

## A Bolger/S White (Sharing)

---

**From:** Paddy Nolan  
**Sent:** 15 January 2008 14:44  
**To:** A Bolger/S White (Sharing)  
**Subject:** FW: letter 2

fyi

---

**From:** Paddy Nolan  
**Sent:** 15 January 2008 11:53  
**To:** Padraic Larkin  
**Cc:** Catherine O'Keeffe; Patrick Byrne  
**Subject:** FW: letter 2

Padraic  
please see attached recommendation with regard to the request from Mr. Lunney  
Paddy

---

**From:** lazeral [mailto:lazeral@indigo.ie]  
**Sent:** 14 January 2008 13:09 ✓  
**To:** Paddy Nolan  
**Subject:** letter 2

Dear Paddy,

Please see attached.

Regards

Shay Lunney.

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*

---

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.  
For more information please visit <http://www.messagelabs.com/email>

---

14/01/08

Mr Paddy Nolan  
Programme Manager  
Office of Climate, Licencing  
& Resource use  
EPA PO Box 3000  
Johnstown Castle Estate  
Co.Wexford

Little Acre Cottage  
Walshestown  
Lusk  
Co.Dublin

Ref: WO-231-01

Dear Mr Nolan,

Thank you for your letter dated 18/12/07 which was received by post, regarding the Oral hearing in relation to the proposed decision (PD) on the waste licence application for a landfill facility at Nevitt Lusk Co.Dublin, Ref; WO-231-01.

We the Nevitt Lusk Action Group, as representatives of the 206 residents of this small community "Nevitt" would respectfully request that the agency have regard to its decision to hold an oral hearing for the proposed Poolbeg incinerator and demonstrate the practicality in holding the Poolbeg oral hearing prior to any announcement being made for the arrangements of the proposed Nevitt Landfill oral hearing.

This request is in line with the EU waste hierarchy and its pyramid of preferences for managing waste, which places landfill at the least preferred option and at the very bottom of the scale.

Furthermore the EIS for the proposed landfill at Nevitt stipulates that it would accommodate the bottom ash from incinerators, therefore it should be imperative that a decision is reached on the Poolbeg incinerator before a date is set for the proposed Nevitt landfill oral hearing as a need for this landfill has yet to be proven.

Also I would like to state that an application is currently before the agency from Murphy environmental (Murphy's quarry) and it is my understanding that it is at the stage of proposed decision (PD) with conditions. The agency should have regard to the applicant's intentions to amend the conditions to include the acceptance of bottom ash from incinerators.

This would further indicate that the landfill is not required.

Finally I had forgotten to mention in my letter to you dated 17/12/07 that sufficient notice will be required to enable the EU Spanish MEP Mr David Hammerstien-Mintz to make the necessary arrangements to attend the proposed Nevitt oral hearing as an independent

observer on behalf of the EU petitions committee to ensure compliance with EU Legislation.

Your assistance in this matter is appreciated.

Yours sincerely,

Shay Lunney

For and on behalf of the Nevitt Lusk Action Group and Nevitt residents.

Yours sincerely,

---

Shay Lunney

*For inspection purposes only.  
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.*