Patrick Doyle	
From: Subject:	Licensing Staff Submission No 223 Edward Bourke
Original Message From: Sent: 26 August 2015 15 To: Licensing Staff Subject: Submission Re:	
Licencing   Environment	al Protection Agency <u>licensing@epa.ie</u>
-	my concern and opposition at the recent proposal of Dublin Port to dump 9.7 million on the Burford Bank near the shore in Dublin Bay.
making the water extremediscourage all forms of fibay has only recovered at the North east coast of Eare comparable. Diving a Sandycove on 14-5-1994 viruses and parasites and discharge. Sea angling a will be no dispersion of the dump ground. There island, Clontarf and Sand	ater visibility in the bay. That will prevent light reaching the flora that stabilize the bottom nely silty and prone to storm disturbance. The suspended matter in the water will ish life turning the bay into a desert. This has happened twice in the last thirty years and the little in the last few years. The condition of Dublin Bay compares very unfavourably with ingland in terms of visibility and wildlife though the wind, tide and onshore industrialization will become dangerous in zero visibility, a diver was killed in poor visibility conditions off a Swimming will become unsafe because UV light penetration is essential to kill bacteria, a dalready Rush beach is unfit for swimming this year because of pipeline works and sewage is a recreation will be pointless if there are no fish. The engineering assessment that there the mud is contradicted in their assessment by saying that gravel will be deposited to cover are alternatives however unacceptable to other parties such as filling sloblands at Bull I dymount or even creating a coffer dam and concrete surround to contain the dumping and be at least beyond the Kish Bank. The Burford area is much too close to shore and far to
Yours sincerely,	
Edward Bourke	

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.

For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com