

# E.I.S. RECOMMENDATION – E.I.S. SUBMITTED

PL 17. 244473

1. To: Director of Planning/Assistant Director of Planning/Senior Planning Inspector/Planning Inspector

From: [Signature] S.E.O.Date: 25/3/15
☒

An EIS is included with this appeal/application. Please recommend whether or not the EIS complies with the requirements of Article 94 and Schedule 6 to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001, as amended.

☐

The activity is/is not/may be licensable under the EPA Act 1992, as amended/Waste Management Act 1996, as amended. Please recommend whether or not the EPA should be requested to confirm the licensing status and to submit observations on the EIS, where appropriate.

See  
attached  
draft  
letter  
re IPPC  
licence.

2. To: Director of Planning/Assistant Director of Planning

From:- Reporting Inspector

In my view, the submitted EIS:-

☒

Complies with the requirements of the above Regulations.

☐

Does not comply with the requirements of the above Regulations, for the reasons set out in the attached memo.

☐

I consider that further information (as set out in the attached memo) is necessary to enable the Board to determine the appeal. I recommend that this information should be requested under Section 132 of the Regulations.

In my view, the EPA should/should not be requested to confirm the licensing status and to submit observations on the EIS, as appropriate.

[Signature] Date: 3/5/15

## 3. Decision

Pursuant to Board Resolution of 2/12/2008, under Section 111 (6) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, which authorised me to perform certain of its functions, I hereby

☒

agree with the above recommendation.

☐

disagree with the above recommendation for reasons set out in the attached memo.

D.O.P/A.D.P.:

Date:

Revised eissub dot (green form)