Our Ref: PL.16.207212 P.A. Reg. Ref: 03/3343

Your Ref: Shell E. and P. Ireland Limited

Tom Phillips & Associates 8-11 Lower Baggot Street Dublin 2.

11th August 2004

Appeal Re: Construct gas terminal for the reception and separation of gas from the Corrib gas field and for a peat deposition site. Bellagelly South, Srahmore, Attavally, Bangor Erris, Co. Mayo

Dear Sirs.

I have been asked by An Bord Pleanála to refer to the above-mentioned appeal.

The Board has examined the appeal and is of the opinion that certain information is necessary for the purpose of enabling it to determine the appeal.

In accordance with section 132 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 you are required to submit, on or before 31st August 2004, the following information:

1. The Risk Assessment in Appendix 3 of Section 3 of Volume 1, Technical Appendix 2 of the EIS appears to involve a very confident assessment of all design aspects of the proposed development and a very optimistic view of the risks associated with the project.

It appears that the values for P and I used in the Register may not be entirely consistent or based on objective evidence. For example, the hazard of "unexpected ground conditions" is given a P rating of 3, while the hazard of the "failure of an excavated slope" due to "encountering previously unknown weaker zone within the rock or mineral soils". (i.e. also unexpected ground conditions) is given a probability rating of 1. Another example is the hazard of flooding caused by "extended periods of wet weather" which is given a probability rating of 1 i.e. negligible. This appears very optimistic considering the location and nature of the site and the occurrence of the extreme rainfall event that caused bog slides at Pollatomish in September 2003.

You are asked to detail the basis on which probability (P) values of the hazards occurring have been selected. It is expected that these values should An Bord Pleanála



64 Sráid Maoilbhríde. Baile Átha Cliath 1

Tel: (01) 858 8100 LoCaH: 1890-275-175 Fax: (O1) 872 2684 Web.http//www.plcanala.ie email:bord@pleanala.te

64 Marlborough Street, Dublin L

pe consistent and, where possible, should be based on objective scientific/statistical evidence.

Although a number of different causes have been given for the different hazards, the likelihood of each cause occurring is not evaluated separately. You are asked to submit such an evaluation.

The probability of several hazards occurring simultaneously or sequentially does not appear to have been adequately addressed (an example would be the hazard of flooding causing the hazard of the failure of ponds). You are asked to address this issue.

As a result of the above assessments and evaluations it is considered that revisions will be required to the Risk Assessment (with more of the hazards receiving an R rating above 5). This in turn may require revised design measures and contingency plans being prepared either to reduce the probability of occurrence or to deal with the hazard should it occur.

2. It is noted that the use of dry cement to strengthen peat is a new technology in Ireland. It is further noted that the tests carried out by Farrell and Hebib, referred to in the EIS, were on two peats from raised bogs rather than on blanket bog as at Bellanaboy, and that the tests gave marked differences between the strengths of the stabilised mixture formed with the two peats. This may indicate that the risk of not achieving sufficient strength using cement stabilisation is greater than using sheet piles, which is proven technology. It is also noted that the use of sheet piles may have less potential for environmental impact than the use of cement stabilisation.

No results of strength tests on stabilised peat appear to have been included in the documents provided to justify the values used in the stability analyses. You are asked to indicate what, if any, field tests have been carried out which would verify strengths obtained from laboratory tests and, if so, to submit results obtained from such field tests.

Please state if any of the contingency measures in the event of the required soil strengthening not being achieved would result in further excavation and removal of peat from the site. If so, please quantify the amount.

3. It is noted that the possible environmental impact of cement stabilisation and how it relates to the EC Water Framework Directive requirements are not considered by Farrell and Hebib and are not elaborated in the EuroSoilStab Design Guide for Soft Soil Stabilisation. You are asked to state if the chemical properties of the cement, and the potential short, medium and long-term runoff and/or leaching from stabilised soil have been examined, and to submit details of any such examination.

If the information required is not received before the end of the specified period, the Board will dismiss or otherwise determine the appeal without further notice to you in accordance with section 133 of the 2000 Act. Your submission in response

An Bord Pleanála

64 Sráid Maoilbhríde, Baile Átha Cliath La

Tel: (O1) 858 8100 LoCaH: 1890 275 175 Fax: (O1) 872 2684 Web.http//www.pleanala.ie email:bord@pleanala.ie

64 Marlborough Street, Dublin 1. to this notice should be received by the Board not later than 5.30 p.m. on the date specified above.

You are advised that this may not be the only request for additional information and that other requests may be made during the consideration of this appeal.

Please quote the above appeal reference number in any further correspondence.

Yours faithfully,

Kieran Somers

**Executive Officer** 

Direct Line: 01-8737159

An Bord Pleanála



Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

64 Sráid Maoilbhríde, Baile Átha Cliath 1.

Tel: (OI) 858 8100 LoCal1: 1890 275 175 Fax: (OI) 872 2684 Web.http//www.pleanafa.ie email:bord@pleanafa.ie

64 Marlborough Street, Dublin 1