From: Noeleen Roche Sent: 10 May 2006 10:24

To: Lisa McGuire

Subject: FW: DAIRYGOLD IPC 404 & IPC 775.

From: Wexford Receptionist **Sent:** 10 May 2006 09:14

To: G Oglesby/D Richards (sharing); Emily Williamson

Cc: infomail

Subject: FW: DAIRYGOLD IPC 404 & IPC 775.

Rec'd today at info..girls.

tku

Ann Rochford,

Programme Officer

Environmental Protection Agency,

An Ghníomhaireacht um Chaomhnú Comhshaoil,

P.O. Box 3000.

Johnstown Castle Estate,

County Wexford.

Bosca Poist 3000,

Eastát Chaisleán Bhaile Sheáin,

Contae Loch Garman

T: +353 53 9160600 F: +353 53 9160699

E: <u>info@epa.ie</u>
W: <u>www.epa.ie</u>
Locall: 1890 335599

Consent of copyright owner required for any other use

From: kfinn [mailto:kfinn@esatclear.ie]

Sent: 09 May 2006 22:05 **To:** Wexford Receptionist

Subject: Re: DAIRYGOLD IPC 404 & IPC 775.

Submission on DAIRYGOLD IPC 404 & IPC 775.

I understand that DG are shortly to submit a programme for dealing with the continuing problem of mal-odour from their Castlefarm Plant. I would ask you to take the following into consideration:

- 1. Their Consultant's report was completed last Nov/Dec 2005. Judging by the prominence of mal-odours incidents from the plant so far this year, and the fact that the problem has disimproved rather than improved, little or nothing has been done to deal with the problem in the interim.
- 2. I understand that an extract copy of the Consultant's report is to be forwarded by Dairygold to the EPA shortly. It should be a requirement that the report :
- a. is an extract rather than a summary of the full recommendations of the report.
- b. That it contains the full recommendations for dealing with both the mal-odour and noise problems together with any/all conditions attaching to the recommendations.
- c. That the extract is signed off and confirmed by the Consultant as a full and accurate account of the full report excluding only sensitive financial data.
- d. that a full copy of the Consultant's report be made available for inspection by the EPA at all times.

- 3. The report should be accompanied by a full programme for implementation of the recommendations, and that this should be front ended, with a completion time for full implementation not extending beyond the commencement of full production season this year.
- 4. In this regard, at a time when DG are making substantial profits and investment in expansion works, it is simply not acceptable that implementation should be subject to financial constraints imposed by DG themselves to suit their expansion programme rather than full implementation of remedial measures and compliance with the terms of their existing and any new license.
- 5. In this regard also it is simply not acceptable that in the face of continuing problems with noise and malodours from this plant 6 years after DG obtained an IPC licence and 9 years after the date of their application and 14 years after the 1992 Act that any further delay should be accepted for anything less than full implementation of remedial measures and compliance with the terms of their existing and any new license.
- 6. As a comment on the availability of documents, no amount of trawling through either EPA files at Wexford or Inniscarra, will discover a document that has not been submitted to the EPA, much less the public files at DG's plant.

Secondly, in the absence of a full and up to date document list, it is not possible for a member of the public to ensure that the public files at the DG plant contain all the relevant documents or are up to date and complete, as has been found in the past.

Kevin T Finn Muntervary Kingston Close Mitchelstown Co Cork Ireland

Tel/Fax: +353-25-24232 Mobile: +353-86-8110288 Home: +353-25-24824

This email has been scanned by the Message Labs Email Security System.

For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email