# Natura Impact Statement

Proposed Clubhouse Development
Wexford,
Co. Wexford



On behalf of Faythe Harriers Hurling & Camogie Club



2B Richview Office Park, Clonskeagh, Dublin 14.

Tel: +353 (0)1 2602655 Fax: +353 (0)1 2602660 e-mail: enviro@morce.ie St. Catherine's House, Catherine Street, Waterford.

Tel: +353 (0)51 876855 Fax :+353 (0)51 876828 e-mail: info@waterford.morce.ie 13 Mill Street, Galway.

Tel: +353 (0)91 531069 Fax :+353 (0)91 564644 e-mail: info@morce.ie



# Form ES - 04



2B Richview Office Park Clonskeagh, Dublin 14 Tel: +353- 1- 260 26 55 Fax: +353-1-260 26 60

Email: enviro@MORce.ie

Title: Natura Impact Statement - Proposed Clubhouse Development, Faythe Harriers Park, Wexford, Co Wexford

Job Number: E1171

Prepared By: Dyfrig Hubble

Checked By: Kenneth Goodwin

Approved By: Kevin O'Regan

Signed:

Consent of copyright owner required Signed:

## Revision Record

Issue No.	Date	Description	Remark	Prepared	Checked	Approved
1	13/08/2015	Report	Final	DH	KG	KO'R
					on BLEAN	LA I
				ANBO	envi	

# **Natura Impact Statement**

# Proposed Clubhouse Development Faythe Harriers Park, Wexford, Co. Wexford

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	introduction				
1.1	Background and purpose of this report				
1.2	Regulatory Context	1			
1.3	Stages of the Appropriate Assessment	.2			
2.0	Methodology	4			
2.1	Desk Based Studies	.4			
2.2	Field Based Studies	.4			
3.0	Stages of the Appropriate Assessment.  Methodology  Desk Based Studies  Field Based Studies  Project Description	6			
3.1	Scope of the Works & Development Phase	6			
3.2	Operation phase	.7			
3.2 Operation phase					
4.1	Slaney River Valley SAC (site code: 000781)	.9			
4.2	Wexford Harbour and Slobs SPA (site code 004076)				
5.0	Stage 1 Screening: Identification and Assessment of Potential Impacts 1	3			
5.1	Habitat Loss and Disturbance	26			
5.2	Screening Conclusion				
6.0	Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment: Assessment of Potential Impacts 2	7			
6.1	Mitigation Measures	8			
6.2	Analysis of "In-combination" Effects	32			
7.0	Conclusions3	3			
8.0	References3	4			
Tables					
Table					
Table :					
Table					
Table <sup>,</sup>	4 Qualifying Annex I Species of birds for the Wexford Harbour and Slobs SPA				
Table :	5 Screening Assessment for the Slaney River Valley SAC				
Table					
Figure	·				
Figure	4 Site works – reduced site levels				

Malone O'Regan

Natura Impact Statement Proposed Clubhouse Development Faythe Harriers Park, Wexford, Co. Wexford.

Plates	
Plate 1	The western boundary of the site adjacent to the GAA ditches
Plate 2	The northern boundary of the site and the artificial pitch, including the rough access track running through the site from the road to the GAA pitches
Plate 3	The flat area of ground to the east / south of the road which forms part of the ground separating the site from the Natura 2000 sites.
Plate 4	The area of steep ground covered in dense vegetation, which further separates the site from the Natura 2000 sites
Plate 5	The areas of bare ground and scrub on the site
Plate 6	The areas of bare ground and scrub on the site
Plate 7	Eastern boundary of the site and the area / strip of vegetation that is to be retained
Plate 8	Area of reed bed into which he surface water pipes discharge
Appendices	
Appendix A	Conservation Objectives of the Slaney River Valley SAC
Appendix B	Conservation Objectives of the Wexford Harbour and Slobs SPA
Appendix C	Technical note, Preliminary Site Assessment
Appendix D	Preliminary Construction Environmental Waste Management Plan
	aut Poses of the arry of the
	Technical note, Preliminary Site Assessment Preliminary Construction Environmental Waste Management Plan  Consent of contributed to the present of the contributed to the consent of contributed to the con
	Cotizati

adian Eagle did this via
managers order
Planning was absorpently
breaded

#### 1.0 Introduction

### 1.1 Background and purpose of this report

Faythe Harriers Hurling and Camogie Club (Faythe Harriers) are in the process of applying for planning permission (Planning ref 20141003) for a two-storey clubhouse, consisting of dressing rooms, gym, assembly / training area, ancillary car park and associated works within their grounds at Park, Wexford, Co Wexford, (Irish national Grid reference T034 230) See Figure 1, 2 & 3.

Planning was granted by Wexford County Council for the project on the 11<sup>th</sup> of February 2015. Following a third party appeal, the application was subsequently referred to An Bord Pleanala (ABP).

ABP examined the appeal / information provided including a Stage 1 Appropriate Assessment and are of the opinion (letter dated the 28th of May 2015, Ref PL26.244574) that certain additional information is necessary for the purpose of enabling a determination of the appeal to be made. Specifically a Natura Impact Statement (NIS) / Stage 2 Appropriate Assessment was requested to address certain gaps in information relating to potential impacts on Natura 2000 sites as a result of the proposed development.

This NIS report has been prepared in response to the ABP letter dated the 28<sup>th</sup> of May 2015 and will seek to determine the like thood of significant impacts on sites with European conservation designations (i.e. Natura 2000 sites) arising from the proposed development of a two-storey clubhouse and associated works.

#### Planning History at the site.

Wexford County Council granted planning permission (Planning ref W2014009) for the development of the two-storey clubhouse on the 4<sup>th</sup> of April 2014. Following a third party appeal against the grant of permission, ABP made a decision to refuse permission for the development (ABP letter dated the 2<sup>nd</sup> September 2014, Ref PL26.243325). The decision by ABP was made in light of the documentation provided as they concluded that in the absence of a comprehensive stage 1 Appropriate Assessment Screening report, planning permission should not be granted. In deciding not to accept the inspector's recommendations to grant permission, ABP noted the concerns raised by the inspector with regard to potential impacts on the nearby European sites.

#### Planning History on Adjoining site

Planning permission was granted (Planning Ref W2008022) at the adjoining site in 2008 for the erection of an all weather pitch  $72m \times 36m$  with 10m high mesh fence, and a hurling wall,  $12.7m \times 10m \times 30m$  and all associated site work.

Further permission was also granted in 2008 (Planning Ref W2008090) for the erection of 6 floodlighting columns at 18 meters high, with two flat glass lighting units per column, and associated site works. These works have all been completed.

## 1.2 Regulatory Context

This NIS was prepared in accordance with Article 33 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001.

Malone O'Regan

The Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Flora and Fauna better known as "The Habitats Directive" provides the framework for legal protection for habitats and species of European importance. Articles 3 to 9 provide the legislative means to protect habitats and species of community interest through the establishment and conservation of an EU-wide network of sites known as Natura 2000. These are Special Areas of Conservation (SACs) designated under the Habitats Directive and Special Protection Areas (SPAs) designated under the Conservation of Wild Birds Directive (79/409/EEC) (better known as "The Birds Directive").

Article 6(3) and 6(4) of the Habitats Directive set out the decision-making tests for plans and projects likely to affect Natura 2000 sites (Annex 1.1). Article 6(3) establishes the requirement for Appropriate Assessment (now termed Natura Impact Statement):

"Any plan or project not directly connected with or necessary to the management of the [Natura 2000] site but likely to have a significant effect thereon, either individually or in combination with other plans and projects, shall be subjected to appropriate assessment of its implications for the site in view of the site's conservation objectives. In light of the conclusions of the assessment of the implication for the site and subject to the provisions of paragraph 4, the competent national authorities shall agree to the plan or project only after having ascertained that it will not adversely affect the integrity of the site concerned and, if appropriate, after having obtained the opinion of the general public"

The Habitats Directive promotes a hierarchy of avoidance, mitigation and compensatory measures. First, the project should aim to avoid any negative impacts on European sites by identifying possible impacts early in the planning stage, and designing the project in order to avoid such impacts. Second, mitigation measures should be applied, if necessary, during the Appropriate Assessment (AA) process to the point, where no adverse impacts on the site(s) remain. If the project is still likely to result in adverse effects, and no further practicable mitigation is possible, it is rejected. If no alternative solutions are identified and the project is required for imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI test) under Article 6 (4) of the Habitats Directive, then compensation measures are required for any remaining adverse effect.

#### 1.3 Stages of the Appropriate Assessment

This Appropriate Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with the European Commission Methodological Guidance on the provision of Article 6(3) and 6(4) of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC (EC 2001) and the European Commission Guidance 'Managing Natura 2000 Sites'. The Guidance for Planning Authorities issued by the Department of Environment, Heritage and Local Government in December 2009 and revised in February 2010 was also adhered to.

There are four distinct stages to undertaking an AA as outlined in current EU and DOEHLG guidance:

#### Stage 1: Screening

This process identifies the potential impacts of a plan or project on a Natura site, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects, and considers whether these impacts are likely to be significant. If potential significant impacts

are identified the plan or project cannot be screened out and must proceed to stage 2.

#### Stage 2: Natura Impact Statement

Where potential significant impacts are identified, an assessment of the potential mitigation of those impacts is required; this stage considers the appropriateness of those mitigation measures in the context of maintaining the integrity of the Natura 2000 sites. If potential significant impacts cannot be eliminated with appropriate mitigation measures, the assessment must proceed to stage 3.

#### Stage 3: Assessment of Alternatives Solutions

This process examines alternative ways to achieve the objectives of the plan or project that avoid adverse impacts on the integrity of the Natura 2000 site if mitigation measures are deemed insufficient.

#### Stage 4: Imperative reasons of overriding public interest (IROPI)

Assessment where no alternative solution exists for plan or project and where adverse impacts remain. This includes an assessment of compensatory measure where in the case of projects or plans which can be considered to be necessary for IROPI.

This report documents stages 1 & 2 of the AA process.

This report documents stages 1 & 2 of the AA process.

The report documents stages 1 & 2 of the AA process.

This report documents stages 1 & 2 of the AA process.

This report documents stages 1 & 2 of the AA process.

This report documents stages 1 & 2 of the AA process.

Malone O'Regan 3

# 2.0 Methodology

In complying with the obligations under Article 6(3) and following the European Commission's Guidelines, the approach to the AA process and preparation of the NIS for this proposal is set out below:

- Description of the proposed works;
- II. Identification of Natura 2000 sites potentially affected and compilation of information on their qualifying interests and conservation objectives:
- Identification and description of potentially significant impacts likely to result from the proposed works;
- IV. Exclusion of sites and impacts at Stage 1 Screening where it can be objectively concluded that there will be no significant effects;
- V. Stage 2 assessment of the significance of likely potentially significant impacts identified during Stage 1 and development of mitigation measures to the point where no adverse effects remain; and,
- VI. Concluding NIS Statement.

#### 2.1 Desk Based Studies

A desk-based review of information sources was completed, which included the following sources of information:

- The National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) website www.npws.ie was consulted with regard to the most up to date detail on conservation objectives for the Natura 2000 sites relevant to this assessment.
- The National Biodiversity Data Centre website www.nbdc.ie was consulted with regard to species distributions.
- A Stage 1 Screening report prepared by Ted Walsh & Associates Ltd. (2014) for the proposed development of the Clubhouse was reviewed.

As part of the stage 1 Screening exercise conducted by Ted Walsh & Associates Ltd, consultation with regard to the proposed project was undertaken with the Development Applications Unit (DAU), no comments were received. As part of the screening exercise a field survey was also undertaken on the 14<sup>th</sup> November 2014.

#### 2.2 Field Based Studies

The appeal from ABP (Letter dated the 28<sup>th</sup> May 2015) highlighted potential concerns raised by a third party in relation to potential contamination of the subsoil at the site. As part of the development it is proposed to re-profile part of the site which will involve both cut and fil earthworks, see Figure 4 and further details in Section 3.

In order to address the concerns raised in the ABP letter, MOR was commissioned by Faythe Harriers to undertake preliminary site investigation. The objective of the

preliminary site investigation was to determine the presence of contaminates within the soil at the site. This was achieved by:

- Reviewing of historical land use maps for the area;
- Site visit by qualified Malone O'Regan Senior Consultant;
- Visual inspection of the site;
- Excavation of trial pits (including visual and olfactory observations) under the supervision of a senior consultant; and,
- The collection and analysis of representative soil samples obtained from the trial pits (See Appendix C for full details of the methodologies used for the preliminary site assessment).

The desk-based studies for the site did not identify any historical land use on the site or within the surrounding area that would contribute to contamination of the soil at the site. The finding were validated by the site investigations as no contamination was inedited within the soil. Full details of the site investigations results are resented in Appendix C.

Therefore potential impacts arising from contaminated soil are screened out.

Consent of copyright outlet required for any of

changes at the Site of which MOR is not aware and has not had the opportunity to evaluate. This report is intended for use in its entirety; no excerpt may be taken to be representative of this assessment. All work carried out in preparing this report has utilised and is based on MOR professional knowledge and understanding of the current relevant Irish and European Community standards, codes and legislation.

#### 2.0 Methodology

#### 2.1 Site Characterisation

A site walkover was completed by a MOR environmental consultant on the 1st July 2015.

The area of the site that is to be investigated as part of this assessment comprises of an area of mounded ground. It is understood that topsoil removed when the adjoining all weather pitch was being constructed in 2008 was placed on the site. The area is covered in patchy vegetation, mainly low level scrub.

Prior to the intrusive Site works an online search for current underground utility services was completed. No utility services were within the vicinity of the trial pit locations.

#### 2.2 Soil Sampling

noel

The locations of the six (6No) trial pits are shown in Figure 1. The trial pit locations are within the area proposed to be excavate to 0 mbGL. The excavated soil will be used for landscaping purposes as part of the overall site development (Figure 1). The trial pits were excavated to a final depth of 10 mbGL under the supervision of a MOR environmental consultant.

Representative soil samples were taken from each trial pit according to BS5930-1999 'Code of Practice for Site unvestigations' and in accordance with MOR quality procedures. Any visual or offactory evidence of contamination was recorded. Photographic records of the trial pits were taken (Appendix A).

The site layout and locations of soil samples are presented in Figure 1 below.

Figure 1. Trial pit locations (TP) on site.

