

Noeleen Roche

From:

Ann Marie Donlon

Sent:

17 January 2006 09:30

To:

Noeleen Roche

Subject:

FW: Corrib Gas - IPPC Licence Application



16Jan06.doc (12 KB)

----Original Message----From: Wexford Receptionist Sent: 17 January 2006 09:29 To: Ann Marie Donlon

Cc: infomail

Subject: FW: Corrib Gas - IPPC Licence Application

Ann Marie,

This arrived at info@epa.ie

Vanessa.

----Original Message-----

From: edward moran [mailto:emoran@vodafone.ie]

Sent: 17 January 2006 00:24

To: Web info mail

Subject: Corrib Gas - IPPC Licence Application

Please print out the attached letter and bring it to the attention of Ms.

Ann-Marie Donlon.

Imelda Moran

I'm using Vodafone Mail - to get your free mobile email account go to http://www.vodafone.ie Use of Vodafone Mail is subject to Terms and Conditions http://www.vodafone.ie/terms/website

This email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System. For more information please visit http://www.messagelabs.com/email

.

Chapel Street, Belmullet, Co. Mayo.

16th January, 2006.

EPA, Wexford. Re: Reg No. 839

Dear Sirs,

On phoning your office on 29th December, '05, I was perturbed to learn that Shell had, once again, not complied with their undertaking to supply outstanding information requested by the EPA "by the end of year 2005". Previously they failed to comply by June, '05 and again August, '05 to supply information vital to the processing of their IPPC licence application.

Appellants received a letter from the EPA dated 12th January, '05 wherein paragraph 4 states:

"as the Agency is required to indicate the manner in which it proposes to determine an application for a licence within eight weeks from date of receipt of the application, it is important that if you with to make a submission on the above IPPC licence application, that your submission should be lodged with the Agency in good time to allow it to be fully considered".

This put considerable pressure on us and others to make submissions quickly, which we duly did. Thereafter the EPA requested further information from the applicant without setting any time limit for its provision. This has resulted in appellants having to ring the EPA weekly as from March of last year to find out whether such further information has been supplied.

Since Shell has repeatedly not complied in full with the several requests for further information, the EPA has, according to its own "Guide to Implementation & Enforcement" three options:- to repeat the request; to proceed with the determination; or, to consider the application abandoned.

As the EPA chose not to stipulate "within a month" for provision of the information, and since there have been repeated failures by the applicant to comply, there can be little reason not to proceed with the second or third option forthwith.

Yours faithfully,

Imelda Moran.