

CASE MEMO

To: Mr Michael Donlan – Senior Executive Officer

From: Kieran Somers – Executive Officer

Re: Appeal reference number PL.16.207212

Nature of proposed development: Gas terminal for the reception and separation of gas from the Corrib gas field and for a peat deposition site.

Nature of appeals: 13/3rd party appeals against grant; 1st party appeal against conditions

Comments:

The above-mentioned case consists of thirteen 3rd party appeals against the planning authority's decision to grant permission for the proposed development and a 1st party appeal against conditions.

The grounds of the appeals are as follows:

1) Appeal of Monica Muller

- 1) Invalid application
- 2) Unauthorised works
- 3) Inadequate EIS
- 4) Traffic hazard
- 5) Traffic management plan

- 6) Mitigation measures
- 7) Project monitoring committee
- 8) Potential damage to protected habitats and fisheries
- 9) Percolation
- 10) Potential bogslides
- 11) Noise
- 12) Sewage waste
- 13) Mitigation measures regarding hen harriers
- 14) Environmental pollution

2) Appeal of John and E. McAndrew

- 1) Detrimental effect on landscape
- 2) Health and safety
- 3) Water pollution
- 4) Area of outstanding beauty
- 5) Out of character
- 6) Gas emissions
- 7) Effects of moving sludge
- 8) Effects on fisheries
- 9) Devaluation of properties

3) Appeal of Brian Coyle

- 1) Danger of landslides
- 2) Health and safety risks
- 3) Water pollution
- 4) Traffic
- 5) Danger of accidents

4) Appeal of Sean McDonnell and others

- 1) Previous applications and previous Board decision
- 2) Health and safety
- 3) Pollution risk
- 4) Traffic
- 5) Noise
- 6) Visual impact
- 7) Water pollution

5) Appeal of Gerard McDonnell

- 1) Previous applications and previous Board decision
- 2) Out of character
- 3) Environmental and safety risks

For inspection purposes only.
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

4) Visual impact

5) Landslide risks

6) Appeal of Feasta

1) Sustainability

2) Traffic issues

3) Health and safety

4) Viability and safety of proposed development

7) Appeal of Mary Corduff

1) Effects on landscape

2) Injury of amenities

3) Devaluation of properties

4) Health risks

5) Sustainability

8) Appeal of Brendan Philbin

1) Contrary to the development plan

2) Effect on visual amenities

3) Devaluation of properties

4) Public health and safety

5) Out of character

For inspection purposes only.
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

9) Appeal of Ballinaboy/Leenamore Residents

- 1) Health and safety
- 2) Traffic movements
- 3) Peat excavation
- 4) Devaluation of properties
- 5) Noise
- 6) Odour
- 7) Visual impact
- 8) Flora/Fauna habitats
- 9) Danger of terrorist attacks
- 10) Air emissions
- 11) Planning authority's conditions
- 12) Previous applications and previous appeal

10) Appeal of Maura Harrington

- 1) Inadequacy of EIS
- 2) Contravention of development plan
- 3) Waste
- 4) Roads and traffic

5) Peat extraction

6) Water pollution

7) Habitats

8) Air pollution

9) Visual impact

10) Noise

11) Risk of landslides

11) **Appeal of Brid and Teresa McGarry**

1) Health and safety

2) Visual implications

3) Proximity

4) Threat of terrorist attacks

5) Disruption to local residents

6) Emissions

7) Risk to road users

12) **Appeal of Micheal O' Seighin and others**

1) Effect on visual amenities and character of area

2) Devaluation of properties

3) Prejudicial to public health

For inspection purposes only.
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

- 4) Public safety
- 5) Sustainability
- 6) Infrastructure deficiencies
- 7) Adequacy of EIS
- 8) Peat removal
- 9) Designated haulage route
- 10) Risk of water pollution
- 11) Noise
- 12) Risk of landslides
- 13) Proximity to dwellings
- 14) Contrary to national policy
- 15) Cultural heritage context
- 13) **Appeal of An Taisce**

- 1) Previous Board decision
- 2) Adequacy of EIS
- 3) Impact on SAC and SPA
- 4) Traffic
- 5) Peat removal and relocation

6) Impact on haul route

7) Inadequate plan specification and construction data

8) Material contravention of development plan

14) Appeal of Shell E and P Ireland Ltd

This first party appeal relates to condition numbers 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 15, 25, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 55 and 70.

EIS:

The EIS notice on this file was published on 14th June, 2004.
The last date for responses to this notice is 12th July, 2004.

Submissions/observations:

The last date for responses to the appeals submitted is 30th June, 2004.

The last date for observations on the appeals submitted is 23rd June, 2004.

File submitted for comments.

22nd June, 2004

For inspection purposes only.
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.