaluation of Matrix effects.
- d) Quality Control (Skewhart) Charts

Analysis in the CEM laboratory is monitored using control charts. Each analysis will have at least 3 charts monitoring;

- Certified Reference Material recovery
- Precision of analysis
- Accuracy of analysis _

Batchs of analyses are rejected if any of the control charts indicate a loss in control.

e) Interlaboratory Testing

Interlaboratory Testing The CEM laboratory are members of the W.R.C Aquacheck Scheme. The Laboratory also participates in the Environmental Protection Agency's Intercalibration Programme and is listed on the Agency's Register of Quality Approved Testing Laboratories.

The Laboratory participates on a bi-annual basis in the British Gas Interlaboratory Proficiency Schemes for the analysis of contaminated soils and waters.

### 4.0<u>Results</u> :

The results are presented in the following tables.

# **B**-**P**Chemical Analysis Report for Corranure Landfill Site

Client:	Cavan (	Co. Co.,	Courthouse,	Cavan,	Co.	Cavan.
---------	---------	----------	-------------	--------	-----	--------

Site Address: Corranure Landfill, Cavan, Co.Cavan

(Sheet 1 of 1) Monitoring Point / Grid Reference:_____(Corranure Stream)_____

#### Surfacewater Sediment Monitoring

Parameter	Results (mg/l)				Sampling method (grab, drift etc.)	Normal Analytical Range or Limit of detection (LOD)	Analysis method / technique
Location	A1	A2	A5				
	Date	Date	Date	Date		e.	
	27/02/2008	27/02/2008	27/02/2008		mer		
Total Hydrocarbons	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		Grab.	0.001 mg/kg	GC-MS
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		Grab ar	0.001 mg/kg	GC-MS
Heavy Metals					Grab		ICP/AA
Cadmium	1.65	1.26	1.76		JIP JIGrab	0.05 mg/kg	AA
Chromium	38.37	22.62	22.07		Grab	0.05 mg/kg	AA
Arsenic As	0.448	0.68	13.33	~	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	ICP
Mercury	0.012	0.005	0.03	inst	o Grab	0.005 mg/kg	ICP
Zinc	58.05	54.18	91.35	FOLATI	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	AA
Lead	8.43	11.18	76.48		Grab	0.08 mg/kg	AA
Selenium	0.09	1.46	1.69	o o	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	ICP
Copper	19.35	18.32	27.66	Ber	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	AA
Tin	2.18	0.56	11.75 ℃	÷	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	AA
Nickel	71.91	39.83	40.73		Grab	0.01 mg/kg	AA
Total Phenols	0.1	0.09	0.04		Grab	0.01 mg/kg	Photometric

All results expressed as mg/kg dry weight

Signed for and on behalf of BHP Laboratories Ltd.

Page 7 of 10

# **B**-**P**Chemical Analysis Report for Corranure Landfill Site

Client:	Cavan	Co.	Co.,	Courthouse,	Cavan,	Co.	Cavan.
---------	-------	-----	------	-------------	--------	-----	--------

Site Address: Corranure Landfill, Cavan, Co.Cavan

(Sheet 1 of 1) Monitoring Point / Grid Reference:_____(Lismagratty Stream)___

#### Surfacewater Sediment Monitoring

Parameter	Results (mg/l)				Sampling method (grab, drift etc.)	Normal Analytical Range or Limit of detection (LOD)	Analysis method / technique
Location	B1	B2	B5		1		
	Date	Date	Date	Date		se.	
	27/02/2008	27/02/2008	27/02/2008		ther		
Total Hydrocarbons	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		Grab.	0.001 mg/kg	GC-MS
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		Grab at	0.001 mg/kg	GC-MS
Heavy Metals					Grab		ICP/AA
Cadmium	0.26	0.77	1.13		JIP JiGrab	0.05 mg/kg	AA
Chromium	41.09	24.57	48.4		Grab	0.05 mg/kg	AA
Arsenic As	5.09	3.46	12.56	ő	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	ICP
Mercury	0.043	0.02	0.025	insp	Grab	0.005 mg/kg	ICP
Zinc	58.99	27.74	52.87	FOLVIL	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	AA
Lead	8.42	7.99	5.64	905	Grab	0.08 mg/kg	AA
Selenium	1.25	1.3	1.93	NOT	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	ICP
Copper	20.73	13.56	17.61	NSOL.	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	AA
Tin	1.27	2.35	2.85 ℃	<i>y</i>	Grab	0.01 mg/kg	AA
Nickel	58.99	44.48	77.27		Grab	0.01 mg/kg	AA
Total Phenols	0.05	0.06	0.02		Grab	0.01 mg/kg	Photometric

All results expressed as mg/kg dry weight

Signed for and on behalf of BHP Laboratories Ltd.

Page 6 of 10

## 5.0 <u>Discussion/Interpretation</u>

The locations of the various surfacewater sediment monitoring locations are shown in the Appendix. The results of the chemical analysis conducted on the sediments are presented in Section 4.

The results have been assessed to the levels outlined for soil sediments contained in the new dutchlist for the assessment of contaminated soil sediments and groundwaters.

Contaminant	Soil Sediment (mg/kg dry weight)					
	Optimum	Action				
Total Hydrocarbons	0.001 min	0.1-130				
PolychloroBiphenyls	0.02	1				
Phenols	0.05	40				
Cadmium	0.8	12				
Chromium	100	380				
Arsenic	29 met	55				
Mercury	0.3 23 . 10	10				
Lead	850 501	530				
Nickel	120335C	210				
Zinc	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	720				
Copper	pectreminer 36	190				

The relevant sections are presented in the table for comparison.

In summary, all locations that were sampled and analysed have contaminant concentrations lower than the action level where remediation would be required.

Some parameters do fall between the optimum and action levels and the results are generally higher the further downstream one goes.