APPLICATION FOR WWDL FOR FERMOY REF NO. D0058-01

Response to Notice in accordance with Regulation 18(3)(b) of the Waste Water Discharge (Authorisation) Regulation 2007-dated 04 April 2008

Name of the Agglomeration

Response: Fermoy Town & Environs.

Discharge Outfall

(c)

1) Provide the location of the discharge point, in particular, the secondary discharge points, and a description of the outfall design and construction as specified in section B and C.2 of the application form.

Response: Secondary Discharge Points are as follows :

Sec. Discharge Pt.	Location	Northing	Easting
SW 2	Fermoy WWTP	N 098780	E 182193
SW 3	Rathealy Road PS	N 098719 00 100	E 181462
SW 4	Fermoy Bridge NE	N 09862401	E 181232

These are as Mapped in Attachment No. B. 4 (Map Fermoy 07)

The Outfall Design is 3DWF and Pipe Diameter is 450mm.

2) Provide an estimation of the existing and the maximum proposed p.e. contribution from (1) domestic, (2) commercial and (3) trade effluent source.

<u>Response:</u> Existing: Domestic contribution is estimated at 90%, commercial contribution at 7% and 3% for the trade effluent sources.

Maximum Proposed: Domestic anticipated at 80%, Commercial at 10%, and trade effluent at 10%. Note that Allocation of p.e. is development driven.

3) Clarify whether leachate and/or industrial sludge are treated at the waste water treatment plant. If so provide details of (1) the transfer and storage arrangement, (2) the location in the WWTP that the leachate/industrial sludge residues are introduced and (3) the quantity (volume and p.e.), frequency and rate of the addition to the WWTP.

Response: No leachate or industrial sludge is treated currently at the WWTP.

4) Provide details of any additional wastewater or wastes accepted for treatment at the WWTP.

<u>Response:</u> Washwater from IPC Site, AVR Safeway accepted. Waste Licence under IPC Legislation No. W0050-02.

5) Having regard to the definition of 'waste water works' in the regulations reassess the designation of 'piped surface water including trade discharge from Microbio', referred to in your application, as a secondary discharge point. Submit revised application information as appropriate.

Response : Copy of S 99E issued by Cork Co. Council to EPA submitted as Attachment 1.

6) Confirm the details of the flow rate in the receiving waters. EF

Response: Blackwater River Flows

The following figures have been sourced from the Hydrometric EPA Data of June 2008 from Blackwater Station 18052 at Fermoy.

Town	Fermoy
Flows	m ³ /sec
Long Average	44
95%Flow	6.8
DWf	3.6

Population Equivalent (d) Reassess the information submitted in relation to the current size of the agglomeration in term of population equivalent, and capacity of the waste water works having regards to the definition of agglomeration provided in the regulation. Submit a revised drawing if necessary. Were planning permission has been granted for development, but said development has not been commenced or completed to date, within the boundary of the agglomeration and this development is being, or is to be ,served by the waste works provide the following:

1) Information on the calculated population equivalent (p.e.) to be contributed to the waste water works as a result of those planning permission granted.

Response: The current Residential Developments and house numbers for which Planning Permission has been granted in Fermoy Town and Environs are listed below:

AREA Development Or Planning reference number	No. of Houses in Planning Application	No. of Houses Constructed in June 2008	Constructed	Total (<2 Years)	Comments
Duntahane Road					
Balmace Estate (06/10737)	8	-	4	4	Market dependant
Balmace Estate (92/3270)	9	9	-		Built

EPA Export 26-07-2013:00:54:29

Cairn house (06/6545)	10		5	5	Market dependant
Fermoy Geriatric Association Ltd (94/2174)	26	26	-	-	
Kent Development Ltd (00/07630)	90	90	-	-	Crèche not built.
Respond voluntary Housing Association (05/7844)	86	-	-		Previous permission lapsed
O'Dwyer Fermoy Ltd. (04/234;99/4080)	19	19	-	-	
Northgate Syndicate (02/5788)	171	171	-	-	
Lawton Homes (00/4949)	85	85	ther use.	-	Crèche not built
Respond Voluntary Housing Association	110	85 - - - - - - - -	atty any -		Permission lapsed
McInemey Cons (96/1549)	50	corinspectioner	-	-	
Pike Road	×	Cor			
<i>Carrigragroghera</i> (06/13557; 07/4287)	73 _{C015} 81	-	-	49	Commercial units wanted
Bothwell Development Ltd (04/4126)	133	100	33	-	
Forest Glen					
Lawton Development (97/4106)	78	78	-	-	
Fermoy Town Council	13	13	-	~	
Woodland Park					
Woodland development Ltd. (93/2186)	26	26	-	-	

North Gate Trustees Ltd. (96/1614)	7	7	-	-	
Denis Myers	22	22	-	-	
Coolcarron					
Cumnor Construction Ltd (05/4806)	308	-	50	50	Start to be built in the next 2 years. Market Dependant
Strawhall	25	-	5	5	Market Dependant
Total	1,349	696	100	119	

As result of those planning permissions granted the present population equivalent could be estimated as follows : $(1349 - 696) \times 2.7 = 1763$, say 1800 p.e.

The following is a list of the Commercial Developments for whichplanning permission has been granted:

Development Name/Developer	Type of the	Estimated p.e.
IDA Industrial Site (06/10524)	Two storey office building-not built	50
IDA Industrial Site (06/10524) (10 IDA Industrial Site (06/9306) (10 Fo ¹ vient	noi buili	50
David Ryan, Carrigragroghera (07/8484)	Commercial units-not built	60
David Rayan, Beechfield	Retail development-under construction	60
Cork Marts	Built, open within 6 months.	200
TOTAL		420

As result of those planning permissions granted the additional population equivalent could be estimated at 2220 p.e. According to the Fermoy Electoral Area Local Area Plan, the population of Fermoy Town and its Environs is projected to grow to approx 11,000 persons, by the year 2011.

1) The percentage of the projected p.e. to be contributed by the non-domestic activities,

Response: Approx. 20%

2) The ability of the waste water works to accommodate this extra hydraulic and organic loading without posing an environmental risk to the receiving habitat.

<u>Response:</u> The current contribution on the WWTP has been estimated between 12,000 and 13,000 p.e. The upgraded design capacity recently commissioned is EPA Export 26-07-2013:00:54:29 20,000 p.e. Accordinly, there is sufficient capacity at the WWTP to accommodate the likely increase in loading from above developments once they are complete.

Discharge to the Aquatic Environment

(f)

Reassess the data in the application in relation to the content and extent of the wastewater discharge and in particular the tables related to the emissions to surface water, for example provide the information required in the application form (Attachment D) regarding the volume of the flow from all secondary discharge point, having regard to the definition of secondary discharge points. Submit additional or revised tables where applicable.

Response: There is no monitoring carried out on the overflows on the pipe network and accordingly no information is available on the flows/volume of discharge. Revised Section D Tables and Section E4 submitted in Attachment 2.

Existing Environment

(g) Provide a further description of the existing environment in term of water quality with particular reference to environmental quality standards or other legislative standards. The response should include:

1) A copy of the most recent water quanty management plan or catchments management plan in place for the receiving water body.

Response : Attached Draft Water Quality Management Plan for Blackwater (Cork County Council, Dec 1998) submitted in Attachment 9.

2) Details of any designation under any Council Directive or Regulations that apply in relation to the receiving water.

Response : Designated Sensitive Waters under the Urban Wastewater Directive and Salmonid River.

3) Details of receiving water body, including its protected area status, if any, and details of any sensitive area, or both in the vicinity of the discharge point likely to be affected by the disgorge concerned.

Response : SAC, SPA, Proposed NHA (Parts) – See No. 4 below (Site Synopsis Report)

- 4) For all site/areas identified under 3) above, provide:
 - A copy of the site synopsis report.

<u>Response</u>: Site Synopsis Report Attached along with relevant O.S. Maps submitted as Attachment 3. EPA Export 26-07-2013:00:54:29

• Details of any relevant consultation with the National Park and Wildlife Service

<u>Response</u>: Consultation between Cork County Council Environmental Department and NP&WS ongoing and Council awaiting formal response.

• Details of any likely significant impact of the discharge on the site/area(s) identified.

Response : No adverse impact identified in the receiving waters.

• Details of the proposed mitigation measures, where impacts or potential impacts on the site/area(s) are identified.

Response : No mitigation measures.

It should be noted that whether or not Regulation 17 applies, the application form should be completed in full. In the case of references made to the attached Environmental Impact Statement a table should be provided correlating the application form sections to the corresponding sections in the EIS. Given the date of the EIS the response should also include an assessment of whether the EIS information is still valid/up-to dated for example with regard to downstream uses/receptors and matters listed under (f) above.

<u>Response</u>: The predicted impacts of the discharges from the Mallow WWTP on the water quality in the Blackwater River are set out in Section 4 of the EIS. These are based on the maximum discharges / p.e. from the agglomeration / plant. It is considered that the assessments made in the EIS are valid still based on current background conditions in the river and on the designations applying to the river.

Discharge

(h)

Reassess the details submitted in the application to ensure that it fully addresses the likely consequences of discharge from the waste water works. Given the date of the EIS the response should also include an assessment of whether the EIS information is still valid/up-to-date for example with regard to legislative requirement. Provide details of the monitoring and sampling points as specified in Attachment B.3 and section E of the application form. Where provision of treatment or connection to Primary Discharge or Secondary Discharge is not proposed provide details for a monitoring programme to demonstrate compliance with the requirement of the regulations.

Response : While the EIS was carried out in 2000 it is still valid today. Since it's confirmation, no more stringent water quality designation of Standards than EPA Export 26-07-2013:00:54:29

applied at the time are in force presently. The response in the previous section of this letter examines the EIS for Fermoy relative to current EIS standards, however it should be noted that the EIS prepared for the scheme met all regulatory standards in force at the time and has been approved by the DOEHLG.

Sampling Data

(i) 1) Complete table E.1(i)

<u>Response</u>: Council does not have any records in respect of Dates or Times of Storm Water Overflow Discharges from Secondary Discharge Points.

However Total number of Storm Water Pumping hours over the last 3 years at WWTP P.S. (SW 2) was approx. 310 hours. The pumping capability is 250 litres/sec.

At P.S. on Rathealy Road (SW 3), the Total number of Storm Water Pumping hours over the last 3 years was approx. 401 hours with a pumping capability of 250litres/sec.

2) Provide a drawing showing the location of all monitoring and sampling points.

<u>Response</u> : As detailed in Map Fermoy 3A in Attachment 4.

Operational Information (i) Reassess the details submitted in the application to ensure that it fully describes the existing or proposed measures, including emergency procedures, to prevent unintended waste water discharge and to minimise the impact on the environment of any such discharges. The response should include:

1) Details of any existing, or proposed, storm water storage tanks located at the primary or secondary discharge points and details of the storage capacity and the storage time in hours.

<u>Response</u> : The Existing Storm Water Tank at the WWTP (SW 2) has a volume of 300 cubic metres (5m X 10m X 6m) and can be pumped at a rate of 250 litres/sec. The Existing Storm Water Tank At Rathealy Road (SW 3) has a volume of approx 125 cubic metres (approx 5m X 5m X 5m) and can be pumped at 250 litres/sec.

2) Details of any existing or proposed storm water storage tanks associated with the storm water overflows, including details of the storage capacity and the storage time in hours.

Response : None Proposed

3) An assessment of the identified storm water overflows having regard to the requirement of the DEHLG guidance and confirmation that the identified storm water overflows discharge in response to rainfall only.

<u>Response</u> : The Storm Water Overflows discharge only in response to Rainfall events.

4) Clarification as to whether there is a standby or mobile generator at any of the identified pumping stations.

<u>Response</u>: There is a standby generator at the WWTP and a facility for a mobile generator at the Rathealy Road Pumping Station.

5) Details of the storage capacity, and time in hours, which is available at each of the pumping stations (for example in the event of pump malfunction).

<u>Response</u>: 300 Cubic metres at P.S at WWTP and 125 cubic metres at Rathealy Rd. P.S.

6) Details of the pump configuration (e.g. duty, assist, and standby) at all identified pumping stations.

<u>Response</u> : <u>P.S. at WWTP (SW 2)</u> : 2 No. Duty and 1 No. Standby Foul S. Pumps and 2 No. Duty and 1 No. Standby Storm Overflow Pumps. <u>P.S. at Rathealy Road (SW 3)</u> : 1 No. Duty and 1 No. Standby Foul S.

Pumps 2 No. Duty and 1 No. Standby Storm Overflow Rumps.

7) Clarification as to whether the emergency over the form any pumping station has activated in the last 12 months. If so, provide the reason for the activation and details of the frequency, duration and discharge volume.

<u>Response</u>: There is quite a high percentage of combined sewers in the Fermoy network. The emergency overfloweds activated occasionally at times of heavy rainfall. The Council does not monitor either frequency of events, duration or discharge volume.

Drinking Water

(j)

 Reassess the information in the application with regard to the location of the nearest downstream drinking water abstraction point or points to the discharge point or points associated with the waste water works. Provide information on the provision of drinking water supply to communities situated along the Blakwater downstream of the discharge point or points associated with the waste water works.

<u>Response</u>: Please note that there are no Drinking Water Abstraction Points on the River Blackwater, downstream of Fermoy. The only settlement in Cork County downstream of Fermoy is the village of Clondulane. This village, with a population of approx. 300 is served by Fermoy W.S.S., an Infiltration Gallery situated near the Blackwater upstream of Fermoy WWTP.

2) Provide a copy of all Cryptosporidium Risk Assessment report that relate to discharges from the Mallow Agglomeration.

Response : As there are no Surface Water Drinking Water Abstraction points downstream of the WWTP, this does not arise. However Cork County Council is in the process of submitting Cryptosporidium Risk Assessment Reports for all schemes to the Agency. The Reports for P.E's in excess 20,000 and for those on the 5,000 to 10,000 range have already been submitted, while the remaining assessments for schemes up to 5,000 P.E. will be submitted by 30th June 2008.

Assessment of Impact of Waste Water Discharge on Receiving Waters (k)

Provide further details and an assessment of the effects of any existing or proposed discharge on the environment, including any environmental medium other than into witch the discharge take place or are to take place, and of proposed measures to prevent or eliminate, or where that is not practicable, to limit or abate any pollution caused by such discharges. The response should include:

1) Confirmation that, where applicabile, assessments of the impact of discharge from waste water treatment works are based on maximum p.e. of the agglomeration to witch the applicant relates. Submit revised assessments/maps where applicable.

Response : Assessments are based on maximum P.E. of agglomeration.

2) Assimilative capacity calculations of the receiving water body and calculation of the resultant downstream concentration in relation to the various substances being discharged and monitored as part of the menitoring programme being undertaken.

Response : Submitted in Attachment 5.

 <u>Response</u>: Submitted in Attachment 5. 10ⁿ P^{tr}re^{QV}
3) Provide details of any correspondence engaged in with the National Parks and Wildlife Service in relation to a determination as to the likelihood of discharges from the waste water works having a significant effect on European site. If the discharge is deemed likely to have a significant effect an appropriate assessment of the implication for the designated site in view of the site conservation objectives must be carried out. Any assessment, should it be deemed necessary, shall be submitted as part of the reasoned response to this notice.

<u>Response</u> : Consultation between Cork County Council Environmental Department and NP&WS ongoing and Council awaiting formal response.

Compliance

1) Review Section G of the application from to address compliance with Council Directives and other statutory regulations and submit additional information as necessary.

Response : Compliance Letter and Test Results submitted in Attachment 6.

Further Works (m)

Provide further details of any works necessary to meet relevant effluent discharge standards and a time frame and schedule for such works. The response should include:

1) Details on the programme of improvement to ensure that discharges other than the primary and secondary discharges comply with the DEHLG guidance on storm water overflows.

Response : None proposed

2) The time frame by witch the discharges other than the primary and secondary discharges will comply with the definition of a 'storm water overflow' or alternatively will be diverted to the treatment plant for treatment.

<u>Response</u> : Time frame in excess of 4 years, as proposals have yet to be developed.

3) A copy of any approved funding for the programme of improvements.

<u>Response</u> : Copy of Water Services Levestment Programme 2007 – 2009 published by DOEHLG which lists the Major Water Services Schemes approved by the Department for Cork County Submitted in Attachment 7.

Your reply to this notice should include a revised non-technical summary which reflects the information you supply incompliance with the notice, insofar as that information impinges on the non-technical summary.

Response: Revised non-technical summary submitted in Attachment 8.

Signed : A Chouin

SENIOR ENGINEER Water Services (North)

EPA Export 26-07-2013:00:54:29

List of Attachments

List of Att	tachments
Attachment No.	Contains
1	Copy of S 99E issued by Cork Co. Council to EPA
2	Revised Section D and Section E Tables
3	Site Synopsis Report with O.S. Maps
4	Details of Monitoring and Sampling Points - Map 05A
5	Assimilative Capacity Calculations & Monitoring Results
6	Urban WW Fest Results for 2007
7	WSIP Programme 2007-2009 for Cork County
8	Revised non-technical summary
9	Draft Blackwater Water Quality Management Plan 1998
	EPA Export 26-07-2013:00:54:29