Eve O'Sullivan

Subject: S0024-02 Dublin Port - DaS Application

From: peadar.farl [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday 23 November 2021 13:56
To: Licensing Staff <licensing@epa.ie>
Subject: RE: S0024-02 Dublin Port - DaS Application

Hello,

I would prefer if this information was requested from the Applicant. As a member of the public with an engineering background I cannot understand the drawings provided or see the quantities of materials from each of the 3 areas without some cross sections and longitudinal sections.
These are basic stuff in engineering drawings and would certainly have been completed already.

Regards

Peadar Farrell.

-------- Original message --------
From: Licensing Staff <licensing@epa.ie>
Date: 23/11/2021 11:23 (GMT+00:00)
To: [redacted]
Subject: S0024-02 Dublin Port - DaS Application

Dear Mr Farl

Thank you for your email of 22 November 2021. As there is a submission period open in relation to this application can you advise if you wish your email to be treated and processed as a submission to the application.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Environmental Licensing Programme

Office of Environmental Sustainability, Wexford

An Clár um Cheadúnú Comhshaoil

An Oifig um Inmharthanacht Comhshaoil, Loch Garman

053-9160600
licensing@epa.ie
www.epa.ie

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday 22 November 2021 11:53
To: Licensing Staff <licensing@epa.ie>
Subject: Dublin Port DaS application Ref S0024-02

Hello

While reviewing the new information submitted by Dublin Port I note that its nearly impossible to make a reasonable assessment of the materials for dumping in each of the work/excavation areas.

The materials to be dumped at coming from 3 separate areas of the port, the small drawings submitted do not show how large these areas really are.

For the public (and I) to understand this can I ask that a dimensioned drawing showing the cross sections and a longitudinal section of the 3 excavation/Loading areas be produced. This would be normal procedure in Engineering works drawings and I suspect such drawings have been done . They could not have calculated the amount of materials to be dumped (668,317 cm) otherwise. Could they show also what is the total CM for each of the 3 individual areas. What multiplier do they use for to convert the cubic meters to Tons, as these areas are quite different is a different multiplier used for each one.

Peadar Farrell. [redacted]