

Joe Reilly

Sub no. 67

From: Noeleen Keavey
Sent: 16 May 2012 09:45
To: Joe Reilly
Subject: FW: Hazardous waste landfill for MEHL at Hollywood,Naul, Co Dublin ref WO129-03

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Joe

Can you look after this ? Thanks

Kind Regards

Noeleen Keavey
Office of Climate, Licensing & Resource Use
Environmental Protection Agency
PO Box 3000
Johnstown Castle Estate
Wexford
Tel: +353 53 9160600
Email: licensing@epa.ie

 Before printing, think about the environment. Smaoinigh ar an dtimpeallacht sula bpriontáil leann tú an e-phost seo.

From: Brian Meaney
Sent: 16 May 2012 09:41
To: Noeleen Keavey
Subject: FW: Hazardous waste landfill for MEHL at Hollywood,Naul, Co Dublin ref WO129-03

Submission regarding W0129-03

From: Wexford Receptionist
Sent: 16 May 2012 09:39
To: Brian Meaney
Subject: FW: Hazardous waste landfill for MEHL at Hollywood,Naul, Co Dublin ref WO129-03

Rec,d today at reception

Ann Rochford,
Programme Officer,
Environmental Protection Agency,
P.O. Box 3000,
Johnstown Castle Estate,
Wexford.
Bosca Poist 3000,
Eastát Chaisleán Bhaile Sheáin,
Contae Loch Garman.
Tel: 00353 53 91 60600
Fax: 00353 53 91 60699
Email: info@epa.ie
web: www.epa.ie
Lo Call: 1890 33 55 99

From: Paddy Boyle [<mailto:paddyboylerush@hotmail.com>]
Sent: 16 May 2012 09:13

To: Wexford Receptionist

Subject: Hazardous waste landfill for MEHL at Hollywood, Naul, Co Dublin ref WO129-03

From:

Patrick Boyle, NLAG,

Hands Lane

Rush

Co Dublin

15//2012

To:

Mr Brian Meaney, Inspector,

Waste Licensing Section

EPA

Johnstown Castle Estate

Co Wexford

Dear Mr Meaney,

I refer to the above MEHL application and my submission no 65 dated 26 April 2012, in which I raised the question of the disposal of leachate from hazardous and non-hazardous cells containing principally chemicals emanating from incinerator ash originating at the Poolbeg (proposed) and Duleek (operating) facilities, totalling some 250,000 tons ash per annum. In particular I raised the question of the operation of the leachate drainage system after future closure of the facility and all pumps were switched off - in particular how was the rising head of leachate due to rainwater ingress to be controlled and disposed of.

At a meeting of Fingal County Council yesterday evening Monday 14th May, 2012 it became public knowledge how his technical problem was to be solved. The final three remaining options for the location of the sewage treatment plants for the Greater Dublin Drainage Scheme were announced i.e. Newtowncorduff, Annsbrook and Clonsaugh. It comes as no surprise to the Nevitt Lusk Action Group that the first two site options are conveniently located adjacent to, and down gradient of, the abandoned Nevitt site and the currently proposed MEHL site. Were the private company to obtain permission to discharge leachate into a public sewage plant at either of these first two mentioned locations then the problem of leachate disposal would be solved and passed on to the taxpayer.

I would now like to raise a few questions with the EPA in relation to this matter.

- Currently Dublin City Council has not obtained planning permission or an EPA license for the disposal of ash from the Poolbeg incinerator other than by exporting the material abroad.
- The MEHL EIS does not mention the fact that the cost of the infrastructure needed to dispose of their leachate is to be borne by the taxpayer.
- The MEHL EIS nor the Poolbeg EIS does not mention the fact that the only rationale for the location of the sewage treatment plants at a remote site in North Fingal is to facilitate the disposal of incinerator ash for a private company.
- The MEHL EIS does not contain an Environmental Liability Risk Assessment (ELRA) which takes into account the cost to the public of providing a convenient sewage treatment plant capable of handling hazardous landfill leachate from the MEHL site.
- The MEHL EIS does not contain any details as to the proposed route of the necessary pipeline connecting the MEHL site with the sewage plant, who will construct this pipeline, and who will bear the cost, and where is the planning permission for such a development. The current EIS is therefore incomplete and defective on this most serious and essential element.
- Is the "drip-feeding" of essential elements of an EIS from separate quarters, after planning permission has been granted, acceptable practice to the EPA, and does it comply with the EIA Directive and national legislation?
- No details are provided either in the Poolbeg or MEHL EIA process as to the construction details or cost of providing this major diversion of the sewage pipeline and treatment plant from the shorter Clonsaugh site to the north of the county, but it would be fair to say that it would be comparable to the cost of the new National Children's Hospital, i.e. approx 500 Million Euro.
- And finally the NLAG would request the EPA as the technical experts and authorities in these matters to bring this very important issue to the attention of the Government, whom we feel sure are not aware of the additional financial implications of what is proposed, or the extent of the reliance of this private venture on the technical and/or financial cooperation and assistance of the four Greater Dublin Councils, the Department of Finance, an Bord Pleanála and the EPA.

Yours truly,
For the Nevitt Lusk Action Group.
Patrick Boyle, BE

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

For inspection purposes only.
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

For inspection purposes only.
Consent of copyright owner required for any other use.

