## **Ann Bosley**

Suboni

From:

Noeleen Keavey

Sent:

06 June 2006 15:33

To:

Ann Bosley

Subject:

FW: WO204-01

Attachments: Submission letter epa.doc

Ann

Could you look after this - sub on obj for 204-1

Thanks

Noeleen

From: Wexford Receptionist Sent: 06 June 2006 13:56 To: Noeleen Keavey Subject: FW: WO204-01

Noeleen received at info mail

Ann Rochford, Programme Officer Environmental Protection Agency, An Ghníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil, P.O. Box 3000, Johnstown Castle Estate, County Wexford. Bosca Poist 3000, Eastát Chaisleán Bhaile Sheáin, Contae Loch Garman

+353 53 9160600 T: + 353 53 9160699

E: info@epa.ie W: www.epa.ie Locall: 1890 335599

From: Chrysalis Centre [mailto:claire@chrysalis.ie]

Sent: 06 June 2006 13:41

To: Web info mail Subject: WO204-01 Please find attached Submission Letter from Chrysalis Retreat Centre re. WO204-01 Brownfield Restoration Irl Ltd, Whitestown, Co. Wicklow

A hard copy of the letter has been sent in the post.

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

Chrysalis Retreat Centre Donard, Co. Wicklow

EPA Headquarters
Johnstown Castle Estate
Co. Wexford

6 June 2006

Re: Proposed Decision of the Agency on Reg. No. WO204-01 Brownfield Restoration Irl Ltd, Whitestown, Co. Wicklow

Dear Sirs,

Further to our letter of 26 April 06 we wish to make the following submission in connection with the above:

We concur with the other appeals submitted regarding this application, and we support in particular the position of WCC that there is no need for a composting unit, given the age of the materials involved.

We consider that the applicant Brownfield Restoration have submitted what amounts to a new licence application and that the original EIS and Further Information submitted under Article 16 are invalidated by the new proposals contained within their appeal.

We consider that Brownfield Restoration's interpretation of the Section 60 Directive regarding the requirement for a risk assessment approach is at variance with the Directive and the Proposed Decision issued by the EPA. The intention of the Section 60 Directive was to assess pollution risks and effect a proper remediation plan, not for the purpose of licensing illegal dumpsite to receive more waste.

The applicants are incorrect in stating that this site is not a Special Area of Conservation.

Considering the proximity of the site to Chrysalis and the nature of our work we have particular concerns about the operational hours of the proposed facility. We note that the Proposed Licence has no reference to Bank Holidays. We feel that these must be treated as Sundays and therefore excluded from hours of business activity. We suggest reduced operational hours as follows: Mon – Fri 08.00-18.00 (excluding Bank Holidays) Sat 08.00-13.00.

Yours faithfully

Ann Maria Dunne, Director