

Obj No 1

Ref. No: Reg. No. 742 and Reg. No. 453 (current)
Re: Application for licence for Kennard Pig Farms
Ltd. at ~~Doors/Cornwall, Wexford.~~

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

30.01.06

- 2 FEB 2006

053.28356

RICHVIEW

e-mail: johanna@epa.ie

OFFICE OF LICENSING & GUIDANCE

Cornwall,
Killurin,
Enniscorthy
Co. Wexford.

Dear Madam,

We wish to lodge an objection
to the granting of a licence to the
above facility, on the grounds listed
below. The paragraph numbers refer
to the inspector's report.

1.3 c) Belly grass usually contains pieces
of gut, stomach and offal.

e) How is the fish waste to be transported?

1.4 a) How is hot water to be successfully
transported this distance? Is planning
permission required for this piping
structure? Has a safety audit
been carried out on the consequences
of an accident rupturing this pipework?
Feed. Present noise levels at night
should be checked for compliance.

5) Re: "washing down frequently" - how
often is frequently?

6) Re: "organic material skips" Does this
mean that fish waste, belly grass
and offal will be transported in
open skips? Surely this is not

acceptable in terms of odour and flies.

Footnote: The footnote states "According to the licensee", Can this statement in relation to an 80% reduction be verified by inspectors?

2.3 Emissions to water: There are emissions via a small stream ~~at~~ beside Killurin Quay.

2.4 Noise: a) See footnote at 1.4 above.
b) There are presently high levels of pig squealing and feed milling/pumping noise in daytime.

2.8 As the Slaney River Valley is a candidate SAC (000781), the proposal to continue, never mind expand, such a dirty and unsightly industry should never be considered, let alone sanctioned. Killurin Dump was an eyesore for long enough, the closing of this is now an opportunity to enhance the beauty of this valley, by refusing the application of this licence.

The last paragraph states that the licensee has not been able to provide details of demand for sold material. What then will happen to it, and why can he not provide details?

2.11 There have been five non-compliances

3

in recent times. This hardly inspires confidence in new and continued operations.

2.12. There is no mention of any proposal to reduce₁ the fly and bluebottle problem.

Submissions Section.

Odour: Again I refer to "skips"

Groundwater: 'does not pose a "significantly" greater risk' Because of the ground type here, any operations ^{must} should pose no risk, either to groundwater or the River Slaney.

Thank you for your attention.

H Bond.

Joan Bond

HARRY BOND.

JOAN BOND.

30.01.06.