

Eve O'Sullivan

From: Pat Moran [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday 3 June 2022 17:07
To: Licensing Staff
Subject: RE: pontoon at checkpoint

To whom it may concern

Dear Sir or Madam

Thank you for your email (dated 03/06/22 RE: pontoon at Cheekpoint). I am very surprised with your reply that once again you state that the Cheekpoint Pontoon and its invalid NIS falls under the Foreshore Department. The Foreshore Department states that the Pontoon and its invalid NIS falls under the EPA remit. This position has been pointed out and is on file in the S0012-03 from my submission.

I accept planning was granted by Waterford City and County Council and subsequently appealed to, and granted by An Bord Pleanala . An Bord Pleanala now indicates in their reply to me that the planning with an invalid NIS is the remit of Waterford City and County Council but no response has been forthcoming from Waterford City and County Council. There has been no response either from the Minister for the Department of Environment and the Minister for the Department of Housing along with the Chief State Solicitors Office.

My understanding is from your e mail "The EPA are content to let a development proceed without a lawful NIS and without the valid EPA licence required". Is it not the duty and responsibility of the EPA and the Foreshore section not to allow the development to proceed without all the valid requirement?. A valid NIS being the cornerstone of these requirements under Irish and European directives and law? As I believe that the Irish and European law is not being adhered to I am referring this matter to the Minister for Justice, the Attorney General and the Garda Commissioner.

I would like this e mail to be on the S0012-03 file

Regards

Pat Moran