

MEI

**This Report has been cleared
for submission to the Board by
the Programme Manager P. Nolan
Signed: *P. Nolan* Date: *16/01/07***

TO: Each Director **FROM:** Breen Higgins
CC: Paddy Nolan (Programme Manager) **DATE:** 16 January 2007

**SUBJECT : REQUEST FOR ORAL HEARING OF OBJECTIONS ON PD RE:
ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS (IRELAND) LTD., KYLETALESHA,
REG. NO. W0194-02**

The Agency received the following objection within the appropriate period, and one (objection 1) requested an oral hearing:

No.	Objector Name and Address	Obj Fee Rcvd	Oral Hearing Req	OH Fee Rcvd
1	Albert Culleton, c/o Ms. Anne Dickenson, Secretary, Derryguile and Kyletalesha Residents Assoc., Kyletalesha, Portlaoise.	€190.46	Yes	€63.49

I confirm in each case that:

- a) each objection is valid, in accordance with Section 42(4)(a) to (e) of the Waste management Act, 1996, and
- b) the request for an oral hearing is a valid one, in accordance with Section 42(9) of the Act

The general criteria or matters that influence or govern an Agency decision to hold an oral hearing include:

- 1 New issues not previously raised that are specific to the location or the development
- 2 The sensitivity of the location/local environment
- 3 Whether it is a matter of national or regional importance
- 4 The scale or complexity of the development
- 5 Significant new information

I have read the objection and request for an oral hearing together with supporting documentation from Albert Culleton, on behalf of the Derryguile and Kyletalesha Residents Association.

The issues raised in the objection can, in my view, be fully considered and assessed by a Technical Committee. This does not of course mean that the objections would get less consideration than if dealt with by way of an oral hearing.

I do not therefore recommend that an Oral Hearing be held.

Signed: Breen Higgins

Breen Higgins

Inspector

Office of Licensing and Guidance