

o/tee

**Catherine O'Keeffe**

---

**From:** A Bolger/S White (Sharing)  
**Sent:** 12 February 2008 12:27  
**To:** Catherine O'Keeffe  
**Subject:** FW: EPA Oral hearing for Waste Licence application by Fingal Co Council Reg No WO231-01 on 03/03/2008

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Red

**Attachments:** Mr Paddy J.doc

-----Original Message-----

From: lazeral <lazeral@indigo.ie>  
To: Paddy Nolan <P.Nolan@epa.ie>  
Sent: Sun Feb 03 15:21:36 2008  
Subject: EPA Oral hearing for Waste Licence application by Fingal Co Council Reg No WO231-01 on 03/03/2008

Dear Mr Nolan,

Please see letter attached from Mr Shay Lunney and the Nevitt Lusk Action Group

Regards  
Ms Celine Blake



Mr Paddy J.doc (23 KB)

---

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.  
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

---

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*

Mr Paddy J. Nolan  
Programme Manager  
Office of Climate, Licensing & Resource Use.  
Environmental Protection Agency.  
Headquarters, PO Box 3000  
Johnstown Castle Estate  
County Wexford, Ireland

Little Acre Cottage  
Walshestown  
Lusk,  
County Dublin.

**Re: Oral Hearing on Waste Licence Application by Fingal County Council for a proposed landfill at Nevitt, Lusk Co, Dublin Reg No. WO231-02.**

**2008-02-03**

Dear Mr Nolan,

We The Nevitt Lusk Action Group and the residents of Nevitt Lusk County Dublin would like to say a Special Thankyou to you and the board of the Agency for deciding on the Bracken Court Hotel Balbriggan County Dublin as the venue for the above Oral hearing to be held on 03/03/2008.

It means a great deal to this community and in particular to the elderly section of the community, some of Whom would not be in the best of health, nor would they be in a position to travel long distances.

May I also refer to your telephone conversation last week with Ms Gemma larkin of our Group and seek Clarification of the following points:

- (1) That the objective of the above Oral hearing is for us to show that the EPA'S Proposed Decision (PD) is wrong and also for us to prove why it is wrong
- (2) That the EPA had never overturned a Proposed Decision (PD) in the past. ( Regarding Landfill )
- (3) If the EPA have ever Refused to grant a waste licence for a landfill to a local authority ?
- (4) If you (on behalf of the agency ) can confirm that the process can be seen to be fair, given that the Applicant and the EPA are both Agencies of the state, the control of waste is governed by the Department of the Environment, and both Agencies receive funding and report to the same Department of the Environment ? when we the objectors are a small rural community of 206 residents without any financial backing or professional support.
- (5) If Mr David Hammerstien-Mintz ( MEP ) , who will be attending the above hearing on behalf of the European Parliaments Petitions Committee to ensure compliance with EU Environmental law, be entitled to ask questions of the applicant and its representatives ?

Yours sincerely

Shay Lunney  
On behalf of the Nevitt lusk action group and others.