

APPENDIX E

For inspection and copying purposes only.
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

Chemical Properties

Chemical	Target Concentration (mg/l)	Source	H (unitless)	Source	T1/2 (days)	Source	Kd (l/kg)	Koc (l/kg)	Source
Ammoniacal Nitrogen as N	0.23	Based on standard of 0.3 mg/l for Ammonium (SI 439/2000)	0.013526976	RBCA Database	2190	Erskine, 2000	0.5		Erskine, 2000
Total Phenols	0.01	UK DWS 2000	1.63726E-05	RBCA Database	10	RBCA Database		28.84031503	RBCA Database
Total Cyanide	0.01	SI 12/2001	0	RBCA Database	29.16666667	Menne, D, 1997	7943282347		RBCA Database
Sulphate	250	SI 439/2000 98/83/EC	0	Doesn't Volatilise	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		Doesn't Partition
Arsenic	0.01	SI 439/2000 98/83/EC	0	RBCA Database	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	117.4897555		ATSDR, 1992
Lead	0.01	SI 12/2001 98/83/EC	0	RBCA Database (BME)	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	31622.7766		Professional judgement
Nickel	0.02	98/83/EC	0	RBCA Database	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		RBCA Database (conservative)
Benzene	0.001	SI 439/2000 98/83/EC	0.229	RBCA Database	720	RBCA Database		58.884	RBCA Database
Toluene	0.05	EQS	0.26	RBCA Database	28	RBCA Database		134.896	RBCA Database
Boron	1	SI 439/2000 98/83/EC	0	Doesn't Volatilise	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		Doesn't Partition
phosphorous	2.2	UK DWS 2000	0	Doesn't Volatilise	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		Doesn't Partition
Selenium	0.01	SI 439/2000 98/83/EC	0	RBCA Database	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	9.54992586		ATSDR, 1992
Styrene	0.05	EQS	0.107638438	RBCA Database	210	RBCA Database		1278.085771	RBCA Database
Xylene m,p	0.03	EQS for Total Styrene	0.29	RBCA Database mixed isomers	360	RBCA Database mixed isomers		239.8832919	RBCA Database mixed isomers
Xylene o	0.03	EQS for Total Styrene	0.217338915	RBCA Database	360	RBCA Database		128.8249552	RBCA Database
Silver	0.00005	EQS	0	RBCA Database	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		Doesn't Partition
Strontium	1	UK drinking water standard for Barium	0	Doesn't Volatilise	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		Doesn't Partition
Zinc	0.1	SI12/2001	0	Doesn't Volatilise	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		Doesn't Partition
Sulphide	0.00025	EA guidance	0	Doesn't Volatilise	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		Doesn't Partition
Fluoride	0.5	SI 12/2001	0	Doesn't Volatilise	9.9E+99	Doesn't Degrade	0		Doesn't Partition
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.1	Based on EQS for 111 Trichloroethane	2.404337524	RBCA Database	720	RBCA Database		309.0295432	RBCA Database
Chlorobenzene	0.01	Based on WHO Dichloro	0.152590889	RBCA Database	300	RBCA Database		218.7761624	RBCA Database
Ethylbenzene	0.3	WHO health	0.324977353	RBCA Database	228	RBCA Database		363.0780548	RBCA Database
Isopropylbenzene	0.01	Based on tox info	use benzene						
Propylbenzene	0.001	Conservatively based on benzene	use benzene						
1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene	0.01	Professional judgement based on toxicity information	0.058561909	RBCA Database	360	RBCA Database		8128.305162	RBCA Database
1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene	0.01	Professional judgement based on toxicity information	0.058561909	RBCA Database for 124	360	RBCA Database for 124		8128.305162	RBCA Database for 124
1,4 Dichlorobenzene	0.01	WHO ATU	0.065985249	RBCA Database	360	RBCA Database		2137.962089	RBCA Database
sec Butylbenzene	0.001	Conservatively based on benzene	use benzene						
tert Butylbenzene	0.001	Conservatively based on benzene	use benzene		9.99E+99	Non degradable (DOSE)			
n Butylbenzene	0.001	Conservatively based on benzene	use benzene					2454.7	DOSE for Butylbenzene
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	0.01	Conservatively based on bis(2-butoxyethyl)phthalate	1.23722E-05	RBCA Database	389	RBCA Database saturated		162181.0097	RBCA Database
4 Methylphenol	0.01	conservatively based on Phenol	use phenol						
2 Methylnaphthalene	0.01	Based on Naphthalene	0.019919297	RBCA Database for naphthalene	258	RBCA Database for naphthalene		1995.262315	RBCA Database for naphthalene
Naphthalene	0.01	Based on Naphthalene	0.019919297	RBCA Database for naphthalene	258	RBCA Database for naphthalene		1995.262315	RBCA Database for naphthalene

Physical Input Parameters for Groundwater Risk Assessment

P20 Spreadsheets

The following physical properties were input to the Tier 1-3 Soil and Tier 3 Groundwater Spreadsheets, to derive soil and groundwater remedial target values.

Site physical properties - Tier 1 Soils

Parameter	Value (or range)	Justification
Water Filled Porosity of Soil Zone	0.16	Typical value for mixed grain and sand (Conner et al, 1996 after Peck et al, 1974)
Air Filled Porosity of Soil Zone	0.19	Calculated from an assumed total porosity of 35% (Conner et al, 1996 for mixed grain and sand) minus Water Filled Porosity above.
Bulk Density of Soil Zone (g/cm ³)	1.7	Median soil value (Conner et al, 1996 after ASTM, 1995).
Fraction Organic Carbon	0.00363	Calculated from laboratory data

Site physical properties - Tier 2 Soils

Parameter	Value (or range)	Justification
Infiltration	0.0003 m/d	Estimated from water balance
Hydraulic Conductivity	0.864 m/day	Best estimate from field data

Parameter	Value (or range)			Justification
	Site 1	Site 4	Site 6	
Length of Contaminant Source (m)	130	60	55	Length of site parallel to groundwater flow.
Saturated Aquifer Thickness (m)	10.8	21.7	57.1	Estimated from recorded sand and gravel thickness (GSI, 2001)
Hydraulic Gradient	0.0125	0.0133	0.0125	Field measurement from monitoring well data.
Width of Contaminant Source (m)	190	80	80	Width of site perpendicular to groundwater flow.
Mixing Zone Depth (m)	10	21	56	Professional judgement based on saturated aquifer thickness.

Site physical properties - Tier 3 Soils

Parameter	Value (or range)	Justification
Effective Porosity of Limestone	0.18	Geometric mean of typical maximum and minimum values for sand and gravel (Conner et al, 1996 after Domenico et al 1990 and Walton, 1988)
Bulk Density of Limestone (g/cm ³)	1.7	Median soil value (Conner et al, 1996 after ASTM, 1995).
Distance to Compliance Point (Site 1) (m)	650	Distance between down-gradient site boundary and River Burgess.
Distance to Compliance Point (Site 4) (m)	200	Distance between down-gradient site boundary and River Burgess.
Distance to Compliance Point (Site 6) (m)	100	Down gradient distance to theoretical borehole (preliminarily agreed with EPA 16/4/2003)

Site physical properties - Tier 3 Groundwater

Parameter	Value (or range)	Justification
Effective Porosity of Aquifer	0.182	Geometric mean of typical maximum and minimum values for sand and gravel (Conner et al, 1996 after Domenico et al 1990 and Walton, 1988).
Hydraulic Conductivity	0.864 m/day	Best estimate from field data
Fraction Organic Carbon	0.00363	Calculated from laboratory data

Parameter	Value (or range)			Justification
	Site 1	Site 4	Site 6	
Hydraulic Gradient	0.0125	0.0133	0.0125	Field measurement from monitoring well data.
Width of Plume (m)	190	80	80	Width of site perpendicular to groundwater flow.
Saturated Aquifer Thickness (m)	10.8	21.7	57.1	Estimated from recorded sand and gravel thickness (GSI, 2001) and measured groundwater level.
Plume Thickness (m)	10	21	56	Professional judgement based on saturated aquifer thickness.
Distance to Compliance Point (m)	650	200	100	See Tier 3 soil.

References:

- ASTM, 1995, "Standard Guide for Risk Based Corrective Action Applied at Petroleum Release Sites," ASTM E-1739-95, Philadelphia, PA.
- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry). 1992. Toxicological Profile for Chlordane. ATSDR/U.S. Public Health Service
- Conner et al, 1996. Parameter Estimation Guidelines for RBCA Modelling, NGWA Petroleum Hydrocarbons Conference. Houston, Texas.
- Domenico, P. A., 1987. An Analytical Model for Multidimensional Transport of a Decaying Contaminant Species. Journal of Hydrology, 91 (1987) 49-58.
- Esrkine, A. D. 2000. Transport of Ammonium in Aquifers: Retardation and Degredation. Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology, 33, 161-170.
- LaGrega et al, 1994. Hazardous Water Management. McGraw Hall Inc., New York.
- Walton, W. C., 1988. Practical Aspects of Groundwater Modelling: National Water Well Association, Worthington, Ohio.
- Menne, D. 1997. Managing Cyanide in Waste Discharge.
- TPH CWG, 1999. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon Criteria Working Group Series, Volume 5, Human Health Risk-Based Evaluation of Petroleum Release Sites: Implementing the Working Group Approach

For inspection purposes only.
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.